

# MARKED AGENDA <u>SCOTTSDALE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD</u> <u>KIVA - CITY HALL</u> <u>3939 N. DRINKWATER BOULEVARD</u> <u>OCTOBER 19, 2006</u> <u>1:00 P.M.</u>

# ROLL CALL

All present

# MINUTES APPROVAL

Items 1 & 2 Approved, subject to clarification of Citichurch item, 5-0; Motion by Barnett

- 1. October 5, 2006 Development Review Board Study Session Minutes
- 2. October 5, 2006 Development Review Board Regular Meeting Minutes

## CONTINUANCES

<u>CONSENT AGENDA</u> - Any item may be requested to be removed for separate action by the Development Review Board.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

3.

Approved 6-0; Motion by Jones; Edwards Recused

Approved 7-0; 4. Motion by Jones

Approved 7-0; 5. Motion by Jones

#### 119-DR-2005 (Scottsdale Ridge II)

Request approval of a Site Plan and Elevations. 7303 E. Adobe Drive Cawley Architects, Architect/Designer

# 29-DR-2005#2 (Rancho Reata Parcel B-1)

Request approval of Site Plan and Elevations. 26725 N. Alma School Parkway SHJ Studio, Architect/Designer

### 82-DR-2006 (Mondrian Hotel)

Request approval to repaint existing building. 7353 E. Indian School Road DLR Group, Architect/Designer Greg Williams

Don Hadder

Kim Chafin, AICP

**REGULAR AGENDA** 

Approved 7-0; Motion by Jones

6.

7.

9.

Moved to Consent: Approved 7-0; Motion by Jones

Approved as 8. amended 7-0; Motion by Barnett

Approved 6-0; Motion by Jones: Barnett Recused

10. Approved 6-1: Motion by Jones; Barnett Dissenting

# 86-DR-2003#2 (Citichurch Scottsdale)

Request by staff for reconsideration to clarify the motion regarding approval of parking lot expansion. 12344 N. 96<sup>th</sup> Place Kolinski, Inc., Engineer 33-DR-2006 (The Bank At Boulders) Request approval of Site Plan and Elevations. 34275 N. Scottsdale Road Archicon, L.C. Architect/Designer

Tim Curtis, AICP

Greg Williams

# 18-DR-2006 (Indian School Road/Drinkwater Kim Chafin, AICP To Pima) Request approval of Roadway Improvements. Logan Simpson Design Inc., Architect/Designer

### 34-DR-2006 (Paseo Village Remodel)

Request approval of Site Plan and Elevations. McCormick Parkway & Via Del Paseo Architecture 3 LLC, Architect/Designer

#### 69-DR-2006 (Grand Lux Cafe)

Request approval of Elevations. 7014 E Camelback Road ADC, Architect/Designer

Jeff Ruenger

Kim Chafin, AICP

### ADJOURNMENT 3:15 p.m.

## DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD CONSISTS OF:

Ron McCullagh, Council Member David Barnett, Planning Commission Member Jeremy Jones, Vice Chairman David Brantner, Development Member

Michael D'Andrea, Development Member Michael Edwards, Design Member Michael Schmitt, Design Member

Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2412. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange accommodation.

ARHOADES 10/13/2006 ARHOADES 10/19/2006

# MINUTES APPROVAL

- 1. October 5, 2006 Development Review Board Study Session Minutes
- 2. October 5, 2006 Development Review Board Regular Meeting Minutes

# COMMISSIONER BARNETT MOVED TO APPROVE THE OCTOBER 5, 2006 DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD MINUTES INCLUDING THE STUDY SESSION. BOARD MEMBER SCHMITT SECONDED THE MOTION.

Board Member Schmitt pointed out a mistake on the attendee list for the study session. Board Member Edwards should have been marked present and Board Member Schmitt should have been marked absent.

In response to a question by Councilman McCullagh, Ms. Scott confirmed that it would be appropriate to approve the minutes subject to the CitiChurch clarification discussion.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT MOVED TO APPROVE THE OCTOBER 5, 2006 DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD MINUTES INCLUDING THE STUDY SESSION, SUBJECT TO THE CLARIFICATION TO BE MADE REGARDING CITICHURCH DURING THE REGULAR AGENDA DISCUSSION. SECONDED BY BOARD MEMBER SCHMITT, THE MOTION CARRIED BY A VOTE OF FIVE (5) TO ZERO (0). BOARD MEMBERS SCHMITT AND BRANTNER ABSTAINED.

# CONSENT AGENDA

Board Member Edwards noted a conflict and recused himself from item 3, 119-DR-2005, Scottsdale Ridge II.

VICE-CHAIRMAN JONES MOVED TO MOVE ITEM 33-DR-2006, THE BANK AT THE BOULDERS TO THE CONSENT AGENDA NOTING THAT THE STAFF RECOMENDATION HAD CHANGED TO APPROVAL SUBJECT TO THE STIPULATIONS INCLUDING ADJUSTING THE COLORS TO MEET THE REFLECTIVITY VALUE AND THAT THE APPLICANT WOULD INVESTIGATE CHANGING THE METAL TRELLIS TO WOOD IN ORDER TO BETTER MATCH THE AREA. SECONDED BY BOARD MEMBER SCHMITT, THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF SEVEN (7) TO ZERO (0).

- 4. 29-DR-2005#2 Rancho Reata Parcel B-1
- 5. 82-DR-2006 Mondrian Hotel
- 7. 33-DR-2006 The Bank at Boulders

VICE-CHAIRMAN JONES MOVED FOR APPROVAL OF ITEMS 4, 29-DR-2005#2; 5, 82-DR-2006; AND 7, 33-DR-2006. SECONDED BY BOARD MEMBER D'ANDREA, THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY BY A VOTE OF SEVEN (7) TO ZERO (0). DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD/Study Session October 19, 2006 Page 2

119-DR-2005

#### Scottsdale Ridge II

Vice-Chairman Jones inquired regarding a statement in the report that the screening shrubs for the project would be approved by the utility companies. Mr. Williams explained that the utility companies have a list of shrubs which are expected not to grow over two feet. The project landscape architect added bougainvillea and bird of paradise to the list of plants to be used.

In response to a question by Vice-Chairman Jones, Mr. Gary Brinkley noted that the black and white elevations as well as the construction documents would more accurately depict the spandrel glass located in the stairwells as well as canopies on the second story windows.

In response to a question by Board Member D'Andrea, Mr. Brinkley clarified that the block would be stained because integral block color can vary by lot.

### 29-DR-2005#2 Rancho Reata Parcel B-1

Vice-Chairman Jones commented that the project was well done.

## 82-DR-2006 Mondrian Hotel

Ms. Galav recalled the presentation during the previous meeting's study session, noting consensus by the Board that it would be appropriate to paint the building white.

33-DR-2006

#### The Bank at Boulders

In response to a question by Vice-Chairman Jones, Tim Rasnake confirmed that the windows would be double-paned.

Board Member Schmitt inquired about the staff recommendation for continuance in the packet. Ms. Galav confirmed that the staff recommendation had changed to recommending approval subject to the stipulations.

In response to a question by Vice-Chairman Jones, Mr. Williams clarified that the requested colors would be adjusted to meet the LRV requirement of a value of 40.

Board Member Edwards suggested that using wood for the trellis instead of steel may be more consistent with the architecture.