

## COMMENT TRACKING LOG

| CLIENT:   |           | Nelsen Partners           |  | DATE:                | 9/17/2020   |
|---|-----------|---------------------------|--|----------------------|---|
| PROJECT:  |           | The Scottsdale Collective |  | REFERENCE:           | 2nd Review Comments- Dainage Report   |
| No.   | Sheet No. | Reviewer                  | COMMENT  | Responsible<br>Party | RESPONSE  |
| The following comments are from: Alex Menez- Comment Letter |           |                           |  |                      |   |
| 1   | 2         | АМ                        | Provide storm water storage calculations (pre- vs. post-development or first flush, minus true rooftop), whichever is greater. Calculations provided in 2 nd submittal report are incorrect. Per the DSPM, volume must be calculated based on the area of disturbance (minus true rooftop). First flush was only calculated for areas with vehicular access. | SEG                  | First flush calcultions were revised based on disussion with reviewer. First flush calculation area was revised to represent the disturbed area minus the true roof top. Cwt exhibits were revised to illustrate disturbed area as well as true roof top area. The required volumes were determined to be the following:  City Center: pre vs. post, match existing retention  The Mint: pre vs. post is required  Maya Hotel: Cwt stayed the same, site is 0.67 ac, no retention is required |
| 2   | 2         | AM                        | FLO-2D exhibits provided in 2 nd submittal report were blank. Revise accordingly.  | SEG                  | Files size has been reduced,Flo-2D maps should be clear now.  |

Completed By KA