Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards

APMI, Inc. 8300 North Hayden Road Suite A-209 Scottsdale. Arizona 85258

Tel: 480.998.0709
Fax: 480.998.7958
Email: apmi@apmi.com

Project Narrative: April 27, 2016

Please accept this letter as Project Narrative for DR Submittal for Mercado Del Lago Center – new multitenant building and overall center exterior refresh. This project will be for overall center and site upgrades, demolition of Building C (9100 sq. ft.) and the addition a proposed new 9000 sq. ft. Building F in the existing "Mercado Del Lago" mixed use center at 8300, 8320 and 8340 N. Hayden Road, Scottsdale. The site is in a PNC Zone and within McCormick Ranch POA.

The proposed new building will be a one story mixed use structure with anticipated restaurant and retail usage. Outdoor patio spaces will be included at three locations around the building for restaurant use.

Overall center and site upgrades will include extending the parapet height at Buildings D & E to fully screen mechanical units (Currently some units are unscreened). A new paint scheme for the center is proposed, along with revisions to the hardscape and courtyard adjacent to Building A, B, D and E. (All buildings located along the north side of the property, adjacent to Marguerite Lake.

At Building A, the two story office building, awnings to create pedestrian path and building shading are proposed along the south elevations, at the first story level. Awnings are designed to extend over majority of sidewalk along this building. New paint is proposed at Building A. At Building B, new material treatment at the South elevation is proposed to provide design consistency at the center, along with repainting entire building to match new color scheme proposed. At Building F, the new building is designed to provide an intertie visually between the existing Mercado buildings to the north, and adjacent commercial properties to the South and Southeast of our site.

The north elevations of all buildings facing the Marguerite Lake will remain unchanged, except for repainting to new paint scheme proposed and parapet extension to screen rooftop equipment as proposed. The design objective of this project is to respect residential neighborhoods by leaving the massing and building design facing adjacent residential areas existing, while providing a more earth toned palette in the revised paint scheme.

Where building C is removed, additional parking will be install to replace the parking at the location of proposed new Building F. Landscaping associated with the above described improvements will be a part of this project, as shown in the proposed landscape plans.

Fully covered and shaded patios are being provided at new Building F, along with extensive building canopies. In addition, new fully shaded patios are being proposed for Jimmy Johns, at Building D and a fully shaded entry to the public courtyard is being proposed, to help extend all weather use of this area. The existing public courtyard between Buildings B and E will remain, and is being enhance with revised paving patterns and the removal of the large fountain which currently breaks up the space. All existing pedestrian connections to the pedestrian path around Marguerite Lake are being maintained, and a new pedestrian path from the Lake along the east side street frontage of Building D (at Chipotle and Coffee Shop) is proposed.

Full pedestrian connections are proposed from Hayden road, through the center, and to 3 access points along the lake path. In addition, we are proposing to extend a pedestrian path to our property line to the south, where we will encourage our commercial neighbor to the south to connect and extend this pedestrian path.

Applicant, APMI, Inc.

William Reilly, AIA Principal







Development Application

	Development A	Application Type:		
	propriate box of the T		_	
Zoning Text Amendment (TA)	Development Revie	Review (Major) (DR)	Sigr	
Rezoning (ZN)		Review (Minor) (SA)	+Η	Master Sign Program (MS) Community Sign District (MS)
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ Wash Modifica		Oth	
Conditional Use Permit (UP)	Historic Prope			Annexation/De-annexation (AN)
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)	ity (III-)	H	General Plan Amendment (GP)
Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions		甘	In-Lieu Parking (IP)
☐ Special Exception (SX)	Condominium	Conversion	+=	Abandonment (AB)
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exc			er Application Type Not Listed
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction	·		,
	EL LAGO			
		ALL 8370 N	HA	GAZAL BOYAR SCOTINALI
Property s Address.	THEN POND	MNB 0000 P	. ///	YDEN RUND, SCOTTSONE
Property's Current Zoning District Design				
The property owner shall designate an age for the City regarding this Development Ap- information to the owner and the owner a	pplication. The agent/a			H. 프로젝트 시간 회장에 2차 원었다면서 보면 전환되었다면서 2000년 200
Owner: MARK BURNS		Agent/Applicant: (NILL	IAM REILLY, AIA
Company: Scottlin, LLC		Company: APMI, NZ.		
Address: 8300 N. MAYDEN R	OAD SUITEAZOU		1Ayo	EN ROAD, SUITE A 209
Address: 8300 N. MAYDEN & Phone: 480-368-011 Fai	OAO SUITEAZOU X: 980-368-844		1A40 5 A7	EN ROAD SUITE A 209 2 Fax: 480-998-79
Phone: 480-368-0111 Fair E-mail: McGazpre.com	/			EN ROAD SUITE A 209 2 Fax: 480-998-79 PMI-Com
	·	Address: 8300 N. Phone: 480-998	Ca	pmi.com
Designer: WILLIAM REILLY A	LIA	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wrelly Engineer: MAHOL	Ca SAC	PMi-Com DEK
E-mail: McGazpre.com Designer: WILLIAM REILLY A Company: APMI, INC. Address: SCOTSPACE AZ 85756	SUITE A ZOG	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wreilly Engineer: MAH61 Company: EPSICA Address: 13745 W	SAC ON E AUTO	PMI.COM DEK NGINEEMNG ER. SÚTTE 119, 6000 YEAR, AZ
E-mail: Mchan REILLY A Company: APMI INC. Address: SCOTSDALE AZ 857256 Phone: 480-998-070 Fax: 480	SUITE A 7209 0-998-7958	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wrelly Engineer: MAHOI Company: EPSICA Address: 13745 W Phone: 623-882	SAC SAC ON E AUTO -992	PMI.COM DEK NGINEEYING DR. SUITE 119, 6000 YEAR, AZ
E-mail: Mchan REILLY A Company: APMI, INC. Address: SCOTSDALE AZ 857256 Phone: 480-998-070 Fax: 480	SUITE A 7209 0-998-7958	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wrelly Engineer: MAHOI Company: EPSICA Address: 13745 W Phone: 623-882	SAC SAC ON E AUTO -992	PMI.COM DEK NGINEEYING DR. SUITE 119, 6000 YEAR, AZ
Designer: WILLIAM REILLY A Company: APMI INC. Address: SCOTSPALE AZ 85755	SOITE A 209 0-998-7958 e requested review meing Development Applie	Address: 8300 N. J. Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wreilly Engineer: MAHOL Company: EPSIVE Address: 13745 W Phone: 423-882 E-mail: MSadile ethodology (please see cation types: AN, AB, B.	SACONE AUTO - 997 the do	PMI. COM DEK NGINEERING DR. SUITE 119, 6000 YEAR, AZ DE FAX: 623 - 910-8183 BPSI COMPAGINEERING COMP escriptions on page 2). P, TA, PE and ZN. These
Designer: William REILLY A Company: APMI INC. Address: Schoole AZ 8728 Phone: 480-998-670 Fax: 480 E-mail: Wreilly Copin Com This is not required for the follow applications will be reviewed in the company of the series of	SUITE A 709 - 998 - 7958 e requested review meing Development Application format similar to the	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wreilly Engineer: MAHOI Company: EPSIV Address: /3745 W Phone: 423-882 E-mail: MS&differenced Application Footby of Scottsdale to rev	SAC SAC AUTO - 997 the do A, II, G Review	PMI. COM DEK NGINEERING DR. SUITE 119, 6000 YEAR, AZ DE FAX: 623 - 910-8183 BPSI COMPAGINEERING COMP escriptions on page 2). P, TA, PE and ZN. These
Designer: William REILLY A Company: APMI INC. Address: Scarspare AZ 87758 Phone: 480-998-670 Fax: 480 E-mail: Wreilly Copin Com Please indicate in the checkbox below the This is not required for the follow applications will be reviewed in the checkbox below the supplication Review: Standard Application Review:	SOITE A 709 - 998 - 7958 e requested review meing Development Application Review met	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wrelly Engineer: MAHOI Company: E/SICA Address: /3745 W Phone: 623-882 E-mail: MS&difference Application For the company of Scottsdale to revended by the company. City of Scottsdale to revended by the company of	SAC SAC AUTO - 997 the de A, II, G Review iew th	FAX: 623 - 910-8183 BPS: COMMERCIAL COMPANY COMP Excriptions on page 2). P, TA, PE and ZN. These methodology.
Designer: William REILLY A Company: APMI INC. Address: Scorspane AZ 87758 Phone: 480-998-670 Fax: 480 E-mail: Weilly Copin Com Please indicate in the checkbox below the This is not required for the follow applications will be reviewed in the checkbox below the supplication Review: Enhanced Application Review:	e requested review meing Development Application Review methorize the Capplication Review method	Phone: 480-998. E-mail: Wrelly Engineer: MAHOI Company: E/SICA Address: /3745 W Phone: 623-882 E-mail: MS&difference Application For the company of Scottsdale to revended by the company. City of Scottsdale to revended by the company of	SAC SAC AUTO - 997 the de A, II, G Review iew th	FAX: 623 - 910-8183 BPSI CONCUMPINE COMP escriptions on page 2). P, TA, PE and ZN. These methodology. is application utilizing the Enhanced

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Development Application

Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not "constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.

Submittal Date: _	Project No.:	BA	-PA	20/1	1
					_



Development Review

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

		PART I GENERAL REQUIREMENTS		
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.		
De la	Ó	1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list)		
	D	2. Application Fee \$ 5/5 - 00 (subject to change every July)		
D		3. Completed Development Application Form (form provided)		
		 The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). 		
	/	If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology.		
M	G	4. Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided) and Assemblage		
W		5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form)		

Development Review Application Checklish W 6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner. (form provided) V 7. Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provided) M П 8. Commitment for Title Insurance - No older than 30 days from the submittal date (requirements form provided) $8-1/2" \times 11" - 1 \text{ copy}$ Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B. M **9. Legal Description:** (if not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance) 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies V $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ 10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old) **D** 11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form provided) 12. Addressing Requirements (form provided) 13. Design Guidelines MAG Supplements Sensitive Design Program ☐ Scenic Corridors Design Design Standards and Policies Manual Office Design Guidelines Commercial Retail Restaurants ☐ Gas Station & Convenience Stores Lighting Design Guidelines ☐ Shading ☐ Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance ☐ Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines □ Desert Parks Golf Course The above reference design guidelines, standards, policies, and additional information may be found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/design W 14. Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (form provided) Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report Provide one copy of the Community Input Certification attached to the Neighborhood **Notification Report** If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report addendum. 15. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form provided) M 16. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper (form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints

Planning and Development Services Division

See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations

8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (**Delayed submittal**). At the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and

and numbers.

they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.

		17. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided) ☐ Certificate of No Effect / Approval Application (form provided) ☐ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies ☐ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies ☐ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy
		18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet
		provided) ☐ Airport Data Page ☐ Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form
		Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit)
		19. ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided)
		 The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with this Development Review Application.
		PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
		20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided)
		21. Application Narrative
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies`
		 The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided)
,		Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan.
M		22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed
		• 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy
		 11" x 17" - 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning
		 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of:

M	23. Site Plan
	• 24" x 36" – 12 copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	24. Site Details
	(Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.)
	• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
Q/	25. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (Example Provided)
	• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	26. Site Cross Sections
	• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" 1 – copy, folded
	27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas)
	• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	28. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas)
	• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
	29. Phasing Plan
	• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
M	30. Landscape Plan
	• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u>
	(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	- Gran

T)	31. Hardscape Plan
	 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u>
	(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	32. Transitions Plan
	 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	33. Parking Plan
	 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	34. Parking Master Plan
	See the City's Zoning Ordinance, Article IX for specific submittal and content requirements for
	Parking Master Plan. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock
	front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits.
	 • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies
V	35. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation
	 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	36. Bikeways & Trails Plan
	● 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
V	37. Elevations
	 24" x 36" – 2 folded black and white line drawing copies
	(a grayscale copy of the color elevations will not be accepted.)
	• 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	● 8½" x 11" − 1 color copy, (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	 2-0-test 2 copy (Text and distantly offer see black and white) and in the barrior format

		38. Elevations Worksheet(s)
		Required for all Development applications to zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and in the
		Downtown Area.
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		39. Perspectives
		• 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		40. Streetscape Elevation(s)
		• 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded
-	/	 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
□ □		41. Wall Elevations and Details and/or Entry Feature Elevations and Details
		• 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
D/		42. Floor Plans
4	_	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		43. Floor Plan Worksheet(s)
(W	(Required for restaurants, bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family developments):
/ Vii	rpr	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
, 20/		• 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
PM		
\		Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		44. Roof Plan Worksheet(s)
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		45. Sign Details
		 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" - 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		2 /2 2.2 2 saction and anticoming soleting soleting soletions for reproductions

/		
₽⁄		46. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures)
	,	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
T.		47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided)
	,	 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
□ □		48. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting
		● 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		49. Cultural Improvement Program Plan
		Conceptual design of location
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 1 – copy of the approval letter for the artwork design from Scottsdale Cultural Council (Scottsdale Public Art)
		Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the requirement/contribution.
Q/		50. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines
		(Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.)
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		51. Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
V		52. Drainage Report (information provided)
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for drainage report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		53. Master Drainage Plan
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets.
		 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets

Development Review Application Checklist V 54. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Water and Wastewater See the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets V 55. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Wastewater See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets 56. Water Sampling Station Show location of sample stations on the site plan. Fax 8 ½" x 11" copy of the site plan with sampling stations to the Water Quality Division. Attn: Craig Miller. Fax 480-312-8728/ Phone 480-312-8743 57. Water Of Approval For Fountains Or Water Features from the Water Conservation Office Please contact Elisa Klein at 480-312-5670 1 copy of the approval from the Water Conservation Office 58. Native Plant Submittal: 24" x 36" 1 - copy, folded. (Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development) 59. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA) (information provided) Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans. ☐ Category 1 Study ☐ Category 2 Study ☐ Category 3 Study 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis including full size

Planning and Development Services Division

plans/maps in pockets

 	<u> </u>
	60. Revegetation Site Plan, including Methodology and Techniques
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
1	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	61. Cuts and Fills Site Plan
 	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	62. Cuts and Fills Site Cross Sections
-	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	63. Environmental Features Map
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
П	64. Geotechnical Report
	8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the Geotechnical Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
	65. Unstable Slopes / Boulders Rolling Map
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	66. Bedrock & Soils Map
	• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	67. Conservation Area, Scenic Corridor, Vista Corridor Plan
	• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded
 	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	68. Other:
	□ 24" x 36" −copy(ies), folded
	☐ 11" x 17" — copy(ies), folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	□ 8½" x 11" copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction)
	☐ Digital — 1 copy (See Digital Submittal Plan Requirements)
 	<u> </u>

Planning and Development Services Division

		PART III - SAMPLES & MODELS
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
A		 69. Paint Color Drawdowns 1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers.
₩.		 70. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s): 8-1/2" x 14" material sample board shall include the following: A color elevation of one side of the building 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.) 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation. 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded of a printed digital photo of the material board
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy of a printed digital photo of the material board
		 Flectronic Massing Model: 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: Other: Other: detectronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)
		 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up® model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)

		PART IV – SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
Req'd	Reckd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
V		73. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7000. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number;PA
M	0	74. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the submittal requirements.
M	П	75. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon
M		76. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.
		77. Other: Mosery amer and Homeowners Assuration Approval Letters

M	78. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project Coordinator.
	Coordinator Name (print): Mercutil 1851eV Phone Number: 480-312-424
	Coordinator email: Whather Stoff de feat gov Date: 12/12/2010
	Coordinator Signature:
	If the Businest Consultant and the second state of the second state of the Consultant state of the second
	If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist.
	This application need a: New Project Number, or
	☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number:
	Required Notice
	Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services Division, including a request for an
	interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in
	accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning and Development Services Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website:
	http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms.
	Planning and Development Services Division One Stop Shop
	Planning and Development Services Director 7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105
	Scottsdale, AZ 85251
	Phone: (480) 312-7000

Planning and Development Services Division



Development Applications Process

Enhanced Application Review Development Review (DR and PP)

Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to expeditious manner.


submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an Pre- Application Submittal and Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the re-application Meeting published Staff Review Time frames. Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement Contact Application Completed by the Owner / Applicant Submittal/Resubmittal of Application and Administrative Review for Completeness Issues Resolved by Applicant/Owner Is the Application Determined to be Complete City Sends Letter to Applicant **Identifying Deficiency** Yes City Sends Letter to Applicant Informing the Applicant that the Application has been Accepted for Substantive Review Issues Resolved by 1st and Subsequent Applicant/Owner and Substantive Review submits Application City Sends Letter to Applicant Issues Requesting Additional Information No / Minimal / In Accordance with Enhanced Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames Development Review Board
Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report,
and Related Requirements
(Determination and/or Non-action Hearing(s) as Note: 1. Time period determined by owner/applicant. Determined By City Staff) 2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued additional requesting information until the date the City receives the **Development Review Board Hearing** resubmittal from the owner/ applicant. 3. The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes. Approval/Denial Letter Issued Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by (End of Substantive Review) Time Line Administrative Review Substative Review Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denial taff Working Days Per Re 5 Total Staff Working Days, Multiple Reviews in This Time Frame² Time Frames Vary³ Letter Issued **Planning and Development Services**

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 • Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088



Development Applications Process

Standard Application Review



7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 + Phone: 480-312-7000 + Fax: 480-312-7088

Revision Date: 03/06/2015



Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Development Application (Case Submittals)

Pre-application No: 82 - PA-2014

Project Name: MERCAND DEL LAGO

	Project Address: 8300 N. HAYDEN Re	AD AND 8320 N. HAYDEN POAD							
	STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY:								
	have the authority from the owner to sign this	I am the owner of the property, or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the property and have the authority from the owner to sign this request on the owner's behalf. If the land has more than one owner, then I am the agent for all owners, and the word "owner" refer to them all.							
	and all development application regulatory	. I have the authority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale regarding any and all development application regulatory or related matter of every description involving all property identified in the development application.							
STATEMENT OF REQUEST FOR SITE VISITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS									
		. I hereby request that the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections of the property identified in the development application in order to efficiently process the application.							
	and/or inspections, city staff may determine t	 I understand that even though I have requested the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections, city staff may determine that a site visit and/or an inspection is not necessary, and may opt not to perform the site visit and/or an inspection. 							
F	Property owner/Property owners agent: MAN	Print Name Signature							
City Use Only:									
Subr	Submittal Date:	Case number:							
Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Division 7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 ♦ Phone: 480-312-7000 ♦ Fax: 480-312-7088									



Current Planning Services Long Range Planning Services

NOTICE OF INSPECTION RIGHTS

--- A.R.S. § 9-833- -

You have the right to:

- Have the City staff member present a photo ID.
- Have the City staff member state the purpose for the planning inspection and legal authority to conduct it.
- Know the amount of inspection fees if applicable.
- An on-site representative may accompany the City staff member during the inspection except during confidential interviews and may:
 - o Receive copies of any documents taken during the inspection.
 - o Receive a split of any samples taken during the inspection.
 - o Receive copies of any analysis of the samples taken when available.
- · Be informed if statements are being recorded.
- Be given notice that any statements may be used in an inspection report.
- Be presented with a copy of your inspection rights.
- Be notified of the due process rights pertaining to an appeal

You are hereby notified and informed of the following:

- The inspection is conducted pursuant to the authority of A.R.S § 9-462.05. and/or Scottsdale Revised Code, Appendix B, Article I. Section 1.203.
- Any statements made by anyone interviewed during this inspection may be included in the inspection report.
- Information on appeal rights related to this inspection is found under Scottsdale Revised Code,
 Appendix B, Article I. Section 1.801.
- There is no inspection fee associated with this inspection.

I acknowledge I have been informed of my inspection rights. inspector(s) may still proceed with the inspection.	If I decline to sign this form, the
If I have any questions, I may contact the City staff member,	GREG BLOEVAREAGE
at the following number 480 - 312 - 430 6	
Signature:	Date: 4/23/21/6
Printed Name: MANK BUM?	<u> </u>
Check box if signature refused	
Cany of Rill of Pights left at	

A.R.S § 9-833. Inspections; applicability

- A. A municipal inspector or regulator who enters any premises of a regulated person for the purpose of conducting an inspection shall:
 - 1. Present photo identification on entry of the premises.
 - 2. On initiation of the inspection, state the purpose of the inspection and the legal authority for conducting the inspection.
 - 3. Disclose any applicable inspection fees.
 - 4. Except for a food and swimming pool inspection, afford an opportunity to have an authorized on-site representative of the regulated person accompany the municipal inspector or regulator on the premises, except during confidential interviews.
 - 5. Provide notice of the right to have:
 - (a) Copies of any original documents taken from the premises by the municipality during the inspection if the municipality is permitted by law to take original documents.
 - (b) A split or duplicate of any samples taken during the inspection if the split or duplicate of any samples, where appropriate, would not prohibit an analysis from being conducted or render an analysis inconclusive.
 - (c) Copies of any analysis performed on samples taken during the inspection.
 - 6. Inform each person whose conversation with the municipal inspector or regulator during the inspection is tape recorded that the conversation is being tape recorded.
 - 7. Inform each person interviewed during the inspection that statements made by the person may be included in the inspection report.
- B. On initiation of, or two working days before, an inspection of any premises of a regulated person, except for a food and swimming pool inspection that has up to one working day after an inspection, a municipal inspector or regulator shall provide the following in writing or electronically:
 - 1. The rights described in subsection A of this section.
 - 2. The name and telephone number of a municipal contact person available to answer questions regarding the inspection.
 - The due process rights relating to an appeal of a final decision of a municipality based on the
 results of the inspection, including the name and telephone number of a person to contact
 within the municipality and any appropriate municipality, county or state government
 ombudsman.
- C. A municipal inspector or regulator shall obtain the signature of the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person on the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section indicating that the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person has read the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section and is notified of the regulated person's or on-site representative of the regulated person's inspection and due process rights. The municipality shall maintain a copy of this signature with the inspection report. Unless the regulated person at the time of the inspection is informed how the report can be located electronically, the municipality shall leave a copy with the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person. If a regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person is not at the site or refuses to sign the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section, the municipal inspector or regulator shall note that fact on the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section.
- D. A municipality that conducts an inspection shall give a copy of, or provide electronic access to, the inspection report to the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person either:
 - 1. At the time of the inspection.
 - 2. Notwithstanding any other state law, within thirty working days after the inspection.
 - 3. As otherwise required by federal law.

- E. The inspection report shall contain deficiencies identified during an inspection. Unless otherwise provided by law, the municipality may provide the regulated person an opportunity to correct the deficiencies unless the municipality determines that the deficiencies are:
 - 1. Committed intentionally.
 - 2. Not correctable within a reasonable period of time as determined by the municipality.
 - 3. Evidence of a pattern of noncompliance.
 - 4. A risk to any person, the public health, safety or welfare or the environment.
- F. If the municipality allows the regulated person an opportunity to correct the deficiencies pursuant to subsection E of this section, the regulated person shall notify the municipality when the deficiencies have been corrected. Within thirty working days of receipt of notification from the regulated person that the deficiencies have been corrected, the municipality shall determine if the regulated person is in substantial compliance and notify the regulated person whether or not the regulated person is in substantial compliance, unless the determination is not possible due to conditions of normal operations at the premises. If the regulated person fails to correct the deficiencies or the municipality determines the deficiencies have not been corrected within a reasonable period of time, the municipality may take any enforcement action authorized by law for the deficiencies.
- G. A municipality's decision pursuant to subsection E or F of this section is not an appealable municipal action.
- H. At least once every month after the commencement of the inspection, a municipality shall provide the regulated person with an update, in writing or electronically, on the status of any municipal action resulting from an inspection of the regulated person. A municipality is not required to provide an update after the regulated person is notified that no municipal action will result from the municipality's inspection or after the completion of municipal action resulting from the municipality's inspection.
- I. This section does not authorize an inspection or any other act that is not otherwise authorized by
- J. This section applies only to inspections necessary for the issuance of a license or to determine compliance with licensure requirements. This section does not apply:
 - 1. To criminal investigations and undercover investigations that are generally or specifically authorized by law.
 - 2. If the municipal inspector or regulator has reasonable suspicion to believe that the regulated person may be or has been engaged in criminal activity.
 - 3. Inspections by a county board of health or a local health department pursuant to section 36-603.
- K. If a municipal inspector or regulator gathers evidence in violation of this section, the violation shall not be a basis to exclude the evidence in a civil or administrative proceeding, if the penalty sought is the denial, suspension or revocation of the regulated person's license or a civil penalty of more than one thousand dollars.
- L. Failure of a municipal employee to comply with this section:
 - 1. Constitutes cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to adopted municipal personnel policy.
 - 2. Shall be considered by the judge and administrative law judge as grounds for reduction of any fine or civil penalty.
- M. A municipality may adopt rules or ordinances to implement this section.
- N. This section:
 - 1. Shall not be used to exclude evidence in a criminal proceeding.
 - 2. Does not apply to a municipal inspection that is requested by the regulated person.



City of Scottsdale Cash Transmittal

106296

5/6/2016 KWHEELER HPDC600552 5/6/2016 10:00 AM \$1,515.00

Received From:

Scottlin LLC Mercado del Lago Construction 8300 N HAYDEN RD STE A200 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85258

Bill To:

APMI

8300 N HAYDEN RD A-209 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85258 480-998-0709

Reference #

82-pa-2016

Issued Date

5/6/2016

Address

8300 N HAYDEN RD

Paid Date

5/6/2016

Subdivision

Lot Number

Gross Lot Area

Net Lot Area

Payment Type CHECK

Marketing Name

County

Cost Center

Water Zone

MCR APN

174-03-004H

No 0

Metes/Bounds No

Owner Information

SCOTTLIN LLC

NAOS Lot Area

Water Type

6621 N SCOTTSDALE RD

Sewer Type

SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85250

Number of Units 1

Meter Size

480-368-0111

Density

QS

25-47

Code	Description	Additional	Qty	Amount	Account Number
3165	DEVELOP REVIEW APPLICATION		1	\$1,515.00	100-21300-44221

SIGNED BY WILL REILLY ON 5/6/2016

Total Amount

\$1,515.00