Neighborhood Notification Open House Information Citizen Comments Affidavit of Posting Site Sign Legal Protest

REQ JES O SPEAK

JA STAL

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff <u>BEFORE</u> public testimony begins. Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.				
NAME (print) MARIA HUHO MEETING DATE 3/15/18				
NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable) ALTERRA HOA				
ADDRESS 6375 E TRAVIscool DR, Scottsdale ZIP 85266				
HOME PHONE WORK PHONE_602-908-7430				
E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional)				
□ I WISH TO SPEAK ON AGENDA ITEM # 5 □ I WISH TO DONATE MY TIME TO				
I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"* CONCERNING				

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - ° A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - ^o A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

REQUEST TO SPEAK



Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff <u>**BEFORE</u>** public testimony begins. Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.</u>

Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.

Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.

NAME (print) EARL SISSON MEETING DATE 3/15/18 NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable) ADDRESS 6229 E. PINNACLE VISTA ZIP 85266 HOME PHONE 480 415-8625 WORK PHONE_____ E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional) Detwish to speak on agenda item # 5 🗆 I wish to donate my time to ______

I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"* CONCERNING

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - ° A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - [°] A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

REQ JES "O SPEAK

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff <u>BEFORE</u> public testimony begins. Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.
NAME (print) GARY PRIMERAND MEETING DATE 3-15-18
NAME (PRIMI) MEETING DATE MEETING DATE
ADDRESS 6330 E. QUAIL TRACK DR., Sottschle ZIP 85266
HOME PHONE 602-882-4999 WORK PHONE
E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional) PAINO 198 @ AdL. COM
I WISH TO SPEAK ON AGENDA ITEM # 5 I WISH TO DONATE MY TIME TO

I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"* CONCERNING

25 3000

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

··· • ·

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - [°] A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

EREQUEST TO SPEAK

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff <u>BEFOR</u> Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing to Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent	er speaker. two or more persons.
NAME (print) Roland Sharey MEETING	DATE 3-15-2615
NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable)	
ADDRESS 6324 F. Redbird Read	ZIP 85266
	Retired
E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional)	<i>(</i> ;
	MYTIME TO Primeran
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"* CONCERNING	# 5

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - ° A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - ° A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

REQUEST TO SPEAK

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff <u>BEFORE</u> public testimony begins.
Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.



Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.

Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.

NAME (print) Rob Clernent MEETING DATE
NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable) IFONWOOD Preserve
ADDRESS 6293 E. Redbird Circle ZIP 8526-6
HOME PHONE (180-699-1802 WORK PHONE 480-202-8476
E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional)
-

I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"* CONCERNING _____

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

REQU S O SPEAK





I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"* CONCERNING ____

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - ^o A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - ^o A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

REQUES TO SPEAK



	Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff <u>BEFORE</u> public testimony begins.
NAME (print)	MARIE YOHRE MEETING DATE 3/15/2018
NAME OF G	ROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable)
ADDRESS_	6239 E PINNacle VISTA Drive ZIP
HOME PHO	NE 480-277-4151 WORK PHONE
E-MAIL ADD	RESS (optional) Marie yohre a mail com
	O SPEAK ON AGENDA ITEM # 5 \Box I WISH TO DONATE MY TIME TO
G G ↓ wish T	

*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.

HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. (At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
 - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
 - ° A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
 - ^o A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.



Written Comment cards may be submitted to Staff at any time. Cards submitted after public testimony has begun will be provided to the Board or Commission at the conclusion of the testimony for that item.

REQUEST TO SPEAK: Citizens wishing to address the Board or Commission in person may obtain a blue Request to Speak card from staff located at the Staff table in the Kiva.

	WK EN UU	IMMEN I S	$\left[\right]$
	This card is used to submit written comm Written comment cards may be submitted to the St	aff at any time. Cards submitte	ed after public
Ie	stimony has begun will be provided to the Board or Comm		-
NAME (print)	Thomas Tileven	MEETING DATE3	115/2018
NAME OF GI	ROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable)		
ADDRESS_	7035 E. Ironword Avire	_	ZIP_ 85266
HOME PHON	NE 480-566-5766 WOF	₹K PHONE	
E-MAIL ADDI	RESS(optional)		
COMMENTS	(additional space is provided on the back)	is a residen	itial area
with	Large single from ty h	omes on large h	dr. A multi-
Fax,	Large single Annily h. 4 development in Ris an 2 The surroundings. In	rea is very	in consistent
wil	2 The surroundings. In	my opinion	This development
Wou	le be an experiere.		
		· · ·	

معمدهم.

Written Comment cards may be submitted to Staff at any time. Cards submitted after public testimony has begun will be provided to the Board or Commission at the conclusion of the testimony for that item.

.....

REQUEST TO SPEAK: Citizens wishing to address the Board or Commission in person may obtain a blue Request to Speak card from staff located at the Staff table in the Kiva.

From: Sent: To: Subject: primo198@aol.com Wednesday, March 29, 2017 6:23 AM Perone, Steve information



Hello , my property is just south of this development and I would like to be informed on any hearings or information regarding this development. Thank you Gary Primerano -- sent by Gary Primerano (case# 14-DR-2017)



© 2017 City of Scottsdale. All Rights Reserved.

From:	Ruenger, Jeffrey
Sent:	Tuesday, April 11, 2017 5:12 PM
To:	Perone, Steve; Niederer, Keith
Subject:	FW: Case-Number 14-DR-2017 and Case Name: Pinnacle Vista Apartments

From: matt checchi [mailto:mattchecchi@yahoo.com] Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 5:04 PM To: Projectinput Subject: Case-Number 14-DR-2017 and Case Name: Pinnacle Vista Apartments

Hello Keith,

Thank your for returning my call today about the planned Pinnacle Vista Apartment project in north Scottsdale.

Ironwoods Condominiums owners and residents alike are deeply concerned over the proposed apartment project's omission of the existing turnaround area for Ironwood residents. Our community has used this section of the property since 1973 as a turnaround and access for utility vehicles. Without a turnaround residents on the south side of the property would have to backup a rather long distance down a narrow stretch to park their vehicles. This is just not practical or safe.

Our community would appreciate you sharing our concerns with the appropriate stakeholders and departments within the city. We ask at the very least the plans be altered to accommodate our continued use of the property as a safe turnaround for residents and guests alike.

3

Thank you, -matt checchi (owner, Unit #8)

(510) 378-4691

From:	Ruenger, Jeffrey
Sent:	Tuesday, April 11, 2017 2:08 PM
То:	Murillo, Jesus; Perone, Steve
Subject:	FW: Reasons for Rejecting Case # 14-DR-2017 (Pinn. Vista Apart.)
Attachments:	Reasons for Rejecting Case # 14DR2017 (Pinnacle Vista.pdf

From: Dennis Kravetz [mailto:djkravetz@q.com] Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 12:57 PM To: Projectinput; Lane, Jim; City Council Subject: Reasons for Rejecting Case # 14-DR-2017 (Pinn. Vista Apart.)

Reasons for Rejecting Case # 14-DR-2017 (Pinnacle Vista Apartments)

Background. A vacant lot slightly over 1.5 acres is located at 6301 E. Pinnacle Vista Drive. There is a proposal to build two, two-story apartment buildings at this site. Each building is to be 4000 square feet and the proposal calls for eight apartments in each building (sixteen in all for both buildings), meaning each apartment will be only 500 square feet! Needless to say, parking lots to accommodate perhaps 50 vehicles (two per residence plus visitors and tradespeople), driveways for delivery trucks, garbage trucks, etc. will be needed as well for a relatively small site.

The area ranging from Pinnacle Vista to Jomax and 64th Street westward was once a golf course, which dates to the late 1970s. In addition to the course, a clubhouse and auxiliary buildings were built, and apartments were built at the southwest corner of Pinnacle Vista and 64th Street (immediately east of the proposed development). The zoning for the lot where the proposed apartments will be located dates to 1983 when the entire area was unincorporated Maricopa County land, later incorporated into the City of Scottsdale. The golf course went away and became luxury single family homes, the clubhouse became a single-family home, and the auxiliary buildings were ultimately part of another home. The apartments remain and are the only attached residences (apartments, condos, or townhouses) within two or more miles north, south, east or west of the proposed apartments. Homes in the near vicinity of the proposed development range in size from 3500 square feet to nearly 8000 square feet. The subdivision to the immediate north of the proposed development is Alterra, to the immediate south is Ironwood Preserve, and the Toll Brothers subdivisions of the Preserve, Saguaro Highlands, and Saguaro Estates are adjacent to Alterra.

One must first wonder where the City Planning Department has been for the past thirty-five years. Not one resident, including several real estate agents who live in the subdivisions named above, was aware that this property was zoned for dense residential development (R-3, ESL FO). If known, residents would have taken action to see that the zoning was changed. All assumed that the apartments at 64th Street and Pinnacle Vista (now technically rented condos) were an anomaly dating to the early 1980s. Also, *every single residential structure* built since then has been–without exception--a single family home, for at least two miles in every direction. No commercial buildings are allowed in this section of Scottsdale.

Despite this, we are aware that the project cannot be dismissed solely because of the surrounding residential luxury homes. The zoning is very dated and was created when the area was largely undeveloped and no one knew what it would become. However this project should still be rejected because of the following reasons even if we accept the current zoning:

1. Two-Story Apartments. All of the residences in Alterra and Ironwood Preserve, which border the proposed development, are one-story homes. Even the apartments/condos immediately east of the proposed development are one-story structures. Yes, there are two story homes when you go further away from the proposed development, but the homes which immediately front and back to the site are only single-story homes which have been there for fifteen years or more. These homeowners should not now be subjected to a loss of privacy and have to look up at two, two-story buildings, whose residents can also look right into the back yards of the homeowners. The homeowners will also likely suffer the loss of the full value of their homes from this development. (Would you want to buy a large luxury home which fronts or backs to very small apartments when other homes at the same price offer no such inconveniences?). A single-story development is in keeping with the overall area, not a two-story development and the project should be rejected for this reason.

2. Extremely Dense Residential Development. When I first heard that 500 square foot apartments were being planned for this site, I thought there must be some mistake. Five hundred square feet is an extremely small amount of living space in an area where the average home is 4500-5000 square feet. These units are approximately one tenth the size of surrounding homes! One can only wonder what type of tenant will be attracted to such units. These units are the size of hotel rooms. I am vacationing this year at hotel rooms that are as big or bigger than these units.

Large, luxury apartments/attached homes-the kind where you cannot tell if it is a large home or multiple unitswould certainly fit in with the area better than this extremely dense development. It must be remembered that sixteen apartments with two occupants each requires thirty-two parking spaces. Plus extra spaces for guests, visitors, tradespeople, etc. and large driveways for all of the people and garbage trucks, delivery trucks, etc. to enter, exit and temporarily park inside the development. It must also be remembered that the lot is only a bit over 1.5 acres. The proposal should be rejected for overly dense development which is more suitable for downtown Phoenix or similar areas.

3. Damage to the Environment. When I first considered moving to North Scottsdale I was sold a bill of goods. The City said that this is an "environmentally-friendly area" and we must remember that the lot is zoned as "Environmentally Sensitive Lands." I was told that the subdivisions in this area were all designed with the environment in mind. Indeed all of the subdivisions named earlier have collars surrounding them which are NAOS conservation areas including my own subdivision, Alterra. These NAOS areas can never be built upon. Approximately 25% of my lot cannot built on, and I cannot expand my back yard into this area, nor add a sport court. In fact, despite paying taxes on it each year, I cannot even remove dead tree branches from this portion of my lot. That is because that portion of my lot is also zoned as a NAOS conservation area and a dozen neighbors of mine have the same situation.

I and other residents were told that North Scottsdale wanted to preserve the flora and fauna of the area. We were told that the NAOS areas gave animals such as coyotes, bobcats and javelina a corridor to enter and exit our subdivisions as well as to eat or make a home there. In light of the proposed development, I would like to ask the City to answer the following questions if this project is approved:

a. How is the wildlife going to enter and exit the proposed development when virtually the entire site is two large buildings, large parking lots, driveways, etc.?

b. How can the flora and fauna be preserved when the entire site is all concrete and buildings?

c. How can you reconcile a development of this nature with the development of the entire surrounding area?

d. What has the City done to require the developer to comply with the zoning for environmentally sensitive lands?

"Environmentally Sensitive Lands," or this project must be rejected. It is one or the other and cannot be both!

4. Water Flow. There are storm sewers present on both sides of 64th Street from Dynamite southward until Pinnacle Vista, a distance of approximately .6 miles. Near Pinnacle Vista, the storm sewers merge with the Alterra NAOS area. The Alterra subdivision has a drainage pipe that also merges with these other drainage sites. So too does the drainage from part of Saguaro Highlands and the Preserve and the NAOS lands immediately outside of these subdivisions. Pinnacle Vista Drive itself also drains into this area in that it is higher than the lot in question. Even the condos have drainage into the area. The key juncture where all of this comes together is just immediately south of Pinnacle Vista Drive right on the boundary between the proposed development and the condos that are immediately east of it. If you were standing there you would see a very large storm sewer pipe which passes under Pinnacle Vista Drive and empties here. It is not the only pipe that empties here. You would feel like you are standing in a wash, mini canyon or large drainage ditch. The walls on both sides of you rise several few feet from the floor. There are large boulders lining the walls. After heavy rain water rages through the area. The overall drainage area was put there by Mother Nature, but the storm sewer pipes were put there by humans.

Where are the back of the proposed apartments going to be? Right on top of the western wall of this drainage area! This is a disaster waiting to happen. You cannot build on top of the walls lining a wash without serious consequences since the storm sewers which drains streets, subdivisions and NAOS areas feed into this wash. And this entire area is classified as a flood zone by the federal government. The project should be rejected for placing buildings in such an important area for drainage. Messing with this site will not only damage the apartments, but the surrounding homes and 64th Street and NAOS conservation sites.

ł

'n

Submitted by Dennis Kravetz 6240 E. Ironwood (Alterra subdivision)

From:	Earl@BroadcastRentals.com
Sent:	Monday, April 10, 2017 3:20 PM
То:	Perone, Steve
Subject:	Pinnacle Vista Apartments, Case No. 14-DR-2017, Case ID 47280



I have just become aware of this proposed development during the past week. I have a number of concerns regarding this project. The design of the project is totally out-of-character with the surrounding environment. Nothing in the immediate area is close to 24' high. I would also question how 16 units will tie into the high pressure sewer line on the north side of Pinnacle Vista, while having to bypass the water, electrical and phone on the south side of Pinnacle Vista. -- sent by Earl Sisson (case# 14-DR-2017)



© 2017 City of Scottsdale. All Rights Reserved.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Ruenger, Jeffrey Tuesday, April 04, 2017 10:07 AM Niederer, Keith; Perone, Steve FW: Case Number 14-DR-2017

From: Dennis Kravetz [mailto:djkravetz@q.com] Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 5:01 PM To: Projectinput Subject: Case Number 14-DR-2017

RE: Case Number 14-DR-2017 (Pinnacle Vista Apartments)

First of all, I simply cannot believe that this type of project is even being considered for this site. If my math is correct and being that I have a doctorate I'd say my math is quite good—each of these "apartments" will be 500 square feet given that each building is to be 4000 square feet, and there will be eight units per building. That is ridiculous! I am vacationing this year in motel rooms that have more than 500 square feet each, and I am not exaggerating. This project is not "apartments" but will likely wind up as hooker motel rooms, exactly what North Scottsdale needs.

If you look within about a two mile radius in ANY direction, there are nothing but single family homes with one exception. And that includes the homes in Phoenix and Cave Creek. How can the City even rationalize a project of this type for THIS AREA? Why put 500 square feet apartments within an upscale residential area were the homes range from 3500 square feet up to over 8000 square feet? Do you know what's going to happen to our home values after this project goes in. And this area is one of Scottsdale's finest, where the subdivisions have NAOS conservation areas inside them and buffer zones (also NAOS tracts) between subdivisions. We were told that this would give wildlife a corridor to migrate and coexist with humans and provide an ideal environment that is a mix of development but still with plenty of undeveloped space. Guess the City just lied to us. How is the wildlife going to migrate with 500 square foot apartments crammed into this small site? Not to mention that the apartments will be two-story buildings were nearly every existing home is only one story. Yes, this project will "stick out" allright!

As for that one exception to single family homes, which is immediately east of this proposed project, it contains condos. However there is a very interesting story of how those condos came to be. My information comes from a couple of high-end real estate agents who are very familiar with the area. Most of what are now single-family homes was once a golf course. The golf course came and went and was developed into single-family homes. The clubhouse is now a single-family home. As I understand it, the condos at the SW corner of Pinnacle Vista and 64th were developed by the golf course owners in the 60s or 70s (nobody seems to know when) into apartments that were more like extended-stay motels and very much a part of the golf course which was in a "rural" area for that time period. When the golf course went away, the apartments stayed and were then turned into condos. No doubt this is why the zoning allows for tacky 500 square foot "apartments" next door in an area of all single-family homes. What would be better is if a single-family home were built on this site, and the existing condos were condemned and replaced with a home as well. Instead we are entertaining 500 square-foot apartments. The City should recognize that it was only a quirk many years ago that allowed for the existing condos to be built and for the zoning to allow this. None of us residents knew the zoning for the site would allow for this type of development.

Then there is the issue of drainage. I have examined closely the plans for this site. Given that two buildings (4000 square feet each), parking lots, driveways, landscaping and buffers and other things must all occupy a one acre site, the proposed project will build right into a drainage canyon that is now located where the back of the buildings will be. In

fact some of that canyon will have to be removed to use as land for the "apartments." There are no plans to reroute the water that now passes through the wall of the drainage canyon. So where is the water going to go? It is going to go right into some of the homes that are located downhill (south) of this area.

To refresh the City's mind, we residents in this immediate area are all paying for flood insurance now because we live in a mild flood zone. However, when 64th Street was widened between Pinnacle Vista and Dynamite fifteen or so years ago, storm sewers were put in. So we are paying for living in a flood zone that has storm sewers in it! That's is another ridiculous situation (the City promised that the flood zone would go away if we voted for some bonds for building storm sewers a few years ago, but this immediate area within the flood zone already has storm sewers). In addition to street runoff, all of the nearby subdivisions (e.g., Alterra, the Preserve, Saguaro Estates) drain into this same "canyon" that the storm sewers drain into. It can have some pretty serious water flow after a heavy rain, but it all works as long as you leave it alone. Tearing into the western wall of that canyon changes everything. Putting a single-family home on this location would not interfere as the home would likely be centered on the lot, and the canyon walls would not be disturbed. It is a very bad idea to have drainage disrupted for this project and the City will likely face many lawsuits since it will be the City's approval of dense development that changed everything. I see no plans for drainage for this site and I am not talking about water on the site but water that will not be able to flow in the canyon on the eastern edge of the proposed development.

I strongly recommend that this project be denied when it comes up for vote. I am not even sure if I would recommend this project for downtown Scottsdale. Five hundred square foot, one-room apartments??? You cannot be serious in suggesting that we need this kind of development here. May I also remind you that no commercial enterprises are allowed in this part of Scottsdale. And this project is more like a commercial property given its density and small site.

t

Dennis Kravetz

Dear Mr. Niederer,

è

Please find attached a neighborhood petition opposing the construction of the 2story Pinnacle Vista Apartments (Case # 14-DR-2017). The main reasons for the opposition is the height of the proposed development (2-story apartments), extremely dense residential development, and damage to the environment. See attached a very eloquent write-up from Mr. Dennis Kravetz, Alterra homeowner (6240 E Ironwood Dr).

This is an area comprised predominantly of luxury custom single-family homes and horse properties on 1+ acres, many costing over \$1 Million. Those of us who live here love the rural feel of the area. The roads are quiet, the evenings are star-filled and peaceful, we have to brake for quail families crossing the street. Wildlife sightings are a regular occurrence, and we hear coyotes and owls in the night. Horse lovers can ride their horses in the area.

This is not your "typical" Scottsdale community that's more densely populated with commercial developments all around. Construction of 2-story apartments would completely alter the look and feel of the community. 2-Story apartments simply don't belong here. This type of "in-fill" construction is something you would see in other parts of town, areas that are being "gentrified." Just take a look at Phoenix. But not here. Not in rural 85266. These apartments will cheapen this area and have a devastating effect on everyone's property values.

The two communities just North and South of the proposed apartments are "Alterra" and "Ironwood Preserve." The average sales price in these communities is \$1 Million. Let me ask you this. If <u>you</u> had \$1 Million to spend on a home, would <u>you</u> want to buy a home with 2-story apartments visible from your back yard? Of course not! Why would you when there are plenty of other homes for sale in this price range. As a real estate broker, I can tell you from personal experience that luxury home buyers are even more selective than buyers with a smaller budget. Homeowners in this area have worked very hard for what they have, and many have survived the real estate market downturn. Property values are finally up again, and now a developer is about to deflate our market again, simply because they paid \$330,000 for a piece of dirt.

The zoning for this lot <u>should</u> have been changed when the City of Scottsdale annexed this area about a decade ago. Why didn't that happen? Why did no one review the zoning of vacant lots to see if they still made sense for what the area is comprised of these days? Back when this lot was zoned multi-family a few <u>decades</u> ago, there was nothing out here: Only a handful of homes, a desert golf course and some apartments built by the owner of the golf course.

Those apartments today are known as "Ironwood Condominiums", a charming <u>single-level</u>, flat roof, slump block constructed community with a "retro" feel. They add to the charm of this rural community. The parcel they want to build the 2-story

1

apartments on used to be part of Ironwood Condominiums, and when it was sold, it kept its current zoning.

In the decades since those condos were built, this area has drastically changed, and that zoning doesn't make sense anymore. We've had this <u>ticking time bomb</u> sitting amongst us all this time, and we didn't even know it. But now the bomb is about to explode, all because some developer bought a piece of dirt and they want to squeeze as many units on it as they can so they can maximize their profits. And they're going to do this at everyone else's expense. That's not right. But YOU can do something about this.

Another major concern is the effects this development will have to the "environmentally sensitive lands" (ESL) zoning for the area, as well as flooding in the area. I met with the owner of 6240 E Quail Track Dr, which is located diagonally South of the proposed apartments. She's been here 27 years and over the years has noticed a dramatic increase in the amount of water running through her property with all the development that has occurred in the area. The wash that runs through the subject lot on Pinnacle Vista crosses her lot as well. What will happen when they build the apartments with that huge parking lot? Water will run right off of that lot and onto Ms. Bonine's property.

As tax-paying residents of Scottsdale, we urge you to please consider the effects this development will have on area homeowners and on the environment. Every single person who signed this petition lives within walking-distance to this proposed development. **People are outraged**, and everyone I've spoken with says the same thing: "2-story apartments don't belong here."

Again, please see attached write-up by Mr. Kravetz. We thank you for your time and for considering our concerns. I invite you and members of the Development Review Board to personally come tour some of the homes directly across from and behind the proposed apartments so you can see, first hand, the negative impact these 2-story apartments will have on the area.

Sincerely,

*

Maria T. Hutto Associate Broker, MBA, GRI Realty One Group Alterra Homeowner and HOA President Direct: 602-908-7430 Email: mariahutto@cox.net

Reasons for Rejecting Case # 14-DR-2017 (Pinnacle Vista Apartments)

Background. A vacant lot slightly over 1.5 acres is located at 6301 E. Pinnacle Vista Drive. There is a proposal to build two, two-story apartment buildings at this site. Each building is to be 4000 square feet and the proposal calls for eight apartments in each building (sixteen in all for both buildings), meaning each apartment will be only 500 square feet! Needless to say, parking lots to accommodate perhaps 50 vehicles (two per residence plus visitors and tradespeople), driveways for delivery trucks, garbage trucks, etc. will be needed as well for a relatively small site.

The area ranging from Pinnacle Vista to Jomax and 64th Street westward was once a golf course, which dates to the late 1970s. In addition to the course, a clubhouse and auxiliary buildings were built, and apartments were built at the southwest corner of Pinnacle Vista and 64th Street (immediately east of the proposed development). The zoning for the lot where the proposed apartments will be located dates to 1983 when the entire area was unincorporated Maricopa County land, later incorporated into the City of Scottsdale. The golf course went away and became luxury single family homes, the clubhouse became a single-family home, and the auxiliary buildings were ultimately part of another home. The apartments remain and are the only attached residences (apartments, condos, or townhouses) within two or more miles north, south, east or west of the proposed apartments. Homes in the near vicinity of the proposed development range in size from 3500 square feet to nearly 8000 square feet. The subdivision to the immediate north of the proposed development is Alterra, to the immediate south is Ironwood Preserve, and the Toll Brothers subdivisions of the Preserve, Saguaro Highlands, and Saguaro Estates are adjacent to Alterra.

One must first wonder where the City Planning Department has been for the past thirty-five years. Not one resident, including several real estate agents who live in the subdivisions named above, was aware that this property was zoned for dense residential development (R-3, ESL FO). If known, residents would have taken action to see that the zoning was changed. All assumed that the apartments at 64th Street and Pinnacle Vista (now technically rented condos) were an anomaly dating to the early 1980s. Also, *every single residential structure* built since then has been—without exception--a single family home, for at least two miles in every direction. No commercial buildings are allowed in this section of Scottsdale.

Despite this, we are aware that the project cannot be dismissed solely because of the surrounding residential luxury homes. The zoning is very dated and was created when the area was largely undeveloped and no one knew what it would become. However this project should still be rejected because of the following reasons even if we accept the current zoning:

1. Two-Story Apartments. All of the residences in Alterra and Ironwood Preserve, which border the proposed development, are one-story homes. Even the apartments/condos immediately east of the proposed development are one-story structures. Yes, there are two story homes when you go further away from the proposed development, but the homes which immediately front and back to the site are only single-story homes which have been there for fifteen years or more. These homeowners should not now be subjected to a loss of privacy and have to look up at two, two-story buildings, whose residents can also look right into the back yards of the homeowners. The homeowners will also likely suffer the loss of the full value of their homes from this development. (Would you want to buy a large luxury home which fronts or backs to very small apartments when other homes at the same price offer no such inconveniences?). A single-story development is in keeping with the overall area, not a two-story development and the project should be rejected for this reason.

2. Extremely Dense Residential Development. When I first heard that 500 square foot apartments were being planned for this site, I thought there must be some mistake. Five hundred square feet is an extremely small amount of living space in an area where the average home is 4500-5000 square feet. These units are approximately one tenth the size of surrounding homes! One can only wonder what type of tenant will be attracted to such units. These units are the size of hotel rooms. I am vacationing this year at hotel rooms that are as big or bigger than these units.

Large, luxury apartments/attached homes-the kind where you cannot tell if it is a large home or multiple units-would certainly fit in with the area better than this extremely dense development. It must be remembered that sixteen apartments with two occupants each requires thirty-two parking spaces. Plus extra spaces for guests, visitors, tradespeople, etc. and large driveways for all of the people and garbage trucks, delivery trucks, etc. to enter, exit and temporarily park inside the development. It must also be remembered that the lot is only a bit over 1.5 acres. The proposal should be rejected for overly dense development which is more suitable for downtown Phoenix or similar areas.

3. Damage to the Environment. When I first considered moving to North Scottsdale I was sold a bill of goods. The City said that this is an "environmentally-friendly area" and we must remember that the lot is zoned as "Environmentally Sensitive Lands." I was told that the subdivisions in this area were all designed with the environment in mind. Indeed all of the subdivisions named earlier have collars surrounding them which are NAOS conservation areas including my own subdivision, Alterra. These NAOS areas can never be built upon. Approximately 25% of my lot cannot built on, and I cannot expand my back yard into this area, nor add a sport court. In fact, despite paying taxes on it each year, I cannot even remove dead tree branches from this portion of my lot. That is because that portion of my lot is also zoned as a NAOS conservation area and a dozen neighbors of mine have the same situation.

I and other residents were told that North Scottsdale wanted to preserve the flora and fauna of the area. We were told that the NAOS areas gave animals such as coyotes, bobcats and javelina a corridor to enter and exit our subdivisions as well as to eat or make a home there. In light of the proposed development, I would like to ask the City to answer the following questions if this project is approved:

a. How is the wildlife going to enter and exit the proposed development when virtually the entire site is two large buildings, large parking lots, driveways, etc.?b. How can the flora and fauna be preserved when the entire site is all concrete and buildings?

c. How can you reconcile a development of this nature with the development of the entire surrounding area?

d. What has the City done to require the developer to comply with the zoning for environmentally sensitive lands?

Either the City lied to us residents when it told us that the area was environmentally friendly and zoned "Environmentally Sensitive Lands," or this project must be rejected. It is one or the other and cannot be both!

4. Water Flow. There are storm sewers present on both sides of 64th Street from Dynamite southward until Pinnacle Vista, a distance of approximately .6 miles. Near Pinnacle Vista, the storm sewers merge with the Alterra NAOS area. The Alterra subdivision has a drainage pipe that also merges with these other drainage sites. So too does the drainage from part of Saguaro Highlands and the Preserve and the NAOS lands immediately outside of these subdivisions. Pinnacle Vista Drive itself also drains into this area in that it is higher than the lot in question. Even the condos have drainage into the area. The key juncture where all of this comes together is just immediately south of Pinnacle Vista Drive right on the boundary between the proposed development and the condos that are immediately east of it. If you were standing there you would see a very large storm sewer pipe which passes under Pinnacle Vista Drive and empties here. It is not the only pipe that empties here. You would feel like you are standing in a wash, mini canyon or large drainage ditch. The walls on both sides of you rise several few feet from the floor. There are large boulders lining the walls. After heavy rain water rages through the area. The overall drainage area was put there by Mother Nature, but the storm sewer pipes were put there by humans.

Where are the back of the proposed apartments going to be? Right on top of the western wall of this drainage area! This is a disaster waiting to happen. You cannot build on top of the walls lining a wash without serious consequences since the storm sewers which drains streets, subdivisions and NAOS areas feed into this wash. And this entire area is classified as a flood zone by the federal government. The project should be rejected for placing buildings in such an important area for drainage. Messing with this site will not only damage the apartments, but the surrounding homes and 64th Street and NAOS conservation sites.

Submitted by Dennis Kravetz 6240 E. Ironwood (Alterra subdivision)

۶

NEIGHBORHOOD PETITION TO OPPOSE CONSTRUCTION OF 2-STORY APARTMENT COMPLEX (CASE # 14-DR-2017)

ADDRESS SIGNATURE HOMEOWNER NAME(S) 1. Ole Ver 6239 E. Pinnacle Vista William! Dorlene Valley NEXT DOOR TO APTS! 27250 N. 69th St #1 Brod GUP 6207 E. TINN Acle USA Dr Mike Wennkee Tur Same onie 6240 E QUAILIRDR Jeannet mine An (he 6220 E QUAIL TRAM TO CARLY PAGE Debra Rose Der Rose 6214 E. Quail Track Dr. (000 - 480-585.4183 Store & John BENGMA UNKER & Star BERNER 6204 & PLOSITICAL Dr. Matt cherchi Matt Checchi 27250 N64th St Unit 8 R\$266 21250N.64 th ST. Unit 9 find Thomose Birdy Thomore 75246 diagonally behind apartments. Flooding concerns. must carded. **PINNACLE VISTA NEIGHBORS**

NEIGHBORHOOD PETITION TO OPPOSE CONSTRUCTION OF 2-STORY APARTMENT COMPLEX (CASE # 14-DR-2017)

EARL + HEATHER SISSON FLEXIBLY STREAG WARIN JEANN OHOF- OUA'ZTR-DR WARIN OHOF- OUA'ZTR-DR VARANT OHOF- OUA'ZTR-DR VIA CARRANTC CARRANTC DUD 4 STIM MEDLEY JEANY + ELLENCHESLER EIJ7 E QUAILTRACH DR ESZIF W. R. UNE	OMEOWNER NAME(S)	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
MARIN OIHOF. QUAILTRANDR Tehnt MARCA JEAN SKARED 6134 & QUAILTRANDR Jan Maley CARRANTC UZOS E. QUAILTRANDR Jin Maley Mealey JEANY - ELLENCHESLER 6137 & QUAILTRANDR	5502	G229 E, PINNACLE VISTA	Tal L'
JEAN J KARCH CARRANTC BUD & CAIN MEDLY MEDLY JEAN LEUCNCHESLER 6137 E QUAIL TRACH DR MEDLY JEAN LEUCNCHESLER 6137 E QUAIL TRACH DR		RIHDF. QUA'LTR. DR.	Selat 11 Hear
Frealey Frealey JEANY - ELLENCHESLER 6137 E QUAIL TRACE DR Delley	NS RARED	6134 E QUAIL TAACK DR	Jana Canants
	d & Aun	4203 E. Quart Thack DA. 2	Sin mealey
	7 - ELLENCHESLER	-	Elle Chul

PINNACLE VISTA NEIGHBORS

2-501-21-2013757H7°67122

· · ·

ALTERRA HOA NEIGHBORHOOD PETITION TO OPPOSE CONSTRUCTION OF 2-STORY APARTMENT COMPLEX (CASE # 14-DR-2017)

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
aniel & Maria Hutto	1	212-17-018	6375 E Ironwood Dr	Malle
obert Stidham	2	212-17-019	6347 E Ironwood Dr	Astidlif.
e & Beverly Bryant (HOME LISTED OR SALE. DIRECT VIEW OF ROPOSED APARTMENTS FROM ISIDE HOME AND BACK YARD)	3		6319 E Ironwood Dr	Bevel AA
arry Callaway & Dawne Britney	4		6291 E Ironwood Dr	Danne & Butney
ephen & Karen Wetherell	5	212-17-022	6263 E Ironwood Dr	Kongand
icola & Melanie D'Aloia	6	212-17-023	27550 N 62nd Pl	Melanie D'aloie
aine & Carolyn Martin	7	212-17-024	27582 N 62nd Pl	Carety Martin
onald & Michele Dunlop	8	212-17-025	27614 N 62nd Pl	ALL
HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
---	-------	------------	---------------------	-------------------------
like & Kristin Janicek	9	212-17-026	27646 N 62nd Pl	(See Doousign attached)
ob & Bernadette Greer	10	212-17-027	6246 E Bent Tree Dr	Banderen
lahood & Deborah Vahedian	11	212-17-028	6274 E Bent Tree Dr	Ditoch Calor
effrey & Karen Kennedy	12	212-17-029	6302 E Bent Tree Dr	they
yan & Vicki Jones	13	212-17-030	6330 E Bent Tree Dr	ha
lartin & Linda Diamond	14	212-17-031	6358 E Bent Tree Dr	20
obert Burghart (HOME LISTED FOR ALE. CORNER LOT, WILL SUFFER ROM INCREASED ROAD NOISE DUE	15	212,17,022	6386 E Bent Tree Dr	(See docusign attached)
O APARTMENT TRAFFIC) lichael Parkins & Kimberly Murdoch	15		6365 E Bent Tree Dr	(See docusign attached)

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
	17	212 17 024	C222 E Dout Tree Dr	(see Domsign attached)
/illiam & Sue Hallinan	17	212-17-034	6333 E Bent Tree Dr	~
rank & Beverly Newton	18	212-17-035	6301 E Bent Tree Dr	Frank Reiton
cott & Lanette Galler	19	212-17-036	6269 E Bent Tree Dr	Frank Reiter (See dowsign attached)
risti Harold	20	212-17-037	6237 E Bent Tree Dr	2 and to Hard
ennis Kravetz	21	212-17-038	6240 E Ironwood Dr	Dankanti
lichael & Michelle Boland	22	212-17-039	6272 E Ironwood Dr	
elly & Charlotte Sanderson	23	212-17-040	6304 E Ironwood Dr	Kelly Sanderson
olin & Susan Bachinsky	24	212-17-041	6336 E Ironwood Dr	Bachigh
avid & Dory Mawyer	25	212-17-042	6368 E Ironwood Dr	Of Nough

- 1 - F

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Mike & Kristin Janicek	9	212-17-026	27646 N 62nd Pl	DocuBigned by: Existin Janicek
				1EABAAC9F8AB40F

• •

· ·

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Robert Burghart (HOME LISTED FOR				
SALE. CORNER LOT, WILL SUFFER				
FROM INCREASED ROAD NOISE DUE				DocuSigned by:
TO APARTMENT TRAFFIC)	15	212-17-032	6386 E Bent Tree Dr	72-

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
	-			DocuSigned by:
Michael Parkins & Kimberly Murdoch	16	212-17-033	6365 E Bent Tree Dr	00000000000

÷

•

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
William & Sue Hallinan	17	212-17-034	6333 E Bent Tree Dr	Bill & Sue Hallinan

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	LOT #	APN	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
				DocuSigned by:
Scott & Lanette Galler	19	212-17-036	6269 E Bent Tree Dr	Ye Della

IRONWOOD PRESERVE HOA

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	ADDRESS	LOT #	APN	SIGNATURE
ohn & Kim Tesser	6386 E Quail Track Dr	1	212-11-252	Augur Kim
ary Thurman & Darla Beggs HOME LISTED FOR SALE FOR 1,099,000. DIRECTLY BACKS UP				A DO 14400
O PROPOSED APARTMENTS)	6358 E Quail Track Dr	2	212-11-253	Danka Begge Stregge
ary & Cari Primerano	6330 E Quail Track Dr	3	212-11-254	Dang Fruier
effrey & Tobie Harkless	27152 N 63rd Pl	4	212-11-255	Depterhen
imes McGinn IV	27120 N 63rd Pl	5	212-11-256	
Friese yan Fiese	6288 E Red Bird Cir	6	212-11-257	Edurt-
lichael & Jacqueline Shipley	6270 E Red Bird Cir	7	212-11-258	Jacob Starts
avid Allen	6275 E Red Bird Cir	8	212-11-259	Pmp
obert & Lanea Clement	6293 E Red Bird Cir	9	212-11-260	Cater Jumen Haka Clinest
			Dago 1 of 2	

IRONWOOD PRESERVE

Fronwood Preserve HOA

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	ADDRESS	LOT #	APN	SIGNATURE
				Ricule + toby @
icardo & Holly Lerma	6321 E Red Bird Rd	10	212-11-261	1
/illiam Scheidhauer (HOME ISTED FOR SALE \$879,900)	6349 E Red Bird Rd	11	212-11-262	
amran & Bahar Ata-Abadi	6377 E Red Bird Rd	12	212-11-263	Ceccol Kamvan Ata-Abrdi
avid & Nancy Glass	6352 E Red Bird Rd	13	212-11-264	Roland Shaw
				Roland Shaw
oland & Marilyn Sharer	6324 E Red Bird Rd	14	212-11-265	
Iark Sokolowski (HOME LISTED OR SALE \$1,110,000)	27135 N 63rd Pl	15	212-11-266	
ffrey & Christine Phillips	6333 E Quail Track Cir	16	212-11-267	Af the choles
/iliam Geis Jr	6371 E Quail Track Cir	17	212-11-268	
harles & Michelle Amato	6389 E Quail Track Cir	18	212-11-269	CA mito

Itonwood Preserve HOA

:

IOMEOWNER NAME(S)	ADDRESS	LOT #	APN	SIGNATURE
li & Roya Bipar	6392 E Quail Track Cir	19	212-11-284,	Im forthe
			Ľ	Tomand Bende Rober
nomas & Linda Roben	6364 E Quail Track Cir	20	212-11-271	

HOMEOWNER NAME(S)	ADDRESS	SIGNATURE
Dave Foley	27250 N 64th St, Unit 2	DocuSigned by: Dave Foley HallHOUCSGARHAGYF.
Donna Juckett	27250 N 64th St, Unit 4	Docusigned by: Downa Juckett C103BE03C7444P8
Joann Campbell	27250 N 64th St, Unit 6	
Richard & Joan Jowner (Owner of 2 Units)	27250 N 64th St, <u>Units 15 & 20</u>	Richard & Joan Powner
Henry Wong (Owner of 3 Units)	27250 N 64th St, Units 5, 17 & 19	Hurry Wong 96880558008A/408.
Todd Adamson	27250 N 64th St, Unit 16	Told adamson
Richard & Monique Sidy	27250 N 64th St, Unit 11	Kichard & Monique Sidy

IRONWOOD CONDOMINIUMS

Niederer, Keith

From:	Niederer, Keith
Sent:	Friday, October 13, 2017 5:35 PM
Subject:	Pinnacle Vista Condominiums Revised Application

Earlier this week, City of Scottsdale staff received a revised application submittal of case 14-DR-2017, the Pinnacle Vista Condominiums. The site plan has been modified. To view the revised application, please click on below link, then click on Applicant's Submittal.

https://eservices.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/Cases/Details/47280

Over the next month, City staff will review the application then either schedule the application for a Development Review Board public hearing, or request additional revisions.

You will be notified when the Development Review Board public hearing date, time and location is. You will have the ability to attend the meeting and address the Board.

Keith Niederer Senior Planner City of Scottsdale, AZ 480-312-2953 Get informed! Subscribe to <u>Scottsdale P & Z</u> Link newsletter

follow us on Facebook

Cwitter

Niederer, Keith

From:		Niederer, Keith	,
Sent:	1	Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:04 PM	
Subject:		Pinnacle Vista Condominiums - 6301 E. Pinnacle Vista Drive - Development Revie	w
-		Board Public Hearing Date	

Hello. You're receiving this email because you have previously reached out to the City of Scottsdale regarding the proposed Pinnacle Vista 16 unit multiple-family residential development on the south side of Pinnacle Vista Drive, west of 64th Street. (Case 14-DR-2017)

Below is a link to the most recent application submittal. https://eservices.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/Cases/Details/47280

This application has been tentatively scheduled for the <u>Thursday March 15</u> Development Review Board public hearing. The meeting will be held at City Hall, 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd. and begin at 1:00. If there is any change to this hearing date, I will send a follow up email. The public is invited to attend and address the board for up to 3 minutes. The use of the property is not within the purview of the board, as the property is zoned R-3. The board will be reviewing the site plan, architectural elevations, landscape plan, and materials and colors.

Any earlier received correspondence will be attached to the Development Review Board report.

Thank you, Keith Niederer Senior Planner City of Scottsdale, AZ 480-312-2953 Get informed! Subscribe to Scottsdale <u>P & Z</u> Link newsletter

follow us on Facebook

Lwitter

Barton, Wayland

From:	Development Review Board
Sent:	Wednesday, March 14, 2018 7:02 PM
То:	Development Review Board
Subject:	Development Review Board Public Comment (response #47)

Development Review Board Public Comment (response #47)

Survey Information

Site:	ScottsdaleAZ.gov
Page Title:	Development Review Board Public Comment
URL:	http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/development-review-board/public- comment
Submission Time/Date:	3/14/2018 7:01:25 PM

Survey Response

COMMENT	
Comment:	In response to proposed two story condo developmen on Pinnacle Vista Dr . I strongly appose allowing this multi unit condo development to go forward . The Foothills overlay strongly expressed the community's desire to remain Rural . The zoning which was allowed Example Ironwood Apartments built in 1971 although story , was before the parcels were annexed into City of Scottsdale in the early eighty's . The desert foothills plan was to limit the 'overbuilding ' of properties typically described as being rural . This proposal absolutely undermines the Desert Foothills Plan to protect and preserve The strong presence of natural desert .
Comments are limited to 8,000	characters and may be cut and pasted from another source.
PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR NA	ME:
First & Last Name:	Susan Anderson
AND ONE OR MORE OF THE	FOLLOWING ITEMS:
Email:	andersonsue2002@hotmail.com
Phone:	(480) 513-6183
Address:	27040 N 65th Place Scottsdale AZ 85266Nm42j7

Barton, Wayland

From:	Development Review Board
Sent:	Thursday, March 15, 2018 10:15 AM
То:	Development Review Board
Subject:	Development Review Board Public Comment (response #48)

Development Review Board Public Comment (response #48)

Survey Information

Site:	ScottsdaleAZ.gov
Page Title:	Development Review Board Public Comment
URL:	http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/development-review-board/public- comment
Submission Time/Date:	3/15/2018 10:14:34 AM

Survey Response

COMMENT		
Comment:	I own a home on 64th way (272171 N 64th Way). Our community is a very nice single family residential community. I think the idea of putting in condos on 674th street is a terrible idea. I would bet if you did a survey of the home owners in their area 100% would be against this project. Ed Frawley	
Comments are limited to 8,000 o	characters and may be cut and pasted from another source.	
PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR NA First & Last Name:	ME: Ed Frawley	
PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR NA First & Last Name:	ME: Ed Frawley	
PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR NA First & Last Name: AND ONE OR MORE OF THE	ME: Ed Frawley FOLLOWING ITEMS:	

Perone, Steve

From:	Development Review Board
Sent:	Tuesday, March 20, 2018 7:58 PM
То:	Perone, Steve
Subject:	Development Review Board Public Comment (response #49)

Development Review Board Public Comment (response #49)

Survey Information

Site:	ScottsdaleAZ.gov
Page Title:	Development Review Board Public Comment
URL:	http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/development-review-board/public- comment
Submission Time/Date:	3/20/2018 7:57:35 PM

Survey Response

This is a development that is OUT OF SCALE with the rest of the development in this area - large lots, mostly single-family on at least an acre. You are wrong to cave to development interests - this is not an appropriate development for this area.	
characters and may be cut and pasted from another source.	
ME:	
Alison Rondone	
FOLLOWING ITEMS:	
arondone@ymail.com	
(480) 622-0525	
(480) 622-0525	

Barton, Wayland

From:arondone@ymail.comSent:Tuesday, March 20, 2018 7:56 PMTo:Barton, WaylandSubject:Pinnacle Vista Condominiums - west of 64th Street and Pinnacle Vista.



This is the WRONG project for this neighborhood. Everything in this area is low-profile (one story) and single-family (with the exception of the Ironwood condos, but they are low in scale, historic, and fit with the aesthetics of the neighborhood. A two-story structure in this neighborhood would be totally WRONG. Development should be in scale with what's here now. I am a resident of the Desert Hideaway subdivision and totally oppose a two-story condominium complex in this area. -- sent by Alison E Rondone (case# 14-DR-2017)





Aff dav't of Posting

Office of the City Clerk

STATE OF ARIZONA)	
)	
COUNTY OF MARICOPA)	

I, Wayland Barton, being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on 2/27/18 I posted notification poster(s) for the properties indicated below.

SS

Site(s) must be posted on or before: 2/28/18

Case No.	Description and Location of Project		Date Posted
14-DR-2017	Pinnacle Vista Condominiums,6301 E Pinnacle Vista Dr Unit 1	1	2/27/18

Date of Development Review Board Public Meeting: March 15, 2018, AT 1:00 P.M. IN THE CITY HALL KIVA, SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA.

(Signature)

Acknowledged this <u>5</u> th day of <u>MArch</u> 2018. <u>Advance Custo</u> (Notary Public)					
My commission expires 11/15/2020					
LORRAINE CASTRO Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Commission Expires November 15, 2020					
Planning and Development Services 7447 E. Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 + Phone: 480-312-7000 + Fax: 480-312-7088					

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

REQUEST: Request approval of a site plan, landscape plan, and building elevations for a new 16-unit, two story, multi-family development, located on a 1.45 net area property.

CASE#: 14-DR-2017

02

DATE: March 15, 2018

UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTIFIED, ALL PUBLIC HEARINGS ARE HELD AT:

SCOTTSDALE CITY HALL 3939 N. DRINKWATER BLVD.

YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS REQUEST CAN BE MADE PRIOR TO OR AT THE ABOVE PUBLIC HEARING IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK AT THIS MEETING PLEASE ALLOW ENGUGH TIME TO FILL OUT A COMMENT CARD.



2

80-312-7000

18

PINNACLE VISTA APTS, LLC

 \cdot ,:

Project:	The Applicant will be submitting documentation to the Development Review Board of the City of Scottsdale for the proposed upscale 16-unit multifamily project, composed two 8-plexes, located near the cross streets of 64 th St and Pinnacle Vista Dr.			
Location:	The Project is located approximately 300 feet west of the southwest corner of N 64 th Street and E Pinnacle Vista Drive.			
Size:	The Project's parcel is approximately 72,449 sq. ft., equal to 1.66 acres.			
Zoning:	The existing zoning is R-3 FO ESL and will not be changed.			
Site Plan:	The Site Plan for the Project can be found on the backside of this sheet.			
Applicant:	Pinnacle Vista Apts, LLC Kelly Lannan 480-947-6200 <u>ktl@azdelpueblo.com</u>			
City:	Keith Niederer Senior Planner, City of Scottsdale 480-312-2953 <u>kniederer@scottsdaleaz.gov</u>			

; ,



es. City of Scottsdale - Neig: X / 🔕 Assessor Revi D. Peterse	x m.h		🖾 – 2 🧧 🗸
Assessor Paul D. Pe Maricopa County Asse			Home FAQ ContactUs Help
Annue Information of 1) Clear 23-17-025 Come: Information One DAILOD BOHALD AUXO-RELE (Property Addems: 27% in 52.07% (SOL48.26.27%) DAILOD BOHALD AUXO-RELE (Property Addems: 27% in 52.07% (SOL48.26.27%) DAILOD BOHALD AUXO-RELE (Property Addems: 27% in 52.07% (SOL48.26.27%) Sale Oxage: 27% in 52.07% (SOL48.26.27%) DAILON DOILON ON CONSUME Sale Oxage: 27% in 52.7% Calspan= 4.0% Sale Oxage: 27% in 52.7% Calspan= 4.4% Sale Oxage: 27% in 52.7% Calspan= 4.4% Calspan= 2.0% <			120 LBR 120 LBR
Valuation Information Tax Year 2016 2017 Rev 5702100 5695 500 LPV 5656 635 5627 271	nacional de la conservation		Hilloweine initiality (1) - second V (1) -
Citick lans the report and and a state of the second	2421148* 21211479 10211479		202 (MARE) 222 (MARE) 222 (MARE) 222 (MARE) 212 (MARE)
	13312 MILE		ITTA META ATT See ER ATT SEE ER A
	i face of		1024404 /1224601 (222460) /1224604
	2 (1210) 2 (121	10-11-20 10-11-	113 kentu 115 ke
			212 MART 212 MART 2
	2004 0 11/0/2 10 54/00/2 10 54/00/2010	and the second s	

BCDB TRUST 27582 N 62ND ST SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

DUNLOP RONALD K/MICHELE L 27614 N 62ND PL SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266 ALTERRA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION 2824 N POWER RD STE 113-122 MESA, AZ 85215

KRAVETZ DENNIS J TR 6240 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

HAROLD KRISTI 6237 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266 BOLAND MICHAEL R/MICHELLE A 6272 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GALLER SCOTT M/LANETTE D TR 6269 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

NEWTON FRANK L/MAISON BEVERLY J 6301 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

HALLINAN WILLIAM J/SUE ANN TR 6333 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

PARKINS MICHAEL/MURDOCH KIMBERLY 6365 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 BOLAND MICHAEL R/MICHELLE A 1913 MIRACLE DR CASPER, WY 8260 **q**

SANDERSON FAMILY REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST 6304 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

BACHINSKY COLIN W/SUSAN M 6336 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

MAWYER FAMILY TRUST 6368 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 C B GREGOIRE REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST 27224 N 64TH WY SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266 RUSSO TERRY 27210 N 64TH WY SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

MELKUS DIXIE LEE 27201 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

HAUER PHILIP A/SHARON 27202 N 64TH WY SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

REESOR DALE R/GRETCHEN K 27033 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 PRESERVE OWNERS ASSOCIATION THE 27530 N 56TH PL SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 27219 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 27219 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 27223 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 6437 E PINNACLE VISTA DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 9435 N SUNSET RIDGE FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ 85268

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 9435 N SUNSET RIDGE FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ 85268

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 9435 N SUNSET RIDGE FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ 85268

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 9435 N SUNSET RIDGE FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ 85268 DALOIA NICOLA/MELANIE 27550 N 62ND ST SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

WETHERELL FAMILY TRUST 6263 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

ALTERRA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION 2824 N POWER RD STE 113-122 MESA, AZ 85215

CALLAWAY GARRY/BRITNEY DAWNE L 6291 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

ALTERRA PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION 2824 N POWER RD STE 113-122 MESA, AZ 85215 BRYANT JOE/BEVERLY OGBURN 6319 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

STIDHAM ROBERT 6347 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

RINALDI CRAIG P 6418 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 DM LIVING TRUST 6375 E IRONWOOD DR SCOTTSDALE AZ, 85266

PATTERSON DAVID L/CINDY L 6433 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

LARSON LAFE 6421 E BENT TREE DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 27241 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 SAGUARO HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION 6303 S RURAL RD TEMPE, AZ 85283

GREGOIRE CONNIE J TR/MILLER DAVID R/SHARON TR 9435 N SUNSET RIDGE FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ 85268 ROSE JACK G/DEBRA S 6214 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

STEVE & JACKIE BERGMAN TRUST 6204 E QUAIL TRACK DR. SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

MEALEY LINDA/H S JR · • • 6203 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

MEALEY LINDA/H S JR 6203 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GNR HOLDINGS LLC 6215 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

GNR HOLDINGS LLC PO BOX 25144 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

IRONWOOD PRESERVE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION INC PO BOX 25593 SCOTTSDALE AZ 85255

TESSER LIVING TRUST 6386 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

BEGGS GARY THURMAN/DARLA JANE 6358 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

•. .

Υ.

PRIMERANO GARY J/CARI A 6330 E QUAIL TRACK DR · :. • • SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

HARKLESS FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST 27152 N 63RD PL SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

MCGINN JAMES ROBERT IV 27120 N 63RD PL SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

.

SOKOLOWSKI MARK R 27135 N 63RD PL SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

PHILLIPS JEFFREY B/CHRISTINE M 6333 E QUAIL TRACK CIR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

PRESERVE OWNERS ASSOCIATION THE 1625 E NORTHERN AVE STE 200 PHOENIX, AZ 85020 SCOTTSDALE CITY OF 7447 E INDIAN SCHOOL STE #205 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

SCOTTSDALE CITY OF 7447 E INDIAN SCHOOL STE #205 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 MATLACK INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC 6191 E PINNACLE VISTA DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

MATLACK INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC 7807 S HARDSAW RD OAK GROVE, MO 64075 STRENG HELMUT M & KARIN R 6140 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

WEAHKEE MICHAEL D/ROSE L 27219 N 64TH ST 6207 E PINNACLE VISTA DR



SISSON EARL M & HEATHER M 6229 E PINNACLE VISTA DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 DAVIS BRIAN F/LAURA E 6217 E PINNACLE VISTA DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

SISSON EARL M & HEATHER M RT 2 BOX 941B CAVE CREEK, AZ 85331

YOHRE MARIE E 6239 E PINNACLE VISTA DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 YOHRE MARIE E POB 1527 CAVE CREEK, AZ 85327

JEANNE BONINE REVOCABLE REAL ESTATE TRUST 6240 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 PAGE WILLIAM C/CATHY TR 6220 E QUAIL TRACK DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 KELLS STEVEN B/KIM I/JUCKETT DONNA L 27222 N 64TH ST 4 SCOTTSDAL, AZ 85266

BCF INVESTMENTS LLC 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 KELLS STEVEN B/KIM I/JUCKETT DONNA L 15036 N DOGWOOD LN FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ 85268

BCF INVESTMENTS LLC 30370 N HAYDEN RD SCOTTSDALE AZ 85251

CAMPBELL JOAN ANN 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 CAMPBELL JOAN ANN 5704 E AIRE LIBRE AVE NO 1212 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85254

GARDNER DANIEL J 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 GARDNER DANIEL J 11 ROBERT TERRACE MT ARLINGTON, NJ 07856

CHECCHI MATTHEW R TR 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 CHECCHI MATTHEW R TR 27250 N 64TH ST UNIT 8 SCOTTSDALE AZ 85262

THONVOLD DENNIS/LINDA 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 THONVOLD DENNIS/LINDA 10525 SHELTER GROVE EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55347

NICKEL KEITH D/SHARON K 8924 E PINNACLE PEAK RD SUITE G5-632 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255 NICKEL KEITH D/SHARON K 28436 N 47TH ST CAVE CREEK, AZ 85331

GEIS WILLIAM C JR 6371 E QUAIL TRACK CIR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

DOCKHAM GREGORY 27026 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 . .,

.

.

AMATO CHARLES/LIGHTNER-AMATO MICHELLE R 6389 E QUAIL TRACK CIR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

VILAR MARJORIE 27030 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

. .

VILAR MARJORIE 7675 E VIA DONA RD SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

BIPAR ALI A/ROYA 6392 E QUAIL TRACK CIR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

RZ LIVING TRUST 6364 E QUAIL TRACK CIR 5 4 . 4 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

RUSSEK RICHARD/KIMBERLY 33010 N 61ST PL

SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

..

FOLEY CHARLES/BEVERLY/DAVID J 7709 E CALLE DE LAS BRISAS SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

, . . · · ·

RUSSEK RICHARD/KIMBERLY 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

FOLEY CHARLES/BEVERLY/DAVID J 27250 N 64TH ST 2 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

27250 N 64TH STREET LLC 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

27250 N 64TH STREET LLC 8924 E PINNACLE PEAK RD SUITE G5-632 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

.. ---

BOLAND MICHAEL R/MICHELLE A 1913 MIRACLE DR CASPER, WY 82609

. 4

WEAHKEE MICHAEL D/ROSE L 6207 E PINNACLE VISTA DR SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

NICKEL KEITH D/SHARON K 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 SAGUARO HIGHLANDS COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION 6303 S RURAL RD TEMPE, AZ 85283

1 A 1 A 1 A 1

SCOTTSDALE CITY OF PO BOX 25593 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

IRONWOOD HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION 10525 SHELTER GROVE EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55347

C AND J PROPERTIES LLC 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

(1,1,1,1)

C AND J PROPERTIES LLC 4540 EAST SIERRA SUNSET TRL CAVE CREEK, AZ 85331

POLEWKA ROY H 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 POLEWKA ROY H 27250 N 64TH ST UNIT 18 SCOTTSDALE AZ 85266

BCF INVESTMENTS LLC 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 BCF INVESTMENTS LLC 30370 N HAYDEN RD SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

SIDY RICHARD V/MONIQUE TR 27250 N 64TH ST B11 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

HORAN JACK/LISA 27250 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

. . .

FOLEY CHARLES B/BEVERLY J 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

SIDY RICHARD V/MONIQUE TR 380 RAINTREE RD SEDONA, AZ 86351

HORAN JACK/LISA 9753 E SUNCREST ROAD SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85262

FOLEY CHARLES B/BEVERLY J 7709 E CALLE DE LAS BRISAS SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255

WEISZ TERRI L 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

C AND J PROPERTIES LLC 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

ADAMSON TODD R & MELINDA

27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266

. .

 $\{ i,j \}$

BCF INVESTMENTS LLC 27222 N 64TH ST SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85266 BCF INVES 30370 N H

BCF INVESTMENTS LLC 30370 N HAYDEN RD SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

5.

.

6565 E PINNACLE VISTA DR

SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85262

C AND J PROPERTIES LLC 4540 EAST SIERRA SUNSET TRL CAVE CREEK, AZ 85331

ADAMSON TODD R & MELINDA

27250 N 64TH ST PLEASANT HILL, IA 50327

WEISZ TERRI L