Application
Narrative
Cash Transmittal
Pre-Application
Pre-App Narrative
Pre-App Cash Transmittal
Development Standards



Development Application

	Davidanment A			
Development Application Type: Please check the appropriate box of the Type(s) of Application(s) you are requesting				
Zoning	Development Revie	w	Sig	ns
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	☑ Development I	Review (Major)) (DR) 🔲	Master Sign Program (MS)
☐ Rezoning (ZN)	□ Development I	Review (Minor) (SA)	Community Sign District (MS)
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)	■ Wash Modifica	tion (WM)	Ot	her:
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Historic Proper	rty (HP)		Annexation/De-annexation (AN)
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)			General Plan Amendment (GP)
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)	Subdivisions	_		In-Lieu Parking (IP)
☐ Special Exception (SX)	Condominium	Conversion		Abandonment (AB)
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exce	ptions	Ot	her Application Type Not Listed
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction	/Revision		
Project Name: Waterview MFR				
Property's Address: 73rd St and Carne	back Road, Scotts	dale, AZ		
Property's Current Zoning District Designati	on: D/OR-2			
The property owner shall designate an agent for the City regarding this Development Applinformation to the owner and the owner app	ication. The agent/a			
Owner: Shawn Yari		Agent/Applic	cant: Ryan Cal	dera
Company: Scottsdale Canal Holdings, LL		Studio 111 Architecto		
		Company: Studio 111 Architects		
Address: 10850 Wilshire Bivd, Los Ange	Address: 2	245 E. 3rd S	treet, Lonbaech, CA 90802	
Phone: 310-234-2888 Fax:		Phone:	562-901-150	00 Fax: 602-678-5155
E-mail: Shyari@stockdalecapital.com		E-mail:	ryan.caldera	@studio-111.com
Owner Boyce O'Brien		Engineer:	Vince Ruiz	
Company: Stockdale Capital Partners, LL	C	Company:	_	and Associates, Inc.
Address: 4501 N. Scottsdale Rd, Suite #	200	Address:		shington St, Suite 250 Phoenix, AZ
Phone: 602-748-8888 Fax:		Phone:	602-474-922 	23 Fax: 602-678-5155
E-mall: bobrien@stockdalecapital.com		E IIIOIII	vrr@deainc.	
Please indicate in the checkbox below the requested review methodology (please see the descriptions on page 2). • This is not required for the following Development Application types: AN, AB, BA, II, GP, TA, PE and ZN. These applications will be reviewed in a format similar to the Enhanced Application Review methodology.				
	reby authorize the C dication Review met		le to review t	his application utilizing the Enhanced
l I Standam Application Review:	reby authorize the C dication Review met	•	le to review t	his application utilizing the Standard
Baru H. O'Buin		B		<u></u>
Owner Signature		/Agent/	Applicant Sig	nature
		·		
Official Use Only Submittal Date:		Development	t Application	No.:

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-70

22-DR-2017#2

CITY OF SCOTT SDALE

Development Application

Review Methodologies

Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

 Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.

City of Consendatolate Mink air



Development Application

Arizona Revised Statues Notice

§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.

City of Consendatolate Minterland, and access to the



Request To Submit Concurrent Development Applications

Acknowledgment and Agreement

22-DR-2017#2

The City of Scottsdale recognizes that a property owner may desire to submit concurrent development applications for separate purposes where one or more the development applications are reliant upon the approval of another development application. City Staff may agree to process concurrently where one or more the development applications are reliant upon the approval of another development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.

development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.						
	Development Application Types					
Please check the appropriate box of	the types of applications that you are rec	uesting to submit concurrently				
Zoning	Development Review	Signs				
☐ Text Amendment (TA)	Development Review (Major) (DR)	☐ Master Sign Program (MS)				
☐ Rezoning (ZN)	☐ Development Review (Minor) (SA)	☐ Community Sign District (MS)				
☐ In-fill Incentive (II)	□ Wash Modification (WM)	Other				
☐ Conditional Use Permit (UP)	☐ Historic Property (HP)	☐ Annexation/De-annexation (AN)				
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)	☐ General Plan Amendment (GP)				
☐ Hardship Exemption (HE)	☐ Subdivisions	☐ In-Lieu Parking (IP)				
☐ Special Exception (SX)	☐ Condominium Conversion	☐ Abandonment (AB)				
☐ Variance (BA)	☐ Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed				
☐ Minor Amendment (MA)	☐ Plat Correction/Revision					
Owner: Shawn Yari, President	·					
Company: Scottsdale Canal Holdings, LLC						
Address: 4501 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite #						
Phone: 602-748-8888	Fax: 602-748-8889					
E-mail: shyari@stockdalecapital.com						
As the property owner, by providing my signature below, I acknowledge and agree: 1) that the concurrent development applications are processed at the property owner's risk; 2) to hold the City harmless of all cost, expense, claims, or other liability arising in connection with the concurrent development applications; 3) to the City of Scottsdale's Substantive Policy Statement pertaining to Concurrent Applications that states that a concurrent development application that is reliant on a decision of separate development application and is submitted at the risk of the property owner, is not considered to be subject to the provisions and timeframes of the Regulatory Bill of Rights (A.R.S. §9-831 – 9-840); and 4) that upon completion of the Cityreview(s) of the development applications, the development application(s) may not be approved.						
Property owner (Print Name): Boyce O'Brie	n, Owner Rep Title: Devel	opment Manager				
Date: 12/20/2018						
Official Use Only:	Submitta	Date: (2.20.2118				
Request: Approved or Denied						
Staff Name (Print): TRAP CARE						
\mathcal{M}	Mh 12-20-2018					
Staff Signature:	Date:					

Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Division

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Phone: 480-312-

City of Scottsdale's Wobsiter warm acceptedal



December 20, 2018

Via Hand-Delivery, to:

City of Scottsdale Planning & Development Services Department 7447 East Indian School Road, Suite 105 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Re: Letter of Authorization - WaterView

To Whom It May Concern:

يى. ماملىد ئى<u>رئۇن</u> س

This letter authorizes the firms and companies of Berry Riddell LLC, Studio 111, David Evans & Associates, and Young Design Group to represent Scottsdale Canal Holdings, LLC on the Development Review Board application and related City matters for property located at the northwest and northeast corners of 73rd Street and Camelback Road extending north along the Arizona Canal in the City of Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona.

Scottsdale Canal Holdings, LLC

Boyce H. O'Brien

Its: Development Manager



Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections Development Application (Case Submittals)

Pre-application No: 22 - PA - 2017 DRB			
Project Name: WaterView Residential			
Project Address: NWC Camelback Road & 73rd Street			
STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY:			
 I am the owner of the property, or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the property and have the authority from the owner to sign this request on the owner's behalf. If the land has more than one owner, then I am the agent for all owners, and the word "owner" refer to them all. 			
 I have the authority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale regarding any and all development application regulatory or related matter of every description involving all property identified in the development application. 			
STATEMENT OF REQUEST FOR SITE VISITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS			
 I hereby request that the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections of the property identified in the development application in order to efficiently process the application. 			
2. I understand that even though I have requested the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections, city staff may determine that a site visit and/or an inspection is not necessary, and may opt not to perform the site visit and/or an inspection.			
Property owner/Property owners agent: Boyce O'Brien, Dev Mgr for Scottsdale Canal Holdings, LLC			
Print Name			
Saru H. O'Buin			
Signature			
City Use Only:			
Submittal Date: Case number:			
Planning, Neighborhood & Transportation Division 7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 ♦ Phone: 480-312-7000 ♦ Fax: 480-312-7088 22-DR-2017#2			

This request concerns all property identified in the development application.

Submittal Date: 12-20-2018 Project No.: 303 -PA- 2015

Development Review Board (DRB)

Development Application Checklist



Minimal Submittal Requirements:

At your pre-application meeting, your project coordinator will identify which items indicated on this Development Application checklist are required to be submitted. A Development Application that does not include all items indicated on this checklist may be rejected immediately. A Development Application that is received by the City does not constitute that the application meets the minimum submittal requirements to be reviewed.

In addition to the items on this checklist, to avoid delays in the review of your application, all Plans, Graphics, Reports and other additional information that is to be submitted shall be provided in accordance with the:

- requirements specified in the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications Checklist;
- Design Standards & Policies Manual;
- · requirements of Scottsdale Revised Code (including the Zoning Ordinance); and
- stipulations, including any additional submittal requirements identified in the stipulations, of any Development Application approved that this application is reliant upon; and
- the city's design guidelines.

If you have any question regarding the information above, or items indicated on this application checklist, please contact your project coordinator. His/her contact information is on the page 12 of this application.

Please be advised that a Development Application received by the City that is inconsistent with information submitted with the corresponding pre-application may be rejected immediately, and may be required to submit a separate: pre-application, a new Development Application, and pay all additional fees.

Prior to application submittal, please research original zoning case history to find the original adopted ordinance(s) and exhibit(s) to confirm the zoning for the property. This will help to define your application accurately. The City's full-service Records Department can assist.

AV 1976	PART I GENERAL REQUIREMENTS				
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.			
Ø	9	1. Development Review Application Checklist (this list)			
Ø	Ø	2. Application Fee \$ (subject to change every July)			
Ø	e	 Completed Development Application Form (form provided) The applicant/agent shall select a review methodology on the application form (Enhanced Application Review or Standard Application Review). If a review methodology is not selected, the application will be review under the Standard Application Review methodology. 			
9	T	4. Request to Submit Concurrent Development Applications (form provided)			
Ø	P	5. Letter of Authorization (from property owner(s) if property owner did not sign the application form)			

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.Scottsdal

22-DR-2017#2 12/20/2018

	_ _	6. Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner (required if the property owner is a corporation, trust, partnership, etc. and/or the property owner(s) will be represented by an applicant that will act on behalf of the property owner. (form provided)	
42_	-0-	7. Appeals of Required Dedications or Exactions (form provided)	
12		8. Commitment for Fitle Insurance — No older than 30 days from the submittal date (requirements form provided) • 8-1/2" x 11" – ① copy • Include complete Schedule A and Schedule B.	
X _		S. Legal Description: (If not provided in Commitment for Title Insurance) 8-1/2" x 11" – (2) copies	
2	-B	10. Results of ALTA Survey (24" x 36") FOLDED 24" x 36" — ① copies, folded (The ALTA Survey shall not be more than 30 days old) Digital — ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format) 11. Request for Site Visits and/or inspections Form (form provided)	
		13. Addressing Requirements (form provided)	
		13. Design Guidelines ☐ Sensitive Design Program ☐ Scenic Corridors Design ☐ Design Standards and Policies Manual ☐ Office Design Guidelines ☐ Commercial Retail ☐ Restaurants ☐ Gas Station & Convenience Stores ☐ Environmentally Sensitive Land Ordinance ☐ Downtown Urban Design and Architectural Guidelines ☐ Desert Parks Golf Course ☐ The above reference design guidelines, standards, policies, and additional information may be found on the City's website at: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/design	
₽-	-0-	14. Rublic Participation Process Requirements (see Attachment A)	
`		15:-Request for Neighborhood Group Contact Information (form-provided)	
	====	 8-1/2" x 11" - ① copy of the set of prints See attached Existing Conditions Photo Exhibit graphic showing required photograph locations and numbers. 8-1/2" x 11" - ① copies of the set of prints (Delayed submittal). At the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s), he/she will request these items, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request. 	

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Revision Date: 01/12/2018

E	□	17. Archaeological Resources (Information sheets provided)
		☐ Cultural Resources Survey & Report - (3) copies
		Archaeology 'Records Check' Report Only - (3) copies
		☐ Copies of Previous Archaeological Research - ① copy
		18. Completed Airport Vicinity Development Checklist – Your property is located within the vicinity of the Scottsdale Municipal Airport (within 20,000-foot radius of the runway; Information packet provided) □ Airport Data Page □ Aviation Fuel Dispensing Installation Approval form □ Heliport (requires a Conditional Use Permit)
		19. ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application (application provided)
		The ESLO Wash Modifications Development Application is to be submitted concurrently with
	ASSESSED !	this Development Review Application.
		<u> </u>
		PART II – REQUIRED PLANS & RELATED DATA
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
		20. Plan 8. Report Requirements for Development Applications Checklist (forth provided)
Ø	Ħ	21. Application Narrative
		● 8 ½" x 11" – copies
		The application narrative shall specify how the proposal separately addresses each of the applicable Development Review Board criteria. (Form provided)
1		2: Historic Property: If the property is an existing or potential historic property, describing how the proposal preserves the historic character or compliance with property's existing Historic Preservation Plan.
12 7	Ø	22. Context Aerial with the proposed site improvements superimposed
		24" x 36" = 4 color copies, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, <u>folded</u>
		• 8 ¾" x 11" − ① color copy (quality sultable for reproduction)
		Aerial shall not be more than 1 year old and shall include and overlay of the site plan
		showing lot lines, tracts, easements, street locations/names and surrounding zoning
		for a radius from the site of:
		for a radius from the site of:
		for a radius from the site of:750-foot radius from site 14-mile radius from site
		for a radius from the site of:

- 7447 F Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

		,
4	12	23. Site Plan
ļ	ļ	• 24" x 36" — * copies, <u>folded</u>
i	1	• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF format)
Ø	B	24. Site Details
	l	(Elevations of screen walls, site walls, refuse enclosure, carport, lot light pole, trellis, etc.)
	İ	• 24" x 36"
		• 11" x 17" – (1) copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	_	● 8 ½" x 11" – ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
3	4	25. Open Space Plan (Site Plan Worksheet) (Example Provided)
		• 24" x 36" – (2) cospies, folded
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality sultable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – (1) copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF format)
e	6	26. Site Cross Sections
		• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" - ① copy, <u>folded</u>
4	9	27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas)
		27. Natural Area Open Space Plan (ESL Areas) 24" x 36" – ② copies, folded
		• 11" x 17" - 1) copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction
		• 8 %" x 11" - 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital - ① copy (CD/DVD, PBF-format)
		28. Topography and slope analysis plan (ESL Areas)
		• 24" x 36" (1) copy, folded
		29. Phasing Plan
		24" x 36" – (4) copies, <u>folded</u>
	-	• 11" x 17" – (1) copy, folded (quality sultable for reproduction)
No. of the last of		● 8 ½" x 11" — ① copy (quality sultable for reproduction)
E	T	30. Landscape Plan
		■ 24" x 36" - ② copies, folded of black and white line drawings
		(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
!		8 ½" x 11" – (1) copy (quality suitable for reproduction)

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

		,
		Digital - ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF format)
		51. Hardscape Plan
		 24" x 36" – ② coples, folded of black and white line drawings
]	ı	(a grayscale copy of the color Landscape Plan will not be accept.)
}		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 %" x 11" – ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
-8-		32. Transitions Plan
		• 24" x 36" – ② copies, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 %" x 11" – 1 copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital – 1 copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)
4		33. Parking Plan
		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality sultable for reproduction)
		8 %" x 11" — ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
│ □ ┤	- 8-	34: Parking Master Plan
		See the City's Zoning Ordinance, Article IX for specific submittal and content requirements for Parking Master Plan. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock
	ı	front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits.
		• 8-1/2" x 11" - ② copies
2		33. Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation
		• 24" x 36" - (4) copies, <u>folded</u>
.		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		B %" x 11" — ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
net.		Digital - 1 copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)
		36. Bikeways & Trails Plan
		• 24" x 36" - (2) copies, <u>folded</u>
		-
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 ½" x 11" – ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)

		DRB Development Application Checklist
B	B	37. Building Elevations 1 word
		Building Elevations 24" x 36" – Coples, folded black and white line drawing
		(a grayscale copy of the color elevations will not be accepted.)
}	\	• 24" x 36" – ② color ceptes, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" – ① color copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 11" x 17" - ① copy, <u>folded</u> black and white line drawing (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – ① color copy, (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – ① copy black and white line drawing copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
	_/	Digital – ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)
 	덤	38. Building Elevations Worksheet(s)
		Required for all Development applications to zoned Planned Unit Development (PUD) and in the
		Downtown Area. • 24" x 36" – ② eccies, folded
		Digital – ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)
	_	
		39. Perspectives
		• 24" x 36" - ① color copy, <u>folded</u>
		 11" x 17" - ① color copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction) 8 ½" x 11" - ① color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
7		
1	- /	40. Streetscape Elevation(s)
		• 24" x 36" - ① color copy, <u>foided</u> 11" x 17" - ① color copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		8 % × 11" - (1) color copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		41. Wall Elevations and Betails and/or Entry Feature Elevations and Details • 24" x 36" – ① color copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" - (1) color copy, <u>folded (quality suitable for reproduction)</u>
	ı	• 11 x 17 — (1) color copy, <u>roides (quality suitable for reproduction)</u>
		• 8 ½" x 11" – ① color copy (quality sultable for reproduction)
		42. Floor Plans
		24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded
		11" x 17" - ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital – ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

	<u></u>	
13	Q/	43. Floor Plan Worksheet(s)
		(Required for restaurants, bars or development containing there-of, and multi-family developments):
		• 24" x 36" - 1 copy, folded
		• 11" x 17" - ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Digital – ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)
	B	44. Roof Plan Worksheet(s)
) 	• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>
		Digital — ① copy (CD/DVD, PDF Format)
-		45. Sign Details
		• 11" x 17" – 1 color copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, folded black and white line drawing (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 兆" x 11" − ① color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 %" x 11" – 1 copy black and white line drawing (quality suitable for reproduction)
B		46. Exterior Lighting Site Plan (including exterior building mounted fixtures)
		• 24" x 36" — ① сору, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
	B	47. Exterior Lighting Photometric Analysis (policy provided)
		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>foided</u>
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		48. Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting
		• 24" x 36" — ① сору, <u>folded</u>
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, folded (quality suitable for reproduction)
75	- ,	49. Cultural Improvement Program Plan
		Conceptual design of location
		TAXI7 — (1) copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8-1/2" x 11" - (1) color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Copy of the approval letter for the artwork design from Scottsdale Cultural Council (Scottsdale Public Art)
		Narrative explanation of the methodology to comply with the requirement/contribution.
		50. Sensitive Design Concept Plan and Proposed Design Guidelines
		(Architectural, landscape, hardscape, exterior lighting, community features, common structures, etc.)
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
ļ		● 8 ½" x 11" – ① copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

G		51. Waster Thomatic Architectural Character Plan
]	• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		• 8 ½" x 11" – ① copy (quality sultable for reproduction)
đ	ď	 52. Drainage Report — VPPATE TO APPRORE PRAINALE PEPORT See Chapter 4 of the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for drainage reports. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, and topography maps. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. Hardcopy - 8-1/2" x 11" - (1) copy of the Preliminary Drainage Report Including full size plans/maps in pockets Digital - (1) copy of the Drainage Report. Any advanced hydraulic or hydrologic models shall be included (see handout submittal instructions)
#	-8-	59. Master Brainage Plan
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Master Drainage Report. The report shall be bound (3 ring, GBC or coll wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, full color aerial, topography maps and preliminary grading and drainage plans. Full size plans/maps shall be folded and contained in pockets. • 8-1/2" x 11" - ① copy of the Drainage Report including full size plans/maps in pockets
		Digital - (1) copy (see handout submittal instructions)
7		54. Final Basis of Design Report for Water
		See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Basis of Design Report for Water. The report must include all required exhibits and plans. Submit by one of the options below: Proal! (see handout submittal instructions) CD/DVD 8-1/2" x 11" - Copies – the report shall be hound, all full-size plans/maps provided in pockets.
		55. Final Basis of Design Report for Wastewater
	and the second	See the City's <u>Design Standards & Policies Manual</u> for specific submittal and content requirements for Design Report for Wastewater. The report shall be bound and must include all required exhibits and plans. Submit by one of the options below; Email (see handout submittal instructions) CD/DVD 8-1/2" x 11" - 4 copies – the report shall be bound, all full-size plans/maps provided in
تصيا		pockets.

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

B		56. Water Sampling Station
`		Show location of sample stations on the site plan.
	1	• Fax 8 ½" x 11" copy of the site plan with sampling stations to the Water Quality Division.
		Attn: Craig Miller. Fax 480-312-8728/ Phone 480-312-8743
		57. Water of Approval For Fountains Or Water Features from the Water Conservation Office
		Please contact office at 480-312-5685
		• ① copy of the approval from the Water Conservation Office مراجعة المعاملة المعامل
		58. Native Plant Submittal:
		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> .
		(Aerial with site plan overlay to show spatial relationships of existing protected plants and significant concentrations on vegetation to proposed development)
		59. Transportation Impact & Mitigation Analysis (TIMA) (information provided)
		Please review the City's Design Standards & Policies Manual and Transportation Impact and Mitigation Analysis Requirements provided with the application material for the specific requirements. The report shall be bound (3, ring, GBC or coil wire, no staples) with card stock front and back covers, and must include all required exhibits, and plans.
		☐ Category 1 Study
		☐ Category 2 Study
		☐ Category 3 Study
		Email (see handout instructions)
		* • - 8-1/2" x 11" - 3 copies of the Transportation impact & Mitigation Analysis including full size plans/maps in pockets.
		60. Revegetation Size Plan, including Methodology and Techniques
		• 24" x 36" (1) copy, folded
	·	• 11" γ 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)
		61. Cuts and Fills Site Plan
		24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>
ļ	.1	• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>foided</u> (quality sultable for reproduction)
	Jar	62. Cuts and Filis Site Cross Sections
S.	****	• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>
1		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, <u>folded</u> (quality sultable for reproduction)

) II		63. Environmental Features Map		
``		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>		
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)		
		64. Geotechnical Report		
		Email (see handout instructions)		
		• 8-1/2" x 11" - ① copy of the Geotechnical Report including full size plans/maps in pockets		
		3.ph table		
		65. Unstable Slopes / Boulders Rolling Map		
		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>		
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)		
		66. Bedrock & Soils Map		
		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, <u>folded</u>		
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)		
		11 x 17 – (1) copy, total square for reproduction)		
		67. Conservation Area, Scenic Corridor, Vista Corridor Rian		
		• 24" x 36" – ① copy, folded		
		• 11" x 17" – ① copy, <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)		
		68. Other:		
		□ 24 × 36" copy(ies), <u>folded</u>		
		11" x 17" – copy(ies), <u>folded</u> (quality suitable for reproduction)		
		8 %" x 11" copy(ies) (quality suitable for reproduction)		
		☐ Digital — ① copy (see handout instructions)		
	,			
ĺ				
المحتمير				
PART III - SAMPLES & MODELS				
٦	2	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all		
Req'd	Rec'd	Xtems marked below.		
Ø		69. Color Cards or Paint Color Drawdowns		
		1 set of 5" x 7" (minimum size) of each paint color and material identification names and numbers.		
		HAUREIS.		

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

		70. Exterior Building Color & Material Sample Board(s):
•		8-1/2" x 14" material sample board(s)
Ì		The material sample board shall include the following:
		A color elevation of one side of the building
		o 3" x 3" Glass samples mounted on the board with reflectivity identify
		 3" x 3" of each the building materials mounted on the board (i.e. split face CMU, Stucco, EIFS, etc.)
		o 2"x 2" of proposed paint colors
		o All material manufacture names and material identification names and numbers shall be keynoted on the individual materials and the elevation.
İ		• 11" x 17" – 1 copy, <u>folded</u> of a printed digital photo of the material board
		• 8 %" x 11" – 1 copy of a printed digital photo of the material board
4	8-	71. Electronic Massing Model:
		• 11" x 17" - ① color copy, <u>folded</u>
		• 8分" x 11" - ① color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within:
		750-foot radius from site
		Other:
		(The electronic model shall be a computer-generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)
-	-0	72. Electronic Betail Model
		• 11" x 17" - 1 color copy, <u>folded</u>
		● 8 ½" x 11" – ① color copy (quality suitable for reproduction)
		Scaled model indicating building masses on the site plan and the mass of any building within:
		750-foot radius from site
		Other:
		(The electronic model shall be a computer-generated Sketch-up* model or other electronic
		modeling media acceptable to the Current Planning Services department.)
l		
•	ı	

		PART IV - SUBMITTAL OF THE DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION
Req'd	Rec'd	Description of Documents Required for Complete Application. No application shall be accepted without all items marked below.
Ø		73. An appointment must be scheduled to submit this application. To schedule your submittal meeting please call 480-312-7767. Request a submittal meeting with a Planning Specialist and provide your case pre-app number; 803 -PA-2015.
Ø		74. Submit all items indicated on this checklist pursuant to the submittal requirements.
Ø		75. Submit all additional items that are required pursuant to the stipulations of any other Development Application that this application is reliant upon
Ø		76. Delayed Submittal. Additional copies of all or certain required submittal indicated items above will be require at the time your Project Coordinator is preparing the public hearing report(s). Your Project Coordinator will request these items at that time, and they are to be submitted by the date indicated in the request.
		77. Other:
N		78. If you have any question regarding this application checklist, please contact your Project Coordinator. Coordinator Name (print): Phone Number: 480-312- 7713 Coordinator email: Bear @scottsdaleaz.gov Date: 10-30-2018 Coordinator Signature: If the Project Coordinator is no-longer available, please contact the Current Planning Director at the phone number in the footer of this page if you have any question regarding this application checklist. This application need a: New Project Number, or PA New Phase to an old Project Number: 22 - DR - 2017


Required Notice

Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-836, an applicant/agent may request a clarification from the City regarding an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code or authorized substantive policy, or policy statement. Requests to clarify an interpretation or application of a statute, ordinance, code, policy statement administered by the Planning and Development Services, including a request for an interpretation of the Zoning Ordinance, shall be submitted in writing to the One Stop Shop to the attention of the Planning and Development Services Director. All such requests must be submitted in accordance with the A.R.S. §9-839 and the City's applicable administrative policies available at the Planning and Development Services' One Stop Shop, or from the city's website: http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/building-resources/forms

Planning and Development Services Division One Stop Shop Planning and Development Services Director 7447 E. Indian School Rd, Suite 105 Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Phone: (480) 312-7000

Development Applications Process

Enhanced Application Review Development Review (DR and PP)



Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- · the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

· City staff to make requests for additional Information and the applicant to Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames. **Contact Application Completed** ubmittal/Resubmittal of Application and Issues Resolved by Administrative Review for Complete is the Application Determined City Sends Letter to Applicant Identifying Deficiency to be Complete City Sends Letter to Applicant Informing the Applicant that the Application has been Accepted for 1st and Subs City Sends Letter to Applicant Requesting Additional Information No / Minimal / In Accordance with Enhanced Application Review Methodology / or to Comply with Time Frames Development Review Board Hearing(s) Scheduled, Report, and Related Requirements 1. Time period determined by owner/applicant.

2. All reviews and time frames are suspended from the date a the letter is issued requesting additional information until the date the City receives the resubmittal from the owner/ Development Review Board Hearing applicant. 3. The substantive review, and the overall time frame time is suspended during the public hearing processes.
4. Owner/applicant may agree (End of Substantive Revis to extend the time frame by 50 percent

Time Line Administrative Review Substative Review Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denial | Time Frames Vary³ | Letter Issued

Planning and Development Services 7447 Findian School Road Suite 105 Scottsdale A7 85351 & www. Scottsdale A7 as

Development Applications Process


Standard Application Review Development Review (DR and PP)





Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional Information will be provided.

public hearing processes. 4. Owner/applicant may agree to extend the time frame by



Time Line

Administrative Review Substative Review Public Hearing Process | Approval/Denia 95 Total Staff Working Days, Two Reviews in This Time Frame 2.3.4

Received From

117890

Reference # 805-570-8363 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 4501 N SCOTTSDALE RD STE 201 ALLIANCE OF ARIZONA 803-pa-2015

Subdivision Address CAMELBACK RD/N 73RD ST ()

Paid Date

12/20/2018 12/20/2018

CREDIT CARD

Issued Date

MCR

Marketing Name

4501 N SCOTTSDALE RD STE 201

SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 DEVELOP REVIEW APPLICATION

310-693-4400

Description

3165

Owner information Scottsdale Canal Holdings, LLC - Shawn Yari

Number of Units

Gross Lot Area Metes/Bounds Lot Number

Net Lot Area NAOS Lot Area

Water Zone Jurisdiction Cost Center Payment Type

SCOTTSDALE

Water Type

Sewer Type

Meter Size

\$1,600.00 Amount

Ωty

100-21300-44221 Account Number

Scottsdale 7447 E. Indian School Rd. Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (480) 312-2500 One Stop Shop 12/20/2018 Office: PLN-1STOP

TENDERED AMOUNTS:

CC Last 4:1096

Cashier:

Mach ID: HP60002020200 Batch #:

Receipt:01178366 Date:12/20/2018 9:55 AM

Auth Code:

117890 3165 DEVELOP REVIEW APP

American Express Tendered:

\$1,600.00 806860

Transaction Total:

\$1,600.00

Thank you for your payment. Have a nice day!

SIGNED BY BOYCE O'BRIA ON 12/20/2018

Total Amount

\$1,600.00

22-DR-2017#2 12/20/2018