Anderson, Richard

From:

Anderson, Richard

Sent:

Friday, August 14, 2015 10:00 AM

To:

'traver.jones@kimley-horn.com'; Steve.Haney@kimley-horn.com

Cc:

Couch, Ashley, Clack, Michael; Grant, Randy; Murillo, Jesus; Venker, Steve; rorme@mark-

taylor.com

Subject:

FW: Mark-Taylor Silverstone Updated Drainage

Attachments:

2015-08-06 UG Storage Exhibit.pdf; 2015-08-07 Delineation Map.pdf; 2015-08-07

Grading and Drainage Plan.pdf; 2015-08-07 Storm Drain and Wall Plan.pdf; Stormwater

Detention-Calculations 2015-08-05 pdf, City of Scottsdale - Underground Stormwater

Storage Policy.pdf

I have reviewed the revised stormwater storage design and analysis provided in the below e-mail for the use of partial underground stormwater storage and found it to be acceptable. The information in the below e-mail will be considered a revision to previously approved preliminary grading and drainage plan and drainage report with respect to the revised design and analysis presented.

The stipulations for the case will include verbage relating to the use of underground storage stating the storage will need to comply will the provisions of the City's underground storage policy as detailed in section 4-1.403 of the City's Design Standards and Policies Manual. A copy of the policy is attached.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Richard M. Anderson, P.E., CFM Senior Civil Engineer Stormwater Management City of Scottsdale

Phone: 480-312-2729 Fax: 480-312-9202

From: traver.jones@kimley-horn.com [mailto:traver.jones@kimley-horn.com]

Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 3:05 PM

To: Anderson, Richard

Cc: Steve.Haney@kimley-horn.com; rorme@mark-taylor.com

Subject: Mark-Taylor Silverstone Updated Drainage

Rich-

Thanks for taking the time to meet with us to discuss the Silverstone project on Wednesday. As discussed, we've reduced the surface detention area within the scenic corridor area to approximately 25% and provided underground detention for the displaced volume. I've attached a few exhibits and some calculations, and the "2015-08-06 UG Storage Exhibit" is intended to provide you with an overview of our design for the revised system.

As you can see, we are providing underground detention in 6' and 8' diameter tanks, and these tanks can bleed off at a controlled rate to the channel outfall. We're providing overflow structures immediately upstream of each of the

underground tanks. These structures will ensure that the underground detention fills first, and then once the tanks are full the storm water will bypass the tanks and discharge to the surface basins.

Could you please review the revised drainage concept and let me know if you find this to be sufficient? Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns, of if you need any additional information. Thanks,

Traver Jones, P.E. Kimley-Horn | 7740 North 16th Street, Suite 300, Phoenix, AZ 85020 Direct: 602 216 1234

Anderson, Richard

From: traver.jones@kimley-horn.com

Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 3:05 PM Anderson, Richard

To: Anderson, Richard
Cc: Steve.Haney@kimley-horn.com; rorme@mark-taylor.com

Subject: Mark-Taylor Silverstone Updated Drainage

Attachments: 2015-08-06 UG Storage Exhibit.pdf; 2015-08-07 Delineation Map.pdf; 2015-08-07

Grading and Drainage Plan.pdf; 2015-08-07 Storm Drain and Wall Plan.pdf; Stormwater

Detention Calculations 2015-08-05.pdf

Rich-

Thanks for taking the time to meet with us to discuss the Silverstone project on Wednesday. As discussed, we've reduced the surface detention area within the scenic corridor area to approximately 25% and provided underground detention for the displaced volume. I've attached a few exhibits and some calculations, and the "2015-08-06 UG Storage Exhibit" is intended to provide you with an overview of our design for the revised system.

As you can see, we are providing underground detention in 6' and 8' diameter tanks, and these tanks can bleed off at a controlled rate to the channel outfall. We're providing overflow structures immediately upstream of each of the underground tanks. These structures will ensure that the underground detention fills first, and then once the tanks are full the storm water will bypass the tanks and discharge to the surface basins.

Could you please review the revised drainage concept and let me know if you find this to be sufficient? Feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns, of if you need any additional information. Thanks,

Traver Jones, P.E. Kimley-Horn | 7740 North 16th Street, Suite 300, Phoenix, AZ 85020

Direct: 602 216 1234

Case Drainage Report Review - Mark Taylor Silverstone - Parcel E

PROJECT NAME: MARK TAYLOR SILVERSTONE — PARCEL E OF SILVERSTONE LOCATION: SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SILVERSTONE DRIVE AND SCOTTSDALE ROAD

CASE NUMBERS: 53-DR-2014

Review comments for case drainage report by Kimley-Horn, sealed March 10, 2015. The drainage report was received by the City of Scottsdale (City) on March 16, 2015. Our comments also reflect the information contained in the submittal for case 53-DR-2014. The date of our review is April 1, 2015; our review comments-are as follows:

- As an informational item, case drainage reports submitted in support of preliminary plat and development review applications should include a 90% level of design and analysis to allow an accurate analysis of the viability of the proposed project and an in-depth evaluation of the function and design of the stormwater management system by City staff.
- 2. The preliminary drainage report calculates a required detention volume for the development of around 44,200 cubic feet based on reduced rainfall from NOAA 14 hydrology and the City's recent changes in stormwater storage policy relating to previously developed sites. As previously stated, the required detention volume for this parcel was determined to be around 130,000 cubic feet per the approved stormwater master plan for Silverstone. The approved stormwater master plan must be revised to obtain approval for a reduction in the required stormwater storage for this parcel. Additionally, the revision to the stormwater master plan must be approved prior to development review approval of this case since the site design and layout of the development depend on the reduced stormwater storage volume as determined in the preliminary drainage report. The analysis in the revision to the stormwater master plan for the existing condition weighted C coefficient will to include 1) an exhibit based on aerial photographs showing previous development and showing the areas associated with different C coefficients 2) weighted C coefficient calculations for areas and associated C values as determined from the aerial photograph exhibit. The required stormwater storage volume as determined as part of the revised stormwater master plan will be used in the preliminary drainage report.
- 3. The approved stormwater master plan for Silverstone shows the bulk of this site draining to the east into what was supposed to be a stormwater storage basin designed for full storage located in the southeastern portion of the site and then draining into the storm drain outfall located at the southeast corner of the site. The approved stormwater master plan for Silverstone did not include provision for any drainage from this parcel to the parcel to the south in the developed condition. The preliminary drainage report shows almost the entire development draining to the west to proposed stormwater storage basins located along Scottsdale Road. The issue of draining the parcel inconsistent with the approved master plan should be evaluated as part of a revision to the approved stormwater master plan for Silverstone and submitted for City review and approval.
- 4. The City still has concerns about proposed improvements and grading located at the south end of the scenic corridor along Scottsdale Road and the ability of the future developer of parcel D to tie-in to these improvements. In short, provisions will need to be included in the design of the project to allow the future developer of parcel D to tie into the proposed storm drain outlet at an elevation that is consistent with the grading design proposed by this project. Additionally, the design of southern end of the southern stormwater storage basin includes a berm or levee that may be subject to failure.

- 5. Section 5.2 of the report should clarify if proposed storm drains will be designed to convey 100-year flows.
- 6. Section 3.7 states the outfall elevation of headwalls will be raised one foot above the basin bottom for water quality purposes. The City will allow up to a maximum of up to 6 inches of depth of retention for basins designed as detention basins and 3 inches is preferable due to the potential for standing water.
- 7. A pdf copy of the preliminary drainage report should be provided with the revised drainage report for City records.

Richard M. Anderson, P.E., CFM Senior Civil Engineer Stormwater Management Division City of Scottsdale

Phone: 480-312-2729 Fax: 480-312-9202













