Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards



Deve opment Appl'cat'on

| | | Development A | | | |
|------------|--|---|----------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <u> </u> | · | propriate box of the T | | | |
| Zor | | Development Revie | | Sign | |
| 무 | Text Amendment (TA) | Development | | | Master Sign Program (MS) |
| | Rezoning (ZN) | Development | | | Community Sign District (MS) |
| 무 | In-fill Incentive (II) | Wash Modifica | | Oth | |
| اطِ | Conditional Use Permit (UP) | Historic Prope | rty (HP) | | Annexation/De-annexation (AN) |
| | mptions to the Zoning Ordinance | Land Divisions (PP) | | | General Plan Amendment (GP) |
| 무 | Hardship Exemption (HE) | Subdivisions | | | In-Lieu Parking (IP) |
| 무 | Special Exception (SX) | Condominium | | | Abandonment (AB) |
| 무 | Variance (BA) | Perimeter Exc | | | ner Application Type Not Listed |
| | Minor Amendment (MA) | Plat Correction | n/Revision | | <u>l</u> |
| Pro | ject Name: The Summit at Scotts | dale Tower Signs | | | |
| Pro | perty's Address: C-2 ESL | 32 551 N. Su | ottsdal | e load | |
| Pro | perty's Current Zoning District Designa | tion: C-2 ES | اخ | | |
| The for | property owner shall designate an age the City regarding this Development Ap ormation to the owner and the owner a | nt/applicant for the Doplication. The agent/a | evelopment A | | |
| Ow | ner: Jennifer Paddison/Property M | //anager | Agent/App | licant: Jeremy G | Galloway |
| Cor | npany: Weingaren Realty | | Company: | YESCO LLC | |
| Add | iress: 4400 N. 36th Street. #200, Pl | hoenix, AZ | Address: | 6725 W. Chic | cago Street. Chandler AZ 85226 |
| Pho | one: 602-217-8855 Fax | | Phone: | 480-403-770 | 6 Fax: |
| E-m | ail: ipaddison@weingarten.com | _ | E-mail: | igallowav@v | esco.com |
| Des | igner: Richard Baldwin | | Engineer: | Beniamin Jor | nes |
| Cor | npany: YESCO LLC | | Company: | YESCO LLC | |
| Add | Iress: 5119 S. Cameron, Las Vegas | s. NV 89118 | Address: | 1605 South C | Gramercy Road, SLC Utah. 84104 |
| Pho | ne: 702-876-8080 Fax: | | Phone: | 801-487-848 | 1 Fax: |
| | ail: rbaldwin@yesco.com | | E-mail: | ben.jones@y | |
| Ple | This is not required for the following applications¹ will be reviewed in a | ng Development Applic | cation types: | AN, AB, BA, II, G | SP, TA, PE and ZN. These |
| ~ | i fonanceo Abbilcation Keview: | nereby authorize the C pplication Review met | | lale to review th | is application utilizing the Enhanced |
| | I STANDARD ADDITION REVIEWS | nereby authorize the C pplication Review met | | lale to review th | is application utilizing the Standard |
| | | | Kn | (F)/1 | J. Every |
| Ov | ner Signature | | Kken | t/Applicant Sign | nature |
| | | | - 7 | (| |
| | | | | nt Application N | |

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

City of Scottsdale's Website: www.scottsdaleaz.gov

Page 1 of 3

Revision Date: 05/18/2015

Development Application



Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

1. Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.





Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.

The Summit at Scottsdale 32331 N. Scottsdale Road

Development Review Board Project Narrative

Project # 1022-PA-2015 Case # __-DR-____

June 27, 2016

OVERVIEW

Weingarten Realty Investors ("Developer") is the owner and developer of the 322,999 SF shopping center known as "The Summit at Scottsdale" (alternately referenced as "The Summit," "the property" or "the site"). The property, located at the northeastern corner of the Scottsdale Road and East Ashler Hills Drive junction, is zoned C2 (Central Business District) ESL (in the Environmentally Sensitive Lands Overlay District).

The Summit has two existing 5' monument signs along the scenic corridor, each eligible for two tenant listings. This is the maximum monument sign allowance for scenic corridor properties over 150,000 SF.

REQUEST

Tenants at The Summit have noted their marked lack of exposure from Scottsdale Road and East Ashler Hills Drive. The two 5' monument signs are largely obscured by overgrown natural landscaping. Building signage is ineffective for street viewing due to the setback and old-growth lanscaping. The Developer believes the lack of visibility creates a safety hazard for those seeking the site, an endeavor that is particularly dangerous at night. In short, this development suffers a grave disadvantage when compared to other like shopping centers not subject to ESL restrictions.

As a result, the Developer is requesting DRB approval for the installation of two 25' tower signs at The Summit, each at a 175' setback from Scottsdale Road to place them outside of the Scenic Corridor Easement and any Natural Area Open Space Easement. Each tower sign is designed to display three tenants as well as the development name and address. The proposed tower signs will replace the two existing 5' monument signs.

480-449-3726 » Office

6725 W. Chicago Street
Chandler, AZ 85226
AZ Contractor's License No. ROC260958

ARCHITECTURE, LIGHTING AND SIGNAGE DESIGN

The two tower signs have been designed to match features of the existing Summit buildings. The stacked, multicolor stonework and exposed steel have been chosen to mirror those used in the building facades. The tower structures have been designed to match the angles and appearance of the site's existing architecture. The strip of white LED lighting at the top of the towers also mirror those that serve as features on the building facades.

The lighting of these towers is subtle, with only "The Summit" and the strip of light feature across the top glowing at night. The background for tenant panels are opaque; as such they will not light at night. Lighting is composed of low-maintenance LED, which will require little service attention once installation has been completed.

SUMMARY

1

Under current conditions, the tenants of The Summit are experiencing a negative financial return due to their lack of signage exposure. In short, no one can easily tell there is a shopping center behind the old growth landscaping. When considering the new people visiting the region in escalating numbers and constant turnover in the population, the reality of the financial impact of this lack of streetfront exposure comes into sharp relief. These two proposed towers, tastefully drawn and designed for minimal dark sky impact, will serve to provide The Summit and its tenants the visibility needed to thrive alongside other neighboring developments such as Marcado Del Rancho, Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak, and Grayhawk Plaza.

Respectfully submitted,

Kimberly W. Euers Government Relations Specialist



City of Scottsdale Cash Transmittal

107198

Received From:

YESCO LLC

6725 W CHICAGO ST

CHANDLER, AZ 852263335

480-403-7764

BIII To:

YESCO LLC

6725 W CHICAGO ST

CHANDLER, AZ 852263335

480-403-7764

Reference #

1022-PA-2015

Address

32331 N SCOTTSDALE RD

Issued Date

7/19/2016

Paid Date

7/19/2016

Subdivision

Marketing Name

Owner Information

Lot Number

Cost Center

Payment Type CREDIT CARD

MCR

WEINGARTEN REALTY

4440 N 36TH ST STE 200

County

No

Metes/Bounds No.

APN

216-51-090B

Gross Lot Area

Water Zone

NAOS Lot Area

Number of Units 1

Water Type

Net Lot Area

Sewer Type

Meter Size

56-45

PHOENIX, AZ Density QS 602-217-8855

| Code | Description | Additional | Qty | Amount | Account Number |
|------|----------------------------|------------|-----|------------|-----------------|
| 3165 | DEVELOP REVIEW APPLICATION | | 1 | \$1,515.00 | 100-21300-44221 |

Total Amount

\$1,515.00



Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Development Application (Case Submittals)

| This request cons | erns all property identified in the development application. |
|--------------------|--|
| Pre-application No | 1000 0015 |
| • • | The Summit at Scottsdale Tower Signs |
| Project Address: | 32331 N. Scottsdale Road |
| STATEMENT OF A | UTHORITY: |
| have the auth | er of the property, or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the property and cority from the owner to sign this request on the owner's behalf. If the land has more er, then I am the agent for all owners, and the word "owner" refer to them all. |
| and all develop | hority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale regarding any opment application regulatory or related matter of every description involving all tified in the development application. |
| STATEMENT OF R | EQUEST FOR SITE VISITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS |
| | lest that the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections of the tified in the development application in order to efficiently process the application. |
| and/or inspec | that even though I have requested the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits tions, city staff may determine that a site visit and/or an inspection is not necessary, not to perform the site visit and/or an inspection. |
| Property owner/Pro | operty owners agent: Kimberly Euers |
| | Print Name Visignature |
| | City Use Only: |
| Submittal Date: | Case number: |

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Division

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 ◆ Phone: 480-312-7000 ◆ Fax: 480-312-7088



Request

Purpose:

The purpose of the Pre-Application submittal, and meeting, is for the applicant and City Staff to discuss a proposed Development Application, and the information and process that is necessary for City Staff to process the proposal.

In accordance with the Zoning Ordinance, no development application shall be accepted before a Pre-Application has been submitted, and a Pre-Application meeting has been conducted with City Staff, unless the Pre-Application meeting has been waived by the Zoning Administrator.

Submittal:

The completed Pre-Application request form, all required materials and fees should be submitted in person to the One-Stop-Shop located at 7447 East Indian School Road; or, may they be submitted digitally at following website: https://eservices.scottsdaleaz.gov/eServices/PreApps/Default.aspx

All checks shall be payable to "City of Scottsdale."

Scheduling

After the Pre-Application packet has been accepted at the One-Stop-Shop, a staff member will contact the Applicant within five (5) Staff Working Days to schedule a Pre-Application meeting with the assigned staff member(s). Generally, a Pre-Application meeting is scheduled within five (5) to fifteen (15) Staff Working Days from the date of the submittal.

| Project Name: The Summit Shopping Center | |
|--|--|
| Property's Address: 32331 N. Scottsdale Road | APN: 216-51-090B |
| Property's Zoning District Designation: C2-ESL | |
| Property Details: | |
| ☐ Single-Family Residential ☐ Multi-Family Residential | ☑ Commercial ☐ Industrial ☐ Other |
| Has a 'Notice of Compliance' been issued? ☐ Yes ☑ No | If yes, provide a copy with this submittal |
| Owner: Jennifer Paddison/Property Manager Appl | icant: JEREMY GALLOWAY |
| company: Weingarten Realty com | pany: YESCO |
| Address: 4400 N. 36th Street #200, Phx Addr | ess: 6725 W. Chicago Street |
| Phone: 602-217-8855 Fax: Phon | e: (480) 449-3726 Fax: |
| E-mail: jpaddison@weingarten.com | _{il:} jgalloway@yesco.com |
| See attacked | January Market M |
| Owner Signature Official Use Only Submittal Date: Appli | Applicant Signature cation No.: PA- |
| Project Coordinator: | |

Planning and Development Services Department

7447 Elnding School Boad Suite 105 Scottedale Arizona 85251 Bhone 480 212 7000 Eav. 480 212 7000



Request

| Development Application Type: Please check the appropriate box of the Type(s) of Application(s) you are requesting | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Zoning | Development Revi | ew | Signs | | | | |
| ☐ Text Amendment (TA) | | Review (Major) (DR) | ☐ Master Sign Program (MS) | | | | |
| ☐ Rezoning (ZN) | · | Review (Minor) (SA) | ☐ Community Sign District (MS) | | | | |
| ☐ In-fill Incentive (II) | ☐ Wash Modific | | Other | | | | |
| ☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP) | ☐ Historic Prope | | ☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN) | | | | |
| Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance | Land Divisions | | ☐ General Plan Amendment (GP) | | | | |
| ☐ Hardship Exemption (HE) | ☐ Subdivisions (| PP) | ☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP) | | | | |
| ☐ Special Exception (SX) | ☐ Subdivision (N | /linor) (MD) | ☐ Abandonment (AB) | | | | |
| ☐ Variance (BA) | ☐ Perimeter Exc | eptions (PE) | ☐ Single-Family Residential | | | | |
| ☐ Minor Amendment (MA) | <u> </u> | | 25 Other Sign | | | | |
| Submittal Requirements: (fees subject to cha | nge every July) | | | | | | |
| ■ Pre-Application Fee: \$ ■ Pre-Application Fee: \$ | | | st of Additional Submittal Information is | | | | |
| (No fees are changed for Historic Preservation | (HP) properties.) | - | a Pre-Application meeting, <u>unless</u> | | | | |
| Records Packet Fee: \$ | | | by staff prior to the submittal of this | | | | |
| Processed by staff. The applicant need no | t visit the Records | request. | | | | | |
| desk to obtain the packet. | | 1 | dvised to provide any additional | | | | |
| (Only required for ZN, II, UP, DR, PP, AB o | ipplications, or | | ed below. This will assist staff to provide | | | | |
| otherwise required by Staff) | | -4 | ith direction regarding an application. | | | | |
| Application Narrative: | | Additional Submitta | al Information | | | | |
| The narrative shall describe the purpose of | | Site Plan | | | | | |
| all pertinent information related to the re | | Subdivision plan | | | | | |
| not limited to, site circulation, parking an | | ☐ Floor Plans ☐ Elevations | | | | | |
| architecture, proposed land use, and lot o | iezikii: | ☐ Elevations ☐ Landscape plans | | | | | |
| Property Owner Authorization Letter | *:1 | H.O.A. Approval | | | | | |
| (Required for the SA and MS Pre-Applica | tions | 1 | ulations & Language | | | | |
| ☐ Site / Context Photographs | 1 | 17 | es – color chips, awning fabric, etc. | | | | |
| Provide color photographs | . ΔΩ. | | for all cuts and fills | | | | |
| showing the site and the | 11 | II - | ding & Drainage Plan | | | | |
| surrounding properties. Use the | 1-14 SITE • 4-00->+ | 11 | - provide cut sheets, details and | | | | |
| guidelines below for photos. | | photometrics fo | r any proposed exterior lighting. | | | | |
| Photos shall be taken looking in | 12 _ 10 | ☐ Boundary Survey | y (required for minor land divisions) | | | | |
| towards the project site and | - P | | y that includes property lines and | | | | |
| adjacent to the site. | <u> </u> | | abandonment request. | | | | |
| Photos should show adjacent | | 1 '' | recorded document for the area that is | | | | |
| improvements and existing on-site condit | | | abandoned. Such as: subdivision plat, map | | | | |
| Each photograph shall include a number a Site and the SOO for in length, also to | | | LO (General Land Office) federal patent | | | | |
| Sites greater than 500 ft. in length, also tallocations shown in the dashed lines. | ike the photo | | ent, or separate dedication document. A | | | | |
| | | 1 | corded documents to be abandoned may | | | | |
| Photos shall be provided 8 ½ x 11 paper, r | nax. two per page. | - | the City of Scottsdale Records Dept. (480- | | | | |
| ☐ Other | | • | e Maricopa County Recorder's Office (602- | | | | |
| | | | py of the General Land Office (GLO) federal | | | | |
| | | 1 ' | easement may be purchased from the Management (602-417-9200). | | | | |
| | | Buleau of Laffu f | vialiagement (602-417-9200). | | | | |

7447 Findian School Road Suite 105 Scottsdale, Asizona, 95351 Bhone, 490, 313, 7000, Fau. 490, 313, 7009



Custom Electric Signs.

October 28, 2015

Planning and Development Services Department Attn: Andrew Chi, Planner 7447 E. Indiana School Road, Suite 105 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Re: Pre-Application Request Narrative
The Summit Shopping Center

To Whom It May Concern:

The Owners of The Summit Shopping Center, to wit: Weingarten Realty, seek permission to replace existing monument signs or to add mid-size monument signs at this location. Said signs would each display the shopping center name along with three (3) tenants. The Summit has in excess of 150,000 square feet in floor area and currently has two scenic corridor monuments, each at 5' in height. Ideally, two 25' pylon signs would be permitted to either compliment or replace these existing monuments. Provided is conceptual artwork detailing said proposal.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Galloway

Government Relations

Encs.



Fwd: 6095384-R1 THE SUMMIT at Scottsdale (artwork)

1 message

Kelly Chipman < kchipman@yesco.com>
To: Kimberly Euers < keuers@yesco.com>

Tue, Oct 27, 2015 at 2:25 PM

will this work?

—— Forwarded message ———

From: Jennifer Paddison < JPaddison@weingarten.com>

Date: Mon, Oct 26, 2015 at 9:48 AM

Subject: RE: 6095384-R1 THE SUMMIT at Scottsdale (artwork)

To: Kelly Chipman < kchipman@yesco.com>

Do you have the pre-app scheduled for this week?

Jennifer Paddison

Property Manager

WEINGARTEN REALTY

4440 N. 36th Street, Suite 200 | Phoenix, AZ 85018

602.217.8855 O | 602.263.8852 F

People-to-People. Coast-to-Coast.



From: Kelly Chipman [mailto:kchipman@yesco.com]

Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2015 10:46 AM

To: Jennifer Paddison

Subject: Fwd: 6095384-R1 THE SUMMIT at Scottsdale (artwork)

This look more to your vision?

— Forwarded message ———

Fwd: 6095384-R1 THE SUMMIT at Scottsdale (artwork)

1 message

Kelly Chipman < kchipman@yesco.com>
To: Kimberly Euers < keuers@yesco.com>

Tue, Oct 27, 2015 at 2:25 PM

will this work?

------ Forwarded message -----

From: Jennifer Paddison < JPaddison@weingarten.com>

Date: Mon, Oct 26, 2015 at 9:48 AM

Subject: RE: 6095384-R1 THE SUMMIT at Scottsdale (artwork)

To: Kelly Chipman < kchipman@yesco.com>

Do you have the pre-app scheduled for this week?

Jennifer Paddison

Property Manager

WEINGARTEN REALTY

4440 N. 36th Street, Suite 200 | Phoenix, AZ 85018

602.217.8855 O | 602.263.8852 F

People-to-People. Coast-to-Coast.



From: Kelly Chipman [mailto:kchipman@yesco.com]

Sent: Saturday, October 17, 2015 10:46 AM

To: Jennifer Paddison

Subject: Fwd: 6095384-R1 THE SUMMIT at Scottsdale (artwork)

This look more to your vision?

---- Forwarded message -----



Custom Electric Signs.

October 28, 2015

Planning and Development Services Department Attn: Andrew Chi, Planner 7447 E. Indiana School Road, Suite 105 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Re: Pre-Application Request Narrative
The Summit Shopping Center

To Whom It May Concern:

The Owners of The Summit Shopping Center, to wit: Weingarten Realty, seek permission to replace existing monument signs or to add mid-size monument signs at this location. Said signs would each display the shopping center name along with three (3) tenants. The Summit has in excess of 150,000 square feet in floor area and currently has two scenic corridor monuments, each at 5' in height. Ideally, two 25' pylon signs would be permitted to either compliment or replace these existing monuments. Provided is conceptual artwork detailing said proposal.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Galloway

Government Relations

Encs.

| Submittal Date:Project No.: 1022_ | PA- <u>LOIS</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|



Development Review

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

| , | | PART I GENERAL REQUIREMENTS |
|----------------|----------|---|
| Re q ′d | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| (a) | A | 1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list) |
| (g) | 4 | Application Fee \$ 1.515 . 22 (subject to change every July) |
| (a) | 1 | 3. Completed Development Application Form (form provided) |
| | | The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). |
| | | If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology. |
| ľΣ | A | 4. Request to submit Concurrent Dovelopment Applications (form provided) |
| (a) | Y | 5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application if |

Planning and Development Services

| | V | 6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (requestion, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner.) (for | vner(s) will be represented by an | | | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 100 | | 7. Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provide | ed) | | | |
| A | | 8. Commitment for Title Insurance – No older than 30 days for (requirements form provided) • 8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy • Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B. | rom the submittal date | | | |
| 1 | | 9. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitment for Title 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies | Insurance) | | | |
| 多 | | 10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED • 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old) | | | | |
| (A) | | 11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form prov | ided) | | | |
| NA | | 12. Addressing Requirements (form provided) | | | | |
| | | 13. Design Guidelines | ₩ WAG Supplements | | | |
| | | Sensitive Design Program | ☐ Scenic Corridors Design | | | |
| | | Design Standards and Policies Manual | ☐ Office Design Guidelines | | | |
| ي ک | | Commercial Retail Refer to 'Signage' Section | ☐ Restaurants | | | |
| Serve Onl | | ☐ Gas Station & Convenience Stores | ☐ Lighting Design Guidelines | | | |
| 2 | | ☐ Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance | ☐ Shading | | | |
| 2 | | ☐ Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines | - | | | |
| ₹ | | The above reference design guidelines, standards, polici | | | | |
| | | found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz | · · | | | |
| (B) | | | | | | |
| | ابس | 14. Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (<u>form pr</u> | | | | |
| | -X | Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Repo | | | | |
| Na Cal | 60 | Provide one copy of the Community Input Certification a Notification Report | ttached to the Neighborhood | | | |
| • If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be recommended by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report addendum. | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Au | | 15. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form | m provided) | | | |
| (39) | 4 | 16. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic shand numbers. 8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (Delayed sub Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he, | nowing required photograph locations mittal). At the time your Project | | | |

| 24 | | 17. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided) ☐ Certificate of No Effect / Approval Application (form provided) ☐ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies ☐ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies ☐ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy |
|--|--|---|
| N. M. | | 18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist — Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet provided) □ Airport Data Page □ Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form □ Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit) |
| N. N | | ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided) The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with this Development Review Application. |
| | | PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA |
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| 1. | | ONLINE UNDER "P"IN |
| NA | | ໃ 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) ອາວຸກຸຣ |
| NA- | TET | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) FIRMS WESPACE |
| SA SA | WE STATE OF THE ST | ໃ 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) ອາວຸກຸຣ |
| 8 | TEST CONTRACTOR | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) FORMS 21. Application Narrative |
| 8 | TEE . | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) FORMS 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the |
| NA S | 1 | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies' 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic |
| | | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies' 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. |
| 2 N 2 N 2 | | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies' 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerlal with the proposed site improvements superimposed |
| \$ B B S | | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) (Color of the Color of the |
| Z 1 | | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) FORMS 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies' 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy |
| X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X | | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies' 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning |
| 2 D 2 | | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) (Plant Control of the proposal separately addresses each of the application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. 22. Context Aerlal with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of: |



| | | Development Review Application (|
|------------|---|---|
| (M) | V | 23. Site Plan |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 12 copies, folded |
| | | 11" x 17" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 7 | | 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| / | | 24. Site Details |
| | | (Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.) |
| 1 | | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| | | 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| þ | | 25. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (Example Provided) |
| lack | | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| 1 | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | | 26. Site Cross Sections |
| K | | • 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" 1 – copy, folded |
| | | 27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas) |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| 1/2 | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction |
| | | 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| k | | 28. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas) |
| | | • 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded |
| | | 29. Phasing Plan |
| k | | ● 24" x 36" 2 copies, folded |
| 8 | | 11" x 17" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 30. Landscape Plan |
| K | | 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u> |
| <i>y</i> , | | (a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.) |
| | | 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |

| 4 | | 31. Hardscape Plan |
|----------------|------------|---|
| | | 24" x 36" - 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u> |
| NA | | (a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.) |
| 17 | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 디 | | 32. Transitions Plan |
| | | ■ 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| | | 11" x 17" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| N. | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital — 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | | 33. Parking Plan |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| M | | 11" x 17" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| [[| | 34. Parking Master Plan |
| MA | | See the City's <u>Zoning Ordinance</u> , <u>Article IX</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Parking Master Plan. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits. |
| | | • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies |
| | | 35. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation |
| | | ■ 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded |
| h de | | 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| M | 1 | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
|] | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | | 36. Bikeways & Trails Plan |
| NA | } | • 24" x 36" - 1 copγ, folded |
| CAN | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | ● 8 ½" x 11" — 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | √ £ | 37. Elevations (SIGN ELEVATIONS) |
| | | • 24" x 36" - 2 folded black and white line drawing copies |
| aca | | (a grayscale copy of the color elevations will not be accepted.) |
| | 1 | • 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded |
| 4D | | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 11" x 17" - 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | } | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy, (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | 1 | • 8 ½" x 11" - 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | 1 | Digital — 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | 1 | Pignal Teopy (reacting distance and remed and remed and it the part format) |

| Z. | | 38. Elevations Worksheet(s) |
|------------|----|---|
| IA | | Required for all Development applications to zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and in the Downtown Area. |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| Ø | | 39. Perspectives |
| IA | | • 24" x 36" — 1 color copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | VZ | 40. Streetscape Elevation(s) (SIGN - FROM ROADLAY VIEWS) #Ashke Hills • 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded • 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| Ø | | 41. Wall Elevations and Details and/or Entry Feature Elevations and Details |
| 14 | | • 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded |
| 4 ' | | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| · | , | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| Z | | 42. Floor Plans |
| JA | | • 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded |
| N. | | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| 垣 | | 43. Floor Plan Worksheet(s) |
| NA | | (Required for restaurants, bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family developments): |
| • | | ● 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded |
| | | 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| F | | 44. Roof Plan Worksheet(s) |
| JA I | | ● 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| A) | | WIMAAA (MARIANTHUNHILISHUMA) See #37, |
| I | | 11" x 17" − 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| n | | 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 1 | | • 8 ½" x 11" - 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |

| 19 | | 46. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures) |
|-------|---|--|
| MA | | 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded |
| 3 | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided) |
| | | • 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 15/1 | | 48. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting |
| | | 24" x 36" − 1 copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| P | | 49. Cultural Improvement Program Plan |
| | | Conceptual design of location |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| Ø1/ | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| / | | 1 – copy of the approval letter for the artwork design from Scottsdale Cultural Council (Scottsdale Public Art) |
| | | Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the requirement/contribution. |
| G | | 50. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines |
| AR | | (Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.) |
| M. | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 51. Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| |) | 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 52. Drainage Report (information provided) |
| | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for drainage report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. |
| | _ | 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| | | 53. Master Drainage Plan |
| SES . | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. |
|) | | 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |

| 41 | | 54. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Water and Wastewater |
|----------|---|---|
| | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| 5 | | 5 -1/2 x 11 - 5 copies of the Report including full size plans/ maps in pockets |
| 7 | П | 55. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Wastewater |
| 2 | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. |
| | | • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| 7 | | 56. Water Sampling Station |
| 7 | | Show location of sample stations on the site plan. |
| | | • Fax 8 ½" x 11" copy of the site plan with sampling stations to the Water Quality Division. |
| X | | Attn: Craig Miller. Fax 480-312-8728/ Phone 480-312-8743 |
| 9 | | 57. Water Of Approval For Fountains Or Water Features from the Water Conservation Office |
| 9 | | Please contact Elisa Klein at 480-312-5670 |
| (_ | | 1 copy of the approval from the Water Conservation Office |
| 列 | | 58. Native Plant Submittal: |
| ر ا | | • 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded. |
| | | (Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development) |
| 6 | | 59. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA) (information provided) |
| 5 | i | Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans. |
| | ļ | ☐ Category 1 Study |
| V | | ☐ Category 2 Study |
| | | ☐ Category 3 Study |
| | | • 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| 7 | : | |

| 9 | | 60. Revegetation Site Plan, including Methodology and Techniques |
|--------------------|---|--|
| TR | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| A | | 61. Cuts and Fills Site Plan |
| <u> </u> | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 9 | | 62. Cuts and Fills Site Cross Sections |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| 7 | | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| (B) | | 63. Environmental Features Map |
| / | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| 7 | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| (D) | | 64. Geotechnical Report |
| # | | 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the Geotechnical Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| Z | | 65. Unstable Slopes / Boulders Rolling Map |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| 4 | | 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| Ø | | 66. Bedrock & Soils Map |
| 5 | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| | _ | • 11" x 17" — 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 47 | | 67. Conservation Area, Scenic Corridor, Vista Corridor Plan |
| $ \langle \cdot $ | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| [7] | | 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 5 | | 68. Other: |
| (. | | ☐ 24" x 36" — copy(ies), folded |
| WA | | 11" x 17" copy(ies), folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 4 | | □ 8 ½" x 11" copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 9 | | ☐ Digital — 1 copy (See Digital Submittal Plan Requirements) |
| L | | |

| Reqʻd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
|----------|-------|---|
| Ø | 4 | 69. Paint Color Drawdowns (a) 8.5×11 SAMPLE SHEET (or 1/2 of 8.5×11") 1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers. |
| | 0 | 70. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s): 8-1/2" x 14" material sample board shall include the following: A color elevation of one side of the building 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.) 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation. 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded of a printed digital photo of the material board 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy of a printed digital photo of the material board |
| PA NA | | 71. Electronic Massing Model: • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.) |
| n A | | 72. Electronic Detail Model: 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" - 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.) |

| | | PART IV - SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION |
|-------|----------|--|
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| * | V | 73. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7000. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number; 1072PA- 2015:: |
| B | 3 | 74. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the submittal requirements. |
| | ₽ | 75. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon |
| NIA | | 76. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request. |
| 8 | • | # (5) Copies (color) 11x17 entire practage |

Mals Mals

st

| /M) | 78. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Coordinator. | | | | | | | | |
| | Coordinator Name (print): ANDREW CHT Phone Number: 480.312.7828 | | | | | | | | |
| | Coordinator email: achi @ Scottadaleaz .gov Date: 03/15/16 | | | | | | | | |
| | Coordinator Signature: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist. | | | | | | | | |

This application need a: New Project Number, or

☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number: _

Required Notice

Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services Division, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning and Development Services Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms.

Planning and Development Services Division One Stop Shop Planning and Development Services Director 7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105 Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Phone: (480) 312-7000

105 Saattalala 47 05351 06440, 400,313,7000, East 400,313,7000

CHTY OF SCOTISTIME

Development Applications Process

Enhanced Application Review

Development Review (DR and PP)


Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is Intended to Increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's review. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Pre-Application
Submittal and
Pro-application Meeting

Cutten and Neighborhood involvement
Contact Application Completed
by the Owner / Applicant



Development Review Board
Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report,
and Rolsted Requirements
(Determination Andro Non-action Hearing(s) as
Dotormined By City Staff)

Development Review Board Hearing

Approval/Dental Letter (ssued

(End of Substantive Review)

Note:

- Time period determined by owner/applicant.
- All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date at the letter is issued requesting additional information until the date the City receives the resubmittal from the owner/applicant.
- The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
- Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Time Line

Administrative Review Public Hearing Process Approval/Denial
15 Staff Working Days Per Review 95 Total Staff Working Days Multiple Reviews in This Time Frames Vary Latter Issued

CITY OF SCATISDAVE


Development Applications Process

Standard Application Review

Development Review (DR and PP)



Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.



Administrative Review Substative Review Substative Review Public Hearing Process Approvo/Dontal 15 Staff Working Days, Evo Neviswa In This Time Frame 1374 Time Frames Viny 1 enter (sauced



Development Application

| Development Application Type: Please check the appropriate box of the Type(s) of Application(s) you are requesting | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Zoning Development Review Signs | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Text Amendment (TA) | | Review (Major) (DR) | | Master Sign Program (MS) | | | |
| ☐ Rezoning (ZN) | Development Review (Minor) (SA) Community Sign District | | | | | | |
| In-fill Incentive (II) Wash Modification (WM) Other: | | | | | | | |
| Conditional Use Permit (UP) | ☐ Historic Prope | | | Annexation/De-annexation (AN) | | | |
| Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance | Land Divisions (PP) | , | <u> </u> | General Plan Amendment (GP) | | | |
| ☐ Hardship Exemption (HE) | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Special Exception (SX) | Condominium Conversion | | | | | | |
| ☐ Variance (BA) | Perimeter Exce | • | | er Application Type Not Listed | | | |
| ☐ Minor Amendment (MA) | ☐ Plat Correction | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Type Hot Listed | | | |
| Project Name: The Summit at Scot | | y nevision | | | | | |
| Property's Address: C-2 ESL Property's Current Zoning District Designation: The property owner shall designate an agent/applicant for the Development Application. This person shall be the owner's contact for the City regarding this Development Application. The agent/applicant shall be responsible for communicating all City information to the owner and the owner application team. | | | | | | | |
| Owner: | | Agent/Applicant: | | | | | |
| Company: | | Company: | | | | | |
| Address: | | Address: | | | | | |
| Phone: F | ax: | Phone: | | Fax: | | | |
| E-mail: E-mail: | | | | | | | |
| Designer: | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Engineer: | | | | | |
| Company: | | | | | | | |
| Address: | | Address: | | | | | |
| Phone: Fax: | · | Phone: | | Fax: | | | |
| E-mail: | | E-mail: | | | | | |
| Please Indicate in the checkbox below the requested review methodology (please see the descriptions on page 2). • This is not required for the following Development Application types: AN, AB, BA, II, GP, TA, PE and ZN. These applications will be reviewed in a format similar to the Enhanced Application Review methodology. | | | | | | | |
| Enhanced Application Review: | I hereby authorize the C Application Review met | • | ew thi | s application utilizing the Enhanced | | | |
| Standard Application Review: | Standard Application Review: I hereby authorize the City of Scottsdale to review this application utilizing the Standard Application Review methodology. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Owner Signature | - | Agent/Applicant | Signa | ature | | | |
| Official Use Only Submittal Date | :: :: | Development Applicat | ion Ne | o.: | | | |

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-7000 Fax: 480-312-7088

CITY OF STALE SCOT ISDALE

Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.



Development Application

Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.



Affidavit of Authority to Act as the Property Owner

| 1. | IIIIS dii | iluavii C | Officerits | s trie rom | owing p | aicei oi | ianu. | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | treet A | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| | b. C | ounty 7 | ax Ass | essor's l | Parcel N | lumber: | | | | | | | | | |
| | c. G | Seneral | Locatio | n: | · | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | arcel S egal De | | | | . | | | | | | | | | |
| | (If the I | land is r | a platte | d lot, th | | | | | | n name, description | | | | | |
| | I am th authority am the a | from th | e owne | r to sign | this aff | idavit or | n the ov | vner' | s behall | | and ha | is moi | re thar | | |
| plats, I descrip interes | I have a liews, zo lot splits, otion involution involution, and a litments, | oning m , lot ties olving tl all appli | nap am s, use p ne land, cations | endmen ermits, t or invol dedica | ts, genouilding ving ad tions, p | eral pla permits jacent o ayment | n ame and of r nearb s, assu | ndme ther I by Ian uranc | ents, de and use ds in whees, dec | regulate | nt var ory or owner | riance relate has (| es, aba ed mat or may | andor ters c / acqu | nments, of every uire) an |
| • | The Cit after the tment a v | day th | e owne | r delive | ers to th | ne Direc | tor of | | | scribed i le Planr | | | | | |
| 5. Deparl | 1 will in Iment wr | | | | | | | | | ile Planr n my au | | | | | |
| 6. descrit | If more ped in th | | | | | | | | | cting ald | | | | | uthority |
| invalid develo | ete. I ur ate app pment o not signe | nderstai rovals of the la | nd that or othe nd, and | any eri r action I may e | ror or i ns take xpose r | ncomple n by th ne and | ete info e City the ow | ormat of S ner t | ion in t Scottsda o other | le, may liability. | avit or other Lunde | r any wise erstan | applio delay d that | cation or peop | ns may prevent le who |
| Nam | e (printe | ed) | | | Date | | | | Signatu | re | | | | | |
| | • | • | | | | | 20 | | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | _ | | | _, ∠∪_ | | | | | <u> </u> | _ | | |
| | | | | | | <u> </u> | _, 20 <u>_</u> | _ | | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | | _, 20_ | | | | | _ | | | |
| | | • | P | lanni | ng an | d De | velo | pm4 | ent S | ervice | !S | _ | | | |

APPEALS OF DEDICATIONS, EXACTIONS, OR ZONING REGULATIONS

POLICY OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE ON APPEALS OF DEDICATIONS, EXACTIONS, OR ZONING REGULATIONS

RIGHTS OF PROPERTY OWNER

In addition to other rights granted to you by the U.S. and Arizona Constitution, federal and state law and city ordinances or regulations, you are hereby notified of your right to appeal the following City actions relating to your property:

- 1) Any dedication or exaction which is required of you by an administrative agency or official of the city as a condition of granting approval of your request to use, improve or develop your real property. This appeal right does not apply to a dedication or exaction required as part of a city legislative act (for example a zoning ordinance) where an administrative agency or official has no discretion to determine the dedication or exaction.
- 2) The adoption or amendment of a zoning regulation that creates a taking of property in violation of Arizona and federal court decisions.

APPEAL PROCEDURE

The appeal must be in writing and specify the City action appealed and the date final action was taken, and it must be filed with or mailed to the hearing officer designated by the city within 30 days after the final action is taken. Address the appeal as follows:

Hearing Officer, C/O City Clerk 3939 Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251

- No fee will be charged for filing
- The City Attorney's Office will review the appeal for compliance with the above requirements, and will notify you if your appeal does not comply.
- Eligible appeals will be forwarded to the hearing officer, and a hearing will be scheduled within 30 days of receipt by the hearing officer of your request. Ten days notice will be given to you of the date, time and place of the hearing unless you indicate that less notice is acceptable to you.
- The city will submit a takings impact report to the hearing officer.
- In an appeal from a dedication or exaction, the City will bear the burden of proving that the dedication or exaction to be imposed on your property bears an essential nexus between the requirement and a legitimate governmental interest and that the proposed dedication or exaction is roughly proportional to the impact of the use, improvement or development you proposed.
- ❖ In an appeal from the adoption or amendment of a zoning regulation, the City will bear the burden of proving that any dedication or exaction requirement in the zoning regulation is roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use, improvement, or development, and that the zoning regulation does not create a taking of property in violation of Arizona and federal court cases.
- The hearing officer must render his decision within five working days after the appeal is heard.
- The hearing officer can modify or delete a dedication or exaction or, in the case of an appeal from a zoning regulation, transmit a recommendation to the City Council.
- If you are dissatisfied with the decision of the hearing officer, you may file a complaint for a trial de novo with the Superior Court within 30 days of the hearing officer's decision.

If you have questions about this appeal process, you may contact:

City Attorney's Office 3939 Drinkwater Blvd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (480) 312-2405

Please be aware that City staff cannot give you legal advice. You may wish, but are not required, to hire an attorney to represent you in an appeal.

Owner Certification Acknowledging Receipt Of Notice Of Right To Appeal Exactions And Dedications

| I hereby certify that I am the owner of property located at: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| (address where development approval, building pe | ermits, or city required improvements and dedications are being required) | | | | |
| and hereby certify that I have received a notice that the City of Scottsdale as part of my property devel | at explains my right to appeal all exactions and/or dedications required by lopment on the parcel listed in the above address. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Signature of Property Owner | | | | | |



Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Development Application (Case Submittals)

This request concerns all property identified in the development application. Pre-application No: 1022 _ PA _ 2015 The Summit at Scottsdale Tower Signs Project Address: STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY: 1. I am the owner of the property, or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the property and have the authority from the owner to sign this request on the owner's behalf. If the land has more than one owner, then I am the agent for all owners, and the word "owner" refer to them all. I have the authority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale regarding any and all development application regulatory or related matter of every description involving all property identified in the development application. STATEMENT OF REQUEST FOR SITE VISITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS 1. I hereby request that the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections of the property identified in the development application in order to efficiently process the application. 2. I understand that even though I have requested the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections, city staff may determine that a site visit and/or an inspection is not necessary, and may opt not to perform the site visit and/or an inspection. Property owner/Property owners agent: Print Name Signature City Use Only: Submittal Date:

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Division



Neighborhood Notification Checklist

AB, BA, UP, DR, HE, HP, MUMSP, PP

Neighborhood notification is necessary for all Conditional Use Permits, including Municipal Use Master Site Permits, Abandonments, Development Review Board, Board of Adjustment, and Hardship Exemption cases. You are required to return this form with checked items completed, and your Neighborhood notification Report with your application submittal

| , , | ts, please contact Planning & Development Services at 480-312- |
|---|---|
| Approved Amended Standards, and City Council Site | Development Review Board Hardship Exemption Municipal Use Master Site Plan Onling Ordinance Text Amendments, Zoning Stipulation Amendments, Council Plan Approval this is not the correct checklist; please obtain a copy of the or. If you are applying for a General Plan Amendment, please obtain a copy of |
| the Neighborhood Involvement Checklist from your p | marked items prior to submitting your application |
| Step 1: Neighborhood Notification | |
| Provide information by: 1st Class Letter or Postcard In Person Phone call Certified Mail Door Hangers/Flyers | To: Property owners and HOAs within 750' (*required-for-all-WOF) Adjacent property owners/ tenants/HOAs School District(s) Interested Party list (provided by Project Coordinator) Tenants, employees, and students (*required for all WCF) |
| • | be completed a minimum of 15 days prior to the application submittal. Ild be within 45 days prior to the date of your formal submittal. |
| ZoningSite PlanApplicant and City contact na | on f project, Square Footage of Lot) |
| Dister 2: Project Under Consideration | |
| | or to your Open House Meeting. (See Sign posting requirements) |
| Post sign 15 calendar days prio | or to your formal application submittal. (See WFC requirements) |

Planning and Development Services

7447 E. Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • Phone: 480-312-7000 • Fax: 480-312-7088



Neighborhood Notification Checklist

AB, BA, UP, DR, HE, HP, MUMSP, PP

| — —El-Step∻ | 3: Hold An Open House Meeting |
|----------------|--|
| NA | You are required to hold a minimum of Open House Meeting(s). |
| | Provide open house date, time, and location to Project Coordinator at least 14 calendar days prior to the meeting. E-mail open house information to project coordinator <u>and</u> to: planninginfo@scottsdaleaz.gov. |
| Step 4 | l: Complete a Neighborhood Notification Report |
| | Provide all of the checked items, in a report, with your submittal |
| | You are required to submit a Neighborhood Notification Report with your application. |
| | Submit either the original, or a copy of this marked Neighborhood Notification Packet. |
| | Document your Project Notification efforts as follows: (|
| | Provide a list of names, phone numbers/addresses of contacted parties (e.g. neighbors/property owners, School District representatives, and HOA's). |
| | Provide a map showing where notified neighbors are located. |
| | Provide the dates contacted, and the number of times contacted. |
| | Indicate how they were contacted (e.g. letter, phone call). If certified mail was used, provide receipts of delivery. |
| | Provide copies of letters or other means used to contact neighbors, the school district, and HOA's. |
| | Provide originals of all comments, letters, and correspondence received. |
| | ■ Verify the "Project Under Review" Sign Posting or Newspaper listing as follows: |
| | Provide affidavit of posting, and pictures of sign, which are date and time stamped. Copy of Newspaper listings with date of publication. |
| _ | _⊒Dσcαment the Open House Meeting(s) as follows: |
| | List dates, times, and locations of open house meeting(s). |
| | Provide the sign-in sheets, list of people attended the meeting(s), comment sheets, and written summary of the comments, issues and concerns provided at the open house meeting(s). |
| | List the method by which the applicant has addressed, or intends to address, the issues, concerns, and problems identified during the process. |
| | List dates, times, and locations of any follow-up with interested parties. |
| (| List any other neighborhood, citizen involvement. (If Applicable) |
| Related | Resources: |
| | Project Under Consideration Sign Posting Requirements |
| | Affidavit of Posting |
| | Public Hearing Sign Posting Requirements |

roject No.: 1022 -PA- 2015 alopment Review

elopment Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal, be submitted. A Development Application that is At your pre-application meets the minimum submittal requirements to At your pre-applicatic -cklist arche application meets the minimum submittal requirements to Development Applic on this ch include all items ir not cons.

received by the c be reviewed. It avoid delays in the review of your applications with the:

naddling. Its on this con that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the: , to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, In addition to additional in Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;

fi Standards tsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and

Julisements of any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any inclinations in Jing any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development oplication approved that this application is reliant upon; and

the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application:

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

PART I -- GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

| | | 1 ANT 1 OFIGE WAS MERCIAL TO |
|------------|---------|--|
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| ® | □ | 1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list) |
| (a) | | 2. Application Fee \$ 1.515.22. (subject to change every July) |
| (a) | 口 | 3. Completed Development Application Form (form provided) |
| | | The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). |
| | | If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology. |
| N | A | 4. request to submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided) |
| (M) | | 5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form) |
| | | - O this application (Offil) |

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ_8525.1_Pho

| ATA T | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--|
| | | 6. Affidavit of Author corporation, trust, p. Deve applicant that will act or property of the property owner is a content by an act of the property owner is a content by a c |
| (80 | | 7. Appeals of Required Dedication the property owner is a perty owner for Title Insurance. 8. Commitment for Title Insurance. |
| NA | | 8. Commitment for Title Insurance (so will be solded) (requirements form provided) • 8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy • Include complete Schedule A and Sche bmittal date |
| A | | 9. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitme. • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies |
| NA NA | | Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be, |
| (M) | | 11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form provided) han 30 days |
| 4) <i>H</i> | - | 12. Addressing Requirements (form provided) |
| Reference Only | | 13. Design Guidelines Sensitive Design Program Design Standards and Policies Manual Commercial Retail Refer to Signage Section Gas Station & Convenience Stores Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines The above reference design guidelines, standards, policies, and additional information may be found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/design 14. Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (form provided) Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report Provide one copy of the Community input Certification attached to the Neighborhood Notification Report If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the |
| Au | | Neighborhood Notification Report addendum. 15. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form provided) |
| 1 | | 16. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper (form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations and numbers. 8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (Delayed submittal). At the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request. |

Planning and Development Services Division

Revision Date: 03/06/2015

| Deve | lopment | Keview | Application. |
|------|---------|--------|--------------|
|------|---------|--------|--------------|

| NA | | 17. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided) ☐ Certificate of No Effect / Approval Application (form provided) ☐ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies ☐ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies ☐ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy |
|-------|-------|--|
| N/A | | 18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet provided) □ Airport Data Page □ Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form □ Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit) |
| NA | | The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided) The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with this Development Review Application. |
| | | PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA |
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| NA | - | 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) FOCAS |
| 60 | | 21. Application Narrative |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` |
| | | The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) |
| | | Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. |
| M | | 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed |
| JA | | • 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded |
| M. | | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy |
| | | ■ 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of: |
| | | 750 foot radius from site |
| | | 1/4 mile radius from site |
| | | Other: |
| 1 | ı | I |

Planning and Development Services Division

*^-242-7000_Fax: 480-312-7088

Development Applications Process

Standard Application Review Development Review (DR and PP)

Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Rovisod Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's raview, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional Information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

Submittel/Resubmittel of Application and Issues Resolved by Administrative Review for Completeness Applicant/Owner

City Sends Letter to Applicant Informing the Applicant that the Application has been Accepted for Substantive Review

is the Application Determined

to be Complete

Yes

Pre- Application


Submittal and

Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement

Contact Application Completed

by the Owner / Applicant

e-application Meeting



Development Review Board

Heanng(s) Scheduled, Report,

Development Review Board Hearing

Approval/Donlal Letter Issued

(End of Substantive Review)

City Sends Letter to Applicant

Identifying Deficiency

No / Minimal / In and an increase in the substantive and overell time frames* Accordance with Standard (Must be in Writing) Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames Νo

City Sends Letter to Applicant Requesting Additional Information

> ssues Resolved by Applicant/Owner and

Resubmits Application

and Related Requirements (Recommendation and/or Non-action Hearing(s) as Determined By City Staff) 3[™] Substantive Review

Note:

- 1. Time period determined by owner/applicant.
 - All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued requesting additional information until the date the City receives the resubmittal from the owner/ applicant.
 - The substantive review, and the overall time frame timo is suspended during the public hearing processes.
 - Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 60 percent

Time Line

Administrative Review 15 Staff Working Days Per Review Substative Review

95 Total Staff_Working Days, Two Reviews in This Time Frame 23.4

Timo Fremes Vary³

Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denial Letter Issued

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 84251 • Phone 480-312-7000 • Fax. 480-312-7088

| ionnictal Date: | Project No.: | TULL | -PA <i>[</i> | 1015 | |
|-----------------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--|
| | | | | | |



Development Review

Development Application Checklist

Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- · requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application:

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

| • | | PART I GENERAL REQUIREMENTS |
|------------|-------|--|
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| (B) | | 1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list) |
| Ø | | 2. Application Fee \$ 1515 · (subject to change every July) |
| 6 | | 3. Completed Development Application Form (form provided) • The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). |
| ١٨. | | If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology. |
| W | A | 4. Request to submit Concurrent vovelopment Applications (form provided) |
| (A) | | 5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form) |

Planning and Development Services

Development veriess where

| 8 | | 6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner. (form provided) |
|----------------|---|---|
| 8 | | 7. Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provided) |
| NA NA | | 8. Commitment for Title Insurance – No older than 30 days from the submittal date (requirements form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" – 1 copy include complete Schedule A and Schedule B. |
| A | | 9. Legal Description: (if not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance) 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies |
| NA | | 10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old) |
| (B) | | 11. Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Form (form provided) |
| AlH | | 12. Addressing Requirements (form provided) |
| Reference Only | - | 13. Design Guidelines Sensitive Design Program Design Standards and Policies Manual Commercial Retail Refer to Signage Section Gas Station & Convenience Stores Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines The above reference design guidelines, standards, policies, and additional information may be found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/design |
| | | Neighborhood Notification Process Requirements: (<u>form provided</u>) Provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report Provide one copy of the Community Input Certification attached to the Neighborhood Notification Report If substantial modifications are made to an application, additional notification may be required by the Zoning Administrator, or designee. When required, provide one copy of the Neighborhood Notification Report addendum. |
| Alu | | 15. Request for Neighborhood Group Contact information (form provided) |
| (59) | | 16. Photo Exhibit of Existing Conditions: Printed digital photos on 8-1/2"x11" Paper (form provided) 8-1/2" x 11" - 1 copy of the set of prints See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations and numbers. 8-1/2" x 11" - 11 copies of the set of prints (Delayed submittal). At the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request. |

Planning and Development Services Division

| 1 2 4 2 | | 17. Archaeological Resources (information sheets provided) □ Certificate of No Effect / Approval Application (form provided) □ Archaeology Survey and Report - 3 copies □ Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - 3 copies □ Copies of Previous Archeological Research - 1 copy 18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000 foot radius of the runway; information packet provided) □ Airport Data Page □ Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form □ Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit) |
|-------------------------------|-------|---|
| NA | | 19. ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided) The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with this Development Review Application. |
| - | | PART II REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA |
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all Items marked below. |
| NA | | ONLINE UNDER "P" IN 20. Plan & Report Requirements For Development Applications Checklist (form provided) FORMS (Reference Only) |
| | | 21. Application Narrative 8 ½" x 11" – 4 copies` 1. The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided) 2. Historic Property. If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan. |
| 4 | | 22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded 11" x 17" – 1 color copy 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning for a radius from the site of: 750 foot radius from site 1/4 mile radius from site Other: Other: |

| (M) | 23. Site Plan |
|------------|--|
| | • 24" x 36" – 12 copies, folded |
| | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| W | 24. Site Details |
| 11/ | (Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.) |
| 6,1 | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | 25. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (Example Provided) |
| V | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| 14 | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| 1 | 26. Site Cross Sections |
| N_{k} | • 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded |
| | • 11" x 17" 1 – copy, folded |
| | 27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas) |
| | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| M. | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction |
| | ■ 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| 。 | 28. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas) |
| Th. | • 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded |
| 中 | 29. Phasing Plan |
| * | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| 41, | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | ● 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 4 | 30. Landscape Plan |
| W | 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u> |
| 14, | (a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.) |
| | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | ● 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| 1 | Digital - 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |

| 🗗 | 31. Hardscape Plan |
|-------------|--|
| | 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded of <u>black and white line drawings</u> (a grayscale copy of the color landscape Blan will not be accept.) |
| NA, | (a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.) 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| $ \ \ $ | 8 %" x 11" – 1 copy, tolded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | |
| 9 | 32. Transitions Plan |
| | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| M | 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| " | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | 33. Parking Plan |
| | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| M | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| þ | 34. Parking Master Plan |
| MA | See the City's Zoning Ordinance, Article IX for specific submittal and content requirements for Parking Master Plan. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits. |
| 1 | • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies |
| | 35. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation |
| | • 24" x 36" — 1 copy, folded |
| | 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| MA | • 8 ½" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | 36. Bikeways & Trails Plan |
| NA | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| LM. | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | ■ 8 ½" x 11" − 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| (R) | 37. Elevations (SIEN ELEVATIONS) |
| | 24" x 36" - 2 folded black and white line drawing copies |
| | (a grayscale copy of the color elevations will not be accepted.) |
| | • 24" x 36" – 2 color copies, folded |
| | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | 11" x 17" - 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | |
| | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy, (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |

| | | Development Neview Application Checkins |
|--------------|---|---|
| AL. | | 38. Elevations Worksheet(s) |
| hh | | Required for all Development applications to zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and in the Downtown Area. |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 2 copies, folded |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| | | 39. Perspectives |
| UA | | • 24" x 36" - 1 color copy, folded |
| | | 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" − 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 40. Streetscape Elevation(s) (SIGN - FROM ROADLAY VIEWS) |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 1 color copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | 41. Wall Elevations and Details and/or Entry Feature Elevations and Details |
| JH | | • 24" x 36" — 1 color copy, folded |
| M . | | • 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| , | • | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| Z | | 42. Floor Plans |
| .JA | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded |
| Nu | | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| Æ | | 43. Floor Plan Worksheet(s) |
| NA | | (Required for restaurants, bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family developments): |
| • | | • 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded |
| | | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| JE, | | 44. Roof Plan Worksheet(s) |
| JA | | • 24" x 36" −1 copy, folded |
| ~ [' | | Digital – 1 copy (Text and drawing shall be black and white, and in the DWF format) |
| AN | | 45 Color betail Mind Clean MAN SEE #37 |
| | | • 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| $h_{\rm L}$ | | • 11" x 17" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| • • | | ● 8½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) |
| | | • 8 ½" x 11" – 1 black and white line drawing copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) |

| | | Development Neview Application checklist | |
|---|----------|--|--|
| 19 | | 46. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures) | |
| MA | | • 24" x 36" — 1 copy, folded | |
| 4 | | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| / a | | 47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided) | |
| | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded | |
| | | • 11" x 17" - 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| 471 | | 48. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting | |
| / | | • 24" x 36" – 1 copy, folded | |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| 7 | | 49. Cultural Improvement Program Plan | |
| | _ | Conceptual design of location | |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| QV | | ● 8 ½" x 11" — 1 color copies (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| | | 1 – copy of the approval letter for the artwork design from Scottsdale Cultural Council (Scottsdale Public Art) | |
| | | Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the requirement/contribution. | |
| Q | | 50. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines | |
| | | (Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.) 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| | | 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| | | 51. Master Thematic Architectural Character Plan | |
| | | • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| | <u> </u> | 8 ½" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction) | |
| 🗷 | | 52. Drainage Report (information provided) | |
| | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for drainage report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. | |
| | | 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets | |
| | | 53. Master Drainage Plan | |
| SAS CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. | |
| | | • 8-1/2" x 11" - 2 copies of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets | |
| Planning and Development Services Division | | | |

| | T | |
|---------------|----------|---|
| E | | 54. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Water and Wastewater |
| M | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. |
| 5 | | 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| 4 | D | 55. Preliminary Basis of Design Report for Wastewater |
| 5 | | See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. |
| | | 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Report including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| 7 | | 56. Water Sampling Station |
| 9 | | Show location of sample stations on the site plan. |
| X | | • Fax 8 ½" x 11" copy of the site plan with sampling stations to the Water Quality Division. |
| X | | Attn: Craig Miller. Fax 480-312-8728/ Phone 480-312-8743 |
| 4 | | 57. Water Of Approval For Fountains Or Water Features from the Water Conservation Office |
| 4 | | Please contact Elisa Klein at 480-312-5670 |
| | | 1 copy of the approval from the Water Conservation Office |
| 可 | | 58. Native Plant Submittal: |
| | | • 24" x 36" 1 – copy, folded. |
| | | (Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development) |
| 7 | | 59. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA) (information provided) |
| 5 | | Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans. |
| M. | | ☐ Category 1 Study |
| M_{λ} | | ☐ Category 2 Study |
| | | ☐ Category 3 Study |
| | | 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis including full size plans/maps in pockets |
| 7 | | |
| <u> </u> | | |

.Dlanning.and.Development.Services Division

ı,

| | | PART III - SAMPLES & MODELS |
|-------|-------|---|
| Req'd | Rec'd | Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below. |
| Ø | | 69. Paint Color Drawdowns 1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers. |
| | | 70. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s): 8-1/2" x 14" material sample board(s) • The material sample board shall include the following: • A color elevation of one side of the building • 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify • 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.) • 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors • All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation. • 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded of a printed digital photo of the material board 8 %" x 11" – 1 copy of a printed digital photo of the material board |
| NA | | 71. Electronic Massing Model: 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: |
| NA | | 72. Electronic Detail Model: 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded 8 ½" x 11" – 1 color copy (quality suitable for reproduction) Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within: 750 foot radius from site Other: Other: (The electronic model shall be a computer generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.) |

| | Development Review Application Checkins |
|---|---|
| | 78. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project Coordinator. |
| | Coordinator Name (print): ANDREW CHT Phone Number: 480.312.7828 Coordinator email: achi @ Scottadaleaz .gov Date: 03/15/16 |
| | Coordinator email: achi @ Scottadaleaz .gov Date: 03/15/16 |
| | Coordinator Signature: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist. |
| | This application need a: New Project Number, or |
| | ☐ A New Phase to an old Project Number: |
| Í | Required Notice |
| | Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services Division, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the |

attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning and Development Services Division's One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/bldgresources/forms.

Planning and Development Services Division One Stop Shop Planning and Development Services Director 7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105 Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Phone: (480) 312-7000

Development Applications Process

Enhanced Application Review

Development Review (DR and PP)

Enhanced Application Review Methodology

WithIn the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an

expeditious manner. Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work Pre- Application Submittal and environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the re-application Meating published Staff Roview Time frames. Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement Contact Application Completed by the Owner / Applicant Issues Resolved by Submittal/Resubmittal of Application and Administrative Review for Completenes Applicant/Owner Is the Application Determined City Sends Letter to Applicant to be Complete Identifying Deficiency Yes City Sends Letter to Applicant Informing the Applicant that the Application has been Accepted for Substantive Review Issues Resolved by 1" and Subsequent Applicant/Owner and Substantive Review Resubmits Application City Sends Lotter to Applicant ISBUOS Requesting Additional Information No / Minimal / In Accordance with Enhanced Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames Development Review Board Hearing(s) Scheduled, Roport, and Related Requirements (Determination and/or Non-action Hearing(s) as Determined By City Staff) Development Review Board Hearing Approval/Denial Letter Issued (End of Substantive Review)

Note:

- 1. Time period determined by owner/applicant.
- 2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued additional requesting information until the date receives the the City resubmittal from the owner/ applicant.
- 3. The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
- Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Time Line

Administrative Review Substative Review Public Hearing Process Approval/Denial 15 Staff Working Days Per Review 95 Total Staff Working Days, Multiple Reviews in This Time Frame²³ Time Frames Vary Letter Issued

CITY OF SCOTI SOALE

Pre- Application

Submittal and

Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement Contact Application Completed

by the Owner / Applicant

re-application Meeting

Development Applications Process


Standard Application Review


Development Review (DR and PP)



Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.





No / Minimal / In
Accordance with Standard
Application Review Methodology
/ or to Comply with Time Frames

Applicant/Agent Agrees to a 3rd Substantive Review and an increase in the substantive and overall time frames

(Must be in Writing)
No

City Sends Lefter to Applicant Requesting Additional Information

> Issues Resolved by Applicant/Owner and

Resubmits Application



Approval/Denial Letter Issued

(End of Substantive Review)

Development Review Board Hearing

Davelopment Review Board

Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report,

Time period determined by owner/applicant.

- 2 All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued requesting additional information until the date the City receives the resubmittal from the owner/applicant.
- The substantive roview, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
- 4. Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Time Line

Administrative Review Substative Review Public Hearing Process Approval/Denial
15 Staff Working Days Per Review 95 Total Staff Working Days, Two Reviews In This Time Frame 13.4 Time Frames Vary Latter Issued