Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards



Development Application

Please check the appropriate box of the	Application Type: Type(s) of Application(s)	you are requesting	
Zoning Development Revi		Signs	
	Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)	
	Review (Minor) (SA)	☐ Community Sign District (MS)	
☐ In-fill Incentive (II) ☐ Wash Modifie		Other:	
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP) ☐ Historic Prop		☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN)	
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance Land Divisions (PP)	☐ General Plan Amendment (GP)	
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE) ☐ Subdivisions	7007	☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)	
☐ Special Exception (SX) ☐ Condominium	n Conversion	☐ Abandonment (AB)	
☐ Variance (BA) ☐ Perimeter Ex	ceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed	
☐ Minor Amendment (MA) ☐ Plat Correction	n/Revision		
Project Name:			
Property's Address: 3/14 4 Tyres N. Property's Current Zoning District Designation:	70th STREET	SCOTTS DALE	
The property owner shall designate an agent/applicant for the I for the City regarding this Development Application. The agent/information to the owner and the owner application team.			
Owner: BONNIE GRIFFING	Agent/Applicant: >	TEWY BRUKAL	
Company:	Company: BRUKAL DEVELOPMENTS		
Address: 3114 N. 70th ST	Address: 4500 N. 72 57 # 100 F		
Phone: Fax:	Phone: 480.309.4163 Fax:		
E-mail:	E-mail: STENEBRU	WALE GMAIL. COM	
Designer:	Engineer:		
Company:	Company:	· ·	
Address:	Address:		
Phone: Fax:	Phone:	Fax:	
E-mail:	E-mail:		
Please indicate in the checkbox below the requested review m • This is not required for the following Development Applications will be reviewed in a format similar to the	lication types: AN, AB, BA Enhanced Application Re	, II, GP, TA, PE and ZN. These eview methodology.	
Enhanced Application Review: I hereby authorize the Application Review me		ew this application utilizing the Enhanced	
Standard Application Review: I hereby authorize the Application Review me		ew this application utilizing the Standard	
	R	- and	
Owner Signature	Agent/Applican	t Signature	
Owner Signature	Agenty Applican	and the second of the second o	
Official Use Only Submittal Date:	Development Applicat	tion No.:	

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

Page 1 of 3

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov 11-ZN-2016 04/19/16



Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Development Application

Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.

70th & Earll Townhomes

Project Description

The proposal is to rezone the property located at 3114 N 70th from the R1-7 (Single Family) district to the R-3 (Medium Density Residential) district. This would allow for the development of up to 7 dwelling units with a maximum building height of 30 feet. Currently there is one single family residence on the property that was built in 1945. The current zoning would allow for 2 single family dwelling units.

The proposed development would ultimately include the property to the south (3106 N 70th St) which is currently zoned the R-3 (Medium Density Residential) district. In combination the two properties would allow for 10 dwelling units. This would be an increase of 5 units from the currently allowed 5 units on the two parcels.

The intent of the development proposal is to provide 10 townhouse type dwelling units on the combined properties. These units would have ground floor garages, dwelling spaces on two levels and private open spaces on the ground, second floor and roof top levels. The design intent is to use a contemporary character similar to several recently completed and/or approved projects in and around the Downtown Scottsdale area.

The following table summarizes the site standards as proposed for both the subject property singly and then combined with the adjacent property to the south:

R-3 Zonin	g District	Rezoning Property	Combined
Development Requirement Standard			Development Properties
Current Zoning District		R1-7	R-3
Proposed Zoning District		R-3	R-3
Property Size	NA	16,319 sq ft (net) 22,800 sq ft (gross)	25,556 sq ft (net) 35,250 sq ft (gross)
Required Open Space	.36 x net lot area	5,874 sq ft required 6,114 sq ft provided	9,201 required 9,557 provided
Frontage Open Space	.12 x net lot area (20 ft min – 50 ft max per foot of frontage)	2,039 sq ft required (2,932 sq ft / 7,331 sq ft min/max) 3,342 sq ft provided	3,147 sq ft required (4,590 sq ft / 11,475 sq ft min/max) 4,730 sq ft provided
Private Outdoor Open	.10 x gross floor area	465 sq ft required	1,057 sq ft required

Space	of first floor units	3,789 sq ft provided	5,367 sq ft provided
Building Height	30 feet	29'9" ft proposed	29'9" ft proposed
Density	One unit per 3,370 sq ft of gross land area (12.93 du/ac)	6.77 units allowed 7 units proposed in combination with adjacent parcel	10.46 units allowed (6.77 + 3.69) 10 units proposed
Building Setback	Where adjacent to R- 1, R-4, R-4R or M-H or alley across from these districts: 15 ft	NA	2 ft proposed (variance to be submitted)
	Adjacent to other districts: 0 or 10 ft	10+ ft north and west proposed	10+ ft proposed north, west and south

Site History and Context

As noted above the existing home on the subject property was constructed in 1945. In addition the existing house on the property to the south which would be combined to create the project site was built in 1957. Both of these structures were built before these properties were under the jurisdiction of the City of Scottsdale. This area was annexed in 1965 and was zoned to the current districts in cases 44-ZN-1956 and 45-ZN-1965.

This same pre-Scottsdale history applies to many of the properties adjacent to the subject property. The property to the north is zoned R-5 and has multiple buildings that were built in 1950, 1957 and 1958. There appear to be up to 5 dwelling units on this property. The closest building is 12 feet north of the subject property and has a 0 feet setback off of the alley to the west. The two parcels to the west across the alley are also zoned R-5. These two parcels each have 4 dwellings units and are set back from the alley 20 feet in order to accommodate on-site parking. The property to the south has R-3 zoning and is occupied by a duplex dwelling. Across 70th Street to the east the property is zoned R-3 as well and is occupied by up to 4 units.

The following table identifies the current densities of adjacent properties:

Property Address(es)	Gross Land Area	Existing Dwelling Units	Gross Residential Density
3126 N 70 th St & 6949	.5616 ac	5	8.90 du/ac
E Earll Dr		·	
6943 E Earll Dr	.6175 ac	10	16.19 du/ac
3115 N 69 th PI	.3029 ac	4	13.21 du/ac
3107 N 69 th PI	.2543 ac	4	15.73 du/ac
3101 N 69 th PI	.2763 ac	4	14.48 du/ac
3037 & 3031 N 69 th PI	.5226 ac	8	15.31 du/ac
3034 & 3040 N 70 th St	.5918 ac	6	10.14 du/ac
3107 N 70 th St	(Vacant)		
7001/7003/7007/7013	.6716 ac	4	5.92 du/ac
E Earll Dr			
NE corner of 70 th St &	9.146 ac	208	22.74 du/ac
Earll Dr			

For the most part the adjacent buildings were built and zoning was established under County jurisdiction prior to being annexed into the City of Scottsdale. Also it is of note that the City of Scottsdale initially annexed this area in 1961 but that the official final annexation occurred in late 1965. There appears to have been a 4 year period when the appropriate jurisdiction authority was not fully established. Hence, on most of these properties the current improvements likely would not meet current city zoning and even building standards.

There are substantial infrastructure improvements installed adjacent to the subject property. There are sewer lines to the north and east, water lines within 70th Street, power to the west and east, and underground storm drains to the east. The site is well served by existing infrastructure. 70th Street has been fully improved by a city project that likely corresponded with the installation of the storm drain lines some years back.

This site is south and west of the Downtown Plan area and functions as a transition area to a single family neighborhood to the west. For the most part, development on the east side of 70th Street has higher density and is newer. Much of the development west of 70th Street was completed before 1980 and tends to be lower in density, even under the same zoning districts.

The following table provides some information regarding properties adjacent to the subject property:

Direction from Subject Property)		Building Distance from Property	Setback from Alley or Street Right-of-Way	
	182 1 34				
North	R-5	1950 / 1957 /	12 feet	O feet	
		1958			
Northwest	R-5	1980	20 feet	8 feet	
West (2 parcels)	R-5	1962	36 feet	20 feet	
South	R-3	1957	NA	NA	
Southeast	R1-7	Vacant			
East	R-3	Unknown	100 feet	40 feet	

Consistency with the General Plan

The following review examines various General Plan categories and goals that apply to the proposed rezoning property and describes how the proposal is consistent with these items:

➤ Character and Design Element – The site is shown on the "Character Types Map" as being within the "Urban Character" type. This type is described as containing higher-density, non-residential and mixed-use neighborhoods. The district includes apartments, high-density townhouses, commercial and employment centers and resorts. The description goes on to state that these areas should have a pedestrian orientation and should encourage interaction among people.

- Response the proposed development would be a high-density townhouse area that has good pedestrian access to the nearby Downtown area and major streets that have service uses and transit service.
- ➤ Land Use Element On the Conceptual Land Use Map this site is shown in the "Urban Neighborhoods" land use type. This type is described as having multi-family dwellings/apartments with a density of more than 8 units per acre. These areas are often near non-residential areas and have access to many modes of transportation. Also, there should be few environmental constraints. Furthermore, this site is a short distance from an area to the west under the "Suburban Neighborhood" designation and to the east is the "Mixed-Use Neighborhoods" designation.
 - o Response The proposed land use is for high density townhouses with a density of 12.3 units per acre. Fronting on to a minor collector street and just a ¼ mile from two major arterial streets, the site has good access to many modes of transportation. Since the site has been developed for well over 50 years, there are no sensitive environmental conditions on or near to the site.

Land Use Element Goals --

- Goal #3: Encourage the transition of land uses from more intense regional and city-wide activity areas to less intense activity areas within local neighborhoods.
 - Response The proposed zoning would achieve a transition from taller and denser multi-family uses and non-residential uses on the east side of 70th Street to the nearby single family neighborhood to the west. It is also consistent with the adjacent lower scale multi-family uses north and south along the west side of 70th Street.
- Goal #4: Maintain a balance of land uses that support a high quality of life, a diverse mixture of housing and leisure opportunities and the economic base needed to secure resources to support the community.
 - Response The proposed development would provide a modern living experience that would attract employees of businesses in the nearby Downtown and hopefully stimulate further interest in the general area to upgrade and improve the quality of housing choices in this well located area.
- Goal #6: Promote land use patterns that conserve resources such as land, clean air, water, and energy and serve all people within the community.
 - Response This site is an infill one that is well served by existing
 infrastructure and is currently an under-used property. The new buildings
 would meet modern building standards that embody water and energy
 conservation measures.
- Goal #7: Sensitively integrate land uses into the surrounding physical and natural environments, the neighborhood setting, and the neighborhood itself.
 - Response The proposed housing would be two stories which fits into the mix of two and one story housing on the west side of 70th Street. It also blends with the lower scale multi-family uses that in many cases are

even tucked into the nearby single family neighborhood. Access to this site would direct traffic away from the nearby single family neighborhood.

- Goal #9: Provide a broad variety of land uses that create a high level of synergy within mixed-use neighborhoods.
 - Response Being across the street from a mixed-use area, this proposal would support the scale and uses existing and potential in the nearby mixed-use neighborhood by providing a more urban style of development.

Housing Element Goals –

- Goal #3: Seek a variety of housing options that meet the socioeconomic needs of people who live and work here.
 - Response The proposed housing would provide for on ownership type of units that would be available to employees in the nearby Downtown area and the McDowell/Skysong corridor. This would be a smaller scale project that several of the nearby large housing projects under construction.
- Goal #4: Encourage housing development that provides for "live, work, and play" relationships as a way to reduce traffic congestion, encourage economic expansion and increase overall quality of life for our residents.
 - Response The site is located within walking distance of various transit routes to the south, east and north and is within walking and easy cycling distance to amenities and services in the nearby downtown area. It also is in proximity to older housing that is in need of upgrades and hopefully would help to stimulate reinvestment in the area.

Neighborhoods Element Goals

- Goal #3: Sustain the long-term economic well being of the city and its citizens through redevelopment and neighborhood preservation and revitalization efforts.
 - Response The proposal would redevelop a site with decades old buildings that likely don't meet current codes. Redevelopment of this site should provide an example and incentive for other local owners to upgrade and/or redevelop their properties. The scale of this proposal respects and transitions into the neighborhood context.
- Goal #4: Preserve and enhance the unique sense of neighborhood found in diverse areas of Scottsdale through neighborhood conservation.
 - Response The proposed housing is within the same height limit as allowed in the nearby single family neighborhood and continues the small scale residential character of the area. Over the past few decades the east side of 70th Street has experienced significant growth of multiple-family housing projects. This site can serve as a transition in scale and character while also providing a stimulation for the area.
- Goal #5: Promote and encourage context-appropriate new development in established areas of the city.
 - Response This proposal, as mentioned previously, blends in scale and use with the diverse uses and intensities from the east tot eh west of the

site. In height and scale it will connect to this diversity and visually blend into the local context.

- Public Services & Facilities Element Goals
 - Goal #2: Protect the health, safety and welfare of the public from the impacts of flooding.
 - Response The proposed development will provide detention on a site that has never had such flood control service. This should lessen the peak flows in the storm drain under 70th Street.
- ➤ Community Mobility Element This site is located at the intersection of two minor collectors. These lead to two arterials to the east and south: Scottsdale Road and Thomas road. These roads provide multiple access routes by vehicle, walking and bicycling to the nearby Downtown area. The site is about ¼ from both Scottsdale and Thomas Roads, both of which have transit service. The site is well positioned for its inhabitants to use a variety of modes of transportation.
- Community Mobility Elements Goals
 - Goal #8: Emphasize live, work and play land use relationships to optimize the use of citywide systems and reduce the strain on regional and local/neighborhood systems.
 - Response As noted previously, the site is well located for its residents to use a wide variety of transportation options for both work and play activities. The streets serving the site are built to their planned capacity and this project should generate minimal impact or their current function and operations.
- ➤ Neighborhood Strategy Area Plan The Planned Land Use map included in the Neighborhood Strategy Area Plan (1979) assigned the land use category of "16" to this and neighboring properties. This category was for a multi-family residential density of 8 to 12 dwelling units per acre. This proposal is consistent with this earlier plan for the area. It is interesting to note that the city offered to rezone the property for the owners at the time but for whatever reasons few if any owners took advantage of this offer.
- > Southern Scottsdale Character Area Plan
 - Land Use, Growth & Activity Areas Element Goals
 - Goal #LU 7: Provide land use transitions, buffering, and connectivity between Downtown development and Southern Scottsdale's surrounding residential neighborhoods.
 - Response The proposed housing continues an emerging trend
 of urban styled housing being proposed and built south of the
 Downtown area. Larger projects are being located along or east
 of Scottsdale Road while smaller scaled projects are appearing
 along 70th Street. This proposal follows this trend while also
 providing and innovative and sensitive approach nearer to the
 older single family neighborhood to the west.
 - Character & Design Element Goals
 - Goal #CD 1: New and redeveloped residential housing should respect existing neighborhood character and design.

- Response The proposed buildings will embrace a mid-century modern design approach. This was an emerging design character of the era in which most of the nearby buildings were constructed. This is also a character type that has been incorporated in many of the recent housing developments in and near the Downtown area.
- Goal #CD 7: Protect low-scale single-family neighborhoods within Southern Scottsdale by utilizing landscape buffers and transitional building forms, shapes, and sizes in the design of new development and redevelopment.
 - Response The proposed residential buildings will be two stories in height, which is the predominant approach for multi-family developments located west of 70th Street. In addition, the buildings have 3 and 4 units which break them up into smaller components that transition from the large, multi-unit building approach east of 70th Street and the smaller scaled buildings to the west.
- o Neighborhood Revitalization Element Goals
 - Goal #NR 1: Enhance current residential neighborhoods within Southern Scottsdale.
 - Response The proposed development would bring a newer, better designed housing product into a neighborhood context that is relatively old and in some cases not well maintained. This development would add investment and a positive image into this area.
- Housing Element Goals
 - Goal #H 2: Embrace a wide range of housing options.
 - Response The proposed housing units would provide a smaller scale ownership product that adds another approach for housing in an area currently dominated by traditional rental units, older single family fee ownership and older townhouse units. The use of balconies, outdoor patios and roof deck patios will provide an indoor/outdoor relationship not commonly provided.
 - Goal #H 3: Encourage reinvestment in existing residential properties.
 - Response This housing development would provide a fresh new housing product for the area and should provide stimulus for reinvestment in nearby properties.
- o Preservation & Environmental Planning Element Goals
 - Goal #PE 1: Promote conservation and sustainability within neighborhoods.
 - Response The proposed housing would be built under modern building codes that would assure much greater energy and water conservation than found in the existing structures on the site. The

landscaping will use more water conserving materials and irrigations systems than what exists on the site currently. The location of the site allows for access to many modes of non-automobile transportation and is near many places of employment, services and community activity.



Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Development Application (Case Submittals)

and/or inspections, city staff may det and may opt not to perform the site v Property owner/Property owners agent:	isit and/or an inspe	ection.	ection is not necessary,
and may opt not to perform the site y	isit and/or an inspe	Bauckat Print Name	ection is not necessary,
and may opt not to perform the site y	isit and/or an inspe	Bauckat Print Name	ection is not necessary,
and may opt not to perform the site y	isit and/or an inspe	BRUCKAL	ection is not necessary,
and may opt not to perform the site y	isit and/or an inspe	BRUCKAL	ection is not necessary,
			ection is not necessary,
2. I understand that even though I have			stan conduct site visits
I hereby request that the City of So property identified in the development	it application in ord	der to efficiently pro	cess the application.
			dlar insperitions of the
STATEMENT OF REQUEST FOR SITE VISITS	AND/OR INSPECT	TONS	
and all development application rep property identified in the development		matter of every of	description involving all
2. I have the authority from the owner t			
than one owner, then I am the agent I			
I am the owner of the property, or I a have the authority from the owner to			
STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY:			
-		,	
Project Address: 3114 +	3106 N.	Foth ST	500775DALE
	1 11.0	EALLL	
Project Name: 70 th STRE	ET AND		



NOTICE OF INSPECTION RIGHTS A.R.S. § 9-833

You have the right to:

- · Have the City staff member present a photo ID.
- Have the City staff member state the purpose for the planning inspection and legal authority to conduct it.
- · Know the amount of inspection fees if applicable.
- An on-site representative may accompany the City staff member during the inspection except during confidential interviews and may:
 - o Receive copies of any documents taken during the inspection.
 - o Receive a split of any samples taken during the inspection.
 - o Receive copies of any analysis of the samples taken when available.
- Be informed if statements are being recorded.
- Be given notice that any statements may be used in an inspection report.
- Be presented with a copy of your inspection rights.
- Be notified of the due process rights pertaining to an appeal

You are hereby notified and informed of the following:

- The inspection is conducted pursuant to the authority of A.R.S § 9-462.05. and/or Scottsdale Revised Code, Appendix B, Article I. Section 1.203.
- Any statements made by anyone interviewed during this inspection may be included in the inspection report.
- Information on appeal rights related to this inspection is found under Scottsdale Revised Code,
 Appendix B, Article I. Section 1.801.
- · There is no inspection fee associated with this inspection.

I acknowledge I have been informed of my inspection rights. If I decline to sign this form, the inspector(s) may still proceed with the inspection.

If I have any questions, I may contact the	City staff member,
at the following number	
Signature:	Date: 4/19/16
Printed Name: STEVEN Be	vckal
Check box if signature refused	
Copy of Bill of Rights left at:	

A.R.S § 9-833. Inspections; applicability

- A. A municipal inspector or regulator who enters any premises of a regulated person for the purpose of conducting an inspection shall:
 - 1. Present photo identification on entry of the premises.
 - On initiation of the inspection, state the purpose of the inspection and the legal authority for conducting the inspection.
 - 3. Disclose any applicable inspection fees.
 - 4. Except for a food and swimming pool inspection, afford an opportunity to have an authorized on-site representative of the regulated person accompany the municipal inspector or regulator on the premises, except during confidential interviews.
 - 5. Provide notice of the right to have:
 - (a) Copies of any original documents taken from the premises by the municipality during the inspection if the municipality is permitted by law to take original documents.
 - (b) A split or duplicate of any samples taken during the inspection if the split or duplicate of any samples, where appropriate, would not prohibit an analysis from being conducted or render an analysis inconclusive.
 - (c) Copies of any analysis performed on samples taken during the inspection.
 - Inform each person whose conversation with the municipal inspector or regulator during the inspection is tape recorded that the conversation is being tape recorded.
 - 7. Inform each person interviewed during the inspection that statements made by the person may be included in the inspection report.
- B. On initiation of, or two working days before, an inspection of any premises of a regulated person, except for a food and swimming pool inspection that has up to one working day after an inspection, a municipal inspector or regulator shall provide the following in writing or electronically:
 - 1. The rights described in subsection A of this section.
 - 2. The name and telephone number of a municipal contact person available to answer questions regarding the inspection.
 - The due process rights relating to an appeal of a final decision of a municipality based on the
 results of the inspection, including the name and telephone number of a person to contact
 within the municipality and any appropriate municipality, county or state government
 ombudsman.
- C. A municipal inspector or regulator shall obtain the signature of the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person on the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section indicating that the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person has read the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section and is notified of the regulated person's or on-site representative of the regulated person's inspection and due process rights. The municipality shall maintain a copy of this signature with the inspection report. Unless the regulated person at the time of the inspection is informed how the report can be located electronically, the municipality shall leave a copy with the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person. If a regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person is not at the site or refuses to sign the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section, the municipal inspector or regulator shall note that fact on the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section.
- D. A municipality that conducts an inspection shall give a copy of, or provide electronic access to, the inspection report to the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person either:
 - 1. At the time of the inspection.
 - 2. Notwithstanding any other state law, within thirty working days after the inspection.
 - 3. As otherwise required by federal law.

- E. The inspection report shall contain deficiencies identified during an inspection. Unless otherwise provided by law, the municipality may provide the regulated person an opportunity to correct the deficiencies unless the municipality determines that the deficiencies are:
 - 1. Committed intentionally.
 - 2. Not correctable within a reasonable period of time as determined by the municipality.
 - 3. Evidence of a pattern of noncompliance.
 - 4. A risk to any person, the public health, safety or welfare or the environment.
- F. If the municipality allows the regulated person an opportunity to correct the deficiencies pursuant to subsection E of this section, the regulated person shall notify the municipality when the deficiencies have been corrected. Within thirty working days of receipt of notification from the regulated person that the deficiencies have been corrected, the municipality shall determine if the regulated person is in substantial compliance and notify the regulated person whether or not the regulated person is in substantial compliance, unless the determination is not possible due to conditions of normal operations at the premises. If the regulated person fails to correct the deficiencies or the municipality determines the deficiencies have not been corrected within a reasonable period of time, the municipality may take any enforcement action authorized by law for the deficiencies.
- G. A municipality's decision pursuant to subsection E or F of this section is not an appealable municipal action.
- H. At least once every month after the commencement of the inspection, a municipality shall provide the regulated person with an update, in writing or electronically, on the status of any municipal action resulting from an inspection of the regulated person. A municipality is not required to provide an update after the regulated person is notified that no municipal action will result from the municipality's inspection or after the completion of municipal action resulting from the municipality's inspection.
- I. This section does not authorize an inspection or any other act that is not otherwise authorized by law.
- J. This section applies only to inspections necessary for the issuance of a license or to determine compliance with licensure requirements. This section does not apply:
 - To criminal investigations and undercover investigations that are generally or specifically authorized by law.
 - 2. If the municipal inspector or regulator has reasonable suspicion to believe that the regulated person may be or has been engaged in criminal activity.
 - 3. Inspections by a county board of health or a local health department pursuant to section 36-603.
- K. If a municipal inspector or regulator gathers evidence in violation of this section, the violation shall not be a basis to exclude the evidence in a civil or administrative proceeding, if the penalty sought is the denial, suspension or revocation of the regulated person's license or a civil penalty of more than one thousand dollars.
- L. Failure of a municipal employee to comply with this section:
 - Constitutes cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to adopted municipal personnel policy.
 - 2. Shall be considered by the judge and administrative law judge as grounds for reduction of any fine or civil penalty.
- M. A municipality may adopt rules or ordinances to implement this section.
- N. This section:
 - 1. Shall not be used to exclude evidence in a criminal proceeding.
 - 2. Does not apply to a municipal inspection that is requested by the regulated person.



City of Scottsdale Cash Transmittal

106071 00898344 4/19/2016 PLN-1STOP HPDC600552 KPETERS 4/19/2016 3:13 FM \$1,140.00

106071

Received From:

Bill To:

STEVEN BRUCKAL 202 W ROOSEVELT ST UNIT 315C PHOENIX, AZ 85003 480-309-4163

Reference #

1120-PA-2015

Address

3114 N 70TH ST

Subdivision

WESTERN VILLA

Marketing Name

MCR 064-01

APN

130-15-098A

Owner Information Bonnie Griffing 3114 N. 70th Street

Scottsdale, AZ 85251

480-612-7275

Issued Date

4/19/2016

Paid Date

4/19/2016

Payment Type CREDIT CARD

Lot Number **Cost Center**

County

Density

No

Metes/Bounds No

Water Zone

Water Type

NAOS Lot Area

Net Lot Area

Gross Lot Area

Sewer Type **Meter Size**

Number of Units 1

QS

15-44

Code	Description	Additional	Qty	Amount	Account Number
3170	REZONING APPLICATION		1	\$1,140.00	100-21300-44221

7447 E. Indian School Rd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (480) 312-2500 One Stop Shop	Date: 4/19/2016 Cashler: KPELERS Office: PLN-1STOP Mach ID: HPDC6005525 Tran #: 2 Batch #: 54336	Receipt:00898344 Date:4/19/2016 3:13 PM 106071	3170 REZONING APP \$1,140.00	TENDERED AMOUNTS:	Visa Tendered: \$1,140.00 CC Last 4:6177 Auth Code: 184	Transaction Total: \$1,140.00	Thank you for your payment. Have a nice day!
---	--	--	------------------------------	-------------------	--	-------------------------------	---

11-ZN-2016 04/19/16

Total Amount

\$1,140.00

SIGNED BY STEVEN BRUCKAL ON 4/19/2016

Request To Submit Concurrent Development Applications

Acknowledgment and Agreement

The City of Scottsdale recognizes that a property owner may desire to submit concurrent development applications for separate purposes where one or more of the development applications are related to another development application. City Staff may agree to process concurrently where one or more the development applications related to the approval of another development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.

	Development Application Types	
Please check the appropriate box	Development Application Types of the types of applications that you are rec	guesting to submit concurrently
Zoning	Development Review	Signs
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	Development Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)
Rezoning (ZN)	Development Review (Minor) (SA)	☐ Community Sign District (MS)
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ Wash Modification (WM)	Other
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Historic Property (HP)	☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN)
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)	☐ General Plan Amendment (GP)
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)	☐ Subdivisions	☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)
☐ Special Exception (SX)	☐ Condominium Conversion	☐ Abandonment (AB)
✓ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction/Revision	☑ LOT TIE
Owner: STEVEN BRUCKAL Company: BRUCKAL DEVELOP	MENTS	
Address: 4500 N. 320	ST #100F PHOENIX	AZ 85018
Phone: 480.309.4/63		
E-mail: STEVEBRUCKAL@6		
As the property owner, by providing my applications are processed at the property of arising in connection with the concurrent dipertaining to Concurrent Applications; 4) concurrent development application that is City review(s) of the development application	owner's risk; 2) to hold the City harmless of evelopment applications; 3) to the City of to placing a development application on related to an another development applica-	all cost, expense, claims, or other liability Scottsdale's Substantive Policy Statement hold in order to continue processing a action; and 5) that upon completion of the
Property owner (Print Name): STEVEN	Bauckal Title: n	MANAGER
Signa		Pate: 4/19/16
Official Use Only:	Submitta	Date:
Request: ☐ Approved or ☐ Denied		
Staff Name (Print):		
Staff Signature:	Date:	
	Discours Naighborhood O.T.	- Distance



Rezoning

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, include any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved prior to the submittal of this application.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 11 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

PART I -- GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. 2 166		MERCHANIST CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
Ø	d	1. Rezoning Application Checklist (this list)
V	d	2. Zoning Application Fee \$ 1,140 (subject to change every July)
Ø		3. Completed Development Application (form provided) Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.
Ø	П	4. Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided)
V		5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form)

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax

11-ZN-2016 04/19/16

	/	Rezoning Development Application Checklist
Ø	E	6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner
Ø	Z	7. Appeal of Required Dedications, Exactions, or Zoning Regulations (form provided)
Ø	d d	8. Commitment for Title Insurance – No older than 30 days from the submittal date 8. 8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B. (requirements form provided)
Ø	∠	 9. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance) 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies
Ø	ď	10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old)
Ø	Ø	11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections (form provided)
		12. Addressing Requirements (form provided)
-	-0	13. Draft Development Agreement
		• 8-1/2" x 11" – 2 copies
		Must adhere to the Maricopa County Recorder requirements
		14. Proposition 207 wavier or refusal (Delay submittal until after the Planning Commission Hearing) (sample agreement information provided)
Ø	D	15. Citizen Review Checklist: (form provided)
		Provide one copy of the Citizen Review Report
		 If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the Citizen Review Report addendum.
	0	16. Request for Neighborhood Group/Homeowners Association (form provided)
Ø,		17. Site Posting Requirements: (form provided (white and red signs)
		Affidavit of Posting for Project Under Consideration
		 Affidavit of Posting for Planning Commission Public Hearing (Delayed submittal). Affidavit must be turned in 20 days prior to Planning Commission hearing.
		 Affidavit of Posting for City Council Public Hearing (Delayed submittal). Affidavit must be turned in 20 days prior to City Council hearing.
£ 🗹	_ ₫	/18. School District Notification — (form provided)
		Required for all applications that include residential uses.
Ø	卢	19. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper
		• 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints
		 See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations and numbers.

Planning and Development Services

7447 Edindran School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ485251, Phone, 480, 312, 7000, Fax; 480; 312, 7088

Rezoning Application Checklist Page 2 of 12 Revision Date: 02/02/2015

		20. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided)
		☐ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies
		☐ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies
		☐ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy
		21. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet provided)
		PART II REQUIRED NARRATIVE, PLANS & RELATED DATA
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
		22. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided)
Ø		23. Development Plan
		Req'd
		☑ / ☑ a. Application Narrative
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies
		The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the following:
		 goals and policies/approaches of the General Plan
		 goals and polices of the applicable Character Area Plan
		 architectural character, including environmental response, design principles, site development character, and landscape character
		Please review the applicable zoning district and/or overlay provisions for any findings, justifications, and/or explanations that are required to be met. Each finding, justification, and/or explanation shall be separately identified with a corresponding response in the application narrative. (PRD, PCD, PBD, PUD, etc)
		In addition, the following applicable information shall be incorporated into the application narrative:
		separate justification(s) for each requested modifications to regulations and standards,
	1	□ bonus provisions and justifications,
		methodology to address the City's Sensitive Design Principles, and applicable design guidelines pertaining to: architectural character, environmental response, site development character, and landscape character, and/or
		☐ Historic Property — existing or potential historic property.
		o (Describe how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan)

	1	
		b. Legislative draft of the proposed development standards, or amended development standards (form provided)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 2 copies
		(Must adhere to the Maricopa County Recorder requirements)
		c. Legislative draft of the list of Land Uses, if proposed (PBD, SC)
		● 8½" x 11" - 2 copies
		(Must adhere to the Maricopa County Recorder requirements)
Ø	Ø	d. A dimensioned plan indicating the proposed boundaries of the application
		 ■ 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
-	,	■ 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
V		e. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed
		24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded
		● 11" x 17" − 1 color copy
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of:
		750 foot radius from site
		1/4 mile radius from site
		Other:
	Ø	f. Site Plan
		• 24" x 36" − 16 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) PF
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		g. Subdivision Plan
		• 24" x 36" – 16 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	1	Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	4	h. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (example provided)
		 24" x 36" – 1 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) PDF
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)

Planning and Development Services

		Rezoning Development Application Checklist
-	-	i. Site Cross Sections
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" 1 – copy, folded
-		j. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		k. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas)
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
-	0	I. Phasing Plan
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	/	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	1	Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		m. Landscape Plan
		All plans shall be <u>black and white line drawings</u>
		(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	-	8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Digital 1 copy (Toyt and drawing shall be block and white and in the DWE format)
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		n. Hardscape Plan
		All plans shall be <u>black and white line drawings</u> (a gravesale convert the color landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		 (a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.) 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u>
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy
	Ц	o. Transitions Plan
		 24" x 36" - 2 copies, folded 11" x 17" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	Fig. 1	8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		Digital — I copy (Text and drawing shall be black and writte, and in the DWF format)
-		p. Parking Plan
		• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy(quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)

Planning and Development Services

Q Parking Master Plan	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ordinance, Article IX for specific submittal and content
	Master Plan. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire,
	k front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits.
• 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copi	The state of the s
r. Pedestrian and Vehicular • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, for	
	lded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	opies (quality suitable for reproduction)
	Digital Submittal Plan Requirements)
- s Flourtions	organi Submittur Fran Requirements)
	plack and white line drawing expres
	ne color elevations will not be accepted.)
• 24" x 36" – 2 color ce	A -
	py, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	nd white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for
reproduc	
• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color co	opy, (quality suitable for reproduction)
● 8 ½" x 11" − 1 black a	nd white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for
reproduct	
	and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
t. Elevations Worksheet(s)	
	ent applications to rezone to Planned Unit Development en elevations are required to be submitted.
• 24" x 36" – 2 copies,	
	ligital Submittal Plan Requirements)
u. Perspectives	ighter submitter i fan Regamenter
	by, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	ppy (quality suitable for reproduction)
- V Floor Plans	by (quality suitable for reproduction)
• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, fol	ded
	ded (quality suitable for reproduction)
w. Floor Plan Worksheet(s)	
	bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family
developments):	bars of development containing there-of, and multi-ranning
• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, fol	ded
• 11" x 17" - 1 copy, fol	ded (quality suitable for reproduction)
Digital – 1 copy (Text a	and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
x. Roof Plan Worksheet(s)	
• 24" x 36" – 1 copy,	folded
24 х 30 т сору,	TOTAL CA

	y. Electronic Massing Model:
	 • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded
	8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any
	building within:
	750 foot radius from site
	Other:
	(The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up® model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)
	z. Solar Analysis
	The solar analysis shall be completed for twenty first day of March, June, September, and December at 6:00 a.m., 9:00 a.m., 12:00 p.m., 3:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m.
	Required for all Development applications to rezone to Planned Unit Development (PUD).
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	aa. Exterior Lighting Site Plan
	 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	bb. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting
	● 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	cc. Cultural Improvement Program Plan
	Conceptual design
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction)
	Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the
	requirement/contribution.
	dd. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines
	(Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.)
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 72 X 11 — I color copy (quality sultable for reproduction)
0	ee. Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	■ 8½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
П	ff. Conceptual Signage Plan
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)

Planning and Development Services

		gg. Other:
		□ 24" x 36" - copy(ies), folded
		☐ 11" x 17" —copy(ies), folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		□ 8 ½" x 11" − copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction)
		☐ Digital — 1 copy (See Digital Submittal Plan Requirements)
	24. Deve	lopment Plan Booklets
	•	11" x 17" – 3 copies (quality suitable for reproduction)
	•	8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	•	Digital – 1 (See Digital Submittal Plan Requirements)
	•	8 $\frac{1}{2}$ " x 11" - 3 copies on archival (acid free) paper: this is a delayed submittal that is to be made after the Planning Commission recommendation.
		evelopment Plan Booklets shall include the following:
	-	Application Narrative
		Legislative draft of the proposed development standards, or amended development standards
		Legislative draft of the proposed List of Land Uses
	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	A dimensioned plan indicating the proposed boundaries of the application
		Context Aerial with the proposed Site Plan superimposed
		Site Plan
		Subdivision Plan
		Open Space Plan
		Phasing Plan
		Hardscape Plan Transitions Plan
		Parking Plan
		Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation Plan
1		Conceptual Elevations
		Conceptual Perspectives
		Electronic Massing Model
1		Solar Analysis
		Exterior Lighting Plan
		Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting
		Cultural Amenities Plan
		Special Impacts Analysis (Lighting Program, Dust Control, Noise Analysis and Control) Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines (architectural, landscape,
		hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.) Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan
		Conceptual Signage Plan
		Other:

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

Rezoning Application Checklist

Page 8 of 12

Revision Date: 02/02/2015

		25. Proposed Public Benefit Narrative, Plan, and Total Construction Cost Estimate for proposed
		development standard bonus(es)
		(PBD, Infill Incentive, or PCP rezoning applications that include the use bonus provisions. A professional consultant shall provide the Total Construction Cost Estimate)
7		26. Drainage Report
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for drainage report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets.
		8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		27. Master Drainage Plan See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for
* .		Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		28. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Water and Wastewater
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
<u> </u>	- 🖽	29. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Wastewater
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		30. Master Plan for Water
		Contact the Water Resources Department at 480-312-5685 to discuss offsite and onsite analysis and report content. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		31. Master Plan and Design Report for Wastewater
•	·	Contact the Water Resources Department at 480-312-5685 to discuss offsite and onsite analysis and report content. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans.
		 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Basis of Design Report for Water including full size plans/maps in pockets

Planning and Development Services 7447 Eindian School Road Suite 105 Ascottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480 312 7000 Fax: 480 312 7088 "Rezoning Application Checklist Page 9 of 12 Revision Date

Q.		32. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA)
		Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans.
		☐ Category 1 Study
		☐ Category 2 Study
		☐ Category 3 Study
		 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis Water including full size plans/maps in pockets
<u>-</u>		33. Native Plant Submittal Requirements: (form provided)
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded.
		(Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development)
		 See Sec. 7.504 of the Zoning Ordinance for specific submittal requirements.
		34. Environmental Features Map
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		35. Other:
		£
	- 1	PART III – SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
a sandrage	-	ANTIN - SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
Ø		36. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7000. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number; 1 20 -PA- 2015.
12(37. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the Submittal Instructions provided.
Ø	Bressel	•

Planning and Development Services

Ø	38. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon
Ø	39. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.
Ø	40. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project Coordinator.
	Coordinator Name (print): BRAD CARR Phone Number: 480. 312. 770
	Coordinator Name (print): BRAD CARR Phone Number: 480. 312. 778 Coordinator email: bcarresuttsdalcar-gar Date: 12.//.2015 Coordinator Signature:
	Coordinator Signature:
	If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist.
	This application needs a: New Project Number, or
	☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number:
	Required Notice
	Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning, Neighborhood and Transportation Division, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Administrator. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning, Neighborhood and Transportation Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms .
	Planning, Neighborhood and Transportation Division One Stop Shop Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Administrator 7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105 Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: (480) 312-7000

Planning and Development Services



Development Application Process

Abandonment (AB), Municipal Use Master Site Plan (UP), Infill Incentive (II) & Zoning District Map Amendment (ZN)

