

Glen Wollenhaupt

From: Curtis, Tim [tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2008 10:58 AM
To: Glen Wollenhaupt
Cc: Ekblaw, Kroy; Matthew Pridemore ; John F Kane; Tom Reilly
Subject: RE: ss3 SkySong 3 DRB Application clarifications

Glen,
The responses are below. Let me know if you have any more questions.
Thanks,
Tim Curtis

From: Glen Wollenhaupt [mailto:glenwollenhaupt@architekton.com]
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2008 10:26 AM
To: Curtis, Tim
Cc: Ekblaw, Kroy; Matthew Pridemore ; John F Kane; Tom Reilly
Subject: ss3 SkySong 3 DRB Application clarifications

Tim,

Thank you for meeting us last Tuesday to go over the SkySong 3 DRB submittal requirements.

We have a few questions/clarifications regarding the checklist items. Please see the items below:
(Checklist from the meeting attached w/ items highlighted).

Part I – Item 4 Prop 207

Is this item checked or N/A, not applicable?

If required, please confirm that this is to be signed and dated by both an ASUF (Lease) representative *and/or* a City of Scottsdale representative (Owner)?

A: This is not applicable. No further action needed.

Part I – Item 5 Letter of Authorization Affidavit

Please confirm that this is to be signed and dated by both an ASUF (Lease) representative *and* a City of Scottsdale representative (Owner)?

A: Kroy signs on behalf of City, with consent from ASUF.

**Part I – Item 13 Policy or Appeals of required Dedication
Form was not included in the packet.**

Is this item checked or N/A, not applicable?

If required, please confirm that this is to be signed and dated by both an ASUF (Lease) representative *and* a City of Scottsdale representative (Owner)?

A: This is not applicable. No further action needed.

Part I – Item 18 Neighborhood

Who is the city contact to get address's for HOA's and 'interested parties'.

(We have downloaded the property owners within 750' of the properties from the assessor's web site)

A: This will be discussed in greater detail next Wednesday morning with City management direction.

Part V – Item 1 Alta survey

88-DR-2005#6
03-16-2009

Because this is City of Scottsdale owned, is an Alta Survey not older than 30 days still required?

A: We'll need, at a minimum, a comprehensive survey of all utilities and all know existing/proposed easements.

Part V – Item 3 Drainage Report

Will the “Master Drainage Report for ASU Scottsdale Center for New Technology and Innovation” prepared by Wood Patel submitted cover this DRB submittal, or will this have to be amended?

A: We'll need, at a minimum, an addendum to the Master Drainage Report along with the original Master Drainage Report to understand drainage impacts associated with SS3.

Part V – Item 11 Approval For Water Features

On the submittal we will show proposed water feature locations on the site plan and renderings. The water features will only be conceptually designed in this phase. Is the letter required for this application or can this be a deferred to a later submittal?

A: This is only needed when you have detailed info on the fountain, so this can be deferred for now.

Part VII – Item 3 Massing Model

Are images from a 3d digital site model showing existing and future development acceptable?

A: That will be acceptable. We can discuss more after we see it.

Regards,

Glen Wollenhaupt AIA, LEED AP

ARCHITEKTON

464 S Farmer Ave, Suite 101, Tempe, AZ 85281

T 480 894 4637 (Ext. 249) F 480 894 4638

www.architekton.com