From:

jlirwin@cox.net

Sent:

Thursday, July 16, 2009 7:35 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3GP-2009 NWC Pima & Dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I am a resident of Troon North and after reviewing the zoning change request I would support the application. J. L. Irwin



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

peteranddonut@hotmail.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 17, 2009 5:18 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

Don't want another gas station

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

We do not want another gas station in our neighborhood polluting the air.

3-GP-2009 NWC OF PIMA & DYNAMITE

Applicant's name is Berry & Damore Michelle Hammond 480-385-2727



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

mcglocklin4222@otmail.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 03, 2009 6:24 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

Proposed Projects 3-GP-2009 NWC Pima & Dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

We are in opposition to a service station to be built at the above corner. There already is a big station at Pinnacle Peak and Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Rd.

We believe that allowing such business activity in a mainly rural neighborhood would imperil the quality of the current homesites.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

smaino@msn.com

Sent:

Friday, June 05, 2009 6:48 AM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP 2009 NWC Pima & Dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

Unfortunately I could not attend the meeting on June 4th to object to development of the NWC of Pima and Dynamite for a gas station.

As a resident of Dynamite, i stongly object to approval of any commercial development of these corners.

There is a gas station on Alma School and Dynamite as well as one on Scottsdale and Dynamite.

There is no NEED for another "Quick Shop" in this beautiful untainted area.

Since the lower end of Pima has already been blighted by commercial buildings, let them build one there by 101 which would be more appropriate



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

jsaleo@q.com

Sent:

Friday, May 01, 2009 4:49 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

For the past 15 years, I have watched city staff, council, applicants, and attorneys alter the primary zoning of the Desert Foothills Character area. This has been done for the personal gain of the applicant and their attorney. This is just another case in the process that has caused diminution of value to the surrounding properties. Often staff's incompetence to understand the zoning ordinance and smart attorneys like John Berry who succeed at the expense of the people who live here. I can site many examples of alterations in the primary use where the plan was the 'Perfect Plan" for logical reasons to make the change. 75% of those applicants who got their change approved not longer own the property that got approval for the determental change to the zoning. Meanwhile, those that still live here have had to deal with these uneccessary changes to the zoning. So, who has benefited. One property located at the SW corner of Dixiletta and Pima cost one of my neighbors over \$250,000 to fight. She basically lost and died of ill health. The property owner was foreclosed on and we as neighbors are stuck with a blight to the neighborhood. Is this call smart planning or just plain stupidity. Now, another change is coming in case 3-GP-2009. The applicant will most likely get what they want because city staff has created bad precedences. When all is said an done, the attorney will collect an absorptate fee and the applicant will hold onto the property for as long as possible; then either sell it or lose it. Meanwhile, we the "permament resides suffer the consequences due to so called progress. Therefore, staff, zoning attorneys, and applicants come and go and we the residents are stuck with the crap left behind. When will the people involved wake up to what they are doing?



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:50 PM

To: Subject: Cookson, Frances FW: Case 3-GP-2009

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: cfroden@aol.com [mailto:cfroden@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 8:22 AM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Case 3-GP-2009

Just sending in my opposition to this planned gas station and retail project. It is not consistent with the zoning of the area and and would be a detriment to the character of the neighborhood. There is another gas station and retail store at Dynamite and Alma School Road, less than one half mile away. There is no pressing need to change our zoning permits to allow this development.

Christopher Roden 203-913-1621 cfroden@aol.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 8:22:24 AM

216.189.172.155 Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; Intel Mac OS X 10_5_6; en-us) AppleWebKit/525.27.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/3.2.1 Safari/525.27.1 sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:50 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: Gas Station at Pima and Dynamite

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov [mailto:WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 8:23 AM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Gas Station at Pima and Dynamite

Do not reply to this message via email. The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

I am very opposed to the proposal to put a gas station at the above intersection. Please don't do this and spoil our lovely desert residential area!
Russ Hagberg
9856 E. Quarry Trail
Scottsdale, AZ. 85262

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 8:22:52 AM

76.16.222.177 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 6.0; WOW64; SLCC1; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.04506; Media Center PC 5.0) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:51 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: pima & dynamite gas station

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: bella_az@cox.net [mailto:bella_az@cox.net]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 9:00 AM

To: Projectinput

Subject: pima & dynamite gas station

We do not need another gas station we have one on alma school & dynamite, pima & pinnacle peak, and scottsdale & pinnacle peak

Isabella Katzowsky

bella_az@cox.net

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 8:59:45 AM

68.106.36.163 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; GTB5; FunWebProducts; Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1; SV1); .NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.30; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.648; .NET CLR 3.5.21022; IEMB3; MS-RTC LM 8; MSN Optimized; US) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:51 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: 3-GP-2009 NWC OF PIMA & DYNAMITE

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: philip.mcdonough@cox.net [mailto:philip.mcdonough@cox.net]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 11:26 AM

To: Projectinput

Subject: 3-GP-2009 NWC OF PIMA & DYNAMITE

Enough already! There is NO need for this development, especially the gas station! Give me a break and respect what litte desert we have left!

Philip McDonough 602.36.4127

philip.mcdonough@cox.net

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp

6/1/2009 11:25:35 AM

65.122.15.168 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.30; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.648) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:51 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: Major General Plan Amendments, Scottsdale

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: myniteowl@msn.com [mailto:myniteowl@msn.com]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 1:19 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Major General Plan Amendments, Scottsdale

I believe we currently have more than sufficient commercial and residential space available in the area and am strongly against further development at this time. Locating in North Scottsdale has been a way to put some distance between the rampant commercial development witnessed across Greater Phoenix and its suburbs, and adding more shops and gas stations to available open space corners is extremely undesirable.

As a result of the economic crisis of the past few years, it is foolish to continue expansion in an atmosphere of severe contraction. We have more than enough empty space for future growth for some time to come. The only thing to be gained is the chance of yet another empty construction sporting "for lease or sale" signs on its windows.

Deborah Vanasek 480.699.6607 myniteowl@msn.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 1:19:20 PM

24.251.84.80 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; FunWebProducts; GTB6; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.30; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.648; WWTClient2; MSN 9.0; MSN 9.1; MSN 9.6; MSNbMSNI; MSNmen-us; MSNcOTH) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:52 PM

To: Subject:

Cookson, Frances FW: case #3-GP-2009

Frances Cookson
Planning Specialist
480-312-2542 (P)
480-312-7088 (F)
fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: billmurphyazz@yahoo.com [mailto:billmurphyazz@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 1:46 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: case #3-GP-2009

Through no fault of my own, I was the victim of two serious auto accidents at the corner of Pima and Dynamite. With numerous other accidents at that site, and the constant heavy traffic being the norm, it would be a very serious safety factor to build either a gas station or shopping plaza on that corner with traffic constantly entering and exiting the property.

Sincerely, Bill Murphy

13 yr. full time resident

William Murphy 480-473-0717

billmurphyazz@yahoo.com

This message was feedback from the following web page:

http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp

6/1/2009 1:46:06 PM

75.172.235.106~Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; .NET CLR 1.1.4322) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:52 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: 2-g-2009, 3-g-2009

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov [mailto:WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 2:04 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: 2-g-2009, 3-g-2009

Do not reply to this message via email.

The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

opposed to any change in zoning

Dick

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 2:04:23 PM

72.10.76.177 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; GTB6; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:52 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: Foothills Overlay 2-GP-2009 & 3-GP-2009

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov [mailto:WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 2:07 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Foothills Overlay 2-GP-2009 & 3-GP-2009

Do not reply to this message via email. The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

I live in Candelwood and feel that the previous board members did a wonderful job in trying to preserve this area. Please vote NO on any modification to the Foothills Zoning Overlay Modification. We do not need any more gas stations or commercial areas here. Thank You Rae Barry 28959 N 106th Way Scottsdale Az 85262

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 2:06:51 PM

24.251.83.31 Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-US) AppleWebKit/525.19 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/1.0.154.65 Safari/525.19 sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:52 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: Proposed projects at Dynamite/Pima for discussion On June 4 at La Mirada Desert

Center

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: robert@regger2.cpm [mailto:robert@regger2.cpm]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 2:30 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Proposed projects at Dynamite/Pima for discussion On June 4 at La Mirada Desert Center

We do not support either of the COPP projects, the two major general amendments, for the North Scottsdale neighborhood at Dynamite and Pima. If you want additional feedback, my contact information follows.

Robert Egger 480-390-8005 robert@regger2.cpm

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 2:30:16 PM

24.251.84.223 Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; PPC Mac OS X 10.5; en-US; rv:1.9.0.10) Gecko/2009042315 Firefox/3.0.10 sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:52 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: Proposed Gas Station @ Pima

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: kruz95@aol.com [mailto:kruz95@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 3:18 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Proposed Gas Station @ Pima

We don't need another gas station in No Scottsdale. Please don't approve.

Jeff Krueger (480) 419-6721 kruz95@aol.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 3:18:05 PM

172.192.233.99 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; AOL 9.0; Windows NT 5.1; NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727) sessionID: 0

From: Sent: Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:53 PM

To: Subject: Cookson, Frances FW: 2-g-2009, 3-g-2009

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: dick99vette@roadrunner.com [mailto:dick99vette@roadrunner.com]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 2:06 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: 2-g-2009, 3-g-2009

opposed to any change in zoning

Dick Waskow 716-864-0713 dick99vette@roadrunner.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp

6/1/2009 2:05:57 PM

72.10.76.177 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; GTB6; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727) sessionID: 0

From:

Cookson, Frances

Sent:

Tuesday, June 09, 2009 3:53 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: Gas Station/Pima & Dynamite

Frances Cookson Planning Specialist 480-312-2542 (P) 480-312-7088 (F) fcookson@scottsdaleaz.gov

"To ensure compliance with the Open Meeting Law, recipients of this message should not forward it to other members of the public body. Members of the public body may reply to this message but they should not send a copy of the reply to other members".

----Original Message----

From: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov [mailto:WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 01, 2009 5:16 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Gas Station/Pima & Dynamite

Do not reply to this message via email.

The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

Hi.

i DO NOT want a gas station at the corner of Pima & Dynamite Road. There is already a gas station at Alma School and Dynamite, and another at Pinnacle Peak and Scottsdale Road. We do NOT need this in our neighborhood. There are PLENTY of EMPTY spots in this state that a gas station can fill! DO NOT BUILD ON THAT CORNER SITE!! PLEASEE PLEASE PLEASE leave the desert be!

Thanks in advance Gina Dillard Spada

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 5:15:57 PM

24.251.80.225~Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; Intel Mac OS X $10_5_6;~\text{en-us})$ AppleWebKit/525.27.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/3.2.1 Safari/525.27.1 sessionID: 0

From:

anna.scott@cox.net

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 7:46 AM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

gas station at Pima/Dynamite

I oppose having a gas station here. I live in the community and we already have two: one at Dynamite and Alma School Parkway and another at Pima and Pinnacle Peak. Dr. Anna Scott

Anna Scott

anna.scott@cox.net

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/2/2009 7:45:56 AM

24.251.84.101 Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-US; rv:1.9.0.10) Gecko/2009042316 Firefox/3.0.10 sessionID: 0

From: Sent: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov Tuesday, June 02, 2009 7:43 AM

To: Subject:

Projectinput gas station

Do not reply to this message via email.

The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

We wanted to express our opinions on the possible gas station on Pima and Dynamite. We do not want it. We think the area should remain as commercial free as possible and we have enough gas stations in the area for the neighborhoods needs. Thank you, Janet and Mark Eggen, 27640 N. 108th Way Scottsdale, AZ 85262

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/2/2009 7:43:28 AM

24.251.86.137 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; FunWebProducts; GTB6; .NET CLR 1.0.3705; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; Media Center PC 4.0; SpamBlockerUtility 4.8.4) sessionID: 0

From:

debbie@amerifund.cc

Sent:

Monday, June 01, 2009 8:33 PM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

Pima/Dynamite Commercial/gas station

I'm not sure if I will be able to attend the meeting, but I'd like to voice my opinion that I am totally AGAINST the building of a gas station or any other commercial building on that corner.

I will protest it to then enth degree and sincerely hope you will vote against destroying our neighborhood.

Thanks, Debbie Neumann 9672 E Skinner Dr Scottsdale, AZ 85262

Debbie Neumann 480-585-5855 debbie@amerifund.cc

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 8:33:21 PM

24.251.229.115 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; GTB6; .NET CLR 1.0.3705; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; Media Center PC 4.0; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.4506.2152; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; yie8) sessionID: 0

From:

witzela@gmail.com

Sent:

Monday, June 01, 2009 5:46 PM

To: Subject: Projectinput 3-GP-2009

I hereby object to this project. Commercial development is completely inconsistent with the intent of both the Character Area and the Foothills Overlay.

Martina Witzel (480) 538-2006 witzela@gmail.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 5:46:10 PM

24.208.206.193 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 6.0; Trident/4.0; SLCC1; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; Media Center PC 5.0; InfoPath.2; NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30618) sessionID: 0

From:

witzela@msn.com

Sent:

Monday, June 01, 2009 5:41 PM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

3-GP-2009

I hereby object to this project. Commercial development is completely inconsistent with the intent of both the Character Area and the Foothills Overlay.

Andreas Witzel (480) 538-2006 witzela@msn.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/1/2009 5:41:22 PM

24.208.206.193~Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 6.0; Trident/4.0; SLCC1; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; Media Center PC 5.0; InfoPath.2; NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30618) sessionID: 0

From:

corleymaui@aol.com

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 10:38 PM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

Case No. 3-GP-2009, Proposed rezoning at Pima/Dynamite

We are residents of the area and are strongly opposed to permitting the rezoning of the Desert Foothills Character Area and Foothills zoning overlay so as to accommodate a mix of retail and a gas station. In short, leave things the way they are—the way the residents agreed upon.

W G Corley 480 513 8273 corleymaui@aol.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/2/2009 10:37:37 PM

72.234.54.84 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 6.0; Trident/4.0; SLCC1; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; Media Center PC 5.0; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30618) sessionID: 0

From: Philip Holland [pholland480@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2009 1:18 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Case 3-GP-2009

City of Scottsdale Planning Commission

Regarding Case 3-GP-2009

Located at: 8738 E. Dynamite Scottsdale, Az.

Re: the request for Change of land use and amendment of the General Plan covering the North Scottsdale area specifically near the intersection of Pima and Dynamite roads.

I will not be able to attend the public meeting on this subject property June 4th, but I would like to submit this into the Public response and record.

The applicant has requested modification to the existing Desert Foothills Zoning Overlay because they wish to develop the land for a particular use not consistent with the existing zoning.

I am not an Attorney; however it is my understanding that typically there needs to be a valid reason to allow a zoning change – a change to the Community Government's pre-determined forecast for controlling growth and development of an area.

One reason is if the change brings an inherent improvement or increase in value to the area at large, another is to overcome or correct a preexisting hardship.

There does not seem to be a valid reason to overwrite the original community plan and Zoning Overlay simply to allow someone a business location that they wish to use in a fashion different than allowable when they (presumably) knowingly purchased said parcel.

And while there are few service stations in the area, there is no hardship to put one in that specific location.

It could also be argued that to allow this change could financially dilute the value of other legitimate commercial use properties in the area.

I would like to strongly disagree with the applicant's premise that "Rigid application (of zoning laws) would not be responsive to the natural changes and unforeseen opportunities that arise in a community as dynamic as Scottsdale." Consistency of application is very much the goal of controlled development. The Developer actually states that the existing sight corridor set backs limits the available space and use of the parcel.

- 1) If this was a problem; purchase of the land was his error.
- 2) Sight Corridors are a deliberate and desirable component of the Desert Foothills Plan, not a justification to modify it.

There is nothing natural about placing a gas station and retail center in what is now a protected sight corridor. The lighting alone will have a profound negative impact for an extended area since this location is viewable from the entire Pinnacle Peak area and beyond.

Finally, I must state the obvious; isn't it a little problematic to place a gasoline station directly adjacent to the Electrical Hi-Tension towers already crossing this intersection?

In my opinion; the applicant's request to develop this parcel as proposed, irrespective of using a "Green Style" of construction, will the have a negative impact on the surrounding and extended area as well as the Desert Foothills plan and is entirely unjustified for itself and for setting future precedent.

Respectfully,

Philip Holland 10303 E. White Feather Ln. Scottsdale, Az. 85262

Mailing address: 2125 Old Briar rd. Highland Park, IL 60035 e-mail: <u>pholland480@gmail.com</u> Cell 847 507-8500

From:

Mhmamnoon@yahoo.com

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:40 AM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

3-gp-2009 nwc of Pima and Dynamite

Please do not take our beautiful dessert environment to become commercial. I Left all leaving in northeast to be in the beautiful dessert of scottsdale Arizona, I hope sonoran desert is as important to you as it is to me. the silent of the night the stars and an air full of oxygen . How much money is important to take away the beauty of our surranding leaving.

I will fight among others on not to let this happen.

Sincerely

The one who loves the nature and its beauty.

Hossein Mohajer

Mhmamnoon@yahoo.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/2/2009 11:39:49 AM

 $24.251.82.175\ \text{Mozilla}/4.0$ (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; .NET CLR 1.0.3705; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; .NET CLR 2.0.50727) sessionID: 0

From:

fmohajer@hotmail.com

Sent:

Saturday, June 06, 2009 1:47 PM

To: Subject: Projectinput Pima Gas station

My husband and I are greatly disturbed at the thought of this planned gas station. It will ruin the neiborhood and bring much trafic here. Please stop it.

farideh mohajer 480 488-7110 fmohajer@hotmail.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp $6/6/2009\ 1:46:52\ PM$

24.251.96.164~Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; .NET CLR 1.1.4322; InfoPath.2) sessionID: 0

From: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 6:18 PM

To: Projectinput

Subject: Case number 3-GP-2009

Do not reply to this message via email. The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

Not in favor of this General Plan Amendment to be approved.

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/4/2009 6:18:22 PM

68.3.227.197 Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; U; Intel Mac OS X 10_5_4; en-us) AppleWebKit/525.18 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/3.1.2 Safari/525.20.1 sessionID: 0

From:

ronlarue@hotmail.com

Sent:

Thursday, June 04, 2009 4:39 PM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

Changing the Zoning Overlay.

The Desert Foothills Character Area and the Foothills Zoning Overlay should be modified. I would like to vote yes for allowing the two projects in north Scottsdale to move forward. One at Dynamite and Pima and the one at Lone Mountain and Scottsdale Road.

Ron LaRue

ronlarue@hotmail.com

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/4/2009 4:39:05 PM

66.193.202.58 Mozilla/5.0 (Windows; U; Windows NT 5.1; en-US; rv:1.9.0.10) Gecko/2009042316 Firefox/3.0.10 (.NET CLR 3.5.30729) sessionID: 0

From: Sent: WebSiteUser@scottsdaleaz.gov Wednesday, June 03, 2009 9:42 AM

To:

Projectinput

Subject:

Project proposals - June 4th meeting

Do not reply to this message via email.

The Internet user did not provide a return email address:

My name is Doug Reynolds and I own a home at 9427 E. Hidden Green, in the Troon North development. I will not be able to attend the meeting tomorrow night, but want to weigh in that I don't support either project: 2-GP-2009, or 3-GP-2009. Both violate the intent of the Desert Foothills Character Area and the Foothills Zoning Overlay. These were well thought out and knowledge of them were a part of our purchase decision 4 years ago. I am requesting both of these initiatives be denied.

Thank You - Doug Reynolds

This message was feedback from the following web page: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/PC.asp 6/3/2009 9:41:34 AM

131.107.0.70 Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 6.0; WOW64; Trident/4.0; SLCC1; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; Media Center PC 5.0; MDDC; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30618) sessionID: 0

From:

mhmamnoon@cox.net

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 11:35 AM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-Gp-2009 nwc p & d -

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

Please do not take our beautiful dessert environment to become commercial. I Left all leaving in northeast to be in the beautiful dessert of scottsdale Arizona, I hope sonoran desert is as important to you as it is to me. the silent of the night the stars and an air full of oxygen . How much money is important to take away the beauty of our surranding leaving. I will fight among others on not to let this happen.

Sincerely

The one who loves the nature and its beauty.

Homa



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

CHANTEK22@AOL.COM

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 8:56 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

RURAL PROBLEMS.

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I SUPPORT THE INCREASE DENSITY AND ABLATION OF DESERT FOOTHILLS CHARACTER AREA AND FOOTHILLS ZONING OVERLAY. WHEN I BOUGHT PROPERTY IN NORTH SCOTTSDALE, NO ONE INFORMED ME THAT THIS AREA WAS A SERPENTS NEST AND THAT I WOULD HAVE TO FISH SNAKES AND SCORPIONS OUT OF MY GARAGE ON A DAILY BASIS OR THAT MY CHILDREN WOULD BE EXPOSED TO THE DANGERS HERE.THE COPP COALITION EXISTS ONLY TO ALLOW FATCAT ATTORNEYS JOB SECURITY AND WASTE CITY MONEY.DEVELOPMENT IS INEVITABLE IN NORTH SCOTTSDALE SO LETS NOT WASTE CITY FUNDS AND ALLOW THE PROJECT.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

CONTACTPRECAUTIONS@HOTMAIL.COM

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 9:05 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009,2GP-2009

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I SUPPORT BOTH OF THESE DEVELOPMENTS. BURY THE COPP COALITION IN THE SNAKE PIT THAT IS NOW NORTH SCOTTSDALE.WE WILL BE HAPPY TO SEE DEVELOPMENT.WHILE THE INTENTIONS OF THE COPP COALITION ARE NOBLE, THEY ONLY SERVE THE IDLE RICH WHO DO NOT SPEND THEIR SUMMERS HERE AND THE ATTORNEYS WHO SWARM AROUND CITY COUNCIL FOR MORSELS AND DRAIN THE CITY COFFERS.

I LIVE IN TROON . I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A WALK AROUND MY PROPERTY WITHOUT STEPPING ON A RATTLE SNAKE. SEEING 5 SNAKES IN 3 DAYS IS WAAAY TOO MUCH CHARACTER FOR ME.BRING IN SOME DEVELOPMENT PLEASE.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

KTHIND@GMAIL.COM

Sent:

Tuesday, June 02, 2009 9:14 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009,2GP-2009

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I LIVE IN TROON.I WOULD WELCOME A GAS STATION AND STRIP MALL AT DYNAMITE AND PIMA.THE COPP COALITION DOES NOT REPRESENT THE MAJORITY, ONLY THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TOO MUCH TIME ON THEIR HANDS AND BELIEVE IN WASTE.I am appalled the wool has been pulled over everyone's eyes by the local attorneys who benefit directly from the COPP coalition shenanigans. Get rid of the wasteful spending by these blind warriors. Despite the COPP coalition, development has marched on and the city is moving North whether anybody likes it or not.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

ann.orlando@cox.net

Sent:

Monday, June 01, 2009 10:57 PM

·To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009 NWC OF PIMA & DYNAMITE

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I will be unable to attend the June 4th public hearing regarding a request for a General Plan amendment from Rural Neighborhood to Neighborhood Commercial. This proposal would put a variety of commercial properties, including a gas station, on the northwest corner of Pima and Dynamite.

I am most emphatically OPPOSED to this concept. Less than three miles away, at the corner of Dynamite and Alma School Parkway, there is already such a conglomeration of commercial establishments, including restaurants, a gas station and mini-market, a bank, Walgreen's, and a feed store, among other businesses.

To replicate such a commercial corner at Pima and Dynamite would be a blight on this entire area which is characterized by the natural beauty of Pinnacle Peak, Troom Mountain, national forest land, McDowell Mt. Preserve areas, and other natural desert topographical features that do not exist elsewhere in the surrounding areas of Scottsdale and northeast Phoenix.

Thank you.

Ann Orlando, Troon North Resident



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

jvanasek@msn.com

Sent:

Monday, June 01, 2009 9:40 AM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

Proposed Project at Pima and Dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

As a resident of Bouldercrest in Troon North I am adamately opposed to the proposed project bringing more retail to an area which is in no need of additional retail capacity. Quite the contrary there is already an excess of retail development with empty store fronts on Pima, Scottsdale, Hayden and Dynamite Rds. No amount of blending into the environment can overcome the fact that this is retail space with parking lots, lights etc. PLEASE do not approve more of this kind of development. The entire reason we moved to this area was to minimize urban sprawl and retail overdevelopment.

James G. Vanasek



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

Susan@stkauffman.com

Sent:

Wednesday, May 27, 2009 9:37 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

Regarding 3-GP - 2009 My husband and I are absolutely opposed to any changes to the Desert Foothills Overlay. And we are especially opposed to any retail merchants on that area.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

thestones3@cox.net

Sent:

Wednesday, May 27, 2009 5:14 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009 NWC of Pima and Dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I am opposed to a gas station at Pima and Dynamite for these reasons:

- 1. Since there is a gas station at Dynamite and Alma School Parkway and another at Pima and Pinnacle Peak, it seems unnecessary to put a third at Dynamite and Pima.
- 2. Both of the above gas stations are in shopping centers. The one at Pima and Dynamite would stand alone, and that would ruin the look of that entire corner. The remaining three corners cannot be built on.
- 3. Gas mileage is improving every year, which means drivers can go longer between fill-ups and, therefore, we need fewer gas stations, not more.
- 4. And don't forget the beauty of our disappearing desert. How sad to lose that corner to a gas station.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

mrsandwdg@aol.com

Sent:

Tuesday, May 26, 2009 6:04 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

gas station

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

I am OPPOSED to the building of a gas station at dynamite intersection.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

smaino@msn.com

Sent:

Tuesday, May 26, 2009 6:41 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3GP2009 NWC Pima & Dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

As a resident of Troon North, off Dynamite Road I strongly object to any development of Pima & Dynamite. There is a gas station on Dynamite & Alma School and one off Pinacle & Pima. Are we to be inundated with Gas stations and quick shops as in Phoenix and lower Scottsdale? There is a big very Vacant complex of so called office condos by Estancia which is an eyesore in our very residential area. How that ever went through, I can't imagine.

PLEASE! PLEASE do not approve any more non essential commercial development in our area.

Thank you,

Sandra Maino

10152 E. White Feather Lane Scottsdale, AZ 85262



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

jeffbuffoni@aol.com

Sent:

Tuesday, May 26, 2009 8:31 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3-GP-2009 NWC OF PIMA & DYNAMITE

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

3-GP-2009 NWC OF PIMA & DYNAMITE

I stongly oppose the construction of a gas station at Pima and Dynamite. This rual / residential land should not be commercialized.

I own a commercial property that had its water contaminated from a gas station's leaky tanks. Its been a total nightmare, but we are commercial and can bring in bottled water. The impact on a residental area would be devatating to the way of life we currently enjoy.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

bsa@alpert.net

Sent:

Wednesday, May 27, 2009 7:43 AM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

re: 3-gp32009

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

Please do not allow them to build there. If you change the rules for them, Henry Becker will follow. Please don't pass this.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

From:

kdischner@cox.net

Sent:

Wednesday, May 27, 2009 9:25 AM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

3GP 2009 NWCpima-dynamite

Importance: High

City of Scottsdale Email

We already have a gas station at Dynamite and Alma School. We do not need another one to further blight the scenic corridor and pollute the night sky. Please reconsider allowing this. It's not PROGRESS, it's GREED! I for one will boycott it if allowed.



Home | Residents | Business | Visitors | Online Services

Events | Jobs | Services | Departments | City News

Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Contact Us

Fuller, Bonnie

From:

support@click2mail.com

Sent:

Thursday, May 14, 2009 10:56 AM

To:

Fuller, Bonnie

Subject:

Click2Mail Order 40672602 Received

Dear City of Scottsdale,

Thank you for submitting your Click2Mail order. For your reference, your order is 40672602. This order used the document named OPEN HOUSE POSTCARD 3-GP-2009.

When your order is sent for printing, you will receive another email.

If you submitted payment information online, a copy of your invoice is below:

Pricing for mailing

Postage Costs

Postage (Unsorted first class card) ... \$0.280 x 1 = \$0.280 Postage (Automation first class card) ... $$0.230 \times 33 = 7.590

Total Postage Costs ... \$7.870 Production Costs

Printing and finishing OPEN HOUSE POSTCARD 3-GP-2009 using Black and White printing as double-sided on White $80 \# Uncoated \dots \$0.082 \times 34 = \2.780

Total Production Costs ... \$2.780

Total Pricing for mailing ... \$10.650

Total ... \$10.650

If you have any questions, please contact Customer Support at support@click2mail.com or by phone at (866) 665-2787 Monday through Friday between the hours of 9 am and 8 pm EST.

As a Click2Mail customer, we value your opinion and would like to hear your feedback regarding your Click2Mail experience.

Please take a moment to complete our Site Survey at:

. http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=WFw%2f8h4Usn3DclCf%2bEEZug%3d%3d&c=Passes

Sincerely,

Click2Mail Customer Support Team http://www.click2mail.com

From:

Padian, Connie

Sent:

Tuesday, May 12, 2009 4:24 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject: FW: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Connie Padian Planning and Development Services Administrator 480-312-2664

From: John Aleo [mailto:jsaleo@q.com]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 3:37 PM
To: 'George Knowlton'; Littlefield, Robert

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; howard.myers@cox.net; 'Marg Nelssen'; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; rvairo@coppeak.org;

Richert, David E; Little, John C.; Padian, Connie; City Council

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

They are. Who else? It's who you know; not necessary what you know. They are the best at what they do. Not necessary good for those left behind. They have done some good things. However, we don't want them in our neighborhood. The equestrians are constantly being driven out of town because of developers getting their way. They think that a proper trails including congestion that all the commercial uses brings. Instead of leaving some areas as the residents desire; some think planting a few desert plants around a shopping center maintains the pristine desert. What a joke!

Do you remember when you could ride your horse into old downtown and not have your life threatened by a lack of safety issues? Try it today. It's called "progress". Some call it greed. Those with a few bucks want more; usually at the expense of others. I have watched development for over 40 years on both sides of the fence. Some good and some bad. I have seen neighborhoods change for the worst because of bad political decisions. Look at what was an equestrian area wedge between Ganey Ranch and McCormick Ranch along Scottsdale Rd. Where do those people ride their horses? In the median on Scottsdale Rd. I remember when those areas were pristine desert with prominent horse ranches. Look at the greed from south Scottsdale to the north. That's progress.

John

From: George Knowlton [mailto:fixscottsdale@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 2:45 PM **To:** John Aleo; Bob Littlefield (CC)

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; howard.myers@cox.net; Marg Nelssen; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; rvairo@coppeak.org;

drichert@scottsdaleaz.gov; John C. Little; Connie Padian

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Importance: High

Gee folks!!! That sounds like a prominent property owner we all know who owns a large top drawer corner in the southern portion of the city who will most probably be asking the city not only to approve a ridiculous height and density but demanding that the zoning change include a large subsidy with rabid and blind support of the Scottsdale Area Chamber. It's in the works and coming folks, get ready. Could Technical Solutions and John Berry be working behind the scenes on this one too???

George

From: jsaleo@q.com

To: rlittlefield@scottsdaleaz.gov

CC: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; howard.myers@cox.net; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; rvairo@coppeak.org; DRichert@scottsdaleaz.gov;

jlittle@scottsdaleaz.gov; cpadian@scottsdaleaz.gov

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009 Date: Tue, 12 May 2009 12:31:04 -0700

Bob, I believe that your assessment is correct. You have always been honest and forthright. They don't care; they're just waiting for retirement and need something to do in between now and then.

About getting my 10 acre property rezoned to a mixed use combination 100-story office building and retail, can I have it because I want it and deserve it. You know "I am who I am". Isn't that a quote from movie "Moses/Ten Commandments"? If any of these applicants can win their case, then I want mine included. Maybe some of the other neighbors want to change their zoning also. I have been a property owner in the area longer then the current applicants. Doesn't that mean anything? Besides, I want it; aren't I entitled to make more money? I don't care if I purchased my property under the pretense of residential zoning. I changed my mind. Don't you like me? We don't have a 100-story office building in my area and I decided that we need one. Now, come on; you know that I deserve it. If you don't give me what I want; I'll hire John Berry. Do I need to go through the process? Just let me have the change. I helped design the Desert Foothills Character Study; so what. Who cares? Can you get five council members to agree that I deserve everything that I can get? I am me; don't forget that. Please, pretty please; give me the change in zoning that I request. If you don't, I won't like you anymore. And, I'll call Henry Becker.

All the above sounds ridiculous and absurd. That's exactly how these two cases sound to me.

John

From: Littlefield, Robert [mailto:rlittlefield@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 11:34 AM

To: John Aleo

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; Howard Myers; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; Robert J. Vairo; Richert, David E; Little, John C.

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

The purpose of getting the community involved in the Desert Foothills Character Area Plan/Study some years ago was to fool you all into believing that the city cares about your neighborhood.

I think that worked for awhile.

From: John Aleo [mailto:jsaleo@q.com]

Sent: Tue 5/12/2009 10:19 AM

To: Padian, Connie

Cc: Hadder, Don; City Council; Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com;

fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; Howard Myers; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com;

copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; Robert J. Vairo; Richert, David E; Little, John C.

Subject: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Connie,

What was the purpose of getting the community involved in the Desert Foothills Character Area Plan/Study some years ago that clearly exhibited the residents desire of keeping the area rural in nature with only allowing those commercial properties already zoned prior to annexation to the City of Scottsdale?

I and many others spent many hours in meetings with city staff to produce a document that expressed at least the majority of the residents of our areas desire not to have our area look like Scottsdale south of the Pinnacle Peak Rd.. Now, commercial has moved farther north. We want to maintain our low density rural and equestrian lifestyle. How can that be done with an increase in commercial activity?

Wouldn't it be prudent for staff to advise an applicant that such a document exists and based on that staff could not recommend any change of zoning or use to the DRB, Planning Commission or the City Council because the residents of the area have already spoken in the form of said document? This practice would avoid the expense of resources by all parties concerned. I am sure that both applicants in these mentioned cases have already spent plenty of time and money just preparing for a preliminary hearing and will spend much more as time progresses which will set their determination to win their case. Meanwhile, the residents in the area will prepare to defeat these requests; also spending a lot of resources.

Why do the residents of the area have to do it all over again and again? Wasn't the message clear enough from the residents of the area when they went through great effort in preparing a document that they thought the city staff would follow? What is wrong with the picture? Does anyone on the city staff understand the purpose of the document? Does it serve no purpose? It was not only prepared for our benefit as residents of the area; but also for the benefit of those who purchase property in this area including speculators who think that they can purchase property at residential prices and later benefit by requesting a zoning change to increase the value of their property. If we are not going to follow the plan set forth; then I want my 10 acres rezoned for 100 story office and retail combination

Could you please answer my questions? I am somewhat dismayed that so much time was spent on the document that doesn't seem to have any meaning. If I know what staff's position is, then I will know better whether or not to spend any of my time in the future on such projects. Thank you for all that you do.

Best regards,

John S. Aleo CRB CRS GRI Realtor Emeritus

Designated Broker

ALEO REALTY GROUP, LLC

Scottsdale, Arizona

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. It may contain information that is confidential and prohibited from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this message or any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the original sender email and destroy this e-mail, along with any attachments. This footer also confirms that this e-mail message has been scanned for the presence of computer viruses. Thank you.

From:

Padian, Connie

Sent:

Tuesday, May 12, 2009 3:07 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject:

FW: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Importance: High

Connie Padian Planning and Development Services Administrator 480-312-2664

From: George Knowlton [mailto:fixscottsdale@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 2:45 PM **To:** John Aleo; Littlefield, Robert

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; howard.myers@cox.net; Marg Nelssen; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; rvairo@coppeak.org;

Richert, David E; Little, John C.; Padian, Connie

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Importance: High

Gee folks!!! That sounds like a prominent property owner we all know who owns a large top drawer corner in the southern portion of the city who will most probably be asking the city not only to approve a ridiculous height and density but demanding that the zoning change include a large subsidy with rabid and blind support of the Scottsdale Area Chamber. It's in the works and coming folks, get ready. Could Technical Solutions and John Berry be working behind the scenes on this one too???

George

From: jsaleo@q.com

To: rlittlefield@scottsdaleaz.gov

CC: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; howard.myers@cox.net; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; rvairo@coppeak.org; DRichert@scottsdaleaz.gov;

jlittle@scottsdaleaz.gov; cpadian@scottsdaleaz.gov

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009 Date: Tue, 12 May 2009 12:31:04 -0700 Bob, I believe that your assessment is correct. You have always been honest and forthright. They don't care; they're just waiting for retirement and need something to do in between now and then.

About getting my 10 acre property rezoned to a mixed use combination 100-story office building and retail, can I have it because I want it and deserve it. You know "I am who I am". Isn't that a quote from movie "Moses/Ten Commandments"? If any of these applicants can win their case, then I want mine included. Maybe some of the other neighbors want to change their zoning also. I have been a property owner in the area longer then the current applicants. Doesn't that mean anything? Besides, I want it; aren't I entitled to make more money? I don't care if I purchased my property under the pretense of residential zoning. I changed my mind. Don't you like me? We don't have a 100-story office building in my area and I decided that we need one. Now, come on; you know that I deserve it. If you don't give me what I want; I'll hire John Berry. Do I need to go through the process? Just let me have the change. I helped design the Desert Foothills Character Study; so what. Who cares? Can you get five council members to agree that I deserve everything that I can get? I am me; don't forget that. Please, pretty please; give me the change in zoning that I request. If you don't, I won't like you anymore. And, I'll call Henry Becker.

All the above sounds ridiculous and absurd. That's exactly how these two cases sound to me.

John

From: Littlefield, Robert [mailto:rlittlefield@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 11:34 AM

To: John Aleo

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; Howard Myers; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net;

Robert J. Vairo; Richert, David E; Little, John C.

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

The purpose of getting the community involved in the Desert Foothills Character Area Plan/Study some years ago was to fool you all into believing that the city cares about your neighborhood.

I think that worked for awhile.

From: John Aleo [mailto:jsaleo@q.com]

Sent: Tue 5/12/2009 10:19 AM

To: Padian, Connie

Cc: Hadder, Don; City Council; Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com;

fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; Howard Myers; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com;

copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; Robert J. Vairo; Richert, David E; Little, John C.

Subject: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Connie,

What was the purpose of getting the community involved in the Desert Foothills Character Area Plan/Study some years ago that clearly exhibited the residents desire of keeping the area rural in nature with only allowing those commercial properties already zoned prior to annexation to the City of Scottsdale?

I and many others spent many hours in meetings with city staff to produce a document that expressed at least the majority of the residents of our areas desire not to have our area look like Scottsdale south of the Pinnacle Peak Rd.. Now, commercial has moved farther north. We want to maintain our low density rural and equestrian lifestyle. How can that be done with an increase in commercial activity?

Wouldn't it be prudent for staff to advise an applicant that such a document exists and based on that staff could not recommend any change of zoning or use to the DRB, Planning Commission or the City Council because the residents of the area have already spoken in the form of said document? This practice would avoid the expense of resources by all parties concerned. I am sure that both applicants in these mentioned cases have already spent plenty of time and money just preparing for a preliminary hearing and will spend much more as time progresses which will set their determination to win their case. Meanwhile, the residents in the area will prepare to defeat these requests; also spending a lot of resources.

Why do the residents of the area have to do it all over again and again? Wasn't the message clear enough from the residents of the area when they went through great effort in preparing a document that they thought the city staff would follow? What is wrong with the picture? Does anyone on the city staff understand the purpose of the document? Does it serve no purpose? It was not only prepared for our benefit as residents of the area; but also for the benefit of those who purchase property in this area including speculators who think that they can purchase property at residential prices and later benefit by requesting a zoning change to increase the value of their property. If we are not going to follow the plan set forth; then I want my 10 acres rezoned for 100 story office and retail combination

Could you please answer my questions? I am somewhat dismayed that so much time was spent on the document that doesn't seem to have any meaning. If I know what staff's position is, then I will know better whether or not to spend any of my time in the future on such projects. Thank you for all that you do.

Best regards,

John S. Aleo CRB CRS GRI Realtor Emeritus
Designated Broker

ALEO REALTY GROUP, LLC

Scottsdale, Arizona

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. It may contain information that is confidential and prohibited from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this message or any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the original sender email and destroy this e-mail, along with any attachments. This footer also confirms that this e-mail message has been scanned for the presence of computer viruses. Thank you.

From:

Padian, Connie

Sent:

Tuesday, May 12, 2009 3:06 PM

To:

Cookson, Frances

Subject: FW: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Connie Padian Planning and Development Services Administrator 480-312-2664

From: John Aleo [mailto:jsaleo@q.com] Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 12:31 PM

To: Littlefield, Robert

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; 'Howard Myers'; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net;

'Robert J. Vairo'; Richert, David E; Little, John C.; Padian, Connie

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Bob, I believe that your assessment is correct. You have always been honest and forthright. They don't care; they're just waiting for retirement and need something to do in between now and then.

About getting my 10 acre property rezoned to a mixed use combination 100-story office building and retail, can I have it because I want it and deserve it. You know "I am who I am". Isn't that a quote from movie "Moses/Ten Commandments"? If any of these applicants can win their case, then I want mine included. Maybe some of the other neighbors want to change their zoning also. I have been a property owner in the area longer then the current applicants. Doesn't that mean anything? Besides, I want it; aren't I entitled to make more money? I don't care if I purchased my property under the pretense of residential zoning. I changed my mind. Don't you like me? We don't have a 100-story office building in my area and I decided that we need one. Now, come on; you know that I deserve it. If you don't give me what I want; I'll hire John Berry. Do I need to go through the process? Just let me have the change. I helped design the Desert Foothills Character Study; so what. Who cares? Can you get five council members to agree that I deserve everything that I can get? I am me; don't forget that. Please, pretty please; give me the change in zoning that I request. If you don't, I won't like you anymore. And, I'll call Henry Becker.

All the above sounds ridiculous and absurd. That's exactly how these two cases sound to me.

John

From: Littlefield, Robert [mailto:rlittlefield@scottsdaleaz.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2009 11:34 AM

To: John Aleo

Cc: Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com; fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; Howard Myers; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com; copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; Robert J. Vairo; Richert, David E: Little. John C.

Subject: RE: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

The purpose of getting the community involved in the Desert Foothills Character Area Plan/Study some years ago was to fool you all into believing that the city cares about your neighborhood.

I think that worked for awhile.

From: John Aleo [mailto:jsaleo@g.com]

Sent: Tue 5/12/2009 10:19 AM

To: Padian, Connie

Cc: Hadder, Don; City Council; Ifernwalt@gmail.com; jtheitel@cox.net; ericjhess@msn.com;

fixscottsdale@hotmail.com; Howard Myers; margart@earthlink.net; scottsdalejackie@aol.com; bbpete@q.com;

copperphillips@cox.net; kspayd@cox.net; Robert J. Vairo; Richert, David E; Little, John C.

Subject: 2-GP-2009, 3-GP-2009

Connie,

What was the purpose of getting the community involved in the Desert Foothills Character Area Plan/Study some years ago that clearly exhibited the residents desire of keeping the area rural in nature with only allowing those commercial properties already zoned prior to annexation to the City of Scottsdale?

I and many others spent many hours in meetings with city staff to produce a document that expressed at least the majority of the residents of our areas desire not to have our area look like Scottsdale south of the Pinnacle Peak Rd.. Now, commercial has moved farther north. We want to maintain our low density rural and equestrian lifestyle. How can that be done with an increase in commercial activity?

Wouldn't it be prudent for staff to advise an applicant that such a document exists and based on that staff could not recommend any change of zoning or use to the DRB, Planning Commission or the City Council because the residents of the area have already spoken in the form of said document? This practice would avoid the expense of resources by all parties concerned. I am sure that both applicants in these mentioned cases have already spent plenty of time and money just preparing for a preliminary hearing and will spend much more as time progresses which will set their determination to win their case. Meanwhile, the residents in the area will prepare to defeat these requests; also spending a lot of resources.

Why do the residents of the area have to do it all over again and again? Wasn't the message clear enough from the residents of the area when they went through great effort in preparing a document that they thought the city staff would follow? What is wrong with the picture? Does anyone on the city staff understand the purpose of the document? Does it serve no purpose? It was not only prepared for our benefit as residents of the area; but also for the benefit of those who purchase property in this area including speculators who think that they can purchase property at residential prices and later benefit by requesting a zoning change to increase the value of their property. If we are not going to follow the plan set forth; then I want

my 10 acres rezoned for 100 story office and retail combination

Could you please answer my questions? I am somewhat dismayed that so much time was spent on the document that doesn't seem to have any meaning. If I know what staff's position is, then I will know better whether or not to spend any of my time in the future on such projects. Thank you for all that you do.

Best regards,

John S. Aleo CRB CRS GRI Realtor Emeritus
Designated Broker

ALEO REALTY GROUP, LLC

Scottsdale, Arizona

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. It may contain information that is confidential and prohibited from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this message or any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the original sender email and destroy this e-mail, along with any attachments. This footer also confirms that this e-mail message has been scanned for the presence of computer viruses. Thank you.