
Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards

Development Review Board Project Narrative

Skye on McDowell | SWC of 70^{th} Street & E McDowell Road 6-GP-2015 | 18-ZN-2015 | 1-PP-2016



Prepared by:

K. Hovnanian Homes 20830 N. Tatum Blvd, Suite 250 Phoenix, AZ 85050

> 39-DR-2016 11/04/2016

Property Information

Location: 6855-6925 E. McDowell Road, southwest corner of 70th Street and McDowell Road

Property Size: 8.00 (+/-) gross acres

Property General Plan / Zoning:

Current General Plan (6-GP-2015):

Current Zoning (18-ZN-2015):

Urban Neighborhoods

R-5 (Multi-family Residential)


Project Overview

The proposed application is a request for approval of the project building elevations per the preplat (case # 1-PP-2016), for the Skye on McDowell residential project.

The property is currently occupied by various car sales businesses. The proposal to redevelop the 8.0 +/- acre property with 55 single-family attached homes (7.1 +/- du/ac) will bring additional revitalization to the McDowell Corridor and fulfill a demand for new housing in Southern Scottsdale.

Building Elevations & Character

The project proposes (55) single-family, fee-simple ownership, attached residential units that are non-structurally connected to appear and function in a traditional townhome configuration (private ownership of the lot/land, at least two sides open) yet have the convenience, privacy and livability of a conventionally detached home. There are (4) distinct unit plans with (2) elevations each, designed to be combined with adjacent units with a 6' side yard on one side and plotted on a zero lot line on the other, effectively creating the privacy condition for the adjacent unit.



Composite Building Example – Plan View with Yards

All units are 2-story, with the first floor having a 2-car side-by-side garage, main living area with private open space provided via a covered patio, private garden and side yard, and 2nd floors with

sleeping quarters that includes 3-4 bedrooms. Square footages of the units range from 1,950 sf to 2,325 sf. Optional roof decks will be offered on three of the four units to take advantage of the views of the adjacent Papago Park and broad vista views as well as provide additional interest and activity from the street perspective.

The project, while consisting of the (55) individual units, will have a common modern character that will enhance the McDowell Corridor and be appropriate in scale and character to the heavily trafficked McDowell arterial road and make the transition to the adjacent residential neighborhood as well reference the currently proposed townhome project across McDowell Road.

The proposed composite buildings, groupings of the individually "semi-detached" units, will be made up of 5- and 6-plex units that will add variety and massing articulation with the variety of ways that the buildings can be combined. Architectural recesses, balconies and projections will add significant shadowing as well as provide opportunities for color blocking that will further articulate the elevations. Keeping with the elegant modern theme, stucco will play a role in defining smooth wall masses and dry-stacked masonry veneer will provide a variety of textures that will add color and an elegant character. Four (4) color schemes are proposed to provide diversity between the buildings.

Theme Wall, Vehicle and Pedestrian Gates along McDowell Road

The design of the theme wall, vehicle gates, and pedestrian gates along McDowell Rd was previously approved by the DRB on 10/16/2016 for Aire on McDowell (26-DR-2016) located just north of the Skye site across McDowell Rd. Skye will incorporate the same wall/gate design as Aire.

DRB Criteria

Sec. 1.904 – Criteria

- A. In Considering any application for development, the Development Review Board shall be guided by the following criteria:
 - 1. The Board shall examine the design and theme of the application for consistency with the design and character components of the applicable guidelines, development standards, Design Standards and Policies Manual, master plans, character plan and General Plan.

Response: The proposed buildings and community features within this application are consistent with the applicable standards to the project.

- 2. The architectural character, landscaping and site design of the proposed development shall:
 - a. Promote a desirable relationship of structures to one another, to open spaces and topography, both on the site and in the surrounding neighborhood;
 - b. Avoid excessive variety and monotonous repetition;

Response: The architectural character of the individual single-family townhome units were designed to be unique yet when grouped together represent a holistic design approach that adds variety without being excessive. The relationship to the other buildings, open space and adjacent uses were thoughtfully considered to enhance the character of the buildings.

- c. Recognize the unique climatic and other environmental factors of this region to respond to the Sonoran Desert environment, as specified in the Sensitive Design Principles;
- d. Conform to the recommendations and guidelines in the Environmentally Sensitive Lands (ESL) Ordinance, in the ESL Overlay District; and
- e. Incorporate unique or characteristic architectural features, including building height, size, shape, color, texture, setback or architectural details, in the Historic Property Overlay District.

Response: Not applicable to this project site.

3. Ingress, egress, internal traffic circulation, off-street parking facilities, loading and service areas and pedestrian ways shall be so designed as to promote safety and convenience.

Response: General site related items were addressed and approved in the previous DRB application. This application for the individual unit elevations enhances the previously approved site design.

4. If provided, mechanical equipment, appurtenances and utilities, and their associated screening shall be integral to the building design.

Response: Mechanical equipment for the individual residential units will be located on the roof with screening provided by the architectural integrated parapet of the buildings themselves. Roof drains shall be integrated within the exterior walls.

- 5. Within the Downtown Area, building and site design shall:
 - a. Demonstrate conformance with the Downtown Plan Urban Design & Architectural Guidelines;
 - b. Incorporate urban and architectural design that addresses human scale and incorporate pedestrian-oriented environment at the street level;
 - c. Reflect contemporary and historic interpretations of Sonoran Desert architectural traditions, by subdividing the overall massing into smaller elements, expressing small scale details, and recessing fenestrations;
 - d. Reflect the design features and materials of the urban neighborhoods in which the development is located; and
 - e. Address building mass, height, materials, and intensity transitions between adjacent/abutting Type 1 and Type 2 Areas, and adjacent/abutting Type 2 Areas and existing development outside the Downtown Area.

Response: Not applicable due to the project site location outside of the Downtown Area.

- 6. The location of artwork provided in accordance with the Cultural Improvement Program or Public Art Program shall address the following criteria:
 - a. Accessibility to the public;
 - b. Location near pedestrian circulation routes consistent with existing or future development or natural features;
 - c. Location near the primary pedestrian or vehicular entrance of a development;
 - d. Location in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual for locations affecting existing utilities, public utility easements, and vehicular sight distance requirements; and
 - e. Location in conformance to standards for public safety.

Response: Not applicable due to the project site location.

B. The burden is on the applicant to address all applicable criteria in this section.



Request To Submit Concurrent Development Applications

Acknowledgment and Agreement

The City of Scottsdale recognizes that a property owner may desire to submit concurrent development applications for separate purposes where one or more of the development applications are related to another development application. City Staff may agree to process concurrently where one or more the development applications related to the approval of another development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.

Please check the appropriate box of	the types of applications that you are req	uesting to submit concurrently		
Zoning	Development Review	Signs		
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	Development Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)		
Rezoning (ZN)	☐ Development Review (Minor) (SA)	☐ Community Sign District (MS)		
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ Wash Modification (WM)	Other		
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Historic Property (HP)	☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN)		
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)	☐ General Plan Amendment (GP)		
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)	☐ Subdivisions	☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)		
☐ Special Exception (SX)	☐ Condominium Conversion	☐ Abandonment (AB)		
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed		
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction/Revision			
Owner: Mark Graminske				
Company: K. Hownanian Hon	~es	·.		
Address: 20830 N. Tatum	Blud #250 Phoeni	x, Az 85050		
Phone: 480-824-4145	Fax: 480-824	-4230		
E-mail: mgraninshe @ Kh	ov. com			
As the property owner, by providing my signature below, I acknowledge and agree: 1) that the concurrent development applications are processed at the property owner's risk; 2) to hold the City harmless of all cost, expense, claims, or other liability arising in connection with the concurrent development applications; 3) to the City of Scottsdale's Substantive Policy Statement pertaining to Concurrent Applications; 4) to placing a development application on hold in order to continue processing a concurrent development application that is related to an another development application; and 5) that upon completion of the City review(s) of the development applications, one or more of the development application(s) may not be approved.				
Property owner (Print Name):	Graminghe Title: Are	hlectural Project Monager		
Property owner (Print Name): Markets Signature	ure D	ate: 8/8/5016		
Official Use Only:	Submitta	Date:		
Request: ☐ Approved or ☐ Denied				
Staff Name (Print):				
Staff Signature:	Date:			

Planning and Development Services

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 1 of 1

39-DR-2016 8/9/2016



Submittal Date:	Project No.:	897 -PA	- 15



Development Review

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- · requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

in the second		PART I GENERAL REQUIREMENTS
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
V		1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list)
V	Ø	2. Application Fee \$ 1,000 (subject to change every July) Me Donell Condo
M	Ø	3. Completed Development Application Form (form provided)
		 The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review).
		 If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology.
口	D .	4. Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided)
Ø	12	5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form)

Ø	ū	6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner. (form provided)
Ø	V	Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provided)
Ø		 8. Commitment for Title Insurance – No older than 30 days from the submittal date (requirements form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B.
Ø		 9. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance) 8-1/2" x 11" – 2 copies
Ø	V	 10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old)
1	-	11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form provided)
		12. Addressing Requirements (form provided)
		13. Design Guidelines E Sensitive Design Program Design Standards and Policies Manual Commercial Retail Gas Station & Convenience Stores Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines The above reference design guidelines, standards, policies, and additional information may be found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/design
\(\text{\tin}\text{\tett{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\}\tittt{\text{\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\tittt{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tiint{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\tet		 Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (form provided) Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report Provide one copy of the Community Input Certification attached to the Neighborhood Notification Report If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report addendum. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form provided)
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	V	
		 Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper (form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations and numbers. 8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (Delayed submittal). At the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.

8		17. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided) ☐ Certificate of No Effect / Approval Application (form provided) ☐ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies ☐ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies ☐ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy
4		18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet
		provided) Airport Data Page Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit)
4		19. ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided)
		 The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with this Development Review Application.
	q)	PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
ž	<u></u>	Titellis marked below.
R	<u> </u>	20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided)
<u>A</u>		20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided)
		20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative
		20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided)
		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the
		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic
Ø		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan.
Ø		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed
Ø		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 %" x 11" - 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" - 2 color copies, folded
Ø		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" - 4 copies 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" - 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" - 1 color copy
Ø		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning
Ø		 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of:

/	/	Development Neview Application checklist
M	V	23. Site Plan for reference
		• 24" x 36" − 12 copies, folded (Copy)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
	-	24. Site Details
		(Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
₽	-	25. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (Example Provided)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
B-		26. Site Cross Sections
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" 1 – copy, folded
0	-6	27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
0		28. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas)
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
8		29. Phasing Plan
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		30. Landscape Plan
		 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u>
		(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		 ■ 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		● 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)

Planning and Development Services Division

-	-0-	38. Elevations Worksheet(s)
		Required for all Development applications to zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and in the Downtown Area.
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
Ø		39. Perspectives
		• 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	Q	40. Streetscape Elevation(s)
		• 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded
-		• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
Ø	Q	41. Wall Elevations and Details and/or Entry Feature Elevations and Details
		• 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	_	42. Floor Plans
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
·Ð	-6	43. Floor Plan Worksheet(s)
		(Required for restaurants, bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family
		developments):
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		44. Roof Plan Worksheet(s)
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
8-		45. Sign Details
_	_	• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)

		Development Review Application Checklist
ø	Ħ	46. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures)
		• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded If Graphiable
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
<u> </u>		47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided)
		■ 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		48. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	-	49. Cultural Improvement Program Plan
		Conceptual design of location
	;	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 1 – copy of the approval letter for the artwork design from Scottsdale Cultural Council (Scottsdale Public Art)
		Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the requirement/contribution.
-	-	50. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines
		(Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	;	• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
-	_E'	51. Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	•	■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
<u>B</u>		52. Drainage Report (information provided)
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements
	٠.	for drainage report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock
		front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in
		pockets.
		8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
Д		53. Master Drainage Plan
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements
		for Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets.
		8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		- 0 1/2 x 11 - 2 copies of the brainage heport including run size plans/maps in pockets

Planning and Development Services Division
7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

4	-	54. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Water and Wastewater
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans.
		• 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
	-	55. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Wastewater
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans.
		8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		56. Water Sampling Station
		Show location of sample stations on the site plan.
		• Fax 8 ½" x 11" copy of the site plan with sampling stations to the Water Quality Division.
		 Attn: Craig Miller. Fax 480-312-8728/ Phone 480-312-8743
-		57. Water Of Approval For Fountains Or Water Features from the Water Conservation Office
		Please contact Elisa Klein at 480-312-5670
		1 copy of the approval from the Water Conservation Office
<u>-</u>		58. Native Plant Submittal:
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded.
		(Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development)
		59. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA) (information provided)
	≈ 2 (a	Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans.
		☐ Category 1 Study
		☐ Category 2 Study
		☐ Category 3 Study
		 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis including full size plans/maps in pockets

	ш,	60. Revegetation Site Plan, including Methodology and Techniques
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		61. Cuts and Fills Site Plan
·		• 24" x 86" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		62. Cuts and Fills Site Cross Sections
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		63. Environmental Features Male
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		64. Geotechnical Report
		8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the Geotechnical Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		65. Unstable Slopes / Boulders Rolling Map
_	_	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		66. Bedrock & Soils Map
		• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17"—1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		67. Conservation Area, Scenic Corridor, Vista Corridor Plan
	<u> </u>	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
		11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
□	<u></u>	68. Other:
		□ 24" x 36" copy(ies), folded
(☐ 11" x 17" — copy(ies), folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		□ 8½" x 11" copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction)
	<u></u>	☐ Digital — 1 copy (See Digital Submittal Plan Requirements)

Planning and Development Services Division

		PART III - SAMPLES & MODELS
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
Ø	ď	 69. Paint Color Drawdowns 1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers.
図		 70. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s): 8-1/2" x 14" material sample board(s) The material sample board shall include the following: A color elevation of one side of the building 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.) 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation. 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded of a printed digital photo of the material board 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy of a printed digital photo of the material board
		 71. Electronic Massing Model: 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: Other: Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)
		 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)

		PART IV - SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
A		73. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7000. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number; 341-PA-
Ø		74. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the submittal requirements.
Ø		75. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon
Ø		76. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.
		77. Other:

Ø	78. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project Coordinator.						
	Coordinator Name (print): Grey Bloemberz Coordinator email: Globalerz Schtodalaz, god Date:						
	90 Wender 205 Cott 5 de 492, god						
	Coordinator Signature:						
	If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist. This application need a: New Project Number, or						
	☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number:						
	Required Notice						
	Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services Division, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning and Development Services Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms .						
	Planning and Development Services Division One Stop Shop						
	Planning and Development Services Director						
	7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105						
	Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: (480) 312-7000						
	1 Hone. (400) 312 7000						



Development Applications Process

Enhanced Application Review


Development Review (DR and PP)

Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the



- 1. Time period determined by
- 2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued additional information until the date receives the resubmittal from the owner/
- 3. The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
- 4. Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by

Revision Date: 03/06/2015

Time Line

Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denial Administrative Review Substative Review 95 Total Staff Working Days, Multiple Reviews in This Time Frame^{2,3,4} Time Frames Vary³ Letter Issued aff Working Days Per Review

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 • Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088



Development Applications Process


Standard Application Review Development Review (DR and PP)


Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

City Sends Letter to Applicant Requesting Additional Information





1st / 2nd Substantive

Review

Pre- Application

Submittal and

Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement Contact Application Completed

by the Owner / Applicant

Are the Issues on the Issues Yes

No / Minimal / In Accordance with Standard Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames

Applicant/Agent Agrees to a 3rd Substantive Review and an increase in the substantive and overall time frames4 (Must be In Writing)

Issues Resolved by

Resubmits Application

No

Development Review Board Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report, and Related Requirements (Recommendation and/or Non-action Hearing(s) as Determined By City Staff)

Development Review Board Hearing

Approval/Denial Letter Issued

(End of Substantive Review)

Note:

1. Time period determined by owner/applicant.

City Sends Letter to Applicant

Requesting Additional Information

Issues Resolved by Applicant/Owner and

3rd Substantive Review

- 2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued requesting additional information until the date the City receives the resubmittal from the owner/ applicant.
- The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
- Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Time Line

Administrative Review Substative Review 95 Total Staff Working Days, Two Reviews in This Time Frame^{2,3,4} 15 Staff Working Days Per Revi

Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denial Time Frames Vary³

Letter Issued

Planning and Development Services
7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 • Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088



Development Application


THE STATE OF THE S	Development A	Application Type:							
The state of the s	The state of the s	Type(s) of Application(s	1	Market Principle State Control of the State Control					
Zoning		Signs							
Text Amendment (TA)		Review (Major) (DR)	1-	Master Sign Program (MS)					
Rezoning (ZN)		Review (Minor) (SA)	Oth	Community Sign District (MS)					
☐ In-fill Incentive (II) ☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Wash Modific		Oth						
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance		+-	Annexation/De-annexation (AN) General Plan Amendment (GP)						
Hardship Exemption (HE)	Land Divisions (PP) Subdivisions		붐	In-Lieu Parking (IP)					
☐ Special Exception (SX)	Condominium	Conversion	计	Abandonment (AB)					
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exc		-	her Application Type Not Listed					
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correctio								
Project Name: SKYE ON MCDOWELL									
-		1 6. McDone	211 6	2.d.					
Property's Current Zoning District Design									
The property owner shall designate an ago		evelopment Application	This	s nerson shall be the owner's contact					
for the City regarding this Development A	pplication. The agent/	能能够数据的数据数据数据的数据数据 (A. 1942) Philipping (A. 1986) 基础设计 (A. 1947) 使用的数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据		of Automotive and Aut					
information to the owner and the owner a	application team.	是一个一个,一个一个一个	eile i .	waster - War Holeston .					
Owner: Mark Graminske		Agent/Applicant: Mark Gramingle							
Company: K. Hornanian	tomes	Company: K. Hornanian Homes							
Address: 20830 N. Totum		Address: 20830 N. Tatum Blud # 250							
		Phone: 480-824-4145 Fax: 480-824-42							
E-mail: mgraminske @ kho	ov. com	E-mail: mgraminske @khov.com							
Designer:		Engineer:							
Company:		Company:							
Address:		Address:							
Phone: Fax:	Phone: Fax:			Phone: Fax:					
E-mail:		E-mail:							
Please indicate in the checkbox below the				RCMのは200円を通用は現状が200円できな。日本の大力が100円できます。 200円 1 7 - 1 - 1 - 1					
 This is not required for the follow applications¹ will be reviewed in 		[MODELLE MATERIAL STREET, MATERIAL STREET, MATERIAL STREET, MATERIAL STREET, MATERIAL STREET, MATERIAL STREET,	ME WY NOW	(2) 日本の日本の「東京の大きな、日本の日本の大きな、アンスの大きなできます。					
Enhanced Application Review	hereby authorize the (City of Scottsdale to rev		is application utilizing the Enhanced					
Emilianced Application Review.	Application Review met	thodology.							
I Standard Application Reviews	hereby authorize the City of Scottsdale to review this application utilizing the Standard application Review methodology.								
1/7/1/	- Prince of the transfer files	. //		1					
Owner Signature		Agent/Applicant Signature							
o mar oibridano		"Sculotabluca	- Sign	BENEFIT TO THE STATE OF STATE					
Official Use Only Submittal Date:		Development Applica	tion N	lo.:					
Ciliani Coc Cili	And the state of t	Development Applied	No.						

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov Page 1 of 3

39-DR-2016 8/9/2016



Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:


Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Development Application

Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.



City of Scottsdale Cash Transmittal

107448

107448 1 00925093 8/9/2016 PLN-1STOP KWHEELER HPDC600552 8/9/2016 3:24 PM \$1,000.00

Received From:

K. Hovnanian 5700 TENNYSON PKWY STE 140 PLANO, TX 75024 Bill To:

John Berry/ Michele Hammond 6750 E. CAMELBACK RD STE 100 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 480-385-2727

Reference #

897-pa-2015

Issued Date

8/9/2016

Address

E MCDOWELL RD/N 68TH ST ()

Paid Date

8/9/2016

Subdivision

ayment Type

Payment Type CHECK

Marketing Name

Lot Number

Cost Center

MCR

County

No.

0

Metes/Bounds No

APN

Gross Lot Area

Water Zone

Owner Information
777 Properties LLC

NAOS Lot Area

Water Type

7300 W. Orchid Lane

Net Lot Area

Sewer Type

CHANDLER, AZ 85226

Number of Units 1

Meter Size

480-783-4630

Density

QS

Code	Description	Additional	Qty	Amount	Account Number
3178	DEVELOP REVIEW APPLICATION MCD		1	\$1,000.00	100-21300-44221

SIGNED BY MARK GRAMINSKE ON 8/9/2016

Total Amount

\$1,000.00