Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Project Data Sheet

KRUPPS & SONS LLC



Construction Management

Consulting

AZROC# 274878

APPLICATION NARRATIVE

The purpose of this request is to obtain approval for conversion of the existing carport to a garage as per plans accompanying this pre-application. This modification would be consistent with the existing design of the residence as well as similar to modifications to other properties within the subdivision.

The proposed enclosure would not alter the existing parking conditions, drainage, design/architecture or land use/lot design of the parcel. The proposed modification is in keeping with the existing style of the structure from a design/architectural standpoint.

The proposed enclosure and security gate would provide an added measure of security to the homeowner with no impact to the integrity of the surrounding architecture or the community at large. In addition, the modifications would enhance the property value of the subject parcel as well as surrounding properties.

To the best of my ability, I have found no negative impact that would result from allowing these modifications to be completed.

Sincerely,

Brett Krupps

Managing Member/Qualifying Party

Krupps & Sons LLC

Pre-application Number: W2 PA 2016 Submittal Date:



Historic Property

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any
 Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's Historic Preservations Plan guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

PART I -- GENERAL REQUIREMENTS Req'd Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. 1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list) 2. Application Fee - None 3. Checklist for Minimal Information to be Accepted for Review – Development Applications (form provided) 4. Completed Development Application Form (form provided) The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology. Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist. Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided)

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

		6. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form)
		7. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a
	l	corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an
	<u></u>	applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner. (form provided)
		8. Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provided)
	•	9. Deed of Conservation Easement (delayed submittal)
		Must be the original signed document.
댇		10. Commitment for Title Insurance – No older than 30 days from the submittal date
		(requirements form provided)
		8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy Include complete Schodule A and Schodule B. Include complete Schodule A and Schodule B.
	_	 Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B. 11. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance)
		• 8-1/2" x 11" – 2 copies
12		12. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form provided)
		13. Addressing Requirements and Addressing Request Application (forms provided)
•		14. Historic Preservation Guidelines
		Historic Residential Exterior Rehabilitation Guidelines (forms provided)
		Historic Residential Exterior Rehabilitation Windows Policy (forms provided) Historic Preservation Plan for the property (see that City's website at:
		http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/historiczoning/historicresources)
NE		15. Historic Residential Exterior Rehabilitation Program Declaration (form provided)
VEN .		
	<u> </u>	16. Historic Residential Exterior Rehabilitation Program Agreement (delayed submittal)
		17. Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (form provided)
		 Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report Provide one copy of the Community Input Certification attached to the Neighborhood
]	Notification Report
		If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required
		by the Zoning Administrator, Historic Preservation Officer or designee. When required,
		provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report addendum.
		18. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form provided)
		19. Home Owners' Association Approval Letter
		20. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper
<u> </u>	-	8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints
		 See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations
		and numbers.
	1	 8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (Delayed submittal). At the time your Project
		Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and
		they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.
	<u></u>	21. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of
4	<u></u>	the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet
		provided)
		☐ Airport Data Page
		Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form
		☐ Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit)

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Historic Property Application Checklist

Page 2 of 8

Revision Date: 12/10/2014

		PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
		22. Application Narrative
		● 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies
		 Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan.
	•	2. HRER program. The narrative for a Historic Residential Exterior Rehabilitation (HRER) program request shall address the project justification criteria specified in the HRER Guidelines.
		23. Historic Residential Exterior Rehabilitation Scope of Work and Estimates (form provided) • 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies
•		24. Site Plan 24" x 36" – 12 copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		25. Site Details
		(Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	-	26. Landscape Plan
		 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u>
		(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		27. Elevations
		• 24" x 36" – 2 folded black and white line drawing copies
		(a grayscale copy of the color elevations will not be accepted.)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy, (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)

Planning and Development Services

0-	28. Perspectives	
	● 24" x 36" − 1 color copy, folded	
	 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	● 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)	
-	29. Streetscape Elevation(s)	
	• 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded	
	 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	30. Window Details	
	• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded	
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)	
ø	31. Floor Plans	
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded	ľ
	 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
8	32. Sign Details	\exists
	 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	• 8% " x 11 " – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)	
-	33. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures)	
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded	
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
-	34. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided)	
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded	
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
-	35. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting	
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded	
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 	
	36. Other:	٦
	□ 24" x 36" − copy(ies), folded	
	□ 11" x 17" − copy(ies), folded (quality suitable for reproduction)	
	■ 8 ½" x 11" copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction)	

Planning and Development Services

		PART III - SAMPLES & MODELS
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
7		37. Paint Color Drawdowns 1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers.
		 38. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s): 8-1/2" x 14" material sample board (s) The material sample board shall include the following: A color elevation of one side of the building 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.) 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation. 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded of a printed digital photo of the material board
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
		39. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7000. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number; 202PA206
		40. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the submittal requirements.
Ø		41. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon
		42. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.
		43. Other:

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088 City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

_	Coordinator.	tion regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project	
	Coordinator Name (print	ESUS MURILLOPhone Number: 480.312.78	4
	Coordinator email:	wrillo@scotisdaleaz.gov Date: 8-26-16	
	Coordinator Signature:		
	-	r is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the oter of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist.	
	This application need a:	New Project Number, or	e e
		☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number:	

Required Notice

Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services Director, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning, Neighborhood and Transportation Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms.

Planning and Development Services
One Stop Shop
Planning and Development Services Director
7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Phone: (480) 312-7000

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088 City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Historic Property Application Checklist

Page 6 of 8

Revision Date: 12/10/2014



Development Applications Process


Enhanced Application Review Historic Property (HP)

Revision Date: 12/10/2014

Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.






Development Applications Process

Standard Application Review Historic Property (HP)

Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denlal, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.



City Sends Letter to Applicant Informing the Applicant that the Application has been Accepted for Substantive Review

Yes


Pre- Application

Submittal and

Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement

Contact Application Completed by the Owner / Applicant

re-application Meeting



and an increase in the substantive and overall time frames 4?

(Must be In Writing)

No / Minimal / In Accordance with Standard Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames

Historic Preservation Commission
Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report,
and Related Requirements
(Recommendation and/or Non-action Hearing(s)
as Determined By City Staff)

Note:

 Time period determined by owner/applicant.

Requesting Additional Information

Issues Resolved by Applicant/Owner and

Resubmits Application

3rd Substantive Review

- 2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued requesting additional information until the date the City receives the resubmittal from the owner/applicant.
- The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
- 4. Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Revision Date: 12/10/2014

Time Line

Administrative Review
Substative Review
Public Hearing Process
Approval/Denial
15 Staff Working Days Per Review
95 Total Staff Working Days, Two Reviews in This Time Frame 2.3.4
Time Frames Vary
Letter Issued

Historic Preservation Commission Hearing

Approval/Denial Letter Issued (End of Substantive Review)

Planning and Development Services
7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 • Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088



Historic Property Certificate of Appropriateness Application

RE: PROPERTIES ZONED HP-HISTORIC PROPERTY, INCLUDING HOMES IN HISTORIC DISTRICTS
Property Location: 4920 North 77th Place, Scottsdale, AZ 85251
District or Property Historic Name: Villa Monterey Units 1-9 Zoning: R 4 Parcel Number: 173-30 -225 Quarter Section: 23/2N/4E
Owner Name: Amy E. Mac Aulay Owner Address: 8738 East Highland Ave Scotts dale, AZ 85251 Telephone Number: 480-695- E-Mail: amacaulay & Cox. net 3159
Telephone Number: 480-695- E-Mail: a macaulay & Cox. net
Applicant Name (if owner representative):
Applicant Address:
Telephone Number: E-Mail:
Description of Work:
I declare that all information submitted is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I acknowledge that
any error in my application may be cause for delay in scheduling.
Signature Signature Date
20-HP-2016

Scottsdale Historic Preservation Program

7506 E Indian School Rd, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • Phone: 480-312-2523 • Fax: 480-312-7314

10/21/2016

Case No
CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
Historic Preservation Commission
CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS BY HPC: Hearing Date:
The HPC will make findings per ordinance Section 6.122.G, and determine if: 1. The application is consistent with the Historic Preservation Plan for the resource. 2. List specific guidelines from the approved HP plan the HPC determined the application is consistent with (attach separate sheet if needed):
3. If the application is denied because it is not consistent with the guidelines in the approved HP plan, list the guidelines the HPC determined the application is <u>not</u> consistent with (attach separate sheet if needed):
4. List any stipulations from the HPC in their decision on an attached sheet of stipulations.
☐ APPROVED ☐ APPROVED WITH STIPULATIONS (SEE ATTACHED) ☐ DENIED
Signature: Date
NOTICE: Date a copy of this signed certificate was handed to or e-mailed to the owner and/or the applicant with documentation of the action taken by the HPC:
APPEALS
Appeal Historic Preservation Commission Decision to City Council
Appeal Received Within 20 Days of HPC Decision: CC Hearing: CC Decision:
CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS BY COUNCIL
☐ APPROVED ☐ APPROVED WITH STIPULATIONS (SEE ATTACHED) ☐ DENIED
Signature: Date
NOTICE: Date documentation of city council decision sent to the owner and/or the applicant:

Scottsdale Historic Preservation Program
7506 E Indian School Rd, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • Phone: 480-312-2523 • Fax: 480-312-7314



Request To Submit Concurrent Development Applications

Acknowledgment and Agreement

The City of Scottsdale recognizes that a property owner may desire to submit concurrent development applications for separate purposes where one or more of the development applications are related to another development application. City Staff may agree to process concurrently where one or more the development applications related to the approval of another development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.

						
Please check the annropriate boy of	Development Application Types the types of applications that you are rec	ruesting to submit concurrently				
Zoning Zoning	Development Review	Signs				
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	Development Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)				
☐ Rezoning (ZN)	☐ Development Review (Minor) (SA)	☐ Community Sign District (MS)				
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ ₩ash Modification (WM)	Other				
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	Historic Property (HP)	☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN)				
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)	☐ General Plan Amendment (GP)				
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)	☐ Subdivisions	☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)				
☐ Special Exception (SX)	☐ Condominium Conversion	☐ Abandonment (AB)				
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed				
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction/Revision					
Owner:						
Company:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,				
Address:						
Phone:	Fax:	·				
E-mail:		· ·				
arising in connection with the concurrent de pertaining to Concurrent Applications; 4) to concurrent development application that is r City review(s) of the development application	o placing a development application on elated to an another development application	hold in order to continue processing a ation; and 5) that upon completion of the				
Property owner (Print Name):	Title:					
		John.				
Signat	<u> </u>	Date:				
Spine						
Official Use Only:	Submitta	al Date:				
Request: Approved or Denied	mark 1					
Staff Name (Print):						
·						
Staff Signature:	Date:					
	Planning and Development Services 7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088 Otty of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov					

Page 1 of 1

Revision Date: 02/02/2015



Development Application

	_		-		
Development Application Type: Please check the appropriate box of the Type(s) of Application(s) you are requesting					
	-		T .		
Zoning	Development Revie		Sign		
Text Amendment (TA)	Review (Major) (DR)		Master Sign Program (MS)		
<u> </u>	Rezoning (ZN) Development F			Community Sign District (MS)	
☐ In-fill Incentive (ii)	Wash Modifica		Oth		
Conditional Use Permit (UP)	Historic Prope	rty (HP)	믬	Annexation/De-annexation (AN)	
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)			General Plan Amendment (GP)	
Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions			In-Lieu Parking (IP)	
Special Exception (SX)	Condominium			Abandonment (AB)	
☐ Variance (BA)	Perimeter Exc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Oth	er Application Type Not Listed	
Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction		<u> </u>		
Project Name: MACAULAY	RESIDENCE	t (TARPORT C	<u>a</u>	WK510N) ale, AZ 85251	
Property's Address: 4920 Noi	th 77 5 1	Jace, Scott	sde	ale, AZ 85251	
Property's Current Zoning District Designation		,		•	
The property owner shall designate an agent	/annlicant for the Do	evelonment Annlication	This	nerson shall be the owner's contact	
for the City regarding this Development Appl				•	
information to the owner and the owner app		applicatie stiali be respor	ISIDIC	tor communicating an city	
4 42 4	a y	Agent/Applicant:	Srct	t Krupps	
Owner: Amy Machul Company:	,	Agent/Applicant: Brett Krupps Company: Krupps & Sons LLC Address: 15402 N. 45th Phr. 85032			
Address: 8738 4920		Address: 15402	. 1	V. 45th Phr. 85032	
Phone: Fax:				0896 Fax: N/A	
E-mail:		E-mail: krupps and sons @ quail.com			
Designer:		Engineer:			
Company:		Company:			
Address:	-	Address:			
Phone: Fax:		Phone:		Fax:	
E-mail:		E-mail:		-	
Please indicate in the checkbox below the re	equested review me		the de	scriptions on page 2).	
This is not required for the following	- '			· · ·	
applications ¹ will be reviewed in a fo					
			•	s application utilizing the Enhanced	
I IV I ENDARCOS ANNICATION KOVICUS	lication Review met	=	ew till	s application dunizing the chilanced	
	reby authorize the Colication Review met		ew thi	is application utilizing the Standard	
1			·		
de CTM //					
they E. Mps. Hu	11	1 sur	6	X71/2	
Owner Signature	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Agent/Applicant	t Sign	Stafe	
Official Use Only Submittal Date:		Development Applicat	ion N	o.:	
Official Use Only Submittal Date:		Development Applicat		0.:	

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 1 of 3

20-HP-2016 10/21/2016

Revision Date: 05/18/2015

CITY OF STANKE SCOTTSDALE

Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Development Application

Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.