WATER BASIS OF DESIGN

Cielo Stellato

Accepted w/ Comment

City of Scottsdale Water Resources Administration 9379 E. San Salvador Scottsdale, AZ 85258

May Many 6.8.16

Prepared for:

Pinnacle Land Development, LLC 7440 E. Pinnacle Peak Road Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Prepared by:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 7740 N. 16th Street, Suite 300 Phoenix, Arizona 85020

Kimley»Horn

29101500 July 2016 Copyright © 2016, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

3-PP-2016 07/29/16 **Preliminary Water Basis of Design**

CIELO STELLATO



Exp. Date 03/31/18

JULY 2016

Prepared By:

Kimley »Horn

Contents

Introduction	1
Intent	1
Project Description	1
Distribution System Description	1
Existing Distribution System	1
Proposed Distribution System	1
Basis of Design	2
Design Methodology	2
Water System Analysis	2
Results	2

Tables

 2
2

Appendices

Appendix A – Site Location Map

Appendix B - Proposed Water System Layout

Appendix C – WaterCAD Analysis Results

Appendix D – Fire Flow Test Results

 \sim

INTRODUCTION

INTENT

The purpose of this water report is to support the water system for the proposed Cielo Stellato residential development located at the northeast corner of Lone Mountain Parkway and Via Cortana Road in Scottsdale, Arizona. This report presents the basis of design criteria that will be used for the engineering design of the proposed development utilizing current water design standards and guidelines set forth by the City of Scottsdale, Arizona.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Cielo Stellato is located within Section 33 of Township 6 North, Range 5 East of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Maricopa County, Arizona. The site is bound to the west by Lone Mountain Parkway and Via Cortana Road to the south. The site is surrounded by existing single family residential developments. See **Appendix A: Site Location Map**

Cielo Stellato is a proposed 20-acre single family residential subdivision, consisting of 14 single family residential units. The existing and proposed zoning of the project is R1-43.

DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

EXISTING DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

The site is surrounded by existing single family residential development. Per the City of Scottsdale Quarter Section Map 61-54 there is an existing 12-inch DIP waterline in Long Mountain Parkway directly to the west of the site. An 8-inch DIP waterline exists in Cortana Road directly to the south of the site. Additionally, there is an 8-inch waterline stub off the line in Cortana Road, located at the existing driveway.

According to Figure 6.1-3 of the City of Scottsdale Design Standards and Policies Manual (DS&PM), the site is located in Pressure Zones 13 and 14 with existing ground elevation ranging from 2830 feet in the south to 2880 feet in the north.

PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

The proposed Cielo Stellato site is in pressure zones 13A and 14A. The Zone 13A water system will connect to the City of Scottsdale waterline stub in Cortana Road for the 4 southernmost lots on site. The rest of the lots will be serviced from a Zone 14A tap in the existing 12" City of Scottsdale waterline in Lone Mountain Parkway, north of PRV #314. The proposed on-site distribution system will consist of an 8" Class 350 DIP water line that will provide potable water and fire protection. Refer to **Appendix B** for the Proposed Water System Layout Exhibit.

BASIS OF DESIGN

DESIGN METHODOLOGY

The WaterCAD v8i water system modeling software distributed by Haestad Methods, Inc. was used to model the proposed water network. A fire flow test was performed to determine the residual and static pressures of the existing system. The fire flow test was performed on existing hydrants along Cortana Road to the south of the site. See **Appendix D** for complete fire flow test results.

According to Section 6-1.407 of the DSPM, distribution systems shall be designed with a minimum residual pressure of 50 psi and a maximum static pressure of 120 psi. For fire flow scenarios, a minimum design pressure of 30 psi is required.

WATER SYSTEM ANALYSIS

The proposed water distribution system for the project is modeled under 4 design scenarios. Average Day, Max Day, Peak Hour and Max Day plus Fire Flows scenarios are modeled. Average Day Demands are based on Figure 6.1-2 in the DS&PM, with peaking factors per section 6-1.404. A fire flow of 1,000 gpm per section 6-1.501 of the DS&PM was used. See **Table 1** below for a summary of water demands.

Table 1 Water Demands

Land Use	Dwelling units (du)	Average Daily Demand (gpd/du)	Average Daily Flow (gpd)	ADF (gpm)	Max Day Flow (gpd)	MDF (gpm)	Peak Hour Flow (gpd)	PHF (gpm)
≪2 රෝකා	143	435.6	6,793	4.7	18,597	94	28,794	18.5

Average Day, Max Day, and Peak Hour Demands are applied at hydraulic model nodes based on number of adjacent proposed units. Fire flow demands are applied to all junctions within the project boundary.

RESULTS

Based on the fire flow tests performed and the results of the WaterCAD analysis, the proposed water system is capable of providing the required domestic flows at pressures ranging from 120 psi to 55 psi in the average day, max day, and peak hour scenarios. The fire flow pressures meet the minimum requirement of 30 psi, ranging from 50 psi to 103 psi.

Refer to Appendix C for the WaterCAD results.

Appendix A – Site Location Map



Appendix B – Proposed Water System Layout



Appendix C – WaterCAD Analysis Results

.

Average Day

Max Day

Ì

Peak Hour

Max Day Plus Fire Flow

FlexTable: Junction Table Active Scenario: Average Day

Label	Elevation (ft)	Demand (gpm)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)	Pressure (psi)	Zone
J-1	2,830.00	0	2,980.15	65.0	Zone 13
J-2	2,855.00	1	2,980.15	54.1	Zone 13
J-11	2,845.00	0	3,122.20	119.9	Zone 14
J-12	2,855.00	1	3,122.20	115.6	Zone 14
J-13	2,860.00	1	3,122.20	113.4	Zone 14
J-14	2,875.00	1	3,122.20	107.0	Zone 14

FlexTable: Pump Table Active Scenario: Average Day

:	ID	Label	Elevation (ft)	Pump Definition	Status (Initial)	Hydraulic Grade (Suction) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade (Discharge) (ft)	Flow (Total) (gpm)	Pump Head (ft)
	72	PMP-1	2,830.00	Fire Flow Test 1	On	2,830.00	2,980.15	1	150.15
Ľ	85	PMP-2	2,860.00	Fire Flow Test 2	On	2,860.00	3,122.20	3	262.20

7/19/2016

FlexTable: Reservoir Table Active Scenario: Average Day

ID	Label	Elevation (ft)	Zone	Flow (Out net) (gpm)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)
71	R-1	2,830.00	Zone 13	1	2,830.00
84	R-2	2,860.00	Zone 14	3	2,860.00

:

7/19/2016

FlexTable: Junction Table Active Scenario: Max Day

Label	Elevation (ft)	Demand (gpm)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)	Pressure (psi)	Zone
J-1	2,830.00	0	2,980.15	65.0	Zone 13
J-2	2,855.00	3	2,980.15	54.1	Zone 13
J-11	2,845.00	0	3,122.20	119.9	Zone 14
J-12	2,855.00	2	3,122.20	115.6	Zone 14
J-13	2,860.00	2	3,122.20	113.4	Zone 14
J-14	2,875.00	3	3,122.20	107.0	Zone 14

FlexTable: Pipe Table Active Scenario: Max Day

Label	Length (Scaled) (ft)	Start Node	Stop Node	Diameter (in)	Hazen- Williams C	Flow (gpm)	Velocity (ft/s)	Headloss Gradient (ft/ft)	Headloss (ft)
P-1	33	R-1	PMP-1	48.0	130.0	3	0.00	0.000	0.00
P-2	92	PMP-1	J-1	48.0	130.0	3	0.00	0.000	0.00
P-3	408	J-1	J-2	8.0	130.0	3	0.02	0.000	0.00
P-11	12	R-2	PMP-2	48.0	130.0	7	0.00	0.000	0.00
P-12	884	PMP-2	J-11	12.0	130.0	7	0.02	0.000	0.00
P-13	431	J-11	J-12	8.0	130.0	7	0.04	0.000	0.00
P-14	251	J-12	J-13	8.0	130.0	5	0.03	0.000	0.00
P-15	508	J-13	J-14	8.0	130.0	3	0.02	0.000	0.00

-

FlexTable: Junction Table Active Scenario: Peak Hour

Label	Elevation (ft)	Demand (gpm)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)	Pressure (psi)	Zone
J-1	2,830.00	0	2,980.15	65.0	Zone 13
J-2	2,855.00	5	2,980.15	54.1	Zone 13
J-11	2,845.00	0	3,122.20	119.9	Zone 14
J-12	2,855.00	4	3,122.19	115. 6	Zone 14
J-13	2,860.00	4	3,122.19	113.4	Zone 14
J-14	2,875.00	5	3,122.19	106.9	Zone 14

FlexTable: Pipe Table Active Scenario: Peak Hour

Label	Length (Scaled) (ft)	Start Node	Stop Node	Diameter (in)	Hazen- Williams C	Flow (gpm)	Velocity (ft/s)	Headloss Gradient (ft/ft)	Headloss (ft)
P-1	33	R-1	PMP-1	48.0	130.0	5	0.00	0.000	0.00
P-2	92	PMP-1	J-1	48.0	130.0	5	0.00	0.000	0.00
P-3	408	J-1	J-2	8.0	130.0	5	0.03	0.000	0.00
P-11	12	R-2	PMP-2	48.0	130.0	12	0.00	0.000	0.00
 _P_12_	884	PMP-2_	_J_11	12.0_	130.0_	12	0.03_	0.000_	0.00
P-13	431	J-11	J-12	8.0	130.0	12	0.07	0.000	0.00
P-14	251	J-12	J-13	8.0	130.0	8	0.05	0.000	0.00
P-15	508	J-13	J-14	8.0	130.0	5	0.03	0.000	0.00

FlexTable: Pump Table Active Scenario: Peak Hour

 $\left|\right\rangle$

l

ID	Label	Élevation (ft)	Pump Definition	Status (Initial)	Hydraulic Grade (Suction) (ft)	Hydraulic Grade (Discharge) (ft)	Flow (Total) (gpm)	Pump Head (ft)
72	PMP-1	2,830.00	Fire Flow Test 1	On	2,830.00	2,980.15	5	150.15
85	PMP-2	2,860.00	Fire Flow Test 2	On	2,860.00	3,122.20	12	262.20

FlexTable: Reservoir Table Active Scenario: Peak Hour

ID Label		Elevation (ft)	Zone	Flow (Out net) (gpm)	Hydraulic Grade (ft)
71	R-1	2,830.00	Zone 13	5	2,830.00
84	R-2	2,860.00	Zone 14	12	2,860.00

Ø

Fire Flow Node FlexTable: Fire Flow Report Active Scenario: Max Day + FF

Label	Label Elevation (ft)		Fire Flow (Available) (gpm)	Pressure (Calculated Zone Lower Limit @ Total Flow Needed) (psi)	Satisfies Fire Flow Constraints?		
J-1	2,830.00	1,000	2,354	49.2	True		
J-2	2,855.00	1,000	1,793	60.0	True		
J-11	2,845.00	1,000	4,454	102.1	True		
J-12	2,855.00	1,000	3,335	98.6	True		
J-13	2,860.00	1,000	2,968	96.6	True		
J-14	2,875.00	1,000	2,482	103.1	True		

7/19/2016

. .

Appendix D – Fire Flow Test Results

.

R

ſ



NOTES:

1. Flowing hydrant is assumed to be on a circulating main or downstream of the pressure test hydrant on a dead-end system.

- 2. Flow analysis assumes a gravity flow system with no distribution pumps and having no demand, other than the test
- 3. The distance between hydrants, elevations & main diameters are for information only.



ALLIANCE FIRE PROTECTION CO.

Phone: (480) 966-9178 Fax: (480) 967-9191 2114 East Cedar Street • Tempe, Arizona 85281 In E-mail Address: afpc@afpc.com AZ Lic. C-16 58130 AZ Lic. L-16 74007 NV Lic. C-41a 30135

FIRE HYDRANT FLOW TEST

Name	e: Kimley-Horn	1							Date:		5/30/16	-
29101500								Time:			30 AM	-
Lone Mountain Parkway & Standing Stones Road									Report # Tech:		Pfeiff	-
												-
							Flowing Hy	drant:	: <u>NEC Standing Stones &</u> Lone Mountain Pkwy			
Standing Stones Road						Flev	Elevation:					
Dist. I			rds				Type of S			AIN		
Dist. Between Hydrants: <u>75 Yards</u> Diameter of Main: Unknown						Hydrant: A A			В	В		
Static Pressure: A 114.0 B				1		Outlet Diameter: 4.0						
Residual Pressure: A 82.0 B			1		Pitot Reading: 53.0							
Pump Present: NO					Coeff:	0.90						
	Tank Pres	ent: NO	_				Discharge	GPM:	3127	0	0	0
	Req. G	PM:	Re	q. PSI:								
Re	Static pressur esidual pressur Available flov	re of 82	psi @ psi @	0 gpm 3127 gpm 5596 gpm	Re	esidual	pressure of pressure of able flow @	0	Flow B psi @ psi @ psi @		0 gpm 0 gpm gpm	
120				1		1		1				
	~~~~~											
100												
80						•.						
(iso												
()												
Gauge Pressure (psi)												
e Pro												
aug												
⁶ 40												
											1	
20												
20											•	
0 🌞 <del>De</del>	mand											
0	10	00	20	000	30 Flow (9	00 gpm)	40	00		5000		6000
Comments												

#### Comments

#### NOTES:

1. Flowing hydrant is assumed to be on a circulating main or downstream of the pressure test hydrant on a dead-end system.

- 2. Flow analysis assumes a gravity flow system with no distribution pumps and having no demand, other than the test
- 3. The distance between hydrants, elevations & main diameters are for information only.