Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards

From: Susie Littlefield Susie@elsas.com &

Subject: Karl's signature

Date: September 14, 2016 at 7:44 AM

To: Tom Frenkel (tom@claytoncompanies.com) tom@claytoncompanies.com

Tom, attached is Karl's signed acknowledgement. Susie

> City of Scottscale Mr. Dan Symer, MCF 7447 E. Scottsdale Road Scottydale, AZ 85251

Re: Case # 33-DR-2016

Dear Mr Symmi,

I am the owner of AZ-88 Restaurant and the real estate located at 7353 Scottsdalo Mail, Scottsdalo, AZ 85251. My architect, Michael Johnson, assisted Aline Architecture in planning the walkway into the park between our two buildings. I have reviewed the application as submitted in Case # 83 DR-2016 and with this letter you have continuation of my approval of this plan as submitted in Case # 33-DR-2016. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Stareray,

Carl Konn

AZ-38

Date

33-DR-2016 9/22/2016



MANAGEMENT TO THE PROPERTY OF	THE RESIDENCE				
Please check the a			Application Type: Type(s) of Application	s) you	are requesting
Zoning	Dev	elopment Revi	ew	Sign	ns
☐ Text Amendment (TA)		Development	Review (Major) (DR)		Master Sign Program (MS)
Rezoning (ZN)		Development	Review (Minor) (SA)		Community Sign District (MS)
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)		Wash Modific	ation (WM)	Oth	ner:
Conditional Use Permit (UP)		Historic Prope	erty (HP)		Annexation/De-annexation (AN)
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Lan	d Divisions (PP)			General Plan Amendment (GP)
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)		Subdivisions			In-Lieu Parking (IP)
Special Exception (SX)		Condominium	Conversion		Abandonment (AB)
☐ Variance (BA)		Perimeter Exc	ceptions	Oth	ner Application Type Not Listed
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)		Plat Correctio	n/Revision		
Project Name: AZ-88 / China Mist P	edestri	an Walkway			
7050 5 0 4	ale Mai	ı			
Property's Address: 7353 E SCOTTSO	ale Ivial	1		-	
Property's Current Zoning District Design	nation:	D/RS-1 DO			
	OUT TO A STATE OF	NAMES OF THE PARTY	Annales and Annales at	- Th	to the state of th
The property owner shall designate an ag					
for the City regarding this Development A information to the owner and the owner			applicant shall be resp	onsible	e for communicating all City
	applicat	ion team.	T		The state of the s
Owner: Karl Kopp			Agent/Applicant: J	eff Gral	ham
Company: Kohart, Inc.			Company: Aline A	rchited	cture . Concepts
Address: 7631 W. Layton Ave, Gree	nfield, \	VI 53220	Address: 7340 E	. Mair	St. #210
414-765-0615			480-27	3-423	3
Phone: Factor & az88@m	ax:		Phone:	Paline	Fax: designstudio.com
t-mail:	16.00111		E-mail: J.grang	yanı	designstudio.com
Designer: Michael Johnson			Engineer:		
Company: Michael P Johnson Design	Studio		Company:		
7160 N Sierra Vista Rd. Ca	ve Cree	ek AZ 85331			
Address:		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Address:		
Phone: 4802732583 Fax:			Phone:		Fax:
E-mail: michael@mpjstudio.com			E-mail:		
Please indicate in the checkbox below to	he reque	sted review m	ethodology (please se	e the d	lescriptions on page 2).
This is not required for the follow					
applications ¹ will be reviewed in	MODULATED THE ALMOS	THE CONTRACT OF PURCHASING COLUMN	#5.48E.500.00 NB. 900.074566(J.H. 10.004). MEESMEET J.C. 18.		
					nis application utilizing the Enhanced
I -/ I Enhanced Annilication Review:		ion Review me	*	.,	and appropriate activities and activities
				! 61	is a sulication will size the Standard
Standard Application Review:		tion Review me	*	view tr	nis application utilizing the Standard
-/	7 pplica	non neview me	modology.		
			- /////	,	8.6
	70	n French	E [11/1/1	W	y ha
Owner Signature			Agent Applica	ant Sign	nature
			100		
Official Hea Only Sylhanity Date			Davidenment Acall	antina i	
Official Use Only Submittal Date			Development Applic	ation	NO.:

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

CITY OF STALE

Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review
Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.



Please check the a	Development A	Application Type:	s) you are requesting
Zoning	Development Revie		Signs
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	Development	Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)
Rezoning (ZN)		Review (Minor) (SA)	Community Sign District (MS)
In-fill Incentive (II)	☐ Wash Modific		Other:
Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Historic Prope		Annexation/De-annexation (AN)
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)		General Plan Amendment (GP)
Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions		☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)
Special Exception (SX)	☐ Condominium	Conversion	Abandonment (AB)
☐ Variance (BA)	Perimeter Exc	eptions	Other Application Type Not Listed
Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction		
Project Name: AZ-88 / China Mist F	edestrian Walkway		1-1
riojectivame.			
Property's Address: 7353 E Scottso	ale Mali		
Property's Current Zoning District Design	nation: D/RS-1 DO		
The property owner shall designate an ag for the City regarding this Development A information to the owner and the owner	application. The agent/		n. This person shall be the owner's contact onsible for communicating all City
Owner: Karl Kopp		Agent/Applicant: Je	ff Graham
Company: Kohart, Inc.		Company: Aline Ar	chitecture . Concepts
Address: 7631 W. Layton Ave, Gree	nfield, WI 53220	Address: 7340 E.	Main St. #210
Phone: 414-765-0615	ax:	Phone: 480-27:	3-4233 Fax:
E-mail: karl@elsas.com & az88@n	ne.com	E-mail: j.grah@	alinedesignstudio.com
Designer: Michael Johnson		Engineer:	
Company: Michael P Johnson Design	Studio	Company:	
Address: 7160 N Sierra Vista Rd, Ca	ve Creek, AZ 85331	Address:	
Phone: 4802732583 Fax:		Phone:	Fax:
E-mail: michael@mpjstudio.com		E-mail:	
Please indicate in the checkbox below t This is not required for the follow applications will be reviewed in Enhanced Application Review:	ving Development Appl a format similar to the	ication types: AN, AB, B Enhanced Application in City of Scottsdale to rev	A, II, GP, TA, PE and ZN. These
Standard Application Review:	I hereby authorize the Application Review me		view this application utilizing the Standard
Bay Kapp		- Offer	Sohn
Owner Signature		Agent/Applica	nt Signature
Official Use Only Submittal Date		Development Applica	ation No.:

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 1 of 3

Boylston Date: 05/18/2015



Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance,
 or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088 City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 2 of 3

Revision Date: 05/18/2015



Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.




Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Development Application (Case Submittals)

the state of the s
This request concerns all property identified in the development application.
Pre-application No: 862 - PA - 2015
Project Name: CHINA MIST MIXED WEE
Project Address: 7363 E. SCOTTSTALE MALL
STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY:
 I am the owner of the property, or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the property and have the authority from the owner to sign this request on the owner's behalf. If the land has more than one owner, then I am the agent for all owners, and the word "owner" refer to them all.
I have the authority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale regarding any and all development application regulatory or related matter of every description involving all property identified in the development application.
STATEMENT OF REQUEST FOR SITE VISITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS
 I hereby request that the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections of the property identified in the development application in order to efficiently process the application.
 I understand that even though I have requested the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections, city staff may determine that a site visit and/or an inspection is not necessary, and may opt not to perform the site visit and/or an inspection.
Property owners agent: Print Name Signature
City Use Only:
bmittal Date: Case number:
Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Division

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 ♦ Phone: 480-312-7000 ♦ Fax: 480-312-7088

Submittal Date:	Project No.:	0	6	2	P
		-			



Development Review

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- · Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

(1)	, on 11	e la seri	PART I GENERAL REQUIREMENTS				
Req'd	Rec'd		Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.				
\square	 	1.	1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list)				
☑ .		2.	Application Fee \$ (subject to change every July)				
M	M	3.	Completed Development Application Form (form provided)				
			 The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). 				
			 If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology. 				
		4.	Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided)				
Ø	M	5.	Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form)				

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-3

33-DR-2016 07/26/16

À		6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner. (form provided)
図	V	7. Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provided)
Ø		8. Commitment for Title Insurance – No older than 30 days from the submittal date (requirements form provided) • 8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy • Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B.
团	d	 9. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance) 8-1/2" x 11" – 2 copies
Ø	M	10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED
1		24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old)
A		11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form provided)
		12. Addressing Requirements (form provided)
Ø	D	13. Design Guidelines □ Sensitive Design Program □ Design Standards and Policies Manual □ Office Design Guidelines □ Commercial Retail □ Gas Station & Convenience Stores □ Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance □ Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines □ Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines □ The above reference design guidelines, standards, policies, and additional information may be found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/design 14. Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (form provided) □ Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report □ Provide one copy of the Community Input Certification attached to the Neighborhood Notification Report □ If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report addendum.
		15. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form provided)
Ø		 16. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper (form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations and numbers. 8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (Delayed submittal). At the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.

Planning and Development Services Division

		17. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided) ☐ Certificate of No Effect / Approval Application (form provided) ☐ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies ☐ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies ☐ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy
		 18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet provided) □ Airport Data Page □ Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form □ Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit)
		19. ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided)
		 The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with this Development Review Application.
	O	PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
		20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided)
Ø	E V	21. Application Narrative
	OK	• 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies`
		 The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided)
₽ 7		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic
Ø		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan.
A		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed
N		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded
Ø		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of:
M		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of:
M		 applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of:

	/	
4	Ø	23. Site Plan
	OL	• 24" x 36" – 12 copies, folded
	016	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		24. Site Details
		(Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.)
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		25. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (Example Provided)
		 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		26. Site Cross Sections
		• 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
		 11" x 17" 1 – copy, folded
		27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas)
		 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction
		 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		28. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas)
		 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded
		29. Phasing Plan
		 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
P		30. Landscape Plan
1		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 30. Landscape Plan 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u> (a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.) 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)

Planning and Development Services Division

		31. Hardscape Plan
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u>
		(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		32. Transitions Plan
		• 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		33. Parking Plan
		 24" x 36" −1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		34. Parking Master Plan
		See the City's Zoning Ordinance, Article IX for specific submittal and content requirements for
		Parking Master Plan. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits.
		•
		• 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies
		35. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation
		• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)
		36. Bikeways & Trails Plan
		● 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
/		8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
M	X	37. Elevations
	(OK)	24" x 36" – 2 folded black and white line drawing copies
	0	(a grayscale copy of the color elevations will not be accepted.)
	-	24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded
		 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy, (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 8 ½" x 11" − 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		 Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format)

Development Review Application Checklist 38. Elevations Worksheet(s) Required for all Development applications to zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and in the Downtown Area. 24" x 36" - 2 copies, folded Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) 39. Perspectives 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 40. Streetscape Elevation(s) 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 41. Wall Elevations and Details and/or Entry Feature Elevations and Details 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 42. Floor Plans 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) 4B. Floor Plan Worksheet(s) (Required for restaurants, bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family developments): 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) 44. Roof Plan Worksheet(s) 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) 45. Sign Details 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" - 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)

V	46. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures)
	 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided) 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded 47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided) 48. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided)
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
9	48. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting
	 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	49. Cultural Improvement Program Plan
	Conceptual design of location
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction)
	 1 – copy of the approval letter for the artwork design from Scottsdale Cultural Council (Scottsdale Public Art)
	Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the requirement/contribution.
	50. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines
	(Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.)
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	• 8 ½" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	51. Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	■ 8 ½" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	52. Drainage Report (information provided)
	See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for drainage report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets.
	8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
Ц	 53. Master Drainage Plan See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets

/		Development Review Application Checklis
ø	D/	54. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Water and Wastewater
1		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans.
		8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
Ø	D	55. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Wastewater
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans.
		 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		56. Water Sampling Station
		 Show location of sample stations on the site plan.
		 Fax 8 ½" x 11" copy of the site plan with sampling stations to the Water Quality Division.
		 Attn: Craig Miller. Fax 480-312-8728/ Phone 480-312-8743
		57. Water Of Approval For Fountains Or Water Features from the Water Conservation Office
		Please contact Elisa Klein at 480-312-5670
		1 copy of the approval from the Water Conservation Office
		58. Native Plant Submittal:
		 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded.
		(Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development)
		59. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA) (information provided)
		Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans.
		☐ Category 1 Study
		☐ Category 2 Study
		☐ Category 3 Study
		 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis including full size plans/maps in pockets

Planning and Development Services Division

	60 Povegetation Site Plan including Methodology and Techniques
J	60. Revegetation Site Plan, including Methodology and Techniques
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	61. Cuts and Fills Site Plan
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	62. Cuts and Fills Site Cross Sections
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	63. Environmental Features Map
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	64. Geotechnical Report
	8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the Geotechnical Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
	65. Unstable Slopes / Boulders Rolling Map
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	66. Bedrock & Soils Map
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" / 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	67. Conservation Area, Scenic Corridor, Vista Corridor Plan
	• 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded
	• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	68. Other:
	24" x 36" copy(ies), folded
	11" x 17" copy(ies), folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	8 ½" x 11" copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction)
	✓ □ Digital − 1 copy (See Digital Submittal Plan Requirements)

		PART III - SAMPLES & MODELS 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
Ø	E C	Paint Color Drawdowns 1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers.
Ø	D	 70. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s): 8-1/2" x 14" material sample board(s) The material sample board shall include the following: A color elevation of one side of the building 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.) 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation. 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded of a printed digital photo of the material board 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy of a printed digital photo of the material board
		 71. Electronic Massing Model: 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)
		 72. Electronic Detail Model: 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: Other: Other:

15.5	15	PART IV - SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION						
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.						
Ø		73. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7000. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number;						
Ø		74. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the submittal requirements.						
Ø		75. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon						
Ø		76. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.						
		77. Other:						

Planning and Development Services Division

Ø	78. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project Coordinator.						
	Coordinator Name (print): Dans me Phone Number: 45-3/240						
	Coordinator email: 2011 Scale Bate: 9-30-700						
	Coordinator Signature:						
	If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist.						
	This application need a: ☐ New Project Number, or						
	☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number:						
	Required Notice						
	Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services Division, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning and Development Services Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms .						
	Planning and Development Services Division						
	One Stop Shop Planning and Development Services Director						
	7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105						
	Scottsdale, AZ 85251						
	Phone: (480) 312-7000						

Planning and Development Services Division



Development Applications Process


Enhanced Application Review

Development Review (DR and PP)

Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.






Development Applications Process

Standard Application Review Development Review (DR and PP)

Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional Information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.




Yes City Sends Letter to Applicant Informing the Applicant that the Application has been Accepted for

to be Complete

Pre-Application Submittal and

re-application Meeting

Contact Application Completed by the Owner / Applicant



and an increase in the substantive and overall time frames (Must be In Writing)

Identifying Deficiency

No / Minimal / In Accordance with Standard Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames

No Development Review Board Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report, and Related Requirements (Recommendation and/or Non-action Hearing(s) as Determined By City Staff)

Note:

Approval/Denial Letter Issued

(End of Substantive Review)

Development Review Board Hearing

1. Time period determined by owner/applicant.

City Sends Letter to Applicant

Requesting Additional Information

Applicant/Owner and

Resubmits Application

3rd Substantive Review

2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued additional requesting information until the date City receives the resubmittal from the owner/ applicant.

3. The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.

Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Time Line

Substative Review 95 Total Staff Working Days, Two Reviews in This Time Frame^{2.3} Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denial Time Frames Vary³

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 + Phone: 480-312-7000 + Fax: 480-312-7088



			of Application(s	1		
Zoning	relopment Review	(84-1) (DD)	Sign			
Text Amendment (TA) Rezoning (ZN)		Development Revie		H	Master Sign Program (MS)	
		Development Review Wash Modification		Other:		
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP) ☐ Historic Prop Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance Land Divisions (PP			Ρ)	☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN) ☐ General Plan Amendment (GP)		
Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions					
		Condominium Conv	orsion		Abandonment (AB)	
Variance (BA)		Perimeter Exception		Other Application Type Not Listed		
☐ Variance (BA) ☐ Perimeter Exc ☐ Minor Amendment (MA) ☐ Plat Correction						
roject Name: CHINA MIST						
roperty's Current Zoning District Designer the property owner shall designate an appropriate the City regarding this Development and the City regarding the City regarding this Development and the City regarding the	nation: gent/app Applicati	licant for the Develop on. The agent/applic	oment Application			
owner: Tom Parket.			Agent/Applicant: EFIAN (FEE			
company: CLATTON COMPA	NICS	Com	Company: ALINE APCHITECTURE CONCEP			
ddress: 7340. E. MAIN			Address: 7340 E. MAIN 41. #220 85			
Phone: 480 · 941 · 2260 Fax:			Phone: 480 · 225 · 7359 Fax:			
-mail:		E-m	E-mail: B. KROBO ALINE DESIGN STUDIO			
Designer:		Eng	Engineer:			
ompany:		Con	Company:			
Address:			Address:			
ddress:						
		Pho	ne:		Fax:	
Phone: Fax:		Pho E-m			Fax:	
Phone: Fax: -mail: Please indicate in the checkbox below t • This is not required for the followapplications will be reviewed in	wing Dev a forma I hereby	E-m ested review method elopment Application t similar to the Enhan	ail: plogy (please see types: AN, AB, Bi nced Application R Scottsdale to rev	A, II, G Review	escriptions on page 2). GP, TA, PE and ZN. These or methodology.	
Phone: Fax: -mail: Please indicate in the checkbox below t • This is not required for the followapplications will be reviewed in	I hereby Thereby	E-m ested review method relopment Application at similar to the Enhan authorize the City of ion Review methodo	ail: plogy (please see types: AN, AB, Bi iced Application R Scottsdale to rev logy. Scottsdale to rev	A, II, G Review riew th	escriptions on page 2). SP, TA, PE and ZN. These methodology. This application utilizing the Enhance	
Phone: Fax: -mail: Please indicate in the checkbox below t This is not required for the follow applications will be reviewed in Enhanced Application Review:	I hereby Thereby	E-mested review methodorelopment Application at similar to the Enhance authorize the City of ion Review methodore authorize the City of	ail: plogy (please see types: AN, AB, Bi iced Application R Scottsdale to rev logy. Scottsdale to rev	A, II, G Review tiew the	escriptions on page 2). SP, TA, PE and ZN. These methodology. This application utilizing the Enhance mis application utilizing the Standard	

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 1 of 3

33-DR-2016 07/26/16



Review Methodologies

480.74.2260

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier rayonable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and

19721. 922. CA

 City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.



City of Scottsdale Cash Transmittal

107291

7/26/2016 KHANAS 7/26/2016 2:54 PM

Received From:

ALINE ARCHITECTURE 7340 E MAIN ST 220 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 480-980-9039

Bill To:

Reference #

862-PA-2015-0

Issued Date

7/26/2016

Address

7363 E SCOTTSDALE MALL

Paid Date

7/26/2016

Subdivision

SCOTTSDALE MALL

Payment Type CREDIT CARD

Marketing Name

Lot Number County

E

Cost Center

MCR

No

Metes/Bounds No

APN

130-23-210A

170-02

Gross Lot Area

Water Zone

Owner Information

NAOS Lot Area

Water Type

The Clayton Companies

Net Lot Area

Sewer Type

7340 E MAIN ST STE 200

Number of Units 1

Meter Size

SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 480-941-2260

Density

QS

16-45

Code	Description	Additional	Qty	Amount	Account Number
3165	DEVELOP REVIEW APPLICATION		1	\$1,515.00	100-21300-44221

33-DR-2016 07/26/16

SIGNED BY JEFF GRAHAM ON 7/26/2016

Total Amount

\$1,515.00