MASTER DRAINAGE REPORT FOR SCOTTSDALE PERIMETER CENTER C.W.W. JOB NO. 870514-1

PREPARED FOR: WESTCOR COMPANY 11411 NORTH TATUM BOULEVARD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85028

SUBMITTED BY: COLLAR, WILLIAMS & WHITE ENGINEERING 2702 NORTH 44TH STREET, SUITE 100-A PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85008

JANUARY, 1988 REVISED JUNE, 1988 REVISED JULY, 1988 REVISED JANUARY, 1989 ACCEPTED MASTER PLAN Water and Waster Ster Drainage	13493 ROSERT E MORTINA DA
MARTED DI ANNUNO HAUT	

MASTER PLANNING UNIT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

SCOTTSDALE PERIMETER CENTER MASTER DRAINAGE REPORT

Table of Contents

		1050
I.	Intro	duction1
	Α.	Location
	в.	Related Drainage Studies1
II.	Off-s	ite Drainage2
III.	On-si	te Drainage
	Α.	Existing Drainage Conditions3
	в.	Developed Drainage Conditions41.Storm Water Storage Facilities42.Peak Discharges53.Channels54.Culverts7
IV.	Phasi	ng of Development
٧	Summ	ary9

Figure 1 - Vicinity Map

Exhibit 1 - Proposed Drainage Plan

Exhibit 2 - Proposed Downstream Drainage Plan

- Appendix I Peak Discharge Assumptions, HEC-1 Input Data and Output Summaries (2, 10, 25 and 100 Year Storms)
- Appendix II Channel Calculations
- Appendix III Culvert Calculations
- Appendix IV Letter for Downstream Drainage



42 pages

1 page

3 pages

Page

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Location

Scottsdale Perimeter Center is a planned industrial and commercial development, located within the corporate limits of Scottsdale, Arizona. The area comprising the Scottsdale Perimeter Center is a part of the former State Trust Lands, north of the Bureau of Reclamation's Central Arizona Project Canal.

The site lies west of the proposed Outer Loop Freeway, between Union Hills Drive and Bell Road and east of 83rd Street. Scottsdale Perimeter Center encompasses about 240 acres (gross). See Figure 1 - Vicinity Map. Exhibit 1 shows Union Hills Drive, Pima Road and Bell Road extended to Hayden Road from 83rd Street, although the area west of 83rd Street is not a part of this site.

Westcor purchased Parcels 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, a portion of the above described State Trust Lands. Parcels 16-20 have been split due to the internal street configuration, and have been renumbered in this report with letters A through K.

The surrounding properties are developing rapidly. In the past two years, the City of Scottsdale Tournament Players Club Golf Course (TPC) and the Princess Hotel have been constructed; and the Eagle Apartments are currently under construction. Based upon current trends, it is anticipated that development in the immediate area will occur at faster rates than most other portions of Maricopa County.

B. Related Drainage Studies

Collar, Williams & White Engineering (CWW) completed the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Forest City Scottsdale Company" in August, 1985, which included about 1400 acres of State Trust Lands. The subject site was included in the preliminary report. Revisions to the report were made in February and May of 1986. Simons, Li and Associates, Inc. (SLA) completed the "Drainage Analysis for State Trust Lands -Outer Loop Highway - Scottsdale Road to Pima Road" in June 1987.

SLA essentially utilized the channelization network proposed in the preliminary report by CWW, with the addition of a detention basin north of the Outer Loop. Freeway between Hayden Road and Pima Road. This basin and the off-site drainage are discussed in further detail in the next section. The SLA report has been approved by the City of Scottsdale.

II. OFF-SITE DRAINAGE

The drainage basins contributing runoff to the subject site have been extensively analyzed and evaluated in the aforementioned drainage reports. Therefore, the off-site drainage will not be discussed in detail for this report. A brief summary of the off-site watersheds from the SLA report, however, is provided below.

The contributing offsite drainage areas affecting the site extend beyond Reata Pass, approximately 8 miles northeast of the subject site. According to SLA, about 1100 acre-feet of runoff volume is generated from the offsite watersheds during the 100 year storm. This volume is proposed to be routed to a large detention basin via upstream training dikes. The proposed location of the detention basin is adjacent to the northern edge of the Outer Loop Freeway, between Hayden Road and Pima Road. The detention basin is proposed to be drained by two outlets; one near Hayden Road and the other near the future Union Hills Road alignment. The peak discharge released form the Hayden Road spillway is 1796 cfs, while the 100 year peak discharge at Union Hills is proposed to be 722 cfs. It is the Union Hills outlet that affects the subject site. Although this drainage concept and corresponding peak discharge rates and locations have been approved by the City of Scottsdale, it should be noted that the Arizona State Land Department and the Arizona Department of Transportation have yet to reach a similar final decision.

In conclusion, Scottsdale Perimeter Center will be subject to an ultimate off-site peak discharge of 722 cfs from the upstream detention basin, plus 300 ± cfs from an adjacent State Land parcel at Pima Road (northeast of the Outer Loop). The off-site drainage is proposed to be routed in a future concrete-lined channel (subject to the Design Review Board approval) that will parallel the southerly and westerly property boundary of the Outer Loop Freeway from Union Hills to Bell Road, and then south to the TPC. Coordination with the City of Scottsdale and affected property owners will be necessary to design the routing of the 722 cfs from Bell Road to the TPC.

The future channel location and cross-section for routing the above described off-site flows are shown on Exhibit 1.

The construction of the channel, including the roadway culverts, and the detention basin must occur concurrently, or before the construction of, the Outer Loop.

4

III. ON-SITE DRAINAGE

A. Existing Drainage Conditions

As above, the on-site drainage basins have been previously analyzed. Therefore, only a brief description is presented below. The site is presently undeveloped and slopes gently to the southwest with an average slope of 1.5 percent. The area is characterized by native desert plant species, with an estimated cover density of 20 percent. Several defined dry washes occur on the site. However, due to the high sediment load during runoff flows, the channels frequently shift depending upon the intensity and duration of the rainfall event. Many of the smaller washes transform from channelized flow to sheet flow, and then back to channelized flow downstream.

According to an earlier Simons, Li and Associates drainage report, entitled "Hydrology Report, Outer Loop Highway, North of the CAP Aqueduct", April 1987, the historical drainage across Bell Road, between Hayden Road and Pima Road, is 6879 cfs. The SLA hydrologic analysis showed that the historic channels are not capable of carrying flows greater than the 2 year event. Larger events are therefore carried in wide strips adjacent to the historic channels with shallow depths and considered sheet flow.

According to the aforementioned CWW drainage report, three (3) concentration points were identified at Bell alignment, between Hayden and Pima Roads. The location of the concentration points and the peak discharges, per the report, are:

Location	100 Year Peak Discharge (cfs)
83rd Street and Bell Road	1643
86th Street and Bell Road	6784
700 <u>+</u> feet west of Pima Road	193

The magnitudes of the peak discharge values from both reports are similar. However, the location(s) are uncertain. It is obvious, though, that no well defined channel exists between Hayden and Pima Roads to contain the above peak discharges.

B. Developed Drainage Conditions

Scottsdale Perimeter Center encompasses 11 parcels. All parcels are zoned for either industrial or commercial use. A channelization network is proposed to route the on-site runoff safely and effectively through the site. The channels will convey the on-site generated runoff to the TPC.

Since development will probably occur prior to the construction of the detention basin north of the Outer Loop, temporary measures will be required to protect the structures from the off-site flows, as described earlier. Temporary measures could consist of elevating the finished floors, constructing berms, walls and channels, excavating retention basins, or a combination of the above.

Any of the above measures will need to be evaluated and implemented concurrently with the design of any individual site development with evaluation of any change in water surface elevation which would affect downstream properties.

1. Storm Water Storage Facilities

Scottsdale City Code Title 37, Section 37-42 (12)a. requires "as a minimum, all development will make provisions to store runoff from rainfall events up to and including the 100-year 2-hour duration event".

This requirement may be waived if per Section 37-42 (12)a. "The runoff has been included in a storage facility at another location". In the same section of the code, it is stated that if storage is waived, the development shall be required to contribute to the cost of drainage works on the basis of runoff contribution. Section 37-42 allows exceptions to the regulations for "areas covered by master drainage plans, providing that the standards established by the code are met".

The Perimeter Center is an integral part of the State Land Department Core South Master Plan which requires large retention basins to be constructed along the Outer Loop Highway. These basins are specifically intended to mitigate the need for on-site storage within the Core South project area.

Each of the respective parties are required to construct their portion of the drainage project.

The Perimeter Center lays the foundation to satisfy the provisions of the City Code Title 37 for storm water storage facilities.

2. Peak Discharges

Post development peak discharges (100 year, one hour storm) are listed below for each parcel.

	1 700	Peak Disc	harge (cfs)		
Parcel	Area (acres)	2 Year	10 Year	25 Year	100 Year
А В С D Е Ғ G Н I Ј К	30.8 25.2 13.3 22.2 6.9 9.2 29.7 27.2 24.4 22.2 27.6	70 57 30 50 16 21 67 62 56 51 63	117 96 51 85 26 35 114 104 93 85 105	151 124 66 109 34 45 146 134 120 109 136	186 153 80 134 42 56 179 165 148 134 167

Exhibit 1 shows the routing of the above on-site peak discharges and the proposed channel network.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-1 model was used to calculate the on-site peak discharges. Appendix I contains the peak discharge assumptions, HEC-1 input data and the output summaries.

3. Channels

The channels are proposed to be grass-lined rather than natural earth for the following reasons:

- a. Grass-lined channels can sustain a velocity of 7 feet per second (fps) or higher before erosion and scour becomes a serious problem, whereas the velocity limit for earthlining is about 4 to 5 fps.
- b. In order to maintain velocities near 7 fps, the approximate maximum channel bed slope allowed is 0.6 percent; a 0.25 percent slope would be needed to maintain a velocity of 5 fps. Since the natural landform slopes about 1.0 to 1.5 percent, more drop structures would be required to maintain flatter channel slopes.
- c. If the channel bed slope was to remain constant, then additional channel width would be necessary to decrease the channel depth, which in turn, would decrease the channel velocity.

The following analysis illustrates why grass-lined channels are more favorable for the subject site:

SHAPE	Trapezoidal	Trapezoidal	Trapezoidal
Lining	Grass	Earth	Earth
Sideslopes	4:1	4:1	4:1
Bottom Width	20'	20'	80'
Slope	0.6%	0.25%	0.6%
"""	0.027	0.030	0.030
Velocity	7.1 fps	4.8 fps	5.0 fps
Design	681 cfs	681 cfs	681 cfs
Top Width	44 feet	52 feet	93 feet
1' Drop			
structures	required at		
1.5% natura	l slope		
	1 per 112 feet 1 per	80 feet 1 per 112	feet

. . .

Therefore, it is apparent that the grass-lined channels are the superior alternative in terms of "acceptable" velocities, minimizing the number of drop structures, and reducing the widths of drainage easements.

To minimize the top width of the required channels and drainage easements, the vertical dimension of the drop structures is 1 foot. The channel depth is usually 4 feet. This depth includes one (1) foot of freeboard. This depth is consistent with the results of the SLA report. Also, 4H:1V sideslopes improve channel stability and maintenance.

Typical channel sections and drainage easement widths are shown on Exhibit 1. The calculations showing the velocities and discharges of the proposed channels are provided in Appendix II.

Two possible corridors for routing stormwater runoff at 83rd Street and Bell Road exist. The primary route i.e., routing runoff south at 83rd Street to the golf course, would require a drainage easement through private land. This route is consistent with historical flow patterns (see Section III A).

Alternative #1, i.e., routing runoff west to Hayden Road and then south to the golf course would also require a drainage easement on private land. Runoff, from this alternative would be combined with runoff from the north (adjacent Hayden Road). Refer to SLA report.

Culverts 4.

Culverts are necessary to route the channelized flows under the major streets. Concrete box culverts are proposed for the 100 year peak discharges exceeding about 150 cfs. For locations where peak discharges are less than about 150 cfs, reinforced concrete pipes will probably be a more economical alternative.

Sixteen culvert locations are proposed. The locations are shown on Exhibit 1; the preliminary culvert sizes are listed below. The design sizes are based on an inlet control condition with an available headwater depth of 5 ± 0.5 feet, unless otherwise noted.

			с.
CULVERT NUMBER	LOCATION	SIZE	Q100(cfs)
2	W 1/4 Corner & Bell Loop & Pima South Loop Road (west)		1348 632
4	& Pima East 86th & Pima	Single 8x3 CBC Double 6x3 CBC	184 306
5	Loop Road (channel "F" & "G" to "H") Loop Road (channel	8x3 CBC	186
	"D" to "G") & 86th	30" RCP	51
7	Loop Road (channel "E" to "F")	30" RCP	57
8	Loop Road (channels "R" & "S" to "V")	Triple 10x4 CBC	1026
9	Loop Road (channels "P" & "Q" to "R")		
10	and 86th	10x3 CBC	268
10	Loop Road (channel "O" to "P")	30" RCP	53
11	Loop Road (channels "M" & "N" to "Q")		
12	and 86th 86th & Bell	Double 36" RCP 6x3 CBC	95 167
13 14	Hayden & Bell Pima & Outer Loop	Six - 10x5 CBC*	
15	Outer Loop (channel		1022
16	Bell & Outer Loop	10x5 CBC**	1022

* Concrete box culvert based on 6 feet of available headwater depth. This preliminary size of culvert is required for alternative #2 only. **Based on open channel flow calculations

)

7

The final culvert sizes and locations will be determined during the design phase of the roadway infrastructure.

Appendix III contains the culvert calculation worksheets.

IV. PHASING OF DEVELOPMENT

Phase I consists solely of the FFCA site (encompassing a portion of Parcel "J"). The developer intends to construct the roadways to the parcel, which consist of Bell Road to 86th Street, and then 86th Street to the parcel, plus the adjacent Loop Road.

Any excess top soil during the construction phases will be stockpiled in the northeast corner of the site. The soil material will be spread out to eliminate the possibility of floodwater damming, ponding and/or diversion. The damage to any existing vegetation will be minimal, thus preserving the area's visual resources.

Only 20% of the Perimeter Center can be developed until the drainage infrastructure has been completed.

The timeframe for the complete buildout of Scottsdale Perimeter Center is anticipated to be several years. During the interim, preventative siltation measures will be necessary to minimize downstream sedimentation. A small perimeter berm around each parcel boundary is proposed to prevent sediment from entering the channel system.

It will not be possible to alter upstream offsite siltation effects until the proposed upstream detention system is in place.

During and after parcel development, the grading and drainage plan will include a design to "trap and collect" sediment from draining into the channel network.

Careful measures and coordination will be undertaken where drainage enters and crosses the City of Scottsdale golf course to prevent erosion and silting.

It is apparent to CWW that the existing drainage facilities to route runoff through the golf course are inadequate to contain the flows previously given. Therefore, we believe the City of Scottsdale needs to explore further channelization improvements on the TPC property.

V. SUMMARY

The proposed master drainage plan for the Scottsdale Perimeter Center was prepared with close coordination with the City of Scottsdale staff. The master plan follows the recommendations suggested by the Simons, Li and Associates' report entitled "Drainage Analysis for State Trust Lands, Outer Loop Highway, Scottsdale Road to Pima Road", June 1987. This report has been approved by the City of Scottsdale.

The primary goal of this report is two-fold. First, to establish the drainage concept for the eleven parcels of the Scottsdale Perimeter Center; and secondly, to effectively route the off-site runoff and the on-site generated runoff through the development.

The off-site drainage concept as contained herein, i.e., detention basin with training dikes and the 100 year peak discharge bleed-off rate, are adopted in full from the Simons, Li and Associates' report.

The proposed on-site channel network, with the recommended channel cross sections, will satisfy the fully developed conditions of the site.



APPENDIX I - PEAK DISCHARGE ASSUMPTIONS HEC-1 INPUT DATA, AND OUTPUT SUMMARIES

- 1. Per the SCS Aguila-Carefree Soil Survey, April, 1986, the existing soils are classified in the "B" Hydrologic Soil Group.
- 2. The existing vegetative cover density is 20%. This is consistent with previous drainage reports by CWW and others.
- 3. Per Figure 2-15, COS Section 2 Design Manual, a "B" soil and 20% cover yields a runoff curve number of 83.
- → 4. During a telephone discussion with Bill Erickson, COS, on 12/22/87, it was agreed upon to calculate post peak discharges based on additional impervious area, rather than adjusting (increasing) the runoff curve number. This approach is consistant with new State and Federal guidelines. The percent of impervious areas with development, both industrial and commercial, is assumed to be 85.
 - 5. Due to the small drainage parcels, all on-site parcels were assumed to have a time of concentration of 10 minutes. Although several of the parcels would have a time of concentration less than 10 minutes, by using either Kirpich's formula or a time of travel relationship in parking areas and/or street, a 10 minute minimum was assumed. This is consistent with ADOT drainage procedures.

This is an conservative assumption, as the developer will impose sediment control on each development site, thereby increasing the time of concentration.

- 6. The individual drainage area boundaries are shown on Exhibit 1. The post development sub-basin drainage areas, within the individual parcels, were depicted to conform to the existing topography and landform as much as possible.
- 7. The 100 year, 1 hour precipitation value of 2.46 inches was obtained from Fig. 2-6, COS Section 2 Design Manual. The 5 minute and 15 minute values, 0.72 inches and 1.41 inches, respectively, were obtained by multiplying the 1 hour value of 0.29 and 0.57. This follows ADOT guidelines, per the "Hydrologic Design for Highway Drainage, Arizona". Likewise, the 2 year, 1 hour value was 0.99 inches, the 10 year, 1 hour value was 1.62 inches, and the 25 year, 1 hour value was 2.05 inches.
- 8. Channel routing was utilized by the Kinematic Wave channel routing method.

Percent Impervious Modeled

Appendix 5: Warning and Disclaimer of Liability





WARNING & DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY

The Drainage and Floodplain Regulations and Ordinances of the City of Scottsdale are intended to "minimize the occurrence of losses, hazards and conditions adversely affecting the public health, safety and general welfare which might result from flooding caused by the surface runoff of rainfall" (Scottsdale Revised Code §37-16).

As defined in S.R.C. §37-17, a flood plain or "Special flood hazard area means an area having flood and/or flood related erosion hazards as shown on a FHBM or FIRM as zone A, AO, A1-30, AE, A99, AH, or E, and those areas identified as such by the floodplain administrator, delineated in accordance with subsection 37-18(b) and adopted by the floodplain board." It is possible that a property could be inundated by greater frequency flood events or by a flood greater in magnitude than a 100-year flood. Additionally, much of the Scottsdale area is a dynamic flood area; that is, the floodplains may shift from one location to another, over time, due to natural processes.

WARNING AND DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY PURSUANT TO S.R.C §37-22

"The degree of flood protection provided by the requirements in this article is considered reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on scientific and engineering considerations. Floods larger than the base flood can and will occur on rare occasions. Floodwater heights may be increased by manmade or natural causes. This article (Chapter 37, Article II) shall not create liability on the part of the city, any officer or employee thereof, or the federal government for any flood damages that result from reliance on this article or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder."

Compliance with Drainage and Floodplain Regulations and Ordinances does not insure complete protection from flooding. The Floodplain Regulations and Ordinances meet established local and federal standards for floodplain management, but neither this review nor the Regulations and Ordinances take into account such flood related problems as natural erosion, streambed meander or man-made obstructions and diversions, all of which may have an adverse affect in the event of a flood. You are advised to consult your own engineer or other expert regarding these considerations.

I have read and understand the above. If I am an agent for an owner I have made the owner aware of and explained this disclaimer.

4/19/18

Plan Check No.

Owner or Agent

Date

PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT

FOR

Perimeter Center Commons

17300 N. Perimeter Dr., Scottsdale, AZ 85255 APNs: 215-07-218, 215-07-001D

Prepared for:

Perimeter Center Commons, LLC 5055 East Washington St, Suite 110 Phoenix AZ 85034

Contact: David Kross		Ľ
	Plan #	
	Case # 7-DR-208	
Prepared by:	Q-S #	
	X Accepted	
Mike Jackson, P.E.	Corrections	
IMEG Corp.	Mil tube	
1600 N. Desert Drive, Suite	230viewed By Date	
Tempe, AZ 85281	, pare	

Phone: (480) 378-3792



EXPIRES 3/31/2021

April 23, 2018

7-DR-2018 04/24/18



Perimeter Center Commons

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	. 1
DESCRIPTION OF EXISITNG DRAINAGE CONDITIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS	. 2
FEMA FLOOD ZONE / FIRM MAP	. 2
PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN	. 3
DATA ANALYSIS METHODS	. 4
SWPPP	. 5
WARNING AND DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY	. 5
CONCLUSION	. 5
REFERENCES	. 6

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Figures Appendix 2: NOAA 14 Precipitation Values Appendix 3: Drainage Calculations Appendix 4: Excerpts from Master Drainage Study Appendix 5: Warning and Disclaimer of Liability

FIGURES

Figure 1: Vicinity Map Figure 2: FEMA / FIRM Firmette Map Figure 3: Drainage Map Figure 4: HAG Analysis



EXPIRES 3/31/2021



Perimeter Center Commons

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to indicate the methods used and to provide discussion of the proposed Perimeter Center Commons (the Site) stormwater drainage. The Site is currently undeveloped desert land within an existing masterplan commercial/retail development area. The proposed improvements include connection to an existing driveway and parking located south of the Site. New facilities include parking and a 24,128 SF office commercial building west of Perimeter Drive and north of Hartford Drive in Scottsdale, AZ. This report will indicate how the storm water generated on the subject property is addressed and how it complies with the City of Scottsdale drainage requirements.

The project Site is generally located north of Bell Rd. and east of Hayden Rd. inside the LOOP 101 in Scottsdale, Arizona. The site is bounded by Sonoran Corporate Center to the north, Cachet Homes to the South, and an existing regional drainage channel adjacent to Hartford Dr. The existing drainage channel is designed to capture existing and proposed development runoff for the project Site. The existing zoning associated with the Site is Industrial Park (I-1) and the total property area is approximately 2.38 acres. Refer to Vicinity Map (Figure 1) for a general graphical representation of the Site location.





Perimeter Center Commons

DESCRIPTION OF EXISITNG DRAINAGE CONDITIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS

The current Site is part of a drainage masterplan and generally slopes from north to south with an elevation variance of approximately 4.0'. There is currently a small mounded area that bisects the property and directs runoff to the southwest and southeast corners of the property. The study area is situated within a fully developed area and no offsite flows are expected to enter the Site. In the existing conditions, the site drains to the parcel to the south where runoff is routed through existing parking areas to curb openings and ultimately to an existing regional drainage channel. This flow pattern will be maintained in the proposed conditions. The western half of the site collects runoff in an existing improved drainage ditch. This ditch connects to the existing regional drainage channel. The regional drainage channel routes westerly and southerly to the regional offsite basin for storage. No local storage is required or provided for the parcel. The existing regional system is covered in the Master Drainage Report for Scottsdale Perimeter *Center*, prepared by Collar, Williams, & White Engineering (CWW Engineering), dated January 1989. The master report anticipated a future development with 85% impervious surface are for this project site. This project has a 15% open space requirement so the planned development will not exceed the 85% impervious. Excerpts from this study are included in Appendix 4. The last page of the attached excerpts indicates the input and output summaries from the modeling in the master report, including the assumption of 85% impervious used for the runoff curve number. Additionally, the second sentence of the third paragraph of Article B.1. Storm Water Storage Facilities notes:

"...These basins are specifically intended to mitigate the need for on-site storage within the Core South project area."

The 17 Three Hundred development is within the area discussed in the report by CWW and the basins discussed in the report were constructed; therefore, the development 17 Three Hundred at 17300 Perimeter Drive does not need to provide on-site storage.

FEMA FLOOD ZONE / FIRM MAP

This project resides in a Zone "AO" area as noted on the FEMA / FIRM Map #04013C1320L dated October 16, 2013. This is considered a special flood hazard area with inundation by the 1% annual chance flood, and flood depths of 1 to 3 feet average depth determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooding, velocities also determined. Per the FIRM Map, flow depths of 1' and

velocity of 3 feet per second are anticipated on the project Site. A firmette of this map (Figure 2) is attached in **Appendix 1**.

PROPOSED DRAINAGE PLAN

Based on the proposed grading, the Site has been divided into 4 new drainage areas labeled in this report as drainage areas W-1, W-2, E-1 and E-2. Refer to Figure 3 found in **Appendix 1** for a graphical representation of the proposed drainage area boundaries, discharge locations and outfall provisions.

The proposed Finished Floor is to be set at an elevation of 1564.50 ft. This was established in order to maintain a finished floor elevation 1 foot above the Base Flood Elevation, which means the finished floor must be 2 feet above the high adjacent grade (HAG). Based upon our analysis of as-builts of the area from 1989 obtained from the City of Scottsdale, the HAG is 1560.75 (1929 datum). The datum conversion for this area yielded an elevation difference of 1.73 feet, which put the HAG at 1562.48 (1988 datum). Based upon this the minimum finished floor elevation is 1564.48. We set the design finished floor at 1564.50. A graphical representation of the HAG depicted on the as-built plan is included as **Figure 4**.

From the finished floor, the site is designed to slope away from the building and through the parking lot to the west and south. Further description is provided below.

Drainage area W-1 and W-2 include open space areas and parking lot, as well as the majority of the building footprint. Areas W-1 and W-2 have been designed to convey runoff to an open space area via curb opening and spillway along the western property line. This flow will ultimately discharge south to the existing channel along Hartford Drive. Drainage area E-1 will similarly discharge from the site via curb opening, but to the east. This area predominately consists of parking area adjacent to the building, open space areas, and a portion sidewalks adjacent to the building. Drainage area E-2 is also located at the eastern side of the development and will sheet flow south through the adjacent southern property ultimately discharging to the channel along Hartford Drive.



Two existing drainage areas south of the building, EX-W and EX-E have already been considered as part of the development to the south. Since the southern property 17200 N. Perimeter Dr. has been developed, the property line has been adjusted, however, when approved the two existing drainage areas EX-W and EX-E were accounted for as part of the southern development. Additionally, Drainage E-2 has been considered with EX-E as part of the proposed development to the south and the curb openings to the south are adequately sized to combined existing and proposed discharge.

DATA ANALYSIS METHODS

The 100-year 2-hour storm event peak flow computations included in this report are based on the Rational Method and the procedure described in the City of Scottsdale Design Standards and Policies Manual and the design standards and methodologies developed by the Flood Control District of Maricopa County.

The following table provides a summary of the criteria that has been assumed for the hydrologic analysis.

Discharge point	Area Total (ft.2)1	Area Total (ac)	Runoff Coefficient "C"	Min time of Concentration (min.)	Precipitation Intensity 10yr (in./hr.)	Precipitation Intensity 100yr (in./hr.)	10-Year Peak Discharge (cfs)	100-Year Peak Discharge (cfs)
W-1	21,107.00	0.48	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	2.16	3.39
W-2	29,086.00	0.67	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	2.98	4.68
EX-W	81,466.00	1.87	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	8.35	13.10
E-1	8,035.00	0.18	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	0.82	1.29
E-2**	19,865.00	0.46	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	2.04	3.19
EX-E**	61,795.00	1.42	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	6.33	9.93
**Drainage Ar	reas E-2 and E>	K-E are combi	ned for a total r	unoff of 13.12 CF	S			

For scour protection, inlets and outlets at all proposed drainage structures will be provided with riprap areas. The median riprap stone size (D50) is proposed to be 6-inch with a riprap layer thickness equal to 14 inches.



SWPPP

The project site is more than 2.0 acres almost all of which will be disturbed, therefore an ADEQ NOI certification, a set of SWPPP plans, and a SWPPP booklet will be submitted with the Improvement Plan submittal.

WARNING AND DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY

Refer to Appendix 5 for a copy of the Warning & Disclaimer of Liability form.

CONCLUSION

This project has been designed to conform to the City of Scottsdale DSPM and will match the pre-existing drainage patterns. Proposed drainage improvements will include discharge points sized for the 100-year, 2-hour storm event. The majority of the proposed improvements will either sheet flow east or west, however the southern portion of the site will sheet flow south though the existing development discharge points (City of Scottsdale Plan check number 844-16). The existing discharge outfall to the channel along Hartford Drive is sized to accommodate the increased flow from the proposed development. It is also expected no adverse impacts to the offsite downstream properties are anticipated as a result of the proposed improvements.



REFERENCES

City of Scottsdale, Design Standards & Policies Manual, January 2010.

Flood Control District of Maricopa County. Drainage Design Manual for Maricopa County, Arizona, Volume 1. August 15, 2013.

Flood Control District of Maricopa County. Drainage Design Manual for Maricopa County, Arizona, Volume 2. August 15, 2013.

CWW Engineering. Master Drainage Report for Scottsdale Perimeter Center, January 1989









Perimeter Center Commons

To obtain more detailed information in areas where Base Flood Elevations (SFEs) and/or Boodways have been determined, users are encouraged to consult the Flood Profiles and Floodway Data and/or Summary of Stilvater Elevations tables contained within the Flood Insurance Sudy (FIS) report that accompanies this FIRM. Users should be aware that BFEs shown on the FIRM represent rounded whole-foot elevations. These BFEs are intended for flood insurance rating upposes only and should not be used as the sole source of flood elevation information. Accordingly, flood elevation data presented in the FIS report should be utilized in comunicion with the FIRM for purposes of construction and/or floodplain management.

Coastal Base Flood Elevations shown on this map apply only landward of 0.0 North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), users of this FIRM anoual be aware that coastal flood elevations are also provide in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table in the Flood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction. Elevations abown in the Summary of Stillwater Elevations table about about a used for construction and/or floodplan management purposes when they are higher than the elevations abown on this FIRM.

Boundaries of the Boodways were computed at cross sections and interpolated between cross sections. The floodways were based on hydraulic considerations with regard to requirements of the National Flood insurance Program. Floodway widths and other pertinent floodway data are provided in the Flood insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.

Certain areas not in Special Flood Hazard Areas may be protected by flood control structures. Refer to Section 2.4 "Flood Protection Measures" of the Flood naurance Study report for information on flood control structures for this uridedicion.

The projection used in the preparation of this map was Arizona State Plane Central zone (FIPSZONE 0202). The hortzontal datum was NAD 85 14ARM, (RS1980 spheroid. Differences in datum, spheroid, projection or State Plane zones used in the production of FIRMs for adjacent jurisdictions may result in slight positional differences in map features across jurisdiction boundaries. These differences do not affect the accuracy of this FIRM.

Flood elevations on this map are referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1958 (NAVD 85). These flood elevations must be compared to structure and ground elevations referenced to the same vertical datum. May users wishing to obtain flood elevations referenced to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1829 (NSVD 25) may use the following Marconga Courty website application: http://www.fod.maricopa.gov/Maps/gismaps/apps/gdaos/application/index.cfm

This web tool allows users to obtain point-specific datum conversion values by zooming in and hovering over a VERTCON checkbox on the layers mean on the left side of the screen. The VERTCON grid referenced in this web application was also used to convert existing flood elevations from NGVD 29 to NAVD 58.

To obtain curve elevation, description, and/or location information for Netronal Geodetic Survey banch marks shown on this map, please contact the information Sorvices Branch of the National Geodetic Survey at (3017) 713-342, or vieit its website at http://www.ngs.noas.gov. To obtain information about Geodetic Denetication and Cadastra's Survey bench marks produced by the Markopa Courty Department of Transportation, please visit the Flood Control District of Marcopa Courty website at http://www.fot.markopa.gov/Maps/gsmaps/apps/gdaca/application/index.cfm.

Rap-may international power and a set of the set of the sources. Base may information shown on this FIRM was derived from multiple sources. Aerial imagery was provided in digital format by the Maricopa County Department of Public Works, Flood Control District. The imagery is dated Cottober 2008 to November 2008. Additional Additional Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) Imagery was provided by the Arizona State Land Department (ALRIS) and is dated 2007. The coordinate system used for the production of the digital FIRM is State Plane Artona Central NAD63 HARN, International Feet.

The profile base line depicted on this map represents the hydraulic modeling baselines that match flood profiles in the FIS report. As a result of improved topographic data, the profile base line, in some cases, may deviate significantly from the channel contertinic or appear outside the SFHA.

Corporate limits shown on this map are based on the best data available at the time of publication. Because changes due to ennexations or de-ennexations may have occurred after this map was published, map users should contact appropriate community officials to verify current corporate limit locations.

Please refer to the separately printed Map Index for an overview map of the Preserver reter to the separately primes Mag Index for an overview map of the county showing the layout of map panels: community map repealancy addresses: and a Listing of Communities table containing National Flood Insurance Program dates for sect-community, as well as a listing of the panels on which each community is located.

For Information on available products associated with this FIRM, visit the FEMA Map Service Center (MSC) wobsite at http://msc/ema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the MSC website.

If you have questions about this map, how to order products, or the National Flood insurance Program in general, please call the FEMA Map information exchange (FIMD) at 1-377-FEMA MAP (1-577-336-2627) or visit the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/.



	of Special Flood Hazard Inc	cluste Zomes A, AE, AH, AO, AR, A99, V and VE. The Base face elevation of the 1% annual chance flood.
	ZONE A No Base Flood B	Devetions determined.
		ations determined. of 1 to 3 feet (usually areas of ponding); Base Flood
		of 1 to 3 feet (usually sheet flow on sloping terrain); s determined. For areas of alluvial fan flooting, velocities
	also determined ZONE AR Special Flood	Hazard Area formerly protected from the 1% annual
	chance flood decertified. Zo being restored	Hazard Area formerly protected from the 1% annual by a flood control system that was subsequently ne AR indicates that the former fload control system is to provide protection from the 1% annual chance or
	Greater 1000	
'N	determined.	protected from 1% annual chance flood by a Rederal n system under construction; no Base Flood Elevations
N	ZONE V Coastal flood Bevetions dete ZONE VE Coastal flood	zone with velocity hazard (wave action); no Base Plood emilied.
	Hevetions deter	zone with velocity hezard (weve action); Base Flood mined.
		AREAS IN ZONE AE
	Rept free of encreachment so substantial increases in flood	of a stream plus any adjacent floodplain areas that must be that the 1% annual chance flood can be carried without d heights.
	THER B OTHER B OO	ID APEAS
	ZONEX Areas of 0.25 with everage d	k omusi dearcc flood; arcos of 1% omworl dearcc flood gable of fess than 1 floot or with drainage areas less then r; and arcas protected by levees from 1% annual chance
	1 square mile flood.	r; and areas protected by levees from 1% annual chance
	OTHER AREA	s
		ed to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain. flood hazerds are undetermined, but possible.
Ň		ARRIER RESOURCES SYSTEM (CBRS) AREAS
		PROTECTED AREAS (OPAs)
	CERS areas and OPAs are non	maily located within or adjacent to Special Flood Hazard Areas.
		1% annual chance floodplain boundary 0.2% annual chance floodplain boundary floodway boundary
		Floodway boundary Zone D boundary CBRS and CPA boundary
		CBRS and OPA boundary Boundary dividing Special Flood Hazerd Anuas of different Base Flood Elevations, flood depths or flood velocities.
	~~~~ 513~~~~~	Base Flood Elevation line and value; elevation in fact*
		Base Flood Elevation value where uniform within zone; elevation in feet*
		can Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) Cross section line
'n		Transect line
	970739, 322230	Geographic coordinates referenced to the North American Detum of 1983 (NAD 83)
		1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 12
	600000C M	5000-foot grid ticks: Arizona State Plane coordinate system, central zone (FIPS2ONE 6202), Transverse Mercator
		Bench mark (see explanation in Notes to Users section of this FERM panel)
		nns Fuxni panel) River Mãe
	Refer	MAP REPOSITORIES to Map Repositories list on Map Index
	EFI	FECTIVE DATE OF COUNTYWIDE
	EFFECTIVE	FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP April 15, 1988 DATE/BADOF REVISION(S) TO THIS PANEL
'n	December 3, 1993 Sep October 18, 2013 - to add base fit incorporate previously issued lette	April 15, 1988 DATEGO OF REVENDINGS TO THIS PANEL Kennina K0, 1985 July 19, 2001 September 30, 2005 doc deviation, to add appcal September Azard areas, b september 30, 2006 September 30, 2006 March 19, 2006 September 30, 2006 September 30, 2005 March 19, 2006 September 30, 2006 September 30, 2005 March 19, 2006 September 30, 2006 September 30, 2005 September 30, 2006 September 30, 2006 September 30, 2005 September 30, 2005 Sept
	corporate limits, to change floodw to add floodway.	way, to advance suffix, to change base flood elevations, and
	For community map revision h Man History table instant in th	tistory prior to countywide mapping, refer to the Community he Pood Insurance Study report for this jurisdiction.
		nce is available in this community, contact your insurance of Insurance Regram at 1-800-638-6620.
	agent or call the National Floo	d Insurance Program et 1-800-638-6620.
		LISU
		MAP SCALE 1" = 1000' 0 1000 2000 FEET
	300	0 200 600
	NFIP	PANEL 1320L
N		
	W	FIRM
		FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP
	U.S.	
	0	MARICOPA COUNTY,
		ARIZONA
	00	AND INCORPORATED AREAS
	le le	DANEL 1990 OF 1495
	WW.	SEE MAP INDEX FOR FIRM PANEL LAYOUT)
N		CONTAINS: COMMUNITY NUMBER PANEL SUFFIX
	6	MARICOPA COUNTY 040837 1320 L PHOEN 04, C/TY OF 940851 1320 L
		SCOTTEDALE, CITY OF D45012 1220 L
	HO	
	00	
		Notice to User. The Map Number shown below should be used when placing map ontens; the Community Number shown above should be used on insurance applications for the subject
	WI.	community.
	No I	MAP NUMBER 04013C1320L
N		
		MAP REVISED



I

I

# **Appendix 2: NOAA 14 Precipitation Values**



17300 Perimeter Center Commons IMEG Project No. 17002684.00



.

.

#### NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5 Location name: Scottsdale, Arizona, USA* Latitude: 33.6426°, Longitude: -111.8976° Elevation: 1561.25 ft** *source: ESR! Maps *source: USGS



-

#### POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF tabular | PF graphical | Maps & aerials

### **PF tabular**

PDS-b	ased poir	nt precipit	PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches/hour) ¹						s/hour) ¹	
				Avera	ge recurren	ce interval (	years)			
Duration	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000
5-min	<b>2.35</b> (1.94-2.88)	<b>3.07</b> (2.57-3.76)	<b>4.14</b> (3.42-5.05)	<b>4.96</b> (4.08-6.04)	<b>6.06</b> (4.92-7.36)	6.90 (5.53-8.32)	<b>7.78</b> (6.12-9.35)	<b>8.64</b> (6.70-10.4)	<b>9.82</b> (7.40-11.8)	<b>10.7</b> (7.92-12.9)
10-min	<b>1.79</b> (1.48-2.19)	<b>2.33</b> (1.95-2.86)	<b>3.14</b> (2.60-3.85)	<b>3.77</b> (3.11-4.59)	<b>4.61</b> (3.74-5.59)	<b>5.26</b> (4.21-6.32)	<b>5.92</b> (4.66-7.11)	6.58 (5.09-7.88)	<b>7.47</b> (5.63-8.97)	8.15 (6.02-9.80)
15-min	<b>1.48</b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>3.12</b>	<b>3.81</b>	<b>4.34</b>	<b>4.89</b>	<b>5.44</b>	<b>6.17</b>	<b>6.73</b>
	(1.22-1.81)	(1.61-2.36)	(2.15-3.18)	(2.57-3.80)	(3.09-4.62)	(3.48-5.23)	(3.85-5.88)	(4.21-6.52)	(4.66-7.42)	(4.98-8.10)
30-min	0.994 (0.826-1.22)	<b>1.30</b> (1.09-1.59)	<b>1.75</b> (1.45-2.14)	<b>2.10</b> (1.73-2.56)	<b>2.57</b> (2.08-3.11)	<b>2.92</b> (2.34-3.52)	<b>3.29</b> (2.59-3.96)	<b>3.66</b> (2.83-4.39)	<b>4.16</b> (3.14-4.99)	<b>4.53</b> (3.35-5.45)
60-min	0.615	0.804	<b>1.08</b>	<b>1.30</b>	<b>1.59</b>	<b>1.81</b>	<b>2.04</b>	<b>2.27</b>	<b>2.57</b>	<b>2.81</b>
	(0.511-0.754)	(0.671-0.985)	(0.897-1.32)	(1.07-1.58)	(1.29-1.93)	(1.45-2.18)	(1.60-2.45)	(1.75-2.72)	(1.94-3.09)	(2.08-3.38)
2-hr	0.360	0.464	0.618	0.736	0.898	<b>1.02</b>	<b>1.14</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>1.44</b>	<b>1.57</b>
	(0.302-0.430)	(0.392-0.558)	(0.520-0.738)	(0.611-0.877)	(0.738-1.06)	(0.826-1.20)	(0.912-1.35)	(0.996-1.49)	(1.10-1.70)	(1.18-1.86)
3-hr	0.264	0.339	0.443	0.525	0.640	0.732	0.826	0.926	<b>1.06</b>	<b>1.17</b>
	(0.223-0.324)	(0.287-0.417)	(0.372-0.541)	(0.436-0.638)	(0.524-0.772)	(0.592-0.878)	(0.656-0.991)	(0.723-1.11)	(0.804-1.27)	(0.867-1.40)
6-hr	0.160	0.202	0.257	0.302	0.362	0.409	0.458	0.509	0.576	0.629
	(0.137-0.190)	(0.173-0.240)	(0.220-0.304)	(0.255-0.355)	(0.302-0.425)	(0.337-0.478)	(0.371-0.533)	(0.404-0.594)	(0.446-0.672)	(0.476-0.735)
12-hr	0.090	<b>0.113</b>	0.142	0.166	<b>0.197</b>	<b>0.221</b>	0.246	0.271	0.304	0.330
	(0.077-0.105)	(0.097-0.133)	(0.122-0.167)	(0.141-0.194)	(0.166-0.230)	(0.184-0.257)	(0.202-0.285)	(0.220-0.314)	(0.240-0.355)	(0.256-0.387)
24-hr	0.053	0.067	0.086	0.102	0.123	0.141	0.159	0.177	0.203	<b>0.224</b>
	(0.046-0.061)	(0.059-0.078)	(0.075-0.100)	(0.089-0.118)	(0.107-0.142)	(0.120-0.162)	(0.134-0.183)	(0.148-0.204)	(0.167-0.234)	(0.182-0.259)
2-day	0.028	0.036	0.048	0.057	0.069	0.079	0.089	0.100	0.116	0.128
	(0.025-0.033)	(0.032-0.042)	(0.041-0.055)	(0.049-0.065)	(0.059-0.079)	(0.067-0.091)	(0.075-0.103)	(0.084-0.116)	(0.095-0.134)	(0.103-0.149)
3-day	0.020	0.026	0.034	0.041	0.051	0.058	0.066	0.075	0.087	0.097
	(0.018-0.023)	(0.023-0.030)	(0.030-0.039)	(0.036-0.047)	(0.044-0.058)	(0.050-0.066)	(0.056-0.076)	(0.063-0.086)	(0.072-0.100)	(0.079-0.112)
4-day	0.016	0.021	0.028	0.033	0.041	0.048	0.055	0.062	0.073	0.081
	(0.014-0.019)	(0.019-0.024)	(0.025-0.032)	(0.029-0.038)	(0.036-0.047)	(0.041-0.054)	(0.047-0.062)	(0.053-0.071)	(0.061-0.083)	(0.067-0.093)
7-day	0.011	0.014	0.018	<b>0.022</b>	0.027	0.031	0.036	0.040	0.047	0.053
	(0.009-0.012)	(0.012-0.016)	(0.016-0.021)	(0.019-0.025)	(0.023-0.031)	(0.027-0.035)	(0.030-0.041)	(0.034-0.046)	(0.039-0.054)	(0.043-0.061)
10-day	0.008	0.010	0.014	<b>0.016</b>	0.020	0.023	<b>0.027</b>	0.030	0.035	0.039
	(0.007-0.009)	(0.009-0.012)	(0.012-0.016)	(0.014-0.019)	(0.018-0.023)	(0.020-0.027)	(0.023-0.030)	(0.026-0.035)	(0.029-0.040)	(0.032-0.045)
20-day	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.016	0.017	0.020	0.022
	(0.004-0.006)	(0.006-0.007)	(0.008-0.010)	(0.009-0.011)	(0.011-0.014)	(0.012-0.016)	(0.014-0.018)	(0.015-0.020)	(0.017-0.023)	(0.018-0.025)
30-day	0.004	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.010	0.011	<b>0.012</b>	0.014	0.015	0.017
	(0.003-0.004)	(0.004-0.006)	(0.006-0.008)	(0.007-0.009)	(0.008-0.011)	(0.009-0.012)	(0.011-0.014)	(0.012-0.015)	(0.013-0.018)	(0.014-0.019)
45-day	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	<b>0.009</b>	0.010	0.012	0.013
	(0.003-0.003)	(0.003-0.004)	(0.005-0.006)	(0.005-0.007)	(0.007-0.008)	(0.007-0.009)	(0.008-0.011)	(0.009-0.012)	(0.010-0.013)	(0.011-0.015)
60-day	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.010
	(0.002-0.003)	(0.003-0.004)	(0.004-0.005)	(0.005-0.006)	(0.005-0.007)	(0.006-0.008)	(0.007-0.009)	(0.007-0.010)	(0.008-0.011)	(0.009-0.012)

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values.

Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.

#### **PF** graphical





-

Dura	ation
5-min	- 2-day
10-min	3-day
— 15-min	— 4-day
- 30-min	— 7-day
- 60-min	- 10-day
2-hr	- 20-day
— 3-hr	- 30-day
- 6-hr	- 45-day
- 12-hr	60-day
24-hr	

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 1, Version 5

Created (GMT): Thu Jan 4 21:39:56 2018

Back to Top

### Maps & aerials

.

.

.

Small scale terrain



Large scale terrain



Large scale map





.

.

-

-

Back to Top

US Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Water Center 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Questions?: <u>HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov</u>

Disclaimer

**Appendix 3: Drainage Calculations** 



Discharge point	Area Total (ft.2)1	Area Total (ac)	Runoff Coefficient "C"	Min time of Concentration (min.)	Precipitation Intensity 10yr (in./hr.)	Precipitation Intensity 100yr (in./hr.)	10-Year Peak Discharge (cfs)	100-Year Peak Discharge (cfs)		
W-1	21,107.00	0.48	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	2.16	3.39		
W-2	29,086.00	0.67	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	2.98	4.68		
EX-W	81,466.00	1.87	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	8.35	13.10		
E-1	8,035.00	0.18	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	0.82	1.29		
E-2**	19,865.00	0.46	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	2.04	3.19		
EX-E**	61,795.00	1.42	0.9	5	4.96	7.78	6.33	9.93		
**Drainage Areas E-1 and EX-E are combined for a total runoff of 13.12 CFS										

# **Appendix 4: Excerpts from Master Drainage Study**



MASTER DRAINAGE REPORT FOR SCOTTSDALE PERIMETER CENTER C.W.W. JOB NO. 870514-1

PREPARED FOR: WESTCOR COMPANY 11411 NORTH TATUM BOULEVARD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85028

SUBMITTED BY: COLLAR, WILLIAMS & WHITE ENGINEERING 2702 NORTH 44TH STREET, SUITE 100-A PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85008

JANUARY, 1988 REVISED JUNE, 1988 REVISED JULY, 1988 REVISED JANUARY, 1989 ACCEPTED MASTER PLAN Water and Waster Ater Drainage	ISA03 ROEERT E. MOHNING BAR

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT CITY OF SCOTTSDALE

# SCOTTSDALE PERIMETER CENTER MASTER DRAINAGE REPORT

# Table of Contents

			Page
I.	Intro	duction	1
	Α.	Location	1
	в.	Related Drainage Studies	1
II.	Off-s	ite Drainage	2
III.	On-si	te Drainage	3
	Α.	Existing Drainage Conditions	3
	в.	Developed Drainage Conditions 1. Storm Water Storage Facilities 2. Peak Discharges 3. Channels 4. Culverts	4 5 5
IV.	Phasi	ng of Development	8
٧.	Summ	ary	9

Figure 1 - Vicinity Map

Exhibit 1 - Proposed Drainage Plan

Exhibit 2 - Proposed Downstream Drainage Plan

- Appendix I Peak Discharge Assumptions, HEC-1 Input Data and Output Summaries (2, 10, 25 and 100 Year Storms)
- Appendix II Channel Calculations1 pageAppendix III Culvert Calculations3 pages
- Appendix IV Letter for Downstream Drainage



42 pages
#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. Location

Scottsdale Perimeter Center is a planned industrial and commercial development, located within the corporate limits of Scottsdale, Arizona. The area comprising the Scottsdale Perimeter Center is a part of the former State Trust Lands, north of the Bureau of Reclamation's Central Arizona Project Canal.

The site lies west of the proposed Outer Loop Freeway, between Union Hills Drive and Bell Road and east of 83rd Street. Scottsdale Perimeter Center encompasses about 240 acres (gross). See Figure 1 - Vicinity Map. Exhibit 1 shows Union Hills Drive, Pima Road and Bell Road extended to Hayden Road from 83rd Street, although the area west of 83rd Street is not a part of this site.

Westcor purchased Parcels 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20, a portion of the above described State Trust Lands. Parcels 16-20 have been split due to the internal street configuration, and have been renumbered in this report with letters A through K.

The surrounding properties are developing rapidly. In the past two years, the City of Scottsdale Tournament Players Club Golf Course (TPC) and the Princess Hotel have been constructed; and the Eagle Apartments are currently under construction. Based upon current trends, it is anticipated that development in the immediate area will occur at faster rates than most other portions of Maricopa County.

#### B. Related Drainage Studies

Collar, Williams & White Engineering (CWW) completed the "Preliminary Drainage Report for Forest City Scottsdale Company" in August, 1985, which included about 1400 acres of State Trust Lands. The subject site was included in the preliminary report. Revisions to the report were made in February and May of 1986. Simons, Li and Associates, Inc. (SLA) completed the "Drainage Analysis for State Trust Lands -Outer Loop Highway - Scottsdale Road to Pima Road" in June 1987.

SLA essentially utilized the channelization network proposed in the preliminary report by CWW, with the addition of a detention basin north of the Outer Loop. Freeway between Hayden Road and Pima Road. This basin and the off-site drainage are discussed in further detail in the next section. The SLA report has been approved by the City of Scottsdale.

#### II. OFF-SITE DRAINAGE

The drainage basins contributing runoff to the subject site have been extensively analyzed and evaluated in the aforementioned drainage reports. Therefore, the off-site drainage will not be discussed in detail for this report. A brief summary of the off-site watersheds from the SLA report, however, is provided below.

The contributing offsite drainage areas affecting the site extend beyond Reata Pass, approximately 8 miles northeast of the subject site. According to SLA, about 1100 acre-feet of runoff volume is generated from the offsite watersheds during the 100 year storm. This volume is proposed to be routed to a large detention basin via upstream training dikes. The proposed location of the detention basin is adjacent to the northern edge of the Outer Loop Freeway, between Hayden Road and Pima Road. The detention basin is proposed to be drained by two outlets; one near Hayden Road and the other near the future Union Hills Road alignment. The peak discharge released form the Hayden Road spillway is 1796 cfs, while the 100 year peak discharge at Union Hills is proposed to be 722 cfs. It is the Union Hills outlet that affects the subject site. Although this drainage concept and corresponding peak discharge rates and locations have been approved by the City of Scottsdale, it should be noted that the Arizona State Land Department and the Arizona Department of Transportation have yet to reach a similar final decision.

In conclusion, Scottsdale Perimeter Center will be subject to an ultimate off-site peak discharge of 722 cfs from the upstream detention basin, plus  $300 \pm cfs$  from an adjacent State Land parcel at Pima Road (northeast of the Outer Loop). The off-site drainage is proposed to be routed in a future concrete-lined channel (subject to the Design Review Board approval) that will parallel the southerly and westerly property boundary of the Outer Loop Freeway from Union Hills to Bell Road, and then south to the TPC. Coordination with the City of Scottsdale and affected property owners will be necessary to design the routing of the 722 cfs from Bell Road to the TPC.

The future channel location and cross-section for routing the above described off-site flows are shown on Exhibit 1.

The construction of the channel, including the roadway culverts, and the detention basin must occur concurrently, or before the construction of, the Outer Loop.

4

#### III. ON-SITE DRAINAGE

#### A. Existing Drainage Conditions

As above, the on-site drainage basins have been previously analyzed. Therefore, only a brief description is presented below. The site is presently undeveloped and slopes gently to the southwest with an average slope of 1.5 percent. The area is characterized by native desert plant species, with an estimated cover density of 20 percent. Several defined dry washes occur on the site. However, due to the high sediment load during runoff flows, the channels frequently shift depending upon the intensity and duration of the rainfall event. Many of the smaller washes transform from channelized flow to sheet flow, and then back to channelized flow downstream.

According to an earlier Simons, Li and Associates drainage report, entitled "Hydrology Report, Outer Loop Highway, North of the CAP Aqueduct", April 1987, the historical drainage across Bell Road, between Hayden Road and Pima Road, is 6879 cfs. The SLA hydrologic analysis showed that the historic channels are not capable of carrying flows greater than the 2 year event. Larger events are therefore carried in wide strips adjacent to the historic channels with shallow depths and considered sheet flow.

According to the aforementioned CWW drainage report, three (3) concentration points were identified at Bell alignment, between Hayden and Pima Roads. The location of the concentration points and the peak discharges, per the report, are:

Location	100 Year Peak Discharge (cfs)
83rd Street and Bell Road	1643
86th Street and Bell Road	6784
700 + feet west of Pima Road	193

The magnitudes of the peak discharge values from both reports are similar. However, the location(s) are uncertain. It is obvious, though, that no well defined channel exists between Hayden and Pima Roads to contain the above peak discharges.

#### B. Developed Drainage Conditions

Scottsdale Perimeter Center encompasses 11 parcels. All parcels are zoned for either industrial or commercial use. A channelization network is proposed to route the on-site runoff safely and effectively through the site. The channels will convey the on-site generated runoff to the TPC.

Since development will probably occur prior to the construction of the detention basin north of the Outer Loop, temporary measures will be required to protect the structures from the off-site flows, as described earlier. Temporary measures could consist of elevating the finished floors, constructing berms, walls and channels, excavating retention basins, or a combination of the above.

Any of the above measures will need to be evaluated and implemented concurrently with the design of any individual site development with evaluation of any change in water surface elevation which would affect downstream properties.

#### 1. Storm Water Storage Facilities

Scottsdale City Code Title 37, Section 37-42 (12)a. requires "as a minimum, all development will make provisions to store runoff from rainfall events up to and including the 100-year 2-hour duration event".

This requirement may be waived if per Section 37-42 (12)a. "The runoff has been included in a storage facility at another location". In the same section of the code, it is stated that if storage is waived, the development shall be required to contribute to the cost of drainage works on the basis of runoff contribution. Section 37-42 allows exceptions to the regulations for "areas covered by master drainage plans, providing that the standards established by the code are met".

The Perimeter Center is an integral part of the State Land Department Core South Master Plan which requires large retention basins to be constructed along the Outer Loop Highway. These basins are specifically intended to mitigate the need for on-site storage within the Core South project area.

Each of the respective parties are required to construct their portion of the drainage project.

The Perimeter Center lays the foundation to satisfy the provisions of the City Code Title 37 for storm water storage facilities.

#### 2. Peak Discharges

Post development peak discharges (100 year, one hour storm) are listed below for each parcel.

Peak Discharge (cfs)						
Parcel	Area (acres)	2 Year	10 Year	25 Year	100 Year	
A B C D E F G H I J	30.8 25.2 13.3 22.2 6.9 9.2 29.7 27.2 24.4 22.2	70 57 30 50 16 21 67 62 56 51	117 96 51 85 26 35 114 104 93 85	151 124 66 109 34 45 146 134 120 109	186 153 80 134 42 56 179 165 148 134	
K	27.6	63	105	136	167	

Exhibit 1 shows the routing of the above on-site peak discharges and the proposed channel network.

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-1 model was used to calculate the on-site peak discharges. Appendix I contains the peak discharge assumptions, HEC-1 input data and the output summaries.

#### Channels

The channels are proposed to be grass-lined rather than natural earth for the following reasons:

- a. Grass-lined channels can sustain a velocity of 7 feet per second (fps) or higher before erosion and scour becomes a serious problem, whereas the velocity limit for earthlining is about 4 to 5 fps.
- b. In order to maintain velocities near 7 fps, the approximate maximum channel bed slope allowed is 0.6 percent; a 0.25 percent slope would be needed to maintain a velocity of 5 fps. Since the natural landform slopes about 1.0 to 1.5 percent, more drop structures would be required to maintain flatter channel slopes.
- c. If the channel bed slope was to remain constant, then additional channel width would be necessary to decrease the channel depth, which in turn, would decrease the channel velocity.

The following analysis illustrates why grass-lined channels are more favorable for the subject site:

SHAPE	Trapezoidal	Trapezoidal	Trapezoidal
Lining Sideslopes Bottom Width Slope "n" Velocity Design	Grass 4:1 20' 0.6% 0.027 7.1 fps 681 cfs	Earth 4:1 20' 0.25% 0.030 4.8 fps 681 cfs	Earth 4:1 80' 0.6% 0.030 5.0 fps 681 cfs
Top Width 1' Drop	44 feet quired at	52 feet	93 feet
1 p	er 112 feet 1 per	80 feet 1 per 112	feet

Therefore, it is apparent that the grass-lined channels are the superior alternative in terms of "acceptable" velocities, minimizing the number of drop structures, and reducing the widths of drainage easements.

To minimize the top width of the required channels and drainage easements, the vertical dimension of the drop structures is 1 foot. The channel depth is usually 4 feet. This depth includes one (1) foot of freeboard. This depth is consistent with the results of the SLA report. Also, 4H:1V sideslopes improve channel stability and maintenance.

Typical channel sections and drainage easement widths are shown on Exhibit 1. The calculations showing the velocities and discharges of the proposed channels are provided in Appendix II.

Two possible corridors for routing stormwater runoff at 83rd Street and Bell Road exist. The primary route i.e., routing runoff south at 83rd Street to the golf course, would require a drainage easement through private land. This route is consistent with historical flow patterns (see Section III A).

Alternative #1, i.e., routing runoff west to Hayden Road and then south to the golf course would also require a drainage easement on private land. Runoff, from this alternative would be combined with runoff from the north (adjacent Hayden Road). Refer to SLA report.

### 4. Culverts

. . ......

Culverts are necessary to route the channelized flows under the major streets. Concrete box culverts are proposed for the 100 year peak discharges exceeding about 150 cfs. For locations where peak discharges are less than about 150 cfs, reinforced concrete pipes will probably be a more economical alternative.

Sixteen culvert locations are proposed. The locations are shown on Exhibit 1; the preliminary culvert sizes are listed below. The design sizes are based on an inlet control condition with an available headwater depth of  $5 \pm 0.5$  feet, unless otherwise noted.

0100/-5-1

CULVERT NUMBER	LOCATION	SIZE	Q100(cfs)
1 2 3	W 1/4 Corner & Bell Loop & Pima South Loop Road (west)	Quad 10x4 CBC Triple 8x3 CBC	1348 632
4 · 5	& Pima East 86th & Pima Loop Road (channel	Single 8x3 CBC Double 6x3 CBC	184 306
	"F" & "G" to "H")	8x3 CBC	186
6	Loop Road (channel "D" to "G") & 86th	30" RCP	51
7	Loop Road (channel "E" to "F")	30" RCP	57
8	Loop Road (channels "R" & "S" to "V")	Triple 10x4 CBC	1026
9	Loop Road (channels "P" & "Q" to "R")		
10	and 86th	10x3 CBC	268
	Loop Road (channel "O" to "P")	30" RCP	53
11	Loop Road (channels "M" & "N" to "Q")		
12 13 14	Pima & Outer Loop	Double 36" RCP 6x3 CBC Six - 10x5 CBC* 10x5 CBC**	95 167 3321 (max. est.) 700
15 16	Outer Loop (channel "B" to "C") Bell & Outer Loop	10x5 CBC** 10x5 CBC**	1022 1022

-----

* Concrete box culvert based on 6 feet of available headwater depth. This preliminary size of culvert is required for alternative #2 only. **Based on open channel flow calculations The final culvert sizes and locations will be determined during the design phase of the roadway infrastructure.

Appendix III contains the culvert calculation worksheets.

## IV. PHASING OF DEVELOPMENT

Phase I consists solely of the FFCA site (encompassing a portion of Parcel "J"). The developer intends to construct the roadways to the parcel, which consist of Bell Road to 86th Street, and then 86th Street to the parcel, plus the adjacent Loop Road.

Any excess top soil during the construction phases will be stockpiled in the northeast corner of the site. The soil material will be spread out to eliminate the possibility of floodwater damming, ponding and/or diversion. The damage to any existing vegetation will be minimal, thus preserving the area's visual resources.

Only 20% of the Perimeter Center can be developed until the drainage infrastructure has been completed.

The timeframe for the complete buildout of Scottsdale Perimeter Center is anticipated to be several years. During the interim, preventative siltation measures will be necessary to minimize downstream sedimentation. A small perimeter berm around each parcel boundary is proposed to prevent sediment from entering the channel system.

It will not be possible to alter upstream offsite siltation effects until the proposed upstream detention system is in place.

During and after parcel development, the grading and drainage plan will include a design to "trap and collect" sediment from draining into the channel network.

Careful measures and coordination will be undertaken where drainage enters and crosses the City of Scottsdale golf course to prevent erosion and silting.

It is apparent to CWW that the existing drainage facilities to route runoff through the golf course are inadequate to contain the flows previously given. Therefore, we believe the City of Scottsdale needs to explore further channelization improvements on the TPC property.

## V. SUMMARY

The proposed master drainage plan for the Scottsdale Perimeter Center was prepared with close coordination with the City of Scottsdale staff. The master plan follows the recommendations suggested by the Simons, Li and Associates' report entitled "Drainage Analysis for State Trust Lands, Outer Loop Highway, Scottsdale Road to Pima Road", June 1987. This report has been approved by the City of Scottsdale.

The primary goal of this report is two-fold. First, to establish the drainage concept for the eleven parcels of the Scottsdale Perimeter Center; and secondly, to effectively route the off-site runoff and the on-site generated runoff through the development.

The off-site drainage concept as contained herein, i.e., detention basin with training dikes and the 100 year peak discharge bleed-off rate, are adopted in full from the Simons, Li and Associates' report.

The proposed on-site channel network, with the recommended channel cross sections, will satisfy the fully developed conditions of the site.



# APPENDIX I - PEAK DISCHARGE ASSUMPTIONS HEC-1 INPUT DATA, AND OUTPUT SUMMARIES

- Per the SCS Aguila-Carefree Soil Survey, April, 1986, the existing soils are 1. classified in the "B" Hydrologic Soil Group.
- The existing vegetative cover density is 20%. This is consistent with 2. previous drainage reports by CWW and others.
- Per Figure 2-15, COS Section 2 Design Manual, a "B" soil and 20% cover 3. yields a runoff curve number of 83.
- -> 4. During a telephone discussion with Bill Erickson, COS, on 12/22/87, it was agreed upon to calculate post peak discharges based on additional impervious area, rather than adjusting (increasing) the runoff curve number. This approach is consistant with new State and Federal guidelines. The percent of impervious areas with development, both industrial and commercial, is assumed to be 85.
  - 5. Due to the small drainage parcels, all on-site parcels were assumed to have a time of concentration of 10 minutes. Although several of the parcels would have a time of concentration less than 10 minutes, by using either Kirpich's formula or a time of travel relationship in parking areas and/or street, a 10 minute minimum was assumed. This is consistent with ADOT drainage procedures.

This is an conservative assumption, as the developer will impose sediment control on each development site, thereby increasing the time of concentration.

- 6. The individual drainage area boundaries are shown on Exhibit 1. The post development sub-basin drainage areas, within the individual parcels, were depicted to conform to the existing topography and landform as much as possible.
- The 100 year, 1 hour precipitation value of 2.46 inches was obtained from 7. Fig. 2-6, COS Section 2 Design Manual. The 5 minute and 15 minute values, 0.72 inches and 1.41 inches, respectively, were obtained by multiplying the 1 hour value of 0.29 and 0.57. This follows ADOT guidelines, per the "Hydrologic Design for Highway Drainage, Arizona". Likewise, the 2 year, 1 hour value was 0.99 inches, the 10 year, 1 hour value was 1.62 inches, and the 25 year, 1 hour value was 2.05 inches.
- 8. Channel routing was utilized by the Kinematic Wave channel routing method.

Percent Impervious Modeled

# **Appendix 5: Warning and Disclaimer of Liability**





# WARNING & DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY

The Drainage and Floodplain Regulations and Ordinances of the City of Scottsdale are intended to "minimize the occurrence of losses, hazards and conditions adversely affecting the public health, safety and general welfare which might result from flooding caused by the surface runoff of rainfall" (Scottsdale Revised Code §37-16).

As defined in S.R.C. §37-17, a flood plain or "*Special flood hazard* area means an area having flood and/or flood related erosion hazards as shown on a FHBM or FIRM as zone A, AO, A1-30, AE, A99, AH, or E, and those areas identified as such by the floodplain administrator, delineated in accordance with subsection 37-18(b) and adopted by the floodplain board." It is possible that a property could be inundated by greater frequency flood events or by a flood greater in magnitude than a 100-year flood. Additionally, much of the Scottsdale area is a dynamic flood area; that is, the floodplains may shift from one location to another, over time, due to natural processes.

# WARNING AND DISCLAIMER OF LIABILITY PURSUANT TO S.R.C §37-22

"The degree of flood protection provided by the requirements in this article is considered reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on scientific and engineering considerations. Floods larger than the base flood can and will occur on rare occasions. Floodwater heights may be increased by manmade or natural causes. This article (Chapter 37, Article II) shall not create liability on the part of the city, any officer or employee thereof, or the federal government for any flood damages that result from reliance on this article or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder."

Compliance with Drainage and Floodplain Regulations and Ordinances does not insure complete protection from flooding. The Floodplain Regulations and Ordinances meet established local and federal standards for floodplain management, but neither this review nor the Regulations and Ordinances take into account such flood related problems as natural erosion, streambed meander or man-made obstructions and diversions, all of which may have an adverse affect in the event of a flood. You are advised to consult your own engineer or other expert regarding these considerations.

I have read and understand the above. If I am an agent for an owner I have made the owner aware of and explained this disclaimer.

4/19/18

Plan Check No.

Owner or Agent

Date