

# CITY COUNCIL REPORT



MEETING DATE: October 24, 2005



ITEM NO. 2 GOAL: Coordinate Planning to Balance Infrastructure

SUBJECT

Silverstone - 7-GP-2005

REQUEST

### Request:

- 1. A Major General Plan Amendment of the Land Use Element from Cultural/Institutional or Public Use to Mixed Use Neighborhoods on 160 +/- acres located at the southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak Road & Scottsdale Road.
- 2. To adopt Resolution No. 6764 affirming the above General Plan Amendment.

### **Key Items for Consideration:**

- A rezoning case is being processed with this General Plan Amendment, and is scheduled to be heard at the November 9, 2005, Planning Commission meeting.
- The existing tourist destination use (Rawhide) is relocating, which was the purpose of the current General Plan land use designation.
- The General Plan Character Element designates this location as an Activity Center feature.
- A mixture and variety of land use activity would serve and support the
- Open space areas and buffers would help provide transition to surrounding neighborhoods and maintain a suburban desert character.
- Mixed-use activity would change and increase traffic patterns.
- Street, drainage, and other infrastructure improvements would be needed.Planning Commission recommended approval, 7-0.

### Related Policies, References:

Case 15-ZN-2005 is the associated rezoning request for the property.

Case 13-UP-2005 is an associated request for a Residential Health Care Facility on the property.

OWNER

Michael Pacheco 602-230-1051

**APPLICANT CONTACT** 

John Berry


Berry & Damore, LLC

480-385-2727

LOCATION

Southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak Road &

Scottsdale Road



### BACKGROUND

#### General Plan.

### Land Use

This property is designated Cultural/Institutional or Public Use on the General Plan Land Use Map. The Cultural/Institutional or Public Use designation includes a variety of public and private uses that may include government buildings, schools, private institutions such as hospitals and tourism destinations, private and public utilities, and airports. Some areas may also include a mixture of recreation, tourism, destination attractions, equestrian facilities, hotels, or resorts, and cultural uses serving a large area. These uses should have good transportation access and be compatible with the surrounding neighborhoods.

### Character and Design Element:

The Character and Design Element of the General Plan designates this site within the Suburban Desert Character Type. This Character type typically contains medium density neighborhoods that include a variety of commercial and employment centers and resorts. The Character Types Map also designates an Activity Center designation located at the subject site. Activity Centers include mixed-use areas that are smaller than employment cores, but larger than neighborhood centers and often have greater intensities of development than the surrounding area.

### Major General Plan Amendment

The Growing Smarter Acts (state statutes) required cities to establish criteria for major amendments to the General Plan. The Scottsdale City Council established the criteria for a major General Plan amendment in February 2001. Those criteria are a part of the city's General Plan and include a land use change matrix, a property size criteria, and Character Area and Water/Wastewater Infrastructure criteria (See Attachment #5). If a proposed change meets any of the criteria, the proposal constitutes a major amendment to the General Plan. A land use change from Cultural/Institutional to a Mixed Use designation is considered a major amendment, regardless of the size of the parcel.

#### Context.

The 160-acre property is located at the southeast corner of Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road and currently contains a western theme park (Rawhide). The site is surrounded on all four sides by roads. To the north are commercial and residential uses, with Commercial, Suburban Neighborhoods, and Office land use designations. To the east are also commercial and residential land uses, with Office and Suburban Neighborhoods land use designations. To the south are commercial and industrial land uses, with Commercial and Employment land use designations. To the west is the jurisdiction of the City of Phoenix, with planned commercial/mixed use land uses.

The site contains a major wash (Rawhide Wash) located near the northwest corner of the property, which currently sheet flows across both Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road. Although much of the site has been disturbed over time, there are significant areas containing native vegetation on the northeast side of the site.

# APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL

### Goal/Purpose of Request.

This is a request for a major General Plan amendment to change the land use designation from the Cultural/Institutional or Public Use designation to the Mixed-Use Neighborhoods designation. The existing western theme park (Rawhide) will be relocating from the site in November 2005. It is the applicant's intent to develop a new master development plan for the site having a variety of commercial, office, residential, public, and open space uses. (See Attachment #11, Development Plan presented to Planning Commission and Attachment #12, Current Development Plan)

The applicant has also submitted a rezoning application to change the zoning from Western Theme Park District (WP) to Planned Community District (PCD) to develop the master planned community (15-ZN-2005). Further, the applicant has submitted a request for a Conditional Use Permit for a residential health care facility on the property (13-UP-2005). The rezoning and use permit applications are scheduled to be heard by the Planning Commission on November 9, 2005, and then will be scheduled for a City Council hearing.

### **IMPACT ANALYSIS**

#### Land Use.

The Cultural/Institutional or Public Use designation was placed on this property specifically as a reflection of the existing western theme park (Rawhide) as the user of the site. The relocation of the theme park from the property suggests a change in the General Plan land use designation is appropriate. The proposed Mixed Use Neighborhoods designation will provide opportunities for a variety of land use activity to serve and support the surrounding area. It also will provide an opportunity to create a compatible development to the surrounding land uses and community. Mixed-use activities provide opportunities for residential and commercial development to compliment one another, as well as strengthen the employment and retail capabilities in the area.

The Major General Plan amendment application included a conceptual land use pattern for the property, including commercial, office, residential, public, and open space uses. A master development plan for the area will give special attention to land use locations and intensities, traffic and circulation, drainage considerations, water and sewer infrastructure and environmental design (including scenic corridors, natural open spaces, setbacks, and building design).

### Community Mobility.

One of the Goals of the Community Mobility Element is to emphasize "work, live and play" relationships in land use decisions that will reduce the distance and frequency of automotive trips. Another Goal discusses the relationship and balance of land uses within general areas that will help reduce the demand on transportation systems. The proposed General Plan Amendment request accomplishes both of these goals, as the mixed-use nature of the proposal would allow residents to walk to commercial services allowing a consolidation of trips.

Based on the change of land use designation alone, it is difficult to anticipate the actual increase of vehicle trips per day. However, based on the land use information provided by the applicant, this proposal will generate between 10,000 and 14,000 vehicles per day. Significant roadway improvements are anticipated on all four sides of this property. New internal roadway connections are anticipated to serve the property and direct traffic to the Scottsdale intersections at Williams and Pinnacle Peak Roads. Also, an improved pedestrian environment through the site and to the surrounding area is also anticipated. The developer of the mixed-use project will be responsible for their proportionate share of the roadway improvements necessary to accommodate the demand generated by the new uses. Any street improvements needed in advance of a related City capital improvement project will be the responsibility of the developer.

### Drainage.

The existing Rawhide Wash located at the northwest corner of the site will need to be managed to preclude flooding during all stormwater runoff events of the site with no adverse impacts to other properties. It is anticipated that both Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads will need to have culverts or bridges to accommodate the high flows of Rawhide Wash. This wash has a potential of becoming a significant amenity for the project with consideration of active natural connectivity to adjacent neighborhoods and planned development. The developer of the mixed-use project will be responsible for their proportionate share of the flood control improvements. Coordination with other stakeholders to the west, such as the City of Phoenix and private development, will be necessary as the water passes through this development and exits to the west. Any drainage improvements needed in advance of a related City capital improvement project will be the responsibility of the developer.

### Open Space, Scenic Corridors.

The Rawhide Wash provides an opportunity for meaningful open space as part of a master planned development. Scottsdale Road lies along the west side of the property and is designated as a Scenic Corridor. A minimum one hundred (100) foot Scenic Corridor is anticipated at this location. There are opportunities for other open space amenities on the property, as well as opportunities for open space buffers around the property. Open space areas and buffers would help provide transition to surrounding neighborhoods and maintain a suburban desert character.

#### **Public Services and Facilities**

Significant wastewater infrastructure improvements are anticipated to accommodate increased intensity/density at this location. Existing water infrastructure appears sufficient. The developer of the mixed-use project will be responsible for their proportionate share of the infrastructure improvements necessary to accommodate the demand generated by the new uses. Any infrastructure improvements needed in advance of a related City capital improvement project will be the responsibility of the developer.

A new master development plan provides opportunities for new municipal uses, such as a library, park, or fire station, to serve the development and surrounding community. The applicant has proposed offering approximately 4.5 acres of land for municipal uses, such as a library and/or fire station.

### Community Involvement.

The site has been posted with multiple notification signs for months, property owners within 750 feet have been notified by the City and the applicant has notified surrounding property owners and homeowner associations within one mile of the site. Multiple open houses have been held in addition to meetings with interested parties. Open house comments and letters have been received, with some in support of the project and some in opposition to the project. Comments emphasized the need for master planning to mitigate impacts associated with the project, and other comments pertained to the degree of residential density increase and commercial intensity increase, increased traffic caused by the proposed development, building heights obstructing views, and setbacks from the roadways. (See Attachment #6 for Citizen Involvement information).

In response to citizen concerns and market conditions, the applicant has continued to communicate with neighbors and interested parties. The applicant has continued to reduce the intensity and density of the development, with the most recent reduction being made after the Planning Commission hearings. (See Attachment #10, Development Plan presented to Planning Commission; and Attachment #11, Current Development Plan)

The City of Phoenix has indicated they have no issues with the proposed land use amendment, and anticipates the mixed-use designation will compliment and enhance the adjacent existing and proposed land uses in Phoenix.

The Paradise Valley Unified School District has indicated that there are adequate school facilities to accommodate additional students generated by a mixed-use development.

### Community Impact.

Although the Rawhide facility has been a part of Scottsdale's tourism base for decades, the relocation of the existing western theme park suggests the theme park is no longer appropriate for the property. The Mixed-Use Designation provides an opportunity for a variety of land use activity to serve and support the surrounding area, and would allow "work, live, and play" relationships to reduce the distance and frequency of automotive trips.

A master development plan for the area will give special attention to land use locations and intensities, traffic and circulation, drainage considerations, water and sewer infrastructure and environmental design (including scenic corridors, natural open spaces, setbacks and building design). The developer of the mixed-use project will be responsible for much of the infrastructure improvements necessary to accommodate the demand generated by the new uses. The applicant has indicated every desire to put into place the necessary infrastructure to accommodate the proposed project. This will help ensure the new development will not burden existing residents with the provision of infrastructure and public services and facilities.

# OTHER BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Planning Commission. Remote Hearing.

On August 24, 2005, the Planning Commission held a remote public hearing

on the proposal. Two people spoke at that hearing and expressed concerns regarding potential negative impacts to existing residents of the area resulting from such an intense development proposal. Specifically, concerns were raised pertaining to the degree of residential density increase and commercial intensity increase, increased traffic caused by the proposed development, building heights and setbacks from the roadways. Six other comment cards were submitted, with one in favor of the proposal and the others expressing similar concerns of those expressed by the speakers (see Attachment #8 for the Planning Commission Remote Hearing Minutes).

### September 28, 2005 Hearing.

The Planning Commission held another public hearing on the proposal, and four people spoke at that hearing. Speakers expressed concerns that the proposal was too intense for the neighborhood and expressed concerns with the proposed balance of mixed uses on the site and traffic impacts. The speakers also recognized that the applicant had communicated with the neighbors and reduced the commercial and residential density since the original application. One speaker suggested the developer should pay for infrastructure improvements, building and furnishing of the library.

Planning Commissioners discussed potential development of the area and supported a mixed-use neighborhood at this location. The Planning Commission encouraged the applicant to continue working with the neighbors and appreciated any efforts to obtain a regional approach to traffic solutions (see Attachment #9 for the Planning Commission Minutes).

### Update.

Since the September 28, 2005, the applicant has modified the conceptual development plan to reduce overall intensity and density. These changes are in response to citizen concerns and market conditions (See Attachment #10, Development Plan presented to Planning Commission; and Attachment #11, Current Development Plan).

### RECOMMENDATION

Planning Commission recommended approval, 7-0.

# RESPONSIBLE DEPT(S)

# Planning and Development Services Department Current Planning Services

### STAFF CONTACT(S)

Tim Curtis, AICP Randy Grant
Project Coordination Manager
480-312-4210 Chief Planning Officer
480-312-7995

E-mail: tcurtis@ScottsdaleAZ.gov E-mail: rgrant@ScottsdaleAZ.gov

### **Scottsdale City Council Report**

APPROVED BY

Randy Grant

Chief Planning Officer

10.12.05

Frank Gray /

General Manager Planning & Development Services

Ed Gawf

Deputy City Manager

**ATTACHMENTS** 

- 1. Applicant's Narrative
- 2. Context Aerial
- 2A. Aerial Close-Up
- 3. Existing Land Use Map
- 4. Resolution No. 6764
  Exhibit 1. Land Use Map
- 5. Major General Plan Amendment Criteria
- 6. Citizen Involvement
- 7. City Notification Map
- 8. August 24, 2005 Planning Commission Remote Hearing Minutes
- 9. September 28, 2005 Planning Commission Minutes
- 10. Development Plan Presented to Planning Commission on 9/28/05
- 11. Current Development Plan

Drafted:

March 23, 2004

Updated:

April 21, 2005

# Pinnacle Peak & Scottsdale Road (SEC) Major General Plan Analysis & Justification

### I. Introduction

The subject property, consisting of 160 gross acres at the southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads (the "Property"), is currently designated Cultural/Institutional on the City of Scottsdale (the "City") Conceptual Land Use Map in the General Plan. This request is to change the Property's current land use designation from Cultural/Institutional to Mixed Use to ultimately allow the development of a master planned mixed use development to be named "Silverstone" (the "Project").

The Property is located within Planning Zone D, which includes Pinnacle Peak, Desert Highlands and the Troon communities. The Property is also designated as Suburban Desert on the Character Types Map of the Character and Design Element of the General Plan, with an "Activity Center" overlay.

This request is considered a Major Amendment to the General Plan based on the criteria adopted by the City Council on February 6, 2001. The proposed land use change is appropriate based on its' consistency and compatibility with the Guiding Principles and Elements of the General Plan.

The Project will provide a unique character that will set the tone for future high quality development in the area, but it will also define the character of the Sonoran Regional Core. This arrangement and mixture of land uses will create a distinctive sense of place and identity for this area.

The Project implements many of the values, visions, goals and policies contained in the General Plan, by specifically promoting mixed use development that integrates residential, retail and offices uses to create a micro-community that provides a very high quality of life. The Project will demonstrate that Scottsdale is at the forefront of innovative development

through its General Plan policies by establishing a "live, work and play" concept that is taken from theory to reality. The proposed Major Amendment to the General Plan is consistent with the following visions, values and goal statements. The City's vision, value and goal statements are listed with each response as to how the Project is consistent with stated policies.

# II. Guiding Principles

The General Plan, a guiding tool for development within the City, establishes the basis and process for planning in Scottsdale today. The CityShape 2020 comprehensive review of the General Plan established six guiding principles to be utilized when determining if a proposed land use is appropriate. These guiding principles include: 1) Preserve meaningful open space, 2) Enhance neighborhoods, 3) Seek sustainability, 4) Support economic vitality, 5) Advance transportation and 6) Value Scottsdale's unique character and lifestyle.

This section contains a discussion of each of the General Plan's guiding principles, the goals and approaches associated with each principle and a response demonstrating how the proposed amendment is in harmony with the elements of each guiding principle.

# A. Value Scottsdale Unique Character & Lifestyle

The City Shape 2020 process determined that two factors make Scottsdale a good place to live and work, "character" and "quality". The guiding principle of character and lifestyle relies on design standards, community character, development review, historic and archeological preservation and arts and culture to ensure that development reflects high degrees of character and quality – continuing to make Scottsdale an exceptional place in which to live, work and visit.

# i. Character and Lifestyle

Seven goals and approaches are enumerated in the Character and Lifestyle section of this guiding principal. Each one is listed below with an explanation of how the Project achieves the goal and approach. 1. Determine the appropriateness of all development in terms of community goals, surrounding area character, and the specific context of the surrounding neighborhood.

Response: The Project will reflect Scottsdale's southwestern desert heritage, its' unique position in the larger metropolitan Phoenix region and provide appropriate public facilities to reinforce the existing character of the surrounding neighborhoods.

The proposed site plan has been thoughtfully developed to provide appropriate linkages using varying modes of transportation to maintain meaningful open space, to preserve sensitive view and scenic corridors, and to provide the foundation on which pedestrian friendly commercial and residential improvements can be built.

The Property is designated a Suburban Desert Character area and an Activity Center. These areas contain medium density residential, employment and commercial land uses. The Activity Center overlay dictates that the Property be developed to include "major mixed-use areas that are smaller than employment cores, but larger than neighborhood centers."

2. Review the design of all development proposals to foster quality design that enhances Scottsdale as a unique southwestern desert community.

Response: All site plan and building elevation designs for the Project will be reviewed and approved by the City's Development Review Board. The Property's unique history and relationship to the surrounding uses and neighboring communities will be reflected in the built environment. While the Property is not subject to the City's Environmentally Sensitive Lands Ordinance ("ESL") or other specific overlays, sensitive design principles will be employed to provide the highest level of quality and character.

The Project will consist of harmonious architectural and site design to provide high quality character unique to the Project. This thematic design provided in a small master planned component of this size will unify the Project and increase the already high level of character established in the area.

This is accomplished primarily through the use of neotraditional territorial architecture designed specifically for the Project by an award winning Taliesin trained architectural firm.

3. Identify Scottsdale's historic, archaeological and cultural resources, promote an awareness of them for future generations, and support their preservation and conservation.

Response: While not officially recognized as historically significant, the former western theme park commonly known as "Rawhide" has certain standing in the popular culture. The Project deviates from the "kitschy" aspects of the old west to reflect north Scottsdale's sophisticated new-western Sonoran Desert living and high quality lifestyle. As an "intergenerational" mixed-use development with significant public and open spaces, the Project will itself become culturally significant for future generations.

4. Encourage "streetscapes" for major roadways that promote the city's visual quality and character, and blend into the character of the surrounding area.

Response: Being located in a suburban character area, streetscapes will reflect native and desert adapted trees to encourage a central theme with canopies and separate pedestrian routes. A comprehensive pedestrian circulation system will unify the Project and provides access into, through and out of the Project. The Project's uniquely designed streetscapes will also serve to unify the various uses and activities within the Project. The intersection of Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road is identified on the City's Streetscape Map as a location where, "...design intensifies."

Scenic Corridor guidelines and natural streetscape methodology will be utilized along Scottsdale Road to dramatically improve the existing condition of the Property. The existing plethora of Rawhide advertising signs located along the street frontage will be removed.

5. Build upon the significant role the arts have played in shaping our community's image and lifestyle by maximizing the potential of public art to enrich the daily lives of people that live in or visit Scottsdale.

Response: Art will play a significant role in the identification and architecture of the Project. Art will be integrated into the common areas and private/semi-private areas of the Project. The Scottsdale Cultural Council will be asked to participate in this process.

6. Recognize the value and visual significance that landscaping has upon the character of the community and maintain standards that result in substantial, mature landscaping that reinforces the character of the city.

Response: Meaningful landscaped open space will weave the Project together via linking micro-linear parks. The Scenic Corridor and sensitive thematic streetscapes further provides valuable and visually significant landscaping. Additional features such as shade structures will further serve to compliment the landscaping design and enhance the quality of life and character of the Project.

7. Encourage sensitive outdoor lighting that reflects the needs and character of different parts of the city.

Response: The 2000 I.E.S. standards will be maintained while lighting at the appropriate scale and wattage will certainly serve as an improvement to the existing condition. Additionally, outdoor landscape and themed street lighting will provide continuity and commonality throughout the Project.

Summary: The "character and lifestyle" section of this guiding principle, through its' goals and approaches, provides the design basis to be contemplated while considering the appropriateness of a Mixed-Use land use designation on the property. The Mixed-Use designation is specifically referenced for the property on the Character Area Map with an "Activity Center" designation. The Project will bring the site into conformance with the General Plan in terms of many City guidelines and principles including streetscapes, lighting and neighborhood planning.

### ii. Land Use

Land planning must reflect a balance of uses, located in appropriate locations, to support and sustain long term prosperity. There are nine goals and approaches within the Land Use section of the Character and Lifestyle guiding principle of the General Plan that this application satisfies.

1. Recognize Scottsdale's role as a major regional economic and cultural center, featuring business, tourism, and cultural activities.

Response: Scottsdale is strengthened by its land uses that contribute to the character, quality of life and economic base of the community. As a mixed-use development, approximately 60% of the Project will be developed for residential land uses and approximately 30% for commercial including commercial office and retail. A site for a public library is also proposed to reinforce the Project's "sense of place" and as an additional community benefit. The anticipated residential land uses include a varietal collection of high quality housing including senior living at medium density land uses. Complimenting the residential mix will be a combination of class "A" commercial and medical office serving the Pinnacle Peak sub-area and smaller professional/medical office to serve the neighborhood as well. A neighborhood-scale commercial retail component completes the mix to provide goods, services and entertainment to the immediate area. Overall, the Project strikes a healthy balance and blend of compatible land uses intended to support the local community.

2. Coordinate land uses affecting regional networks (mobility, economic, and open space) with adjacent jurisdictions to maintain the integrity and efficiency of each network.

Response: As a mixed-use development, the Project both directly and indirectly accomplishes this goal. The Paradise Ridge PCD located across Scottsdale Road and within the City of Phoenix has earmarked an approximately 44 acre parcel for regional commercial land uses at the southwest corner of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads. Consideration of the impact of the development of that parcel has been incorporated into the Project by developing the commercial retail at a neighborhood scale and intensity to serve area residents and provide better character and a higher quality of life than may be found with the City of Phoenix parcel. The neighborhood center component of the Project, to be located at the immediate intersection of Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads will consist of a superior quality retail development that will provide goods. services and entertainment to cater to residents and tourists alike.

Finally, the Project will coordinate with the City of Phoenix and the developers of the appropriate parcels within Paradise Ridge to address regional drainage solutions. Specifically, the Project is being planned while paying careful attention to Rawhide Wash as well as the property currently owned by the Arizona State Land Department ("ASLD"), which lies along Scottsdale Road within the City of Phoenix.

3. Encourage the transition of land uses from more intense regional and citywide activity areas to less intense activity areas within local neighborhoods.

Response: The Project appropriately provides excellent transition of land uses. It achieves this goal by proper placement of abutting land uses, and externally by taking into consideration the adjacent off-site uses. The Project is surrounded by an intriguing mix of existing land uses. The southern boundary of the Project is adjacent to heavy commercial and industrial land uses. Future commercial uses

are predominantly planned on the western boundary of the Project, including the Paradise Ridge project in the City of Phoenix, which anticipates an approximately 44 acre regional general retail development.

Higher intensity uses like the commercial office and neighborhood retail center are located on the western edge of the Project where higher intensity land uses already exist or are anticipated. The Project has been designed to focus the most intense uses along Scottsdale Road. Transitioning from west to east, a Village Square (with a proposed public library site) acts as a nucleus of the Project, with community-oriented residential completing the reach to the eastern boundary of the Project.

Employment uses are appropriately buffered from residential areas and located adjacent to major streets.

Where residential land uses exist, appropriate transitions and pedestrian linkages will be provided. The Project acknowledges and implements the goals of diversity in residential uses and implores innovative development patterns. The proper combination and blend of commercial and residential uses within the Project will go a long way in meeting the goal of housing and jobs balance.

4. Maintain a balance of land uses that support a high quality of life, a diverse mixture of housing and leisure opportunities and the economic base needed to secure resources to support the community.

Response: The "multi-generational" theme of the Project is reflected in a diverse housing mix, all designed to the highest architectural standards, able to support Scottsdale's unique character and a high quality of life. Each residential component is "density-appropriate" given the size of the development and the existing and proposed built environment.

The integration of residential and commercial/employment uses supports the jobs/housing balance sought both on a citywide scale and on a local scale at the appropriate locations. As an

"Activity Center" on the general plan this is the appropriate location for this mix of land uses. The Project will be designed so people can actually live, work and play without ever having to use an automobile. It will be designed to be aesthetically appealing and provide a highly usable system of pedestrian and transportation links.

5. Develop land use patterns that are compatible with and support a variety of mobility opportunities/choices and service provisions.

Response: While the term, "live, work, and play" and "urban village" may be overused in the marketplace, it is nevertheless applicable here. Alternate mobility systems are planned, with inter-connected pedestrian paths and bicycle paths. This design element will serve to provide travel choices to everyone, including the surrounding neighborhoods. It will also promote healthy lifestyle alternatives by reducing automobile trips within the Project.

Micro and macro mobility options have been incorporated into the design of the Project. The integrated housing, retail and office uses will serve to encourage internal, non-motorized forms of on-site transportation as options to the use of automobiles.

6. Promote land use patterns that conserve resources such as land, clean air, water, and energy and serve all people within the community.

Response: Preservation applies to natural resources, meaningful open space, existing neighborhoods and the ecosystem. The proposed land uses promote a sensitive pattern of development by 1) integrating land uses and maximizing their interplay, 2) by carefully planning the redevelopment of an existing large parcel of land at the appropriate densities, thereby capturing the benefits of development while preserving native plant materials, and 3) integrating mobility choices.

Additionally, provisions have been made to accommodate a segment of the regional drainage solution for the area by logical placement of the "Rawhide Wash" through the Project.

7. Sensitively integrate land uses into the surrounding physical and natural environments, the neighborhood setting, and the neighborhood itself.

Response: This proposal plays a significant role in the linkage and continuation of the "Rawhide Wash" as a part of a regional drainage solution which contributes to the public's safety and well-being. Additionally, it integrates neighborhoods into the physical environment by providing open space networks and significant buffering between commercial and residential uses.

8. Encourage land uses that create a sense of community among those who work, live, and play within local neighborhoods.

Response: Even a cursory review of the proposed site plan demonstrates to the reviewer that careful attention has been paid to the concept of live, work and play. The question is not "Does this project meet this goal?", but to what level. The interplay potential between the uses within the Project will be significant.

With the mix of appropriate density housing choices, well positioned commercial office, neighborhood retail, pocket parks and trails, a library site and open space — all tucked into a parcel adjacent to the City's busiest major arterial street, the Project can be a model of the General Plan's goals.

9. Provide a broad variety of land uses that create a high level of synergy within mixed-use neighborhoods.

Response: As stated above, the mix of land uses, at the proposed densities and intensity is ideal. This balance, to be developed with high-quality architecture and amenities, will invigorate the area while respecting adjacent neighborhoods and abutting existing commercial operations.

Summary: Given the Project's location, the adjacent existing and planned land uses, its' natural features and relationship with the local transportation network, the "Mixed-Use Neighborhoods" General Plan designation is more appropriate than the existing "Cultural/Institutional" one.

Mixed-use neighborhoods are defined by being located in areas with access to multiple modes of transportation and major regional access. There are no streets classified lower than a Major Collector adjacent to the Property (three sides are abounded by Arterial streets). The Property is intended to be an activity center – "activity" in and of itself occurs when a mix of land uses are combined. Successful, sustainable "activity" will occur when a project, such as this one, is proposed and that is emblematic of Scottsdale's high standards for character and quality of life.

### B. Support Economic Vitality

Scottsdale's lifestyle and identity are dependent on economic vitality. This guiding principle addresses costs and benefits of development and corresponding land uses.

1. Sustain and strengthen Scottsdale's position as a premier international and national tourism destination and resort community.

Response: Located near the premiere upper Sonoran Desert resorts and communities, the Project is poised to support and sustain the destination resort market coveted by Scottsdale. The commercial retail component of the Project has been purposely located at the intersection of Pinnacle Peak Road and the City's namesake street. This neighborhood scale commercial retail site will include specialty retail to provide goods and services on an upscale level to support and entertain the visiting tourist and local consumer.

2. Encourage and maintain a high level of diverse, quality retail and entertainment activity in Scottsdale that supports the needs of Scottsdale's residents and visitors.

Response: Given the City of Phoenix's ability to capture retail land uses in Scottsdale's shadow and the approximately 44 acre proposed commercial site located in the City of Phoenix at the southwest corner of Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads, the retail element of the Project will prove more desirable and inviting to the visitor by featuring exceptional architecture and a tenant mix intended to capture Scottsdale's unique upscale flavor – and sales tax receipts. While reportedly Phoenix plans for a more traditional "big box" regional retail site at the immediate corner of Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads, our Project intends to provide a more intimate and inviting environment.

3. Encourage and support a diversity of businesses that contribute to Scottsdale's sales and property tax base so that needed infrastructure, physical amenities, services, and the expansion of such services are provided.

Response: As an integrated mixed-use project, with an appropriate amount of medium density housing, the City's cost to provide public services is lower than if the individual land uses were detached and not integrated. The live, work, and play aspect of the Project further encourages the diversity desired in a mixed-used project. The retail component of the Project, along with the entirety of its' construction, will generate substantial sales taxes.

4. Foster new and existing economic activities and employment opportunities that are compatible with Scottsdale's lifestyle.

Response: This goal is achieved through the diversity of the Project. However, to a greater extent, other significant projects in the City such as ASU's biotechnology facility and the Mayo Clinic's expansion better address this goal. This Project is not technically appropriate for "new economy" or "incubator" uses. By developing this site as proposed, other more appropriate employment-center sites in the City can capture these land uses and be even more successful.

Employment opportunities at the Project are intended to be supported by the local community or by a workforce who utilizes the proximity of Scottsdale Road and Hayden-Miller Road to the Loop 101.

5. Locate and integrate non-residential development to improve access and visibility and to protect the integrity of neighborhoods.

Response: The non-residential land uses proposed for the Project are planned at the most visible and accessible locations on the site and adjacent to existing and planned commercial land uses. Both active and passive buffering is provided as the site transitions from more intense activity on the north and southwest corners and core of the Project where commercial development occurs to the lesser intense area on the east where residential occurs. Proper buffering and pedestrian linkages between commercial and residential uses will serve to promote this goal.

6. Maintain and develop partnerships that will support and promote quality employment and business opportunities.

Response: Careful consideration is reflected in the quantity and quality of non-residential land uses proposed herein. It is anticipated that the number of square feet of commercial retail space within the Village Center component of the Project will be substantially supported by the Project's office development and anticipated housing on site and within the trade area. The commercial office space is intended to service a wider trade area.

7. Sustain the long-term economic well being of the City and its citizens through redevelopment and revitalization efforts.

Response: This goal specifically encourages the renovation and reuse of underutilized parcels. Quality new development is encouraged especially when it creates new jobs and retail opportunities. Quality housing is a supporting factor of this goal.

Given the environment in which the Project is located, it is clearly underutilized by its' existing use. While the existing western theme park was appropriate at this location in decades past, being located at the geographical center of the city and being surrounded by commercially zoned lands, the Property as it is used today will not sustain the long-term economic well being of the City – nor does the current Cultural/Institutional General Plan map designation meet that goal.

Summary: The seven goals and approaches enumerated in the economic vitality guiding principle of the General Plan, when applied to the proposed Project, strongly militates towards both the appropriateness of the proposed Mixed-Use land use designation and the inappropriateness of the existing Cultural/Institutional land use designation. The Project will contribute significant revenues to the City of Scottsdale's General Fund as well as create jobs. While the Project will be a significant revenue generator, it will also create an area that is an educational, tourist and business attraction. The arrangement of uses within the Project will further define Scottsdale's "sense of place" within the immediate community.

# C. Enhance Neighborhoods

Community involvement, housing and neighborhoods constitute this guiding principles contribution to the City's vision articulated in City Shape 2020. Proposed land use changes and ultimately development is intended to satisfy the goals and approaches to follow.

# i. Community Involvement

1. Seek early and ongoing involvement in project/policy-making discussions.

Response: Prior to submission of this application, the development team met with the City's professional staff. Informal meetings were held with officers of the

Coalition of Pinnacle Peak ("COPP"). Also, a Citizen Outreach Team ("COT") is actively visiting the surrounding property owners, local businesses and the neighboring school district. This was, and is, being done to both familiarize the community with the breadth of the Project and to incorporate feedback and suggestions into the development plan as it continues to evolve.

2. Proactively seek community-wide representations on issues through vigorous outreach programs that engage citizens who are not typically involved.

Response: The benefit of a dedicated COT is that through a variety of communication tools, including door-to-door visits, the COT can avail themselves to residents and property owners within a large radius around the Project to ensure comprehensive input and feedback regarding the Project. The COT will engage in regular pre-scheduled neighborhood and homeowner's association contacts to ensure that continuous updates and ongoing dialogue is maintained.

3. Publish and process City issues in a manner that is relevant to citizens' daily lives and personal and professional interests.

Response: As a major amendment to the City's General Plan, this application will be discussed using numerous public forums including a required remote hearing near the Property and multiple public hearings at City Hall, as well as several voluntary contacts and forums.

4. Accept and respond to new ways of communicating and new technologies.

Response: The City's citizen participation program outlines the minimum notification requirements. We intend to exceed those minimums by coordinating with City staff and utilizing the COT. Mediums like

electronic mail will be enlisted in addition to the comprehensive door-to-door visits already planned and underway.

5. Make available facts and information about community issues to increase understanding and insight into the complexity of challenges that affect the community.

Response: The COT is dedicated to collecting community feedback. This outreach allows community feedback to travel quickly to the appropriate party and provides for rapid responses.

### ii. Housing

1. Preserve the quality of existing dwellings and neighborhoods so that people will find our community a healthy, safe and attractive place to call home today and into the future.

Response: While this goal principally applies to the preservation of existing, mature neighborhoods, we believe the Project contributes to this goal by supporting nearby residential and augmenting the area by adding substantial character and quality of life. As a redevelopment/infill project, the Project's residential development will incorporate modern planning principles that seek long-term sustainability.

While there is only one small parcel of existing higher density, residential adjoining the Project, considerate site planning will ensure compatibility between the properties.

2. Seek a variety of housing options that blend with the character of the surrounding community.

Response: Mixed-use projects are identified in the general plan as a means of promoting diversity and neighborhood vitality. As an "inter-generational" mixed-use development, the Project will provide a broader variety of housing options in close proximity then previously developed in the City.

This broad range of housing options both invigorates the non-commercial elements of the Project and also the trade area by increasing the consumer base.

3. Seek a variety of housing options that meet the socioeconomic needs of people who live and work here.

Response: The housing mix contemplated in the Project is intended to provide senior living options, live/work/play opportunities, and traditional suburban housing. The housing mix and overall design of the Project spans all generations and encourages relationships amongst all ages through interaction.

4. Encourage housing development that provides for "live, work, and play" relationships as a way to reduce traffic congestion, encourage economic expansion and increase overall quality of life for our residents.

Response: The mixed-use concept itself helps accomplish this goal. Given the Property's close proximity to Loop 101 and the major arterial streets that surround the Property, significant workforce housing is anticipated.

The mixed-use concept supports live, work, housing, telecommuting and the opportunity to work within the Project. The Project's design should act to influence people to want to enjoy the quality of life both at home and at work. The mixed use design of the Project is intended to contribute to the reduction of vehicle trips, which will subsequently reduce vehicle emissions. It is also intended to encourage alternative modes of

transportation such as pedestrian and bicycle trips. In the event residents do choose to commute to work, no street classified below a major collector is affected in reaching the Loop 101.

5. Encourage the investment of resources and use of existing and future tools to promote the revitalization of Scottsdale's older neighborhoods and adaptation of dated housing stock.

Response: No aged housing inventory is impacted by this application.

6. Encourage the increased availability and integration of a variety of housing that supports flexibility, mobility, independent living, and services for all age groups and those with special needs.

Response: The Project's design incorporates traditional housing product with the addition of an upscale senior living component. This inter-generational mix of housing supports this goal and serves to support other special needs by locating necessary goods and services within easy and convenient access to residents and workers within the Project. The balance of residential and commercial uses within the Project should serve to reduce unnecessary automobile trips.

# iii. Neighborhoods

1. Enhance and protect diverse neighborhoods so they are safe and well maintained.

Response: The Property is within an "Activity Center" area as identified by the General Plan as including "major mixed-use areas..." Neighborhood facilities are proposed (including a public library site), coupled with a wide range of housing, to ensure a balance of community "living" is maintained.

2. Use redevelopment and revitalization efforts to provide for the long-term stability of Scottsdale's mature residential and commercial neighborhoods.

Response: The Project will revitalize the site and as the general area matures, provide greater stability vis-à-vis the mix of land uses. The existing use of the Property is less able to accomplish this goal.

3. Sustain the long-term economic well being of the City and its citizens through redevelopment and neighborhood preservation and revitalization efforts.

Response: Given the City's northward expansion over the past 20 years, very few significant neighborhoods or properties in the general area require redevelopment or revitalization. However, due to the relocation of Rawhide, the opportunity exists for redevelopment of the Property into a true master planned community that provides a distinct sense of place and a high quality of life 24 hours a day.

The Project offers virtually every benefit outlined in the General Plan relative to redevelopment including public/private reinvestment, improved visual aesthetics, redevelopment of aging retail, job creation, infill housing and the adaptive reuse of existing infrastructure.

4. Preserve and enhance the unique sense of neighborhood found in diverse areas of Scottsdale through neighborhood conservation.

Response: The existing land use is not considered a neighborhood but rather a destination entertainment venue. The Project's development plan will ensure, via comprehensive site planning and architecture, that the goal enumerated above will be achieved.

5. Promote and encourage context-appropriate new development in established areas of the community.

Response: Given the variety of land uses adjoining and in the immediate vicinity of the Property (commercial retail (existing and planned), commercial office, light industrial, low to high density housing), the Project reflects the context in which it is located. The Project takes the abutting and surrounding uses into consideration.

# D. Open Space

This guiding principle addresses City and community-wide aspirations of maintaining Scottsdale's meaningful open space heritage and desert preservation.

- i. Open Space and Recreation
  - 1. Protect and improve the quality of Scottsdale's natural and urban environments as defined in the quality and quantity of its open spaces.

Response: The design of open space improvements is proposed within the Project to include highly desirable elements such as tree lined pedestrian paths, pocket parks and view corridors. Scottsdale's Scenic Corridor along Scottsdale Road will also be respected. Carefully designed pedestrian linkages will integrate the Project with the adjacent residential land uses, providing interconnectivity between communities for their mutual benefit.

2. Manage a comprehensive open space program that is responsive to public need, delivers high quality customer service, and exemplifies the Cty's commitment to leadership in environmental affairs.

Response: A comprehensive open space and pedestrian circulation element will be developed to maximize the Project's potential to maintain significant open space, promote non-vehicular modes of transportation and offer passive and active open space experiences.

3. Acquire and develop open space identified (by the City Council) as high priority through land dedication or purchase.

Response: This goal is primarily related to trust lands. Significant new sales and property taxes will be generated by the Project and will directly support this effort.

4. Encourage and cooperate with other governmental agencies to preserve and protect regional open space and to acquire, develop, maintain and operate regional facilities that are available to people who live, work or visit the City of Scottsdale.

Response: Close coordination with the appropriate governmental agencies is anticipated as the development process occurs. Significant cooperation and coordination will be necessary in order to ensure that roadways, drainage solutions, landscaping, etc. are planned, constructed and maintained to high standards.

5. Improve the quality of life for all Scottsdale residents by ensuring a wide range of recreational facilities and services.

Response: The project includes public amenities including a proposed library site. Additionally, impact fees, assessments and tax revenues will support the efforts outlined in this goal. Also supporting this goal is the overall high quality of the Project, which shall serve to enhance the already high quality of life enjoyed by the surrounding community.

6. Cooperate with and support the school districts that serve Scottsdale to be able to continue access to school sites and facilities for suitable, safe, and consistent recreational use and enjoyment.

Response: The "inter-generational" element of the Project has afforded the development team with a unique opportunity to "partner" with a nearby public school to develop an interactive based program with the senior living community that is part of the Project. The specific details are likely to include stewardship and mentoring programs and increased volunteerism.

7. Provide attractive, well-maintained community recreational and park facilities that serve the entire community.

Response: The library site and public paths will be thoughtfully planned, well constructed and maintained and is intended for the full enjoyment of the entire community. Additionally, it is our intent to explore use of the Rawhide Wash for additional public amenities.

8. Provide access to educational, recreational, and cultural services for all residents.

Response: The proposed library site compliments the existing public school creating a synergy of public educational and cultural services.

# ii. Preservation and Environmental Planning

1. Acquire the land within the Recommended Study Boundary of the McDowell Sonoran Preserve to create an integrated desert open space system linking open spaces in Scottsdale with open spaces adjacent to Scottsdale.

Response: The Project provides housing and employment opportunities that might otherwise be contemplated on lands targeted for preservation. Real property taxes and sales taxes generated at the Project should continue to support the preservation efforts and high quality of life in Scottsdale.

2. Enhance the quality of life in Scottsdale by safeguarding the natural environment.

Response: By preserving the pattern of the Rawhide Wash through sensitive redesign and re-vegetation, wildlife should be able to continue to utilize migrate and continue to co-exist with the Project.

3. Achieve a sustainable balance between the conservation, use and development of Scottsdale's natural resources.

Response: Appropriate residential densities help create a critical mass where recycling programs and other environmentally friendly activities are even more successful.

4. Reduce energy consumption and promote energy conservation.

Response: Current building codes, energy codes, and other conservation efforts may be incorporated into the Project.

5. Conserve water and encourage the reuse of wastewater.

Response: The City's requirements will be adhered to. Low-water plant materials will be integrated into the Project.

6. Ensure the quality of our groundwater and surface water supplies.

Response: No land uses that would have a deleterious effect on surface or groundwater are proposed.

7. Promote local and regional efforts to improve air quality.

Response: The live, work, and play mixed-use model reflected in the Project has been demonstrated to reduce vehicle miles traveled and correspondingly improves air quality.

8. Maximize resource recovery and reuse, and promote recycling and promote the use of recycled, recyclable, and renewable materials.

Response: While existing improvements on the Property do not incorporate the elements and construction materials contemplated by this goal, alternative materials and construction techniques will be discussed in the design phase.

9. Protect and conserve native plants as a significant natural and visual resource.

Response: Although not subject to ESL, it is our intent to integrate existing native vegetation into the open space of the Project.

10. Encourage environmentally sound "green building" alternatives that support sustainable desert living.

Response: These options will be explored in the design phase. (The development team includes Taliesin trained architects specializing in desert construction strategies and techniques.)

# E. Seek Sustainability

- i. Cost of Development
  - 1. Present quick tabular and graphic analyses and reviews to city elective and appointive bodies and the general public by using fiscal impact modeling.

Response: See the land use impact analysis attached.

2. Assign a staff liaison from each City department to participate, on an as-needed basis, with the primary management team of a fiscal impact model.

Response: This is at the City's discretion and control.

3. Conduct City department evaluation, planning, and budgeting for existing and future levels of public service operations and the development of infrastructure and capital facilities by the use of fiscal impact modeling.

Response: This is at the City's discretion and control.

### ii. Growth Areas

1. Direct and sustain growth and expansion in areas of the city that can support a concentration of a variety of uses and are particularly suitable for multimodal transportation and infrastructure expansion and improvements.

Response: While once in a new growth area of the City, the Property is now an infill site located at the geographic center of Scottsdale. Mixed-use infill is considered appropriate at this location. Furthermore, the proximity of the Project to the trail and transportation systems helps eliminate the need to provide extraordinary infrastructure.

2. Make automobile, transit and other multimodal circulation more efficient.

Response: The arterial and collector streets surrounding the Property on all sides will provide excellent multimodel transportation opportunities. The Project benefits from its access to the Loop 101 via Scottsdale Road and Hayden-Miller Road, which are located on the Project's western and eastern boundaries, respectively.

3. Conserve significant natural resources and open space areas in the growth areas and coordinate their locations to similar areas outside the growth areas.

Response: The Scenic Corridor, Rawhide Wash, and the pedestrian linkage system provide excellent opportunities to provide high quality and usable open space to enhance the area and support the Project.

4. Promote the public and private construction of timely and financially sound infrastructure expansion through the use of infrastructure funding and fiscal planning that is coordinated with development activity.

Response: The infill nature of the Project will serve as a link of development along the border of Scottsdale and Phoenix.

5. Identify legal mandates and policies concerning future growth, development, revitalization, redevelopment, and expansion of public infrastructure and facilities, services and crime prevention within the municipal boundaries.

Response: This goal does not appear to apply to this request.

6. Integrate public (civic) art into the visual character of designated growth areas.

Response: Public art will be incorporated into the overall design of the Project.

7. Promote development timing that is guided by the adequacy of existing and/or expandable infrastructure, services, and facilities.

Response: N/A

### iii. Public Services and Facilities

1. Establish and maintain an innovative, sustainable solid waste collection, recycling, and disposal delivery system for present and future generations.

Response: As a mixed-use and infill development, the Project will satisfy this goal through participation in the services provided by the City, as well as participation in future services, programs and voluntary efforts made available to the Project's users.

2. Protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public from the impacts of flooding.

Response: The future placement of Rawhide Wash through the Project will help serve to eliminate flooding risks for the Project.

3. Encourage provision of power and communication systems that match the character of Scottsdale and provide reliable, efficient service for Scottsdale citizens, visitors, and businesses.

Response: Utility coordination, under grounding and beautification, will be addressed in the utility design phase and the Project will comply with local codes and the provisions of the state statutes. The intent is for the Project to be designed, built and operated using state-of-the-art technology that maximizes the reliability and efficiency of the Project.

4. Develop strategies to place the library in a position to respond to future challenges brought on by the information age, social and economic forces and people's lifestyles.

Response: The proposed library site appears to address this goal adequately.

5. Partner with other jurisdictions and agencies to achieve maximum efficiency in city service delivery.

Response: As a result of a shared border with the City of Phoenix, emergency services are available from both municipalities as provided for in the prevailing intergovernmental and shared dispatching agreements.

6. Provide an integrated system of services, resources, and opportunities to help Scottsdale residents of all ages improve their lives, the lives of others, neighborhoods, and the total community.

Response: The inter-generational aspect of the Project accomplishes this goal. The design of the project consisting of mixed uses encourages interplay amongst its users.

7. Provide a safe environment for all Scottsdale citizens, visitors, and private interests by alleviating physical risks that may be encountered in the normal operation and development of the community.

Response: The Project's physical environment will provide a level of accessibility and safety commensurate with an "inter-generational" community.

8. Provide city service facilities to meet the governmental, administrative, public safety, emergency, social, human, cultural, informational, and maintenance needs of the community.

Response: N/A

9. Design public buildings and improve aesthetics of public buildings and facilities to increase appeal as community gathering spaces.

Response: N/A

10. Provide recreational opportunities to meet the needs of all areas of the community through public facilities.

Response: N/A

11. Coordinate with the School Districts that serve Scottsdale to plan for and secure school sites and facilities for the delivery of the best elementary and secondary educational programs achievable for the school-age children of the community.

Response: N/A

12. Ensure renewable, long-term water supplies for the community.

Response: N/A

13. Encourage the conservation of water and the reuse of wastewater.

Response: This will be enhanced through the City's existing ordinance requirements.

14. Meet or surpass all applicable water quality standards for domestic, commercial, and industrial uses.

Response: Development will comply with the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

# F. Advance Transportation

- i. Community Mobility
  - 1. Protect the function and form of regional air and land corridors.

Response: The streetscape, pedestrian linkages and non-vehicular circulation element developed for the Project ensures that the Scottsdale Road corridor will reflect the character that is synonymous with Scottsdale.

Additionally, perimeter landscaped setbacks will create a condition far superior to the existing condition. The quality landscaping to be placed in Rawhide Wash, the Scottsdale Road Scenic Corridor and the Project's open space will further contribute to the satisfaction of this goal.

2. Protect the physical integrity of regional networks to help reduce the number, length, and frequency of automobile trips, to improve air quality, reduce traffic congestion, and enhance quality of life and the environment.

Response: The proposed land use mix and corresponding densities are intended to reduce distance and frequency of trips, move people and goods efficiently though the transportation network improve regional transit systems, and encourage people to use non-vehicular means to travel about the Project.

3. Promote regional diversity and connectivity of mobility choices.

Response: The mixed-use nature of the Project reduces the stress typically seen on regional systems intended to connect people to regional employment centers. Additionally, by integrating the non-vehicular pathway system with the existing and proposed land uses on the perimeter of the Project, trip generation is reduced.

4. Prioritize regional connections to safely, effectively and efficiently move people, goods, and information beyond the city boundaries.

Response: Safe ingress and egress from the Project is a very important design aspect of the Project. An additional traffic signal at the main boulevard entry on Scottsdale Road will be considered in the traffic analysis being prepared for the Project. The appropriate timing and intervals created by properly synchronized traffic

signal systems will benefit the efficient flow people and goods through the Project and the adjoining street systems.

5. Relieve traffic congestion.

Response: The mixed-use, live, work and play character of the Project relieves traffic congestion by providing goods and services within a convenient distance for residents without having to ever leave the Project.

6. Optimize the mobility of people, goods, and information for the expected buildout of the city.

Response: The property is adjacent to arterial and collector streets on each side. This close relationship to the street system and the Projects ability to maximize the relationship provides opportunity to accommodate multimodel forms of transportation. Proper, balanced ingress/egress design will go a long way to achieve this goal.

7. Maintain Scottsdale's high aesthetic values and environmental standards in the city's transportation system.

Response: The City's Development Services
Department will ensure that appropriate and adequate
scenic corridors and open space amenities intended to
support alternative transportation (like bus shelters, bike
racks, etc.) are provided and reflect the quality associated
with Scottsdale.

8. Emphasize live, work, and play land use relationships to optimize the use of citywide systems and reduce the strain on regional and local/neighborhood systems.

Response: At 160 acres, the Property is ideal for a micro-master planned mixed-use community. Mixed-use

developments are encouraged in these setting when properly designed and integrated to capture trips and reduce traffic volume.

Being designed to be a traffic reliever, by capturing trips and providing goods and services in a single location, strain on the regional system is reduced.

9. Protect neighborhoods from negative impacts of regional and citywide networks.

Response: The entire Project has been designed to place the appropriate land uses in the proper locations. Residential uses are buffered by active and passive land uses while a network of interconnected streets and paths establish a user-friendly circulation element.

10. Encourage a diversity of links between neighborhood systems and with citywide and regional systems.

Response: The internal circulation element at the Project is intended to relate to the surrounding properties and tie the Project into the community. This is accomplished by identifying points of entry or "gateways" into and out of the Project. Specialty monuments, Project identification, landscaping, outdoor fixtures, art and other iconic elements will identify these gateways and reinforce the use of the non-vehicular circulation element.

11. Provide opportunities for building "community" through neighborhood mobility.

Response: Mobility plays a significant role in building a community. Typically well planned networks within a project are necessary to adequately move people, products and services throughout the community. The mixed-use character of the Project combined with superior site planning and design accomplishes this goal.

12. Recognize the diversity of neighborhoods throughout the city and their different mobility needs.

Response: The neighborhood diversity reflected in the Project supports the development of alternative mobility networks. Furthermore, given the housing element intended to serve the senior living market, special consideration will be paid to promote their interaction with the balance of the community.

The inter-generational design incorporated within the Project goes a long way to satisfy this goal.

## III. Conclusion/Summary

The General Plan establishes comprehensive criteria intended to determine the appropriateness of land use proposals in the context of neighborhood, community and citywide goals. The request to amend the general plan from Cultural/Institutional to Mixed-Use is meritorious and, when analyzed in the context of the goals of the General Plan meets and exceeds its criteria.

By following the guiding principles, goals and approaches of the General Plan, the Project accomplishes an integration of residential, retail and office uses to create a micro-community that provides a high standard of living.

The Project will be designed so people can actually live, work and play without consideration of their automobiles. The overall design guidelines will be comprehensive and honor Scottsdale's long tradition of architectural excellence – truly creating a "sense of place".

The Project will generate significant revenue's for the City and create employment opportunities. The Project will contribute significant revenues to the City of Scottsdale's General Fund as well as create jobs that result in City income. While the Project will be a significant revenue generator, it will also create an area within the City that addresses cultural and tourism needs.


The Project is site planned so as to pay careful attention to the Rawhide Wash and the property owned by the Arizona State Land Department ("ASLD").

The Project is compatible with surrounding land uses and provides appropriate buffering, transitioning, open space, streetscapes and functional relationships between communities.

The master planned, mixed-use character of the Project blends housing, employment and recreational opportunities that support one another while creating a built environment with breathtaking streetscapes, architecture and amenities.


An extensive community outreach program and team has been assembled specifically for the Project. Unsurpassed levels of communication will be employed to inform the community and to incorporate their ideas into the Project.

Overall, the Project's ability to meet the goals of the General Plan, the integration of varied housing product and land uses, combined with the characteristics of the Property and its' relationship to existing infrastructure, and developments, justifies the General Plan amendment.




Silverstone

7-GP-2005



**ATTACHMENT #2A** 

## **General Plan (Existing)**







McDowell Sonoran Preserve (as of 8/2003)

Recommended Study Boundary of the McDowell Sonoran Preserve

- - City Boundary



Adopted by City Council October 30, 2001 Ratified by Scottsdale voters March 12, 2002 revised to show McDowell Sonoran Preserve as of May 2004 revised to reflect General Plan amendments through June 2004 7-GP-2005 ATTACHMENT #3

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 6764**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, ADOPTING AN AMENDMENT TO THE GENERAL PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, TO AMEND THE LAND USE ELEMENT FROM CATEGORY CULTURAL/INSTITUTIONAL OR PUBLIC USE TO CATEGORY MIXED USE NEIGHBORHOODS FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF PINNACLE PEAK ROAD & SCOTTSDALE ROAD.

WHEREAS, the City Council, through its members and staff, has solicited and encouraged public participation in the development of the General Plan amendment, consulted and advised with public officials and agencies as required by Arizona Revised Statutes Section 9-461.06, transmitted to the City Council and submitted a review copy of the general plan amendment proposal to each agency required by ARS Section 9-461.06 and all persons or entities who made a written request to receive a review copy of the proposal, and considered comments concerning the proposed amendment and alternatives; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has held a remote public hearing at the Via Linda Senior Center on August 24, 2005 and another hearing on September 28, 2005 concerning the General Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the City Council, has held a public hearing on October 24, 2005, and has incorporated, whenever possible, the concerns expressed by all interested persons; and

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Council hereby amends the General Plan Land Use, Element for the City of Scottsdale, for the property located at the southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak Road & Scottsdale Road from Category Cultural/Institutional or Public Use to Category Mixed Use Neighborhoods.

Section 2. That the above amendment is described in Case No. 7-GP-2005, (relating to zoning case 15-ZN-2005) and on Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated by this reference.

Page 1 of 2

Section 3. That copies of this General Plan amendment shall be on file in the Office of the City Clerk, located at 3939 Civic Center Boulevard, Scottsdale, Arizona.


PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona this 24 day of October, 2005.

ATTEST:	CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, an Arizona municipal corporation
By: Carolyn Jagger City Clerk	By: Mary Manross Mayor
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	

Deboran Robberson

**Acting City Attorney** 

## **General Plan (Proposed)**



Rural Neighborhoods Suburban Neighborhoods Urban Neighborhoods

Mixed-Use Neighborhoods Resorts/Tourism

Shea Corridor
Mayo Support District
Regional Use District


Commercial

Office Employment

Natural Open Space


Developed Open Space (Parks)

Developed Open Space (Golf Courses)
Cultural/Institutional or Public Use



Recommended Study Boundary of the McDowell Sonoran Preserve

- - City Boundary





7-GP-2005 Exhibit 1

## Criteria for a Major Amendment to the 2001 Scottsdale General Plan (City Council approved 10/30/01)

Scottsdale's Mission: In guiding the formation of the major amendment criteria, it is important to consider the major mission elements of the city, these being –

- a. Preserve Scottsdale's unique southwestern character,
- b. Plan for and manage growth in harmony with the natural desert surroundings,
- c. Promote the livability of the community,
- d. Enhance and protect neighborhoods, and
- e. Ensure and sustain the quality of life for all residents and visitors.

Proposed changes to the land use element of the city's General Plan that compromise the spirit and intent of these mission statements will qualify for consideration as a major amendment to the General Plan.

Scottsdale's Land Use Element: It is important that as proposals are considered in regard to the following criteria that the values and structure of the land use element be used as a guide. These values are an important part of the city's land use plan:

- a. Land uses should respect the natural and man-made environment,
- b. Land uses should provide for an unsurpassed quality of life for both its citizens and visitors,
- c. Land uses should contribute to the unique identity that is Scottsdale,
- d. Land uses should contribute to the building of community unity and cohesiveness,
- e. Land uses should work in concert with transportation systems in order to promote choice and reduce negative impacts upon the lifestyle of citizens and the quality of the environment,
- f. Land uses should be balanced in order to allow for the community to provide adequate live, work and play opportunities, and
- g. Land uses should provide opportunities for the design of uses to fit and respect the character, scale and quality of uses that exist in the community.

It is recognized that a proposed change of land uses within any given portion of the city may have a substantial impact upon the balance of land uses within the city as a whole. The General Plan Land Use Element was formulated and adopted with full consideration of the character and balance of land uses that are appropriate within all distinct areas of the city. Beyond this level of consideration, the plan considers the relationships between and among the various planning areas and studies that have helped to build the plan. This leads to a balance and pattern of land uses that reflects the community's values, aspirations and the city's stated mission.

Character of Land Uses: A change in the planned land uses may have a substantial impact upon the city by transforming the character of the land uses within a given planning area. The character of the land uses may be indicated by the physical intensity of the use in terms of massing, height or relationships between uses; the blending of different types of uses and the patterns and scale inherent to each; or the relative amount, type and placement of open spaces. Significant changes in the established land use character will be considered in determining whether or not a proposal is a major amendment.

Criteria: An amendment to the Land Use Element of Scottsdale's General Plan shall be defined as a major amendment if it meets any one of the following criteria:

## 1. Change in Land Use Category

A change in the land use category on the land use plan that changes the land use character from one type to another as delineated in the following table:

	To:	Group '	Group B	Group C	Group D	Group E
From:	Land Use Plan Category					
Group A	Rural Neighborhoods		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Group	Natural Open Space Suburban		ļ	Yes	Yes	Yes
В	Neighborhoods					
	Developed Open Space					
	Cultural/ Institutional or Public Use					
Group C	Urban Neighborhoods	Yes				Yes
	Resorts/Tourism			<u> </u>		
Group D	Neighborhood Commercial	Yes	Yes			Yes
	Minor Office					
·	Minor Employment	<u> </u>				
Group E	Commercial	Yes	Yes			
	Office					
	Employment					
	Mixed Use	:				,
	Regional Use Overlay					

### 2a. Area of Change Criteria


A change in the land use designation that includes the following gross acreages:

\* Planning Zones A, B

10 acres or more

\* Planning Zone C1, C2, D and E

15 acres or more



### 2b. Acreage Criteria Overriding Incentives

Certain exceptions to these criteria (2a.) are considered to be in the interest of the general public and in keeping with the mission and values of the community. A proposal that includes any of the following conditions will not be considered as a major amendment:

- A property owner initiated decrease in the residential land use category of units planned by the land use element, or
- A proposal for a change in the land use designation that results in no increase in the planned number of dwelling units and includes at least 30% more Natural Area Open Space than is required by the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Ordinance for the property and that is:
  - of substantial size, that being at least seven or more contiguous acres, and protected in such a manner so as to be designated with the land use category of Natural Area Open Space
  - and where such open space protects sensitive natural features and is visually and/or physically accessible to the general public and does not include lands contained within scenic corridors or vista corridors, or
- A proposal to change the land use category to Cultural/Institutional with a municipal, public school or non-profit cultural facility when such a proposed facility is not adjacent to a single-family land use designation (Rural or Suburban Neighborhoods) or does not share direct access to any street that has single-family residential driveway access within one half (1/2) mile of the proposal.
- A proposal within the Downtown Plan area that maintains the same development standards type (e.g. Type 1, Type 1.5 or Type 2) and contains no more than fifteen (15) gross acres or less.

#### 3. Character Area Criteria

Character areas have been added to the city's planning process in order to recognize and maintain the unique physical, visual and functional conditions that occur in distinct areas across the community. The city recognizes that these form a context that is important to the lifestyle, economic well being and long term viability of the community. These areas are identified by a number of parameters including but not limited to building scale, open space types and patterns, age of development and topographic setting.

If a proposal to change the land use category has not been clearly demonstrated by the applicant to comply with the guidelines and standards embodied within an approved character area plan it will be considered as a major amendment. (Note: The character area plans that qualify for consideration as of November, 2000 include the Desert Foothills Plan, Dynamite Foothills Plan, Cactus Corridor Plan and Downtown Plan.)

4. Water/Wastewater Infrastructure Criteria
If a proposal to change the planned land use category results in the premature increase in the size of a master planned water transmission or sewer collection facility it will qualify as a major amendment.

If a project applicant wishes to appeal the designation of a General Plan major amendment, the Comprehensive Planning Division Planning Director, or the position equivalent, will evaluate the appeal and make a major amendment determination.

## NEIGHBORHOOD INVOLVEMENT and CITIZEN OUTREACH Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak

August 18, 2005 Case # 7-GP-2005, 15-ZN-2005, 13-UP-2005

#### Overview

This neighborhood involvement plan is being performed in association with a Major General Plan amendment request, a rezoning request, and use permit request for a the redevelopment of 160 acres located at the southeast corner of Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads. RHVT and its design team have created a project that is a master planned community based on traditional planning principles inspired by historic Arizona towns and pre-WWII neighborhoods.

The entire project team is sensitive to the importance of neighborhood involvement and creating a relationship with property owners, residents, business owners, homeowners associations, and other interested parties. Communication with these parties is ongoing throughout the process. Work on compiling stakeholders and preparing for the neighborhood outreach began prior to the application filing and will continue throughout the process. Communication with impacted and interested parties will take place with verbal, written, electronic, and door-to-door contact.

#### **Community Involvement**

We have identified and made initial contact with individuals and interested groups regarding the General Plan Amendment and Rezoning requests:

- Coalition of Pinnacle Peak Homeowners Association
- Bella Vista Homeowners Association
- Los Portones Homeowners Association
- Los Gatos Homeowners Association
- Village at Sonoran Hills Homeowners Association
- Los Portones Homeowners Association
- Talara Homeowners Association
- The Premiere at Pinnacle Peak Homeowners Association
- Desert Shadows Middle School Principal Dr. Sam Cianfrano
- Paradise Valley School District
- Major property owners in the area (Giant Industries, PPOP LLC)
- Individual business owners within the notice area

Representatives of the neighborhood outreach team attended the City of Scottsdale's General Plan Open Houses and held their Open House for neighbors regarding the General Plan Amendment, Zoning Case, and Use Permit on Wednesday, August 10 in the multi purpose room at La Mirada Park. Surrounding property owners and other interested parties were noticed via first class mail within one mile of the proposed project. The notice contained

information regarding the Open House, the project, and contact information to obtain additional information and feedback (see attached letter, labels, and map).

The open house meeting was attended by 68 people (see attached sign in sheets) who were all interested in the project. Residents were appreciative of the outreach effort and the plans on display. Many people are in support of the project evidenced by the nearly fifty signatures on our petition of support. Concerns voiced by a few people were about traffic infrastructure and density.

Meetings have already been held with the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak leadership, the Paradise Valley School District, Los Gatos, and the Pinnacle Peak Country Club Homeowner's Association. Meetings are also scheduled with the Bella Vista Homeowner's Association, Los Portones, La Vista Neighborhood Association, and Pinnacle Peak Estates. In addition, the outreach team is going door to door to show the site plan to the neighboring businesses. As we make presentations on the proposed General Plan and Rezoning cases we are making neighbors aware of the proposed senior care facility and the use-permit request.

A vital part of the outreach process is to allow people to express their concerns and understand issues and attempt to address them in a professional and timely matter. As previously stated the entire team realizes the importance of the neighborhood involvement process and is committed to communication and outreach for the project.

Attachments:

City Major General Plan Open House Sign In Sheets

One Mile Notification Area Map

Neighborhood Meeting Notification Letter

One Mile Notification List

Open House Sign in Sheets & Comment Cards

	Pla	ace to	enand 1	my it	vto.			
	The	ank y	יטט					
				•				
:al Solutions ● 361	0 N. 44* Street	#240 Phoenix	, AZ 85018 • P	hone: (602)	957-3434	• Fax: (602) 9:	i5-4505 ● Em	ail: Info@technicalsoluti
				<b></b>			<del></del>	
				ERST				
			Veighborl	hood L	aput C		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<b>A</b>
PRINT NAME		ah	Veighbori Melss	hood I	aput C	MY WE		DAUGHTEAL,
NDDRESS _	7736	nah E	Veighbori Neisc Reab	1 bood 527 606	aput C	MY WE		DAUGHTEAL,
حــ	7736	nah E	Veighbori Neisc Reab	1 bood 527 606	aput C	MY WE		
NDDRESS _	7736	nah E	Veighbori Neisc Reab	1 bood 527 606	aput C	MY WE		
DDRESS HONE LEASE TELL	7736 80-58	THOUGHT	Veighbori Velsa Veolo 840 e	MAIL _	TO CITY S	NY NE	E PROPO	SED PROJECT:

PRINT NAME Lue	- alous	nay		
ADDRESS 765	2 Los La	tos Dr. CITY		ZIP FS251
PHONE 480-47	3-9878	EMAIL Stow	nen Bun	relevent.
			. / /	<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>
DI EARC TELL LIE VOLED	THOUGHTE & CIT	COESTIONS SEON		10050 DD0 154T
PLEASE TELL US YOUR	A D	SGESTIONS REGAL	RDING THE PRO	POSED PROJECT:
lesse Mg	- 11	eig ine	, out	ings of
low as f	ossible.	along ,	Out 400	mary
faces Not.	do off	of Steller	R.	
	00 0			
····				
hnical Solutions • 3610 N. 44th Street #	1240 Phoenix -4.7 85018	• Phone: (602) 957-3434 •	Fav: (602) 955,4505 a	Email: Info@tachnicalsolutions
ILINOM CONTROLS - 30 10 11. 44. Guest a	PETO FINGEIM, PE GOOTG	- Friding. (002) 307-0-104 •	Pax. (002) 500-1000 •	Ettian, Mildigipelli mesiodiduella
•				
٠			•	
	SII	VERSTONE		
•		rhood Input Ca	ırd	
PRINT NAME CARO	2 17/1/00/	·		
100.0	- 1-00c	-10 W	20	95055
ADDRESS	E. VERBE			ZIP 33233
PHONE (480) 326	-2736	EMAIL CARO	17 · D1770	MO COXINE
				,
PLEASE TELL US YOUR T	THOUGHTS & SUG	GESTIONS REGAR	DING THE PROI	POSED PROJECT:
	,			- 4
Thank	Nou lo	1 the ent	omation	Please
Thank	you for	1 the inf	omation	Please
Thank keep me	you for	the inf	new de	relapments
Reep me	you for updated	the inf Thanh	new de	Please velapments
Thank keep me	you fo updated	the inf Thanh	new de	Please velapments Della
Thank keep me	you fo updated	the inf Thanh	new de	Please velapments Della

Neighborhood input Card
PRINT NAME KODIO KUSSELL
ADDRESS 8912 8. PINNAND Peak # CITY Scatterful ZIP 85255
FRANE 482-473-834) EMAIL RPUSSELL Ranch Part Com
PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
Plane action Caris a street and all of and and of the front of the
Bhushida 100 de la conse chille de
Alle and the first of the day
ausopping the pictif and the
John Li
$\mathcal{A}^{-}$
, and the state of
Technical Solutions ■ 3610 N. 44® Street #240 Phoenix, AZ 85018 ● Phone: (602) 957-3434 ● Fax: (602) 955-4505 ● Email: Info@technicalsolutionsaz.com
CH MEDCEONE
SILVERSTONE Neighborhood Input Card
PRINT NAME Camille Schmidt
ADDRESS 19868 N. 844 Way CITY Scottsdele ZIP 8525
PHONE (480) 502-1990 EMAIL CAMISCHMILT & COX. NET
PHONE (480) 302-1770 EMAIL CLARL SCHMICK ( COX. 1181
PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
The portion of land allotted to municipal
use should be used for appaloosa hibrary.
the northern part of the city 1/2 of the city few rup
is without an easily acrossible facility.
especially between Scottsdale and Pina Roots
The bond for building the library was afflored
5 mariago. It is time!
a years ago, or a raise.

PRINT NAME	N. J. RELMS
ADDRESS 2	13205 N. CHURCH R) CITY SCOTTSDARE ZIP 85255 0-419-5963 EMAIL
PHONE 48	0-419-5963 FMAIL
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	·
	•
	L US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
	OT IN FAVOR OF SUCH A HIGH DENSITY PLAN
We (THE	E COMMUNEY) DO NOT NEED THIS AND TRAFFIC
INFRAS	PRICTURE WILL SUFFER EVEN MORE THAN TODY
LEAVE	17 20 NO AS 15.
balaal Caludiana - 764/	0 N. 44* Street #240 Phoentx, AZ 85018 ● Phone: (602) 957-3434 ● Fax: (602) 955-4505 ● Email: Info@technicalsolutions
mical composis = 20 II	JN, 44" Subat #240 Filodius, AZ 60016 4 Filotic (902) 501-5454 4 Fax: (902) 505-4003 4 Elitais; sho@lectinoasolutors
•	
	SILVERSTONE
	Neighborhood Input Card
PRINT NAME	Michael Laffey
ADDRESS	1256 E. Desat Vista CITY Sciettslate ZIP 85255
PHONE	50-242-7447 EMAIL M+ laffey@ Lotmail. Com
	•
PLEASE TELL	US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
1 m w	trested in both retail opportunities as well as a
	tid property at this bution. Very nice site pla
***	

PHONE	180-585-4	442	EMAIL	SPICEY	men@Hota.
PLEASE TELL	. US YOUR THO	UGHTS & SU	GGESTIONS R	REGARDING TH	E PROPOSED PROJEC
				,,_,,_,,,_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
/ paks	GREAT!				
	<del></del>	<u> </u>		<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			·		
cal Solutions • 3610	N. 44th Street #240 Ph	oenix, AZ 85018 •	Phone: (602) 957-	3434 • Fax: (602) 955	-4505 ● Email: Info@technicalsol
, · <del> </del>					
ī					
		STI	VERSTO	NE.	
	1		rhood Inp	•	
PRINT NAME	Valerie.	No S			
	026.Sa		CITY	Ilabi Z.	ZIP 85255
PHONE 48		,		. ————	
PHONE 40	211 2	27	EMAIL VO	ACTUNES.	4, x02 9 Kron
PLEASE TELL	US YOUR THOU	IGHTS & SUG	GESTIONS R	v EGARDING THI	E PROPOSED PROJECT
_	ntenetry		,		
^	- (°)	III.	(N)		
1 100 01-	h. 100	Aic =	4 0 comes	7 4 NOV	nagemen
Cincu					

PRINT NAME			_		
ADDRESS	3500 E	Lariat	ha CITY	<u>Sc</u>	ZIP 85255
PHONE <u>480</u>	-5/5-0:	2/0	EMAIL		
I like to		le feel active of	of the ac		HE PROPOSED PROJECT: Ithough, I would
eleal Palutiera - 8045 h	(1 1 1 M AL 1 2010 ML		Dhase, 1000, 000 5 11	. P (666) P.	er aros - Emple lataros - 1 1 1 1 1 1
nicai solutions • 3610 A	N. 44 <sup>a</sup> Street #240 PN	IGERIX, AZ, 65U18 ●	Priorie: (802) 957-343	34 ● Fax: (502) 9:	55-4505 • Email: Info@technicalsolutio
			·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			<del></del>		
		SIII.	VERSTONI	₹.	
			VERSTONI		
	0	Neighbor	rhood Input		
PRINT NAME _	PAUL	Neighbor	rhood Input		
PRINT NAME _	PAUL 1060 N. C	Neighbor	rhood Input	Card	AZZIP & S 260
ADDRESS _//	060 N. C	Neighbor R. Wess 1940-Sr.	city	Card	AZZIP 85260
PRINT NAME	060 N. C	Neighbor R. Wess 1940-Sr.	city	Card	AZZIP 85260
ADDRESS	1060 N. C 1-860-234	Neighbor R. Wess 1940 Sr. 20(0)	city	Sets!	
ADDRESS	1060 N. C 1-860 - 234 IS YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  90 (0)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Saturd Saturd Sarding Th	IE PROPOSED PROJECT:
ADDRESS	1060 N. C 1-860 - 234 IS YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  90 (0)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Saturd Saturd Sarding Th	IE PROPOSED PROJECT:
PLEASE TELL U	1060 N. C 1-860 - 230 15 YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  PO (9)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Sotal  Sarding The	HE PROPOSED PROJECT:
PLEASE TELL U	1060 N. C 1-860 - 234 IS YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  PO (9)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Sotal  Sarding The	HE PROPOSED PROJECT:
PLEASE TELL U	1060 N. C 1-860 - 230 15 YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  PO (9)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Sotal  Sarding The	IE PROPOSED PROJECT:
PLEASE TELL U	1060 N. C 1-860 - 230 15 YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  PO (9)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Sotal  Sarding The	HE PROPOSED PROJECT:
PLEASE TELL U	1060 N. C 1-860 - 230 15 YOUR THOU	Neighbor  R. Wess  940 Sr.  PO (9)  UGHTS & SUGG	CITY  EMAIL  GESTIONS REC	Sotal  Sarding The	HE PROPOSED PROJECT:

PRINT NAME Maxins Fruttin
ADDRESS 7660 & LOS GOLOS CITY 2014 Edale ZIP 85255
PHONE 480-538.1166 EMAIL MAXINE CONFRUNCION
PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  Would like to be informed of groing preetings  and any changes to plan  am very concerned about amount of traffic  being both to miller exid
Technical Solutions ● 3610 N. 44th Street #240 Phoenix, AZ 85018 ● Phone: (602) 957-3434 ● Fax: (602) 955-4505 ● Email: Info@technicalsolutionsaz.com
SILVERSTONE  Neighborhood Input Card  PRINT NAME Stephen Plunkett
ADDRESS 22415 N CA SONDA CITY SZA ZIP 85-451
PHONE 480 502 41406 EMAIL SAPLUMENT O COX, not
PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  Kepp At least 75/15cp menians  Linit of eliminate grass at 50 ctc  "green" buildings? Some signts at 2

DDRESS 8001 E WILLIAMS CITY SCOTTS DALE ZIP 85255 HONE 480 585 7089 EMAIL /AND TO TOPE FORES QUESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  YOU ARE ARRESTED A NEGATION. IMPACT ON His  - for much density, too high, too much traffic too mony stores - Not Neves 37Ry - We don't	PRINT NAME	Poter	Spier				
PHONE 602.882 2322  EMAIL peter give a place.  PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT  (e.k.) g'at!  SILVERSTONE  Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME Linds Benedat!  DDRESS 8001 E With and S CITY Scortsone Dybon. C  HONE 1860 SS 7089  EMAIL Mis 5 PROPOSED PROJECT:  (for the grange of the grange of the proposed project:  (for the grange of grange of the grange of grange of the grange of	ADDRESS _	K245 N.	65th Plan	u	_ CITY _	Sukhal	ZIP \$5254
SILVERSTONE  Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME  LINDS PEARED Att  DORESS 8001 E WILLIAMS CITY Scotts Date ZIP 85255  HONE ASS 7089  EMAIL INDO REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  LOW ME ARD ROSING A NEAR TWY, IMPACT ON THIS  LOW ME ARD ROSING A NEAR TWY, IMPACT ON THIS  LOW MEN ARD ROSING A NEAR TWY, IMPACT ON THE ROSING ARD ROSING AND THE R	PHONE 4	2.882-	2322	EMAIL	peter	- syin e	Jahos -
SILVERSTONE  Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME Linds Beared ett!  DDRESS 8001 E WILL AMS CITY Scotts DALE ZIP 85255  HONE 480 585 7089 EMAIL /MS & PROFORDS (2 YARDO). Co  LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  HOW ARE ARD ROSING A NEGATIVE, impact on this  - for much density, too high, too much tenffic		L US YOUR T					•
SILVERSTONE  Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME Linds Bearedest!  DORESS 8001 E WILL AMS CITY SCOTTSDALE ZIP 85255  HONE 480 585 7089 EMAIL /NO 5 724 LORES Q YEBOO LO  EASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  YOU ARE ARD ROSING A NEGATIVE, IMPACT ON HIS  Too much density, too high, too much tensfiil  TO MONY Stores - Not Necessary - We don't							
SILVERSTONE  Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME Linds Beared ett!  DORESS 8001 E WILL AMS CITY Scotts DALE ZIP 85255  HONE 480 585 7089 EMAIL /MS & REFORMS (2 YARDO). Co  EASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  YOU ARE ARRESTED & Negative, impact on this  for much density, too high, too much tenffic	al Solutions ● 361	0 N. 44 <sup>th</sup> Street #24	10 Phoenix, AZ 850	) 118 • Phone: (6)	02) 957-3434 «	• Fax: (602) 955-450	5 ● Email: Info@technicalsol
Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME LINDS BEARD Att I SCOTTS DALE ZIP 85255  HONE 180 585 7089 EMAIL / MO & TOTALE ZIP 85255  LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  LOW FRE PROPOSIONS & Negative, impact on this  - for much density, too high, too much tenffic  Too much stores - Not Necessary - We don't	51 CO1GDD11G - CU 1	A 111 4 4 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- 1 11001md 001		,	. 54 (004) 000 140	a
Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME Linds Bearedett!  DDRESS 8001 E. WILLIAMS CITY Scotts DALE ZIP 85255  HONE 180 585 7089 EMAIL / Miss Totales Co yahon . C.  LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  This was proposing a Negative impact on this  - for much density too high, too much tenffic  Too much stores - Not Necessary - We don't						<del></del>	
Neighborhood Input Card	~					<del></del>	
Neighborhood Input Card  RINT NAME Linds Bearedett!  DDRESS 8001 E WILLIAMS CITY Scotts DALE ZIP 85255  HONE 480 585 7089 EMAIL /NO 5750 FORCES Q YAKOO. C.  LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  JOHN ARE ARD FOSING A NEGATIVE. IMPACT ON His  - foo much density too high, too much tenffic  JOHN STORES - Not Neves SPRY - We don't					A- NV - 4 - P - NV	<u> </u>	
DDRESS 8001 E WILLIAMS CITY SCOTTSDALE ZIP 85255 HONE 180 585 7089 EMAIL 1200 TOTALORES QUEBOO . C. LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  YOU ARE proposing a Negative impact on this  - too reach density, too high, too much tensfiil  too many stores - Not Nevessary - We don't			Si	ILVERS	TONE		
DDRESS 8001 E WILLIAMS CITY SCOTTSDALE ZIP 85255 HONE 480 585 7089 EMAIL /Mist TRAFFORMS Q YABON. C LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  HOW ARE proposing A Negative, impact on this  - too much density, too high, too much tensfii  too many stores - Not nevessary - We don't					·	ard	
HONE 180 585 7089 EMAIL / MO & FRATORES @ YABON . C.  LEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:  YOU ARE proposing a Negative, impact on this  - foo reach density, too high, too much tenffic  too mony stores - Not necessney - We don't	RINT NAME	Linan	Neighb	borhood	·	ard	
from the proposing & Negative, impact on this - too much density too high, too much tensities too movey stores - Not necessing - We don't	'		Neight Beare	borhood: detti	Input C	<del> </del>	Le ZIP 85255
for the proposing & Negative, impact on this - too much density, too high, too much traffic too many stories - Not neversing - We don't	DDRESS	8001 E	Neight Beare Will in	detti ms	CITY	Scottson	
- for much density, too high, too much tenssie	DDRESS HONE <u>480</u>	8001 E 585 7	Neight Bearce WILL IN	detti ms EMAIL	CITY	CO++5DA	s @ yahoo : C
to MONY STORES - NOT NECESSARY - WE don't	DDRESS	8001 E 585 7 US YOUR TH	Neight Beare  WILL  089  OUGHTS & SL	Dorhood  Dett	CITY O	Sco + + 5 Dx.	S C YRha . C
precey stones - NOT NECESSTRY - WE GONT.	DDRESS	8001 E 585 7 US YOUR THO	Neight  Beare  OLLIA  089  OUGHTS & SL	Dorhood  detti  ms  EMAIL  JGGESTION	CITY ON REGAR	SCO + 4 SDA TO TO PERE RDING THE PR IMPRO	OPOSED PROJECT:
Perceny store on each corner!	DDRESS	SOOI E 585 7 US YOUR THO LOS POR	Neight  Beare  ONLINE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE	Dorhood  dest    m 5  EMAIL  JEGESTION  LOCAT  HOO TO	CITY _S	ECO + + SDA PROPOSITIONE IMPOS FOR MUCH	OPOSED PROJECT:
	DDRESS HONE	8001 E 585 7 US YOUR THO LECT OF	Neight  Bearce  ONLY  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ONE  ON	Dorhood  Dest    Dest	CITY _S /NS REGAR +10'C, Ne ees	SCO + 1 SDA PROPOSITIONE RDING THE PR IMPRO FOR MUCH	OPOSED PROJECT:

PRINT NAME JOE KINSS
ADDRESS RO16 Fact Sunde Dr. CITY Sottschole ZIP 85 255
PHONE (480) 419-28-99 EMAIL CRIMSON KROS @ aclicom
PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
1) Townhouse population impact on hood schools, especial Principle feal Elementury which is put of the P.V.
Pinnacle Peak Elementery which is put of the P.V.
School Systems
2) My wife, Tracy Kross, is on the Home Owner
Associ for Pinnoole Peak Estater II Please Stud UPchates to her e-mail - throsse coxinet
Uldates to her e-mail - throsse coxinet
Technical Solutions ● 3610 N. 44 <sup>th</sup> Street #240 Phoenix, AZ 85018 ● Phone: (602) 957-3434 ● Fax: (602) 955-4505 ● Email: info@technicalsolutionsaz.
SILVERSTONE
Neighborhood Input Card
PRINT NAME Linia (AUG: Tehead
ADDRESS 9681 5 Chuckwasonetty Sdale zip 85062
PHONEEMAIL
PLEASE TELL US YOUR THOUGHTS & SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
This proposal is DC Rarch or
Steroidal



July 25, 2005

#### Dear Neighboring Property Owner:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that applications have been made to the City of Scottsdale to amend the General Plan and Zoning designations for the approximately 160 acre parcel located at the southeast corner of Scottsdale and Pinnacle Peak Roads. Rawhide Western Theme Park currently leases the property from RHVT Limited Partnership (RHVT) and will be relocating November 2005. RHVT is proposing to change the land use designation from Cultural/Institutional to Mixed Use. The rezoning consists of changing from the current Western Theme Park Zoning District to a Planned Community Development with underlying zoning consisting of Central Business District and R-5 Multiple-Family Residential District.

RHVT has proposed a well designed blended master planned community including office, employment, retail and multi-generational residential uses. Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak will be a high end master development that creates a sense of place and sets the standard for future development in the area.

We will be holding a Neighborhood Open House on Wednesday, August 10, 2005, from 6:00 PM to 7:00 PM in the Multi Use Room of La Mirada Desert Park, located at 8950 E. Pinnacle Peak Road for those who are interested in receiving additional information regarding the details of this project. If you will be unable to attend the Open House but would still like more information, please feel free to contact Terry Benson or Matthew Ludick with Technical Solutions at (602) 957-3434. Tim Curtis with the City of Scottsdale is the project coordinator for this project and he can be reached at (480) 312-4210.

Sincerely

Paul Smith President



July 29, 2005

Doris McClay
Planning Assistant
City of Scottsdale
7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

RE: SCOTTSDALE MAJOR GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS

Dear Ms. McClay:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the proposed General Plan amendment cases for this year. The city of Phoenix has reviewed the cases, specifically Camberlango Properties and Silverstone, which border Phoenix along Scottsdale Road. The city of Phoenix has no issues with the proposed land use modifications and we anticipate that the Mixed-Use designation will compliment and enhance the adjacent existing and proposed land uses in Phoenix.

If you need any further assistance, please contact Dean Brennan at (602) 262-4499.

Sincerely,

David E. Richert

Growth, Land Use, and State Land Manager

c:\documents and settings\itsosie\desktop\ssaenz\072905a\_scottsdale general amendment.doc

c: Dean Brennan

Alan Stephenson

file

From:

R. Craig Campbell [rcraigcampbell@cox.net]

Sent:

Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:30 AM

To:

tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov

Subject: Rawhide

Mr. Curtis.

While I am personally sorry to see Rawhide go, having lived in/been involved with the Pinnacle Peak area for 30-some years since 1973, I want you to know that I am in support of the Rawhide re-development project - - at least from what I have seen to date.

I am the President of a small residential community's HOA (Bella Vista @ PP Road & Miller), and as such, arranged a meeting for our HOA with Matt Ludick of Technical Solutions to learn as much as we could about the tentative plans for the area's redevelopment. As a result of his excellent presentation, we understood at that time, albeit early on, that the population density plan for the redevelopment was intended to be "medium to high density". Today, possibly due to association with Phoenix's development plans for the area just west of us across Scottsdale Rd., the area is being described by the Valley's press as "potentially one of the highest people-density-areas in the valley."

While no one wants their neighborhood to be disrupted with increasing density & the resulting extra auto traffic, I understand that this is the consequence of the attraction of our beautiful "place-in-the-sun". However, I plead with you to help insure that appropriate solid planning is devoted to the traffic handling & control facilities needed within this developing area. Please study carefully the paved-road-infrastructure currently available and/or needed in & around the intersection of Scottsdale Rd. & Pinnacle Peak Rd. IT IS NOT CURRENTLY CAPABLE OF HANDLING TODAY'S TRAFFIC during "rush hours", much less handling it as the thousands of new autos associated with the "new Rawhide homes" and their multi-family unit dwellings begin adding to our current traffic.

Please give the above your most careful consideration, and then please advise me of your intended plans. I will pass on to my members for their "informed information."

Not only would I appreciate your taking my comments into consideration, but also I would like to establish a considerable level of (email?) communication with you so as to be in the loop on what is being considered, evaluated, and/or approved. If you will agree to do that, I can then agree to present your proffered information to my group - - keeping them informed with fact rather than rumor.

Would you please let me know if you find this proposal workable, and/or if there is an easier way for you.

Sincerely, Craig Campbell Pres. Bella Vista HOA H: 480-538-5238 FX: 480-538-5239 C: 480/459/6810

From: Sent: donmcdougal33@cox.net

Thursday, September 01, 2005 2:49 PM

To:

tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov

Subject: future project at the Rawhide site

I am in support of the future project at the current Rawhide site. Our HOA was given a presentation by Matt Ludick of Technical Solutions and my wife and I understand it's a medium to high density project.

Sincerely, Don McDougal, Past President, Bella Vista HOA

Subject:

FW: Rawhide rezone - 177PA-2005

----Original Message----

From: ianvash@cox.net [mailto:ianvash@cox.net]

Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2005 3:31 PM To: ewallace@ci.scottsdale.az.us Subject: Rawhide rezone - 177PA-2005

Dear E Wallace,

Re: - 177PA-2005 SCOTTSDALE & PINNACLE PEAK MXD

I wish to be notified and consulted on this project as it progresses.

I am Board President of the Homeowners Association of 'Village @ Sonoran Hills'. Our community is situated on the North East corner of Williams and Miller.

We are generally supportive of this project, however we will be asking the City of Scottsdale to ensure that our interests are considered. This will be such things as placement of access roads, garbage hoppers, loading docks etc.

The setback on Miller should be maintained, especially as the setback across the street (east side of Miller north of Williams) has been reduced under project 1-BA-2005

We do hope that this project will accelerate completion of Miller as a divided highway and especially the installation of a traffic light at Williams/Miller.

Regards,

Ian M Smith
Board President.
Village @ Sonoran Hills.

From: CW Swanson [cwswanson@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2005 10:51 AM


To: tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov
Subject: Silverstone (Rawhide)

I have 2 concerns about the proposal for this property.

1. How will it fit with development plans for the Phoenix property across the street? I think that complimentary versus competitive development is best for everyone.

2. Several HOAs met with the developers PR people. I am concerned that we were misled. We were told that mixed-use would include shopping, offices, and a variety of residential densities. It now appears that they want to build only high density residential - 1,400 residences with the shopping and offices. I think patio homes would be more appropriate than condos for this area of Scottsdale. I don't think condos will withstand the test of time - people will discover that they don't like the stairs and noise associated with common walls. Patio homes provide housing for first-time home buyers as well as the older crowd wanting to downsize.

cws



## SCHOOL DISTRICT

Determination of Adequate Facilities

City of Scot	tsdale Project Number: - PA - Area in gray to be completed by Applic
•	e: RAWHIDE 5,TE
•	tion: Scottsdale Rd. & Pinnacle Peak
-	
	me: <u>James Lee</u> Phone: 45 602 - 867-51
Applicant E-r	mail: jilee Q. pvusd. kle. az. us Fax:
	strict: Paradise Valley Unified  nes Lee , hereby certify that the following determination has been made in
	the above referenced project:
×	The school district has adequate school facilities to accommodate the projected number of additional students generated by the proposed rezoning within the school district's attendance area; or
. 🗖	The school district will have adequate school facilities via a planned capital improvement to be constructed within one (1) year of the date of notification of the district and located within the school district's attendance area; or
. 🗖	The school district has determined an existing or proposed charter school as contracted by the district can provide adequate school facilities for the projected increase in students; or
	The applicant and the school district have entered into an agreement to provide, or help to provide, adequate school facilities within the school district's attendance area in a timely manner (a copy of said agreement is attached hereto); or
. 🗖	The school district does not have adequate school facilities to accommodate projected growth attributable to the rezoning.
Attache	ed are the following documents supporting the above certification:
•	Maps of attendance areas for elementary, middle and high schools for this location
	Calculations of the number of students that would be generated by the additional homes.
	School capacity and attendance trends for the past three years.
Or	
l,	, hereby request a thirty (30) day extension of the original discussion
and respo	Pare Lee Support Services Date  1/25/05  Date

## Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105 Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088

### McClay, Doris

From:

Meinhart, Robin

Sent:

Monday, September 26, 2005 8:54 AM

To: Cc: McClay, Doris Curtis, Tim

Subject:

FW: for input on the proposed Rawhide rezoning-#177-PA-2005

Doris, please include with public input/comment on this case. Thanks Robin

----Original Message-----

From: Terry [mailto:terrys202@cox.net] Sent: Friday, September 23, 2005 8:21 PM To: Meinhart, Robin

Cc: jfrankel@msn.com

Subject: Re: for input on the proposed Rawhide rezoning-#177-PA-2005

dear robin,

thank you for the information regarding the rezoning request by "silverstone at pinnacle peak".

Since i can not make the next public meeting on this subject, it would be appreciated if you could enter my comments & questions below in the meeting minutes requesting responses where indicated.

\* My interest & concern for the approval of the RHVT proposal is multi-fold:

My home is located at La Vista Community off Pinnacle Peak at 77th st. This is behind the Northeast corner (off Miller) across from Rawhide .

My property value is my Number 1 priority. Our 2nd priority is maintaining the population density at similar levels as they are today. The RHVT proposal appears to be in direct opposition to these 2 objectives.

The development of "Multigenerational residencial uses"? is a new way of disguising heavy density apartment buildings. The R-5 rezoning (or is it R-4 that their maps reflect) appears to represent multi-family rental apartments representing about 50% of the acreage of this "Property" and thus in in direct opposition to my 2 Priorities. Are any single family owned homes planned? Home ownership is the key to wanting property to increase in value & it creates a "sense of place" (This is the vague description that the RHVT Project Team uses in their Rezoning Request Narrative).

How can the City of Scottsdale allow RHVT (developers-the FOX in the Hen to engage (hire & pay) Cornerstone Consulting Company & Cushman Wakefield Real Estate to perform a Market Feasibility Study and totally rely on their findings. Whose Team are these, fully bought consulting firms, going to support? The property values of nearby home owners or the developer (the FOX in the Hen House). Certainly the later will be the main beneficiary of the suspect research, not the nearby community as a whole.

The City Of Scottsdale has a fiduciary responsibility to seriously question the findings of the paid for consultants and provide due diligence. Would any member of the City Council or Zoning Board want this Modified Master-Planned Project to be built in their neighborhood and negatively effect their property values and "sense of place" ?

## Richard Katz 10784 N. 101st Street

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

This will be a great asset to the north Scottsdale area to have a first class development with senior living mixed with shopping and fine dining.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for this new project.

Sincerely

## Colleen Katz 10784 N. 101st Street

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

## Tracy Stupi 22469 N. 79th Place

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

### Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing in favor of the Silverstone project in North Scottsdale. I believe that this project will truly uplift the area surrounding it. As a member of this community, I think that a project of this magnitude and quality would be a welcomed change. The Pinnacle Peak area will greatly benefit from the mix of residential, office, and commercial use buildings proposed in this project. I also believe that the architecture style will be very pleasing to the eye for all visitors and residents.

I strongly support the Silverstone project. I urge you to vote, support, or work in favor of this project entering into North Scottsdale. I can only see benefits to everyone affected by this project. Please support the Silverstone project for the surrounding community and for all Scottsdale residents.

### TERRY BENSON 11491 N. 131<sup>ST</sup> ST. SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85259

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

## Phil Benson 11491 N. 131<sup>st</sup> St. Scottsdale, AZ 85259

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

## Nan S. Berger 11420 E. Raintree Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

Nan 5. Den

## Carol Beaumont 1124 E. Raintree Dr. Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carol Beaumont

## **Dean Beaumont**

1124 E. Raintree Dr. Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am very excited about the proposed Silverstone Project in North Scottsdale. I am writing this letter in favor of the development. I have been a long time resident of the area and I am thrilled to learn that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible library site.

Please vote yes for this project.

Rhiannon Hertzberg 16305 N. 109<sup>th</sup> Way Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing this to let you know that I am very supportive of the proposed Silverstone Project.

This will be a great asset to North Scottsdale to have first class shopping, fine dining and signature office space coming to this area.

Please vote yes for this project.

flaming profes

Marc Hertzberg 16305 N. 109<sup>th</sup> Way Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing this to let you know that I am very supportive of the proposed Silverstone Project.

This will be a great asset to North Scottsdale to have first class shopping, fine dining and signature office space coming to this area.

Please vote yes for this project.

## Carolee S. Cruse 11887 E. Paradise Drive, Scottsdale, AZ 85259

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, Az 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing this to let you know that I am very supportive of the proposed Silverstone Project.

This will be a great asset to North Scottsdale to have first class shopping, fine dining and signature office space coming to this area.

Please vote yes for this project.

Dardee S. Gruse

THE HONORABLE MARY MANROSS SCOTTSDALE CITY COUNCIL 3939 DRINKWATER BLVD. SCOTTSDALE, AZ. 85251

TO YOUR HONOR;

HAVING BEEN A MAYOR I STILL ENJOY GOING BACK HOME AND HAVING SOME OF THE SENIOR CITIZENS STILL ADDRESS ME AS YOUR HONOR.

I HAVE BEEN A RESIDENT HERE SINCE 84 AND AT THE CLASSIC RESIDENCE FOR OVER 5 YEARS. MY WIFE AND I WERE ONE OF THE FIRST TO MOVE IN AND FIND THE PEACE OF MIND AND CARE MANAGED "ABSOLUTLY WITHOUT EQUAL"

A NEW CLASSIC RESIDENCE AT SILVERSTONE IS SURELY NEEDED AND THE LONG WAIT LIST AT THE CLASSIC IS SOLID EVIDENCE OF THE INTEREST AND APPRECIATION OF THE QUALITY OF CARE BY THE PLAZA CO. AND HYATT CO.

I AM ONLY ONE OF THE HUNDREDS OF SENIOR CITIZENS WHO CONSIDER THE APPROVAL OF THIS SITE AS ANOTHER UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY BY OUR CITY COUNCIL TO BUILD A BETTER FUTURE FOR SCOTTSDAL SENIOR CITIZENS.

HAVING SERVED AS THE FOUNDER OF OUR CLASSIC RESIDENCE FOUNDATION FOR 4 YRS. I KNOW FIRST HAND THE PRECIOUS BENEFITS THE PRESENT RESIDENCE ENJOY.

SHOULD YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FROM SOMEONE WITH NO AXE TO GRIND FEEL FREE TO CALL ME AT PRESCOTT 928-778-2201 UNTIL OCT. 1<sup>ST</sup>. AT SCOTTSDALE 480-585-4344

JOE LARSON 7501-E-THOMPSON PEAK PKWY. #316

SCOTTSDALE, AZ. 85255.

## Tomm N. Evans, M.D., F.A.C.O.G F.A.C.S. /501 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy. Unit 233 Scottsdale, Az. 85255-4533

September 13, 2005

The Honorable Mary Monross Scottsdale City Council 3939 Drinkwater Boulevard Scottsdale, AZ 85251

To Whom It May Concern:

My wife and I have lived at the Classic Residence, which was built by Hyatt and The Plaza Companies on Thompson Peak Parkway, since March 12, 2003 and were Scottsdale residents for 12 years before that. The Classic Residence is a luxury senior community.

It is our understanding that a new development (Silverstone) may include a similar institution. Please be assured that we and our many friends and neighbors would welcome such an addition.

Our home at Classic Residence has been terrific, and it certainly has been a superb asset to Scottsdale. We believe that we and others have felt fortunate to be here, but also have had a bit of guilt reaction about so many (now almost 300) who are on the waiting list to join us. The proximity to our current residence would be no problem and actually would be most welcomed by many of us at the Thompson Peak Classic Residence.

The tax base for Scottsdale would be enhanced substantially.

As a physician and former President of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, I have been greatly concerned about the care of our older citizens. The creations of Hyatt and The Plaza Companies seem to be an important step in the right direction.

If the Silverstone development can emulate our Thompson Peak home, then we all should be winners. The composition of residents here is fantastic and includes achievers in most professions with graduates of many major universities. These include artists (sculptor/painting), medicine and medical scientists and research institutions, retired senior officers of our military services, legal professionals, many areas of engineering and other physical sciences, a number of senior academicians in many areas, manufacturers, etc.

If there are any related questions to which I can help provide an answer, please know it will be a pleasure to respond.

Yours sincerely.

T.N. Evans, M.D.

September 8, 2005

The Honorable Mary Manrose Scottsdale City Council 3939 Drinkwater Boulevard Scottsdale, AZ 85251

### Dear Mayor Manrose:

This letter is in support of the proposed development by Classic Residence by Hyatt and the Plaza Companies at Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. We write from our personal experience as residents of the Classic Residence by Hyatt and the Plaza Companies, 7501 E. Thompson Peak Parkway. We moved here from Albuquerque, New Mexico in September 2001 for the precise reason of moving into a Continuing Care Residence Community (CCRC). We selected this new home after a careful study of seven such developments in other states.

We discovered from our search that Hyatt and The Plaza Companies are among a few pacesetters in the development of a paradigm for CCRC's. We are pleased to tell you this from our four years as residents at this Scottsdale CCRC.

My husband, a retired professor of philosophy-including medical ethics, and I, with a Masters in Public Health Planning with a Certificate in Urban Planning, can attest to our opinion. We believe that this proposed new development meets a vital need in the development of Scottsdale's growing population.

We wish you continued success in your tireless efforts to keep abreast of new and needed developments.

Sincerely yours,

Joseph F. Perz, PhD

Helen A. Perz, MPH

# Drs. Jane and Larry McGrath 7501 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy #224 • Scottsdale, AZ 85255 (480) 794-1610 • Fax (801) 697 1774

September 8, 2005

The Honorable Mary Manross Scottsdale City Council 3939 Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251

To Whom It May Concern:

We are among the fortunate few who make their home at Classic Residence at Grayhawk, Scottsdale's luxury life care community by Hyatt and the Plaza Companies.

We say "fortunate few" because it took three years for us to work our way up the waitlist and be offered the opportunity to join this wonderful community. We love our carefree lifestyle, enriched by the Hyatt Touch. We also treasure the security that this exceptional life care community provides.

Another reason we enjoy living at Classic Residence is our opportunity to be good neighbors and citizens. Our residents donate thousands of volunteer hours to Scottsdale medical, educational, and service organizations. In addition, each year our Classic Residence Foundation awards a number of college scholarships to staff members, many of whom live in Scottsdale.

Classic Residence has been 100% occupied since its opening and its waitlist continues to grow. Therefore, we think a similar community, offering comparable services and life care security would be a valuable addition to Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for Classic Residence at Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Jane 5 Larry McGrath

### Ann and Victor Phillips 7501 E. Thompson Peak Pky. #429 Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

The Honorable Mary Manross Scottsdale City Council 3939 Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251

To Whom It May Concern:

We moved from Ohio to Scottsdale in 1988. The primary attractions were the quality of lifestyle and the weather. We were faculty members at Miami University in Oxford, Ohio for many years, but the appeal of Scottsdale was stronger than any ties to the university community for our retirement years.

In October, 1999 we were among the first families to move into Classic Residence by Hyatt and The Plaza Companies. Classic is in keeping with Scottsdale as a superb place to live, not only its buildings and grounds but also the services and operating personnel. We are so happy to be here.

Not surprisingly, Classic has been 100% occupied for several years. Thus it seems to us that a similar place, namely Classic Residence at Silverstone, is needed.

Please consider this letter as our strong endorsement and approval of the proposed Silverstone development.

Sincerely,

Victor F. Phillips, Jr.

Professor of Management Emeritus

Miami University

Ann G Philling

University Librarian Emerita

Miami University

## JUDITH M. WELLER

23003 N. 77th Way, Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 16, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing to express my support for the redevelopment of Rawhide into Silverstone. My home is located in Bella Vista and am one of the closest single family residences to the project with a view of Rawhide. I am sorry to see Rawhide go as I have enjoyed their western theme park and spectacular fireworks shows. Silverstone will be a great addition to our area. I am also looking forward to having more restaurants and retail north of 101. I am glad the designers have chosen to go with a mixed use design. The property is surrounded by most commercial uses and I think that high end townhomes and condominiums will be ideal for the site. "Classic Residence" being a part of the project also speaks well to the kind of high end project I am expecting. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

If you have any questions regarding my support or would like to contact me, I can be reached at 480.515.1190.

Sincerely,

Judith Weller

### Harley Barnes 9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am writing this letter to show my support for the new development, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.

As a long time resident of north Scottsdale it is always nice to see an upscale development come to our community with shopping, dining and adult living.

Please give my letter consideration as my approval for this project.

Sincerely,

Jago E. Thropson Peak Pleny Juttodaly ag. 85255

### A.H. NEAL 10929 E. BETONY DR. SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members
3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

Sincerely,

10929 E

NZ

**852**55

### Sandra Barnes 9290 E. Thompson Peak Pkwy Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. I am a resident of Scottsdale and I think that this project fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Scottsdall, On. 85255

## Richard Gaynes 11001 E. Betony Drive Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible Library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,

1001 E. Bitomph. Sattodul, Cz 85255 Jane Huey 15945 N. 111<sup>th</sup> Way Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am in support of the proposed Silverstone project. The area will benefit from signature office space and the downtown core. It is also nice to hear that the developer is willing to donate land to the City for a possible Library site. North Scottsdale needs something closer than the Mustang Library. Please vote yes on Silverstone and fund a library for North Scottsdale soon.

Sincerely,

15945 N. 111th h

## Joy Shaw 10929 E. Betony Dr. Scottsdale, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members -3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am writing this letter to show my support for the new development, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road.

As a long time resident of north Scottsdale it is always nice to see an upscale development come to our community with shopping, dining and adult living.

Please give my letter consideration as my approval for this project.

Sincerely,

Joy Show 10937 E. Betonfled 5cottodale, Az. 85255

### Claudia Neal 10929 E. Betony Dr. Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

This will be a great asset to the north Scottsdale area to have a first class development with senior living mixed with shopping and fine dining.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for this new project.

Sincerely,

10929 €.

US525

### Kris Kober 20717 N. 83rd Place Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd ... Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council members:

I am writing this letter to let you know that I am very supportive of the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ.

This will be a great asset to the north Scottsdale area to have a first class development with senior living mixed with shopping and fine dining.

Please consider this letter a vote of approval for this new project.

Sincerely,

Settele, Aujore

### KATHY GAYNES 11001 E. BETONY DR. SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

September 12, 2005

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and City Council Members,

I am very excited about the new development Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak. I am writing this letter in favor of this development at Scottsdale Road and Pinnacle Peak Road, Scottsdale, AZ. I have been a long time resident of the north Scottsdale and it is wonderful to see all the new developments coming to our area.

Please consider this letter as my approval for such a great project.

Sincerely.

JATHON GAYNES 11001 E. RETONY DR. SLOTTEDIAIR, AZ 85255

### Barbara Kober 20717 N. 83rd Place Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Mayor and City Council Members 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

I am writing you to express my support for the Silverstone project. I am a resident of Scottsdale and I think that this project fits the area. It is already surrounded by mostly commercial and industrial development. I am also aware that that the state land across the street in the City of Phoenix is slated for commercial development including big box.

The renderings I have seen of the project show a very nice architecture that is not as bland as so much commercial is these days. I think the downtown core idea is great, providing a place to shop, eat, and live. Please vote yes on Silverstone.

Sincerely,

Sant Kober

107/7 M, 83rd Place homes Scottsdale, AZ 85255

### McClay, Doris

From: Terry [terrys202@cox.net]

Sent: Wednesday, October 05, 2005 5:16 PM

To: dmcclay@scottsdaleaz.gov

Subject: Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak Rezoning Cases

Dear Madam or Sir,

Regarding the following Rezoning Projects:

177-PA-2005 15-ZN-2005 7-GP-2005

Although I missed the last meeting on Sept. 24, I am very concerned with this project as it relates to two personal priorities: 1) Negative impact on surrounding homeowner property values & 2) Extreme increase in the population density that will be created by the "Multi-Generational Housing" (apartment dwellings).

Can you assist me in understanding how RHVT & their consulting firms have convinced the City Of Scottsdale & various Homeowner Associations that my "2 Priorities" will not be negatively effected.


Please pass this note along to the RHVT consultants if you can't provide respond to my concerns.

Thanking you in advance,

Kenneth A. Steuer 24101 N. 76 Place Scottsdale, AZ. 85255 480-513-3348

Thanking you in advance

## **City Notifications – Mailing List Selection Map**



**Silverstone** 

7-GP-2005

**ATTACHMENT #7** 

PLANNING COMMISSION AUGUST 24, 2005 Page 4

In response to a question from Vice-Chairman Steinberg regarding the development of residential property near the Airpark, Mr. Cummins stated that staff is working on compatibility of adjacent land uses. He noted that the property in question is located outside of the noise contour line identified in the airport studies. Vice-Chairman Steinberg asked how this might impact the industrial land uses at the Airpark. Mr. Cummins explained that residential-only uses, or proposals to place residential property within the noise contour line would be a valid concern. Given the location of the subject property, staff felt this was an appropriate land use.

Mr. John Berry addressed the Commission, stating that there has been overwhelming community support for this project. This location is in a growth area identified in the General Plan. He is not aware of any opposition to the project.

Chairman Gulino noted that no members of the public had asked to address the Commission regarding the case and thanked Mr. John Berry for his comments.

#### 6-General Plan-2005 (Happy Peak)

Ms. Lagarde advised that she was withdrawing this case on behalf of her client. They will re-apply in January.

Ms. Bronski advised that the Applicant has a right to withdraw, so no vote was required.

7-GP-2005 (Silverstone) request by owner for a Major General Plan Amendment of the Land Use Element from Cultural/Institutional or Public Use to Mixed-Use Neighborhoods on 160 +/- acres located at the southwest corner of Pinnacle Peak Road and Scottsdale Road.

Mr. Curtis presented the staff report on the Silverstone case, also known as Rawhide. Items for consideration are infrastructure and the treatment of the Rawhide wash, economic vitality, and the impact on surrounding land uses and community.

Mr. John Berry addressed the Commission. He noted that the Applicant had submitted a detailed analysis showing how the proposal conformed to the General Plan and introduced colleagues who were in attendance.

He noted that the General Plan stressed context. At this stage, they were not looking at specific detail, but at context. The property is surrounded by major roadways pursuant to the General Plan. The Rawhide parcel is surrounded by intense development in both Scottsdale and Phoenix, most of which is commercial or office. The proposal to locate residential on this land would fill a currently unmet need.

Mr. Berry reported that intense use of the Rawhide facility has seriously disturbed many areas of the property. The remaining areas of the property are disturbed, to a lesser degree. The eastern edge has been absolutely destroyed over the years. Area residents have made numerous complaints about Rawhide operations regarding noise, fireworks, dust, odors, and traffic. Approving the change would eliminate these issues.

Mr. Berry mentioned the flooding problems with the Rawhide wash which regularly causes Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Roads to flood.

The proposal is for a mixed-use master planned community. This parcel is an opportunity to apply new urban planning principles. The developers were inspired by traditional Arizona towns and had committed to doing something very special.

Mr. Berry gave a PowerPoint presentation of the concept, showing the proposed mixed residential, commercial, and public uses. They are proposing to channelize Rawhide wash, replant natural vegetation and make it an amenity for the community. This project is less dense and less tall than projects already approved. There are few homes adjacent to this property. He stated that the proposal exactly matched the General Plan criteria for activity centers. He noted that regional solutions to transportation issues would need to be worked out with the City of Phoenix. They were looking to create a vital neighborhood on the property. The surrounding areas are industrial, commercial, retail and office.

Mr. Berry stated that there is a need for residential at this location. The master plan is to create a pedestrian-oriented intergenerational neighborhood. The developer was prepared to donate land for a public library within the development. This plan would also provide a 100 foot re-vegetated scenic corridor along Scottsdale Road. This plan is antisprawl and would save desert land from development. The plan is in compliance with the General Plan and would be good for the City.

Mr. Marvin Richmond addressed the Commission, expressing opposition to the project. He stated that there are many homes in the area that would be affected and noted that the developer is planning 1500 apartments in three- and four-story buildings. The plan called for about a million square feet of commercial development and 8,000 parking spaces. He expressed concerns that the homeowners would be impacted by this project. He was concerned about setbacks from roadways. He said the project would have dramatic effects on the quality of life of everybody living in north Scottsdale.

Ms. Maxine Fruitkin reiterated Mr. Richmond's comments. She said that traffic issues should be addressed prior to development. Proposed buildings would obstruct views of the mountains.

Mr. Berry said that the specific issues would be addressed at the zoning hearing. He would welcome a meeting with Mr. Richmond to address his concerns. He took pride in reaching out to the public. His clients had made a point of mailing information to over 5,200 households in a total area of 11 1/2 half square miles, which far exceeded the City's requirements. He reinforced their commitment to continue this level of outreach.

Commissioner Schwartz asked whether there was a companion zoning case that would come forward with the General Plan amendment. Mr. Berry replied that they had filed a zoning case and hoped to present it at the September 28th meeting of the Commission.

Vice-Chairman Steinberg asked whether any consideration had been given to coordinating ingress and egress with Paradise Ridge, across Scottsdale Road in the City of Phoenix, in order to mitigate traffic congestion. Mr. John Berry replied that they were working closely with some groups representing homeowners associations. They hoped to work with the City of Phoenix and the State Land Department to address regional traffic and drainage solutions and perhaps enlarge Scottsdale Road to a six-lane road sooner than is currently envisioned.



## SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

FOR CLERK USE

All cards MUST be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker.

This card is for providing input and comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

PLEASE PRINT NAME MOVING FOLKIN	MEETING DATE Hug 24 2005
IF APPLICABLE , NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT	
ADDRESS 4660 & LOS GOLOS	zip <u> </u>
	ORK PHONE 602-684-4107
I am in favor of agenda item #	<i>J</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
This card constitutes a public record und	ler Arizona law.
SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMN  This card is for providing comments when attending City Companies whether or not you wish to specific cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimon. Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional representing two or more persons. Cards for designated represent must be submitted to	uncil and other public meetings, ak.  ny has begun on the item.  time MAY be granted to speakers if speakers and the persons they ogether.
PLEASE PRINT NAME Sandy Fefes	MEETING DATE 8/24/05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR LOS PORTONES TOW	nhomes
ADDRESS 23575 N. 75 Place	ZIP 85255
HOME PHONE 480-502-8153 WORK PHO	DNE 480-502-2834
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM#	
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF	F THIS CARD.
☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # ☐ TAM OPPOSED	TO AGENDA ITEM # 10
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING	

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from

discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

Please give this card to the clerk cretary at meeting before public testimony begins on the item you wish to address. Comments under "Items from the Floor" are limited to matters which are not otherwise listed on the agenda. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing issues which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least twenty-four (24) hours before the meeting begins.

How to address the Council or Board/Commission

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Comments are restricted to 3 minutes.
  - · a green light will appear when you begin your comments
  - · a yellow light will appear when you have one minute left
  - · a red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comm	nents: <u>The</u>	height	and	number	of uni	to et	The ec	mao ai	radian	t
19	400 hig	h. and	400	many &	wand and	0/11:01	bestruct	mour	Hain Y	itews
03	well 3	rodue	e You	much	Litraffic	Con	06,1230	Mille	C Road	cik
ten_	soursa	to ha	ndle '	4120 1	acted Syd	trait	Juc and	ns this	ris	
Pior	racte Pro	uk or	Will	iams.	Needs	72 EV	aluari	<u>on 1</u>		

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

#### HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

comments peoplesenting the Board of Directors of Los Portones Town Homes we appose the rezoning because of the density of the Condo development proposed we feel the traffic situation will be impossible if approved and we would like to see more green space and a new library or Sr. Certer in the proposed. We are not apposed to Silverstone perse, but rather the density of structure of the proposed project.



### SPE KER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

All cards MUST be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.

PLEASE PRINT NAME COMMIT SWAMSON MEETING DATE \$ /24/05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 7737 E vista Banita Dr. ZIP 85255
HOME PHONEWORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM#
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.    I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM #   I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDATIEM #   3 + 10
☐ IAM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # ☐ IAM OPPOSED TO AGENDATIEM # > 10
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD  This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.  All cards MUST be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.  Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.
PLEASE PRINT NAME GARY LOWY MEETING DATE MAY 24/05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 7676 E MANANA ORIVE ZIP 85255
HOMEPHONE 480 459 5254 WORKPHONE 602 499 9213
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM#
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

Please give this card to the clerk at the m. ag BEFORE public testimony begins on the ite. you wish to address.

#### HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- -Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments # 3 Scenic Corridor - all major + minor arterials should be see Add Pinnacle Peak + Hayden/Miller to the List	C-17(
HID Scotts dole Rd is a scenic corvidor. There are height restrictions morth of the canol. The height telensity of the project is not appropriate the intra structure is until Finish the roads including Miller north of Pinn Peak + Happy Walkey west of Mi	+ iller

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

#### HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments	I	DONT	Beren	E THE	Anen	wire	Acc	ones Asi	<u> </u>
THE -	MUAFFIC	- IF	ITI	70 BE	MUTU	FAMIL	4	STRUCTI	unes
PROFEE	Hore	es of	Singe	FAMILY	/ Sr	NOTE S	570-4	حص	44195
									<del></del>



## SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

FOR CLERK USE	

All cards MUST be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker.

200 Dec	Testimony is limited to 3 r	ninutes per speaker.		
This card	is for providing input and comments when a whether or not you	wish to speak.		
PLEASE PRINT NAME	Nancy An		_ MEETING DATE	14-05
IF APPLICABLE, NAM ORGANIZATION YOU	REPRESENT LOS PORTONES	H.D. ASSN	,	
	87 N. 74th St		zip <i>§</i> _5	25
HOME PHONE 4	80-585-911d	WORK PHONE _		
l am in fa	vor of agenda item #			
I am oppo	sed to agenda item # $1-GP 3005$	Item 10		
	n to speak regarding agenda item #			
NO, I do r	ot wish to speak, but wish to comment on th	e back of this card.		
l wish to s	peak during "ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR" conce	rning		
	SPEAKER/CITIZEN C This card is for providing comments when attending whether or not you we have a submitted BEFORE publications is limited to 3 minutes per speaked speakers representing two	ng City Council and other purish to speak. Ilic testimony has beg er. Additional time M	blic meetings,	10
PLEASE PRINT NAM	E Ian M Smith	MEETI	NG DATE $8/2$	4/2005
IF APPLICABLE, NAI ORGANIZATION YOU		moran Hi	US HOA	
ADDRESS	650 E. Williams. Dr	#1057	ZIP	852 <u>55</u>
HOME PHONE	480-473-3334 W	ORKPHONE Sa	me //	1 = 11
YES, I WISH TO	SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# 10	7 - 6P - 2	005 (5	oenie s
NO, I DO NOT	WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON	BACK OF THIS CARD.	c	onnete
AM IN FAV	OR OF AGENDA ITEM# I AM OP	POSED TO AGENDA ITI	EM #	
☐ I WISH TO SPE	AK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING	3		
Public comments are	limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda	. Citizens may complete	one speaker/citizen (	comment card per

Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

Please give this card to the clerk, acretary at meeting before public testimony begins on the item you wish to address. Comments under "Items from the Floor" are limited to matters which are not otherwise listed on the agenda. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing issues which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least twenty-four (24) hours before the meeting begins.

How to address the Council or Board/Commission

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Comments are restricted to 3 minutes.
  - · a green light will appear when you begin your comments
  - · a yellow light will appear when you have one minute left
  - · a red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments:	1, 400 homs is way to many	、
	We DON'T WER ally word retail!	`
	WE DO WARD a Movary and public use facility.	·
	A PAIK AND WALKING, AREAS WOULD be nice!	<u>~</u> ~
	PLEASE Complete Miller Rd. to Happy Valley 12d !!!	ng"

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments
A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining
A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

comments Our community (Village a Sonoran Hills) would prefer to sea lower density of construction near our property (NE corner of millar a Williams). We would like to see the promised landscape buffer be substantial. Make sure only residences are built near our community.

Widen Miller north of Williams before the Silverstone is built. Put in a traffic light at Miller Williams before the substantials.



# SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

FOR CLERK USE

All cards MUST be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker.

This card is for providing input and comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.
PLEASE PRINT NAME MARYIN RICHMAN MEETING DATE 8/24/05
IF APPLICABLE , NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 8132 F. VIA DE LINA DAIVE SCOTTEDAVE ZIP 85255
HOME PHONE (40) 664-6981 WORK PHONE
am in favor of agenda item #
I am opposed to agenda item #
YES, I wish to speak regarding agenda item # concerning
NO, I do not wish to speak, but wish to comment on the back of this card.  I wish to speak during "ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR" concerning
T WISH to speak during TIEWS FROM THE PLOOK Concerning
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD  This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.  Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the Item.  Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.
PLEASE PRINT NAME JULY Weller MEETING DATE 824
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 23003 n. 71+ way ZIP 87211-
HOME PHONE 400 - 51 5 - 1190 WORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM#
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM #
I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from

discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.

the subject area and the proposed circulation plan. He spoke against abandonment.

### 6. 12-ZN-2005 (First Baptist Church of Scottsdale)

COMMISSIONER BARNETT MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE 9-UP-2005 (DRINX), ASSUMING IT MEETS THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CRITERIA, 12-ZN-2005 (FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH OF SCOTTSDALE), 8-GP-2005 (PARCEL M AND O AT TROON) AND 17-ZN-2005 (PARCEL M AND O AT TROON). THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STEINKE AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH A VOTE OF 7 (SEVEN) TO 0 (ZERO).

COMMISSIONER BARNETT MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE <u>5-GP-2005</u> AND <u>14-ZN-2005</u> (CAMBERLANGO PROPERTIES, INC.). THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STEINKE AND CARRIED WITH A VOTE OF 6 (SIX) TO 0 (ZERO). COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ RECUSED.

#### **REGULAR AGENDA**

### 7. <u>28-UP-2004 (Next Bar & Nightclub)</u>

Chairman Gulino noted this item has been continued to a future date, pursuant to a previous motion.

## 8. <u>7-GP-2005 (Silverstone)</u>

Mr. Curtis presented the case per the staff packet, noting that the request is to change the General Plan from cultural/institutional or public land use designation to a mixed-use land use designation. The Applicant proposes a planned community development and has submitted a rezoning application to the planned community district.

Mr. John Berry addressed the Commission on behalf of the Applicant, regarding the proposal. Highlights of the presentation included an outline of the history of the plans for the property and the site plan modifications. He addressed concerns expressed during public outreach, traffic impacts and reported positive feedback received from community groups.

Commissioner Barnett asked about ESLO heights relative to the project, and the heights in Phoenix. He asked how the wash is going to be maintained. Mr. John Berry replied that parts of the stacked forties are 60 feet high. There is a need for higher buildings for the senior living community and the Classic Residences by Hyatt. He added that the site changes grade by 80 feet, which mitigates the impact of higher buildings.

The buildings planned in Phoenix will have minimum heights of 56 feet across the street to the west. The intent is to develop the wash similar to Indian Bend Wash, but without grass. The property owners association will have the obligation of maintaining the wash.

In response to inquiry by Commissioner Heitel regarding property uses under the current General Plan designation, Mr. John Berry explained that the current zoning is Western theme park, which would allow exponentially greater development on the property.

Vice-Chairman Steinberg requested clarification of the village-like atmosphere. Mr. John Berry explained that there will be a mix of uses with strong pedestrian connectivity. Alternative modes of transportation will be encouraged.

Mr. Meyers addressed the Commission, opining that the proposed development is too intense for the neighborhood and other zoning classifications would make more sense. The road needs to be widened to accommodate current traffic. It would be appropriate to have some commercial development and the assisted living facility, but building homes for 3,000 residents is not an appropriate use.

Mr. Vairo spoke on behalf of the Coalition of Pinnacle Peak, who has been working with the Applicant for several months. The Coalition is not opposed to the General Plan amendment, however they are not happy with the current site plan. The Coalition is concerned with the balance of mixed use on the site and transportation factors.

Mr. Richman stated that the Applicant has made a significant change by reducing the commercial and residential density and opined that the developer should pay for infrastructure improvements, building and furnishing of the library.

Ms. Weller expressed appreciation for the way the developer has communicated with neighbors. She is concerned about traffic issues. She is not opposed to the project.

Mr. John Berry noted the neighborhood had grown up around Rawhide. The development has to be in response to what is already around the land. He discussed trip generation, claiming that office and retail property generates significantly more trips.

Commissioner Steinke noted that the geographic location of the site makes it unlikely that the land to the north will remain rural in the future. He applauded Councilman Ecton's efforts to obtain a regional approach to

Planning Commission Regular Ateeting September 28, 2005 Page 5

traffic problems. Increasing population brings greater density. He is in support of mixed-use neighborhoods.

Chairman Gulino expressed support for the General Plan amendment request, stating that the proposed development is a good use for this site. He encouraged the Applicant to continue working with the neighbors.

VICE-CHAIRMAN STEINBERG MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE SILVERSTONE, 7-GP- 2005. COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH A VOTE OF 7 (SEVEN) TO 0 (ZERO).

9. <u>5-GP-2005 (Camberlango Properties, Inc.)</u>

Chairman Gulino noted that this item was moved to the expedited agenda.

10. 14-ZN-2005 (Camberlango Properties, Inc.)

Chairman Gulino noted that this item was moved to the expedited agenda. Due to a minor revision to the site plan, as set forth in a memo to the Planning Commission dated September 28, 2005, Mr. John Berry asked for a revised motion on this matter. Concurring with the Applicant, Ms. Bronski addressed the Commission regarding procedural issues.

COMMISSIONER HEITEL MADE A MOTION TO RECONSIDER 14-ZN-2005 (CAMBERLANGO PROPERTIES, INC.). THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HESS AND CARRIED WITH A VOTE OF 6 (SIX) TO 0 (ZERO). COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ RECUSED.

COMMISSIONER HEITEL MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE 14-ZN-2005 (CAMBERLANGO PROPERTIES, INC.), WITH THE REVISED SITE PLAN DISTRIBUTED WITH THE PACKET THAT DAY. THE MOTION WAS SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BARNETT AND CARRIED WITH A VOTE OF 6 (SIX) TO 0 (ZERO). COMMISSIONER SCHWARTZ RECUSED.

11. 8-GP-2005 (Parcel M and O at Troon)

Chairman Gulino noted that this item was moved to the expedited agenda.

12. 17-ZN-2005 (Parcel M and O at Troon)

Chairman Gulino noted that this item was moved to the expedited agenda.





# SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

PLEASE PRINT NAME Howard Myers	MEETING DATE	9/23/05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT Description		
ADDRESS 6631 F. Horned Owl		
HOME PHONE 480-473-0109 W	ORK PHONE 480-483	-1997
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# 8		
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON	BACK OF THIS CARD.	
☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # ☐ I AM OI	PPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM#	
I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING	G	
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agendanight and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Could increasing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and po-	ncil will listen to your remarks, but is p	prohibited by state law from

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

sec other side

GG2003-411SCC (11/03) (2,000 - 6/05) Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

#### HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments

A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining

A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments Requested Category belongs in very don'sa
Urban area NoT in Suban Suburban Nei-chborhood
Area. READ DEFINITIONS
Area. READ DEFINITIONS Should be "Commercial" instead



# SPE. KER/CITIZEN COMMENT JARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.



Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

represent must be submitted together.
PLEASE PRINT NAME BOB VAIRO MEETING DATE 9/2+/0+
ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT CONTION OF PINNACE PEAK, INC.
ADDRESS 10040 E. HAPPY VALLEY RD. #451 ZIP 85255
HOME PHONEWORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM#
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
GG2003-411SCC (11/03) (2,000 - 505)
SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD  This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.  Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.  Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.
PLEASE PRINT NAME MARYIN RICHMAN MEETING DATE 9/29/05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 8182 F. VIA DE LUNA DRIVE ZIP 85255
HOME PHONE (480) (de 4- 690) WORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # 8. 1-49-2005
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.



# SPE. KER/CITIZEN COMMENT JARD

This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

පි

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.


Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.


PLEASE PRINT NAME JUDY WELLER MEETING DATE 4/38/05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 23003 N. 1774 (14) SCOTTSIAGE HZ ZIP 85255
HOME PHONE 480 515-1190 WORK PHONE 602 980 -1966
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# 8 7-69-1005 STELLESTELLE
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
GG2003-411SCC (11/03) (2,000 - 6/05)
SPEAKER/CITIZEN COMMENT CARD  This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.  Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.  Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.
PLEASE PRINT NAME LEON SPIRO MEETING DATE PS SEPT. 05
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS 7814 E. OBERLIN WY ZIP 85262
HOME PHONE WORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# 8- WISH TO GIVE MY ERS- I AM NERVOUS
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS GARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per

This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.

night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from

discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.





#### LAND USE BUDGET SUMMARY

0.65 0.25 2.49	DU/A Range	Dwelling Unit Allocation (2) (5)	C-2 (3)	c-o	Other (4) 25,000
0.25 2.49					
2.49					ı
					15,000
2.48		<u> </u>	95,000		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	165,000	
3.49	12-15	262 (3)			
4.36	8-10	186			· <u></u>
4.75	10-12	258			
6.85		270 (6)			
11.32	············		·		
1.60					
		\			
38.26					<del></del>
		976			
	4.75 6.85 11.32 1.60	4.75 10-12 6.85 8 11.32 1.60	4.75     10-12     258       6.85     8     270 (6)       11.32     1.60       38.26	4.75 10-12 258 6.85 8 270 (8) 11.32 1.60	4.75 10-12 258 6.85 8 270 (8) 11.32 1.60

#### N.B.: All areas are approximate

- (1) Numbers are approximate due to parcel boundary shifts that may be required during detailed land planning and engineering.

  (2) The Total Number of Residential Units shall not exceed 976
- (3) Up to one-third of Dwelling Units may be Live/Work
- (4) Other Uses include Municipal uses, Underlying Zoning for Parcels A and B to be C-O
- (6) Daniel of Dwelling Units between parcels is permitted as long as the Maximum Dwelling Units does not exceed 976 units for the entire property and on a parcel by parcel basis does not exceed the maximum DU/A's indicated under the DU/A Range column (6) Dwelling Unit Allocation does not include the approximate 60 beds in the Care Facility.

- (?) In the event the City elects not to acquire all or part of the parcels designated as Municipal Use, the parcels or unused portions of the parcels shall develop according to the adjacent R-5 zoning, at a density not to exceed a maximum of 15 DU/A and a maximum of 67 Dwelling units, whichever is less. The maximum number of total dwelling units shall, in that event only, be increased accordingly.

### **WOOD/PATEL**



CIVII. ENGINEERS • HYDROLOGISTS • LAND SURVEYORS • CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS

Darrel F. Wood, P.E., R.L.S. Ashok C. Patel, P.E., R.L.S., CFM Gordon W. R. Wark, P.E. James S. Campbell, P.E. Thomas R. Gettings, R.L.S. Timothy A. Huyal, P.E. Michael T. Young, P.E. Peter Hemingway, P.E. Jeffrey R. Minch, P.E. Robert D. Gofonia, P.E., R.L.S. June 30, 2005

Mr. Mike Pacheco **RHVT Limited Partnership** 1550 East Missouri Avenue Suite 300 Phoenix, AZ 85011

Phone: (602) 230-1051 (602) 230-2826 Email: mpacheco@vtaig.com

Silverstone Re:

Regional Drainage Statement

WP#042309.02

Dear Mr. Pacheco:

This letter serves to clarify the current goal of Silverstone with regard to regional drainage and Waters of the U.S. (Section 404 Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers).

The 160-acre Silverstone project is subject to offsite drainage from an alluvial fan watershed, known as the Rawhide Wash. Currently, it is believed that the City of Scottsdale and its citizens as well as the City of Phoenix and its citizens would be best served by a desert-type channel system containing and conveying the 100-year flood event of Rawhide Wash (10,900 cfs) through the proposed Silverstone project. The Rawhide Wash channel concept is proposed to be benched or stair stepped to allow trail and path systems.

While the channelization concept is the project's preferred solution, it is realized that there are downstream considerations. The City of Phoenix will have an interest in Scottsdale Road where a bridge or culvert will be required and the Arizona State Land Department as owner of Paradise Ridge will have an interest because the upstream channel will need to be continued downstream.

A Waters of the U.S. designation exists on the property but does not reflect the proposed Rawhide Wash channel location. It would be in the best interest of the project to redesignate a Waters of the U.S. to coincide with the channel. This option is thought to be very desirable to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers because it expands the Paradise Ridge linkage for continuous waterways and open corridors available for wildlife.

In summary, the proposed Rawhide Wash channel concept balances the realities of significant flood events with a desirable land use plan.

Sincerely,

Darrel E. Wood, P.E., R.L.S Principal

DEW/ac

Y \WP\General Correspondence\042309 02 Silverstone Regional Drainage Statement.doc

Wood, Patel & Associates, Inc.

PHOEBIX + MESA.

2051 West Northern, Suite 100 • Phoenix, Arizona 85021 • (602) 335-8500 • Fax (602) 335-8580

www.woodpatel.com