

**Correspondence Between
Staff and Applicant
Approval Letter**

Tessier, Meredith

From: Tessier, Meredith
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 12:17 PM
To: 'craigmyrmel@yahoo.com'
Subject: FW: Case # 13-BA-2016

This e-mail is to inform you that the owner has withdrawn his request for a variance and will not be heard at the 02/01/2017 Board of Adjustment Hearing.

Thank you,
Meredith Tessier, Planner
Planning & Development Services
P: 480/312-4211



From: Craig Myrmel [<mailto:craigmyrmel@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2017 10:34 AM
To: Projectinput
Subject: Case # 13-BA-2016

Dear Meredith Tessier,

I am writing concerning a request for a variance to a city of Scottsdale Zoning Ordinance. The case number is 13-BA-2016 and the address is: 8704 E. Amelia Ave.

I currently own a house at 4007 N. 87th Street and am against this request for a variance. Opening the area to business will cause the residential values to decrease and open the area to increased traffic and congestion. The intersection at 87th Street and Indian School Road is already congested and ingress and egress from this neighborhood is difficult. There are many homes in the immediate area that are investing a lot of money to improve these homes. Any change in zoning promoting business will negatively affect home values in this neighborhood and make selling difficult.

Please vote against this variance.

Respectfully,

Dr. Craig A. Myrmel

Tessier, Meredith

From: Tessier, Meredith
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 12:15 PM
To: dianakaminski@cox.net
Subject: FW: variance for addition to senior care facility

Dianna:

This e-mail is to inform you that the owner has withdrawn his request for a variance and will not be heard at the 02/01/2017 Board of Adjustment Hearing.

Thank you,
Meredith Tessier, Planner
Planning & Development Services
P: 480/312-4211



From: dianakaminski@cox.net [<mailto:dianakaminski@cox.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 5:53 AM
To: Tessier, Meredith
Subject: variance for addition to senior care facility



The letter does not address the criteria for a variance. The floor plan shows an existing medical storage area inside the facility; are they planning to add another bedroom, expanding the use? Due to the location, the expansion does not seem to be an issue. However, they have paved a large portion of the front yard to provide parking, and the yard is devoid of any landscape material. No hardship demonstrated for variance. Need to improve exterior view for neighborhood, provide trees and plants. -- sent by diana kaminski (case# 13-BA-2016)



© 2016 City of Scottsdale. All Rights Reserved.

Tessier, Meredith

From: Tessier, Meredith
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2017 12:22 PM
To: 'bautistajrg@yahoo.com'
Cc: Steinke, Casey
Subject: RE: variance for addition to senior care facility (13-BA-2016)

Jonathan:

Thank you for the e-mail. I will print the following e-mail as your formal request to withdraw your variance request. Since the city has already processed the mailing notifications and conducted a legal review for notification the application fee cannot be refunded at this time.

Thank you,
Meredith Tessier, Planner
Planning & Development Services
P: 480/312-4211



From: bautistajrg@yahoo.com [<mailto:bautistajrg@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2017 8:32 AM
To: Tessier, Meredith
Subject: Re: variance for addition to senior care facility

I decided I will just cancel the addition and request for variance. I don't think I will be granted variance by the BOA anyway because as Diana's email said, there is no hardship demonstrated for the property owner even without the addition.

The business will still be successful even without the personal office at the backyard.
I can not satisfy 2 of the 4 criteria needed to be met for approval.

- Regarding the front yard issue, we already had a landscaper put plants and trees to improve the curb appeal of the house, this was done 10-11 months ago already.

- Can we still get a refund of the application we paid since we will cancel the application?

On Wednesday, January 4, 2017 11:44 AM, "Tessier, Meredith" <MTessier@ScottsdaleAz.Gov> wrote:

Jonathan,

For your records, below is e-mail correspondence. I suggest contacting Diana to discuss any questions regarding the expansion.

Thank you,

Tessier, Meredith

From: Tessier, Meredith
Sent: Wednesday, January 04, 2017 11:54 AM
To: 'dianakaminski@cox.net'
Subject: RE: variance for addition to senior care facility

Diana,

Thank you for the e-mail regarding the applicants request for a variance. The applicant is currently updating their narrative to specify the request is for relief of the required Floor Area Ratio of 35%, in which they are proposing 36.99%.

Please note, this e-mail will be included under correspondence of the case file and this case is tentatively scheduled for the February 1, 2017 Board of Adjustment Hearing.

Thank you,
Meredith Tessier, Planner
Planning & Development Services
P: 480/312-4211



From: dianakaminski@cox.net [<mailto:dianakaminski@cox.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 5:53 AM
To: Tessier, Meredith
Subject: variance for addition to senior care facility



The letter does not address the criteria for a variance. The floor plan shows an existing medical storage area inside the facility; are they planning to add another bedroom, expanding the use? Due to the location, the expansion does not seem to be an issue. However, they have paved a large portion of the front yard to provide parking, and the yard is devoid of any landscape material. No hardship demonstrated for variance. Need to improve exterior view for neighborhood, provide trees and plants. -- sent by diana kaminski (case# 13-BA-2016)