

CITY COUNCIL REPORT



Meeting Date: February 22, 2022
General Plan Element: *Land Use*
General Plan Goal: *Create a sense of community through land uses*

ACTION

Renegade Clubhouse 11-UP-2021

Request to consider the following:

1. Adoption of Resolution No. 12366 regarding a request by owner to amend 20 acres of a 900-acre site, to modify existing Conditional Use Permits for a Golf Course use (59-UP-1985 and 83-UP-1985) for the construction of a new golf club house and associated amenities, to replace the existing Renegade Golf Course clubhouse, located at 38580 N. Desert Mountain Parkway, with Open Space, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (O-S/ESL) zoning designation.

Goal/Purpose of Request

The applicant's request is to replace the existing Desert Mountain golf clubhouse with a new facility.

Key Items for Consideration

- Conditional Use Permit Criteria
- Significant public outreach
- Associated with Development Review Board case 15-DR-2021
- Associated with Wash Modification case 6-WM-2021
- Planning Commission heard this case at the January 12, 2022, hearing and voted to recommended approval with a 7-0 vote.

OWNER

Todd Bruen
Desert Mountain Club, Inc.
602-680-8412

APPLICANT CONTACT

Todd Bruen
Desert Mountain Club Association
602-680-8412

LOCATION

38580 N. Desert Mountain Parkway



BACKGROUND

General Plan

The General Plan Land Use Element designates the property as Developed Open Space. The Developed Open Space Category includes public or private recreation areas including, but not limited to, golf courses and city parks. Some Developed Open Space may also be used as drainage facilities for flood control. Developed Open Space amenities serve both residents and visitors. They may also provide links between neighborhoods and provide recreation in employment centers. Their design should integrate with adjacent neighborhoods. Resort/Tourism and Cultural/Institutional and Public Uses are encouraged to locate next to Developed Open Space.

Character Area Plan

The subject site is not currently located within a General Plan designated Character Area Plan. This site had originally been designed to be a suburban node known as the Carefree Ranch Development Plan. Later, while still part of the County, 929 acres were rezoned to create a golf course/residential community. This site was later annexed into the City in July of 1984, and the City later adopted an amended version of the master plan in November of the same year. Several zoning and development cases later created and established the Desert Mountain golf course community.

Zoning

A series of zoning cases established this residential community and the associated seven golf courses. The first zoning case, and associated conditional use permit, that established the golf course were approved in September of 1985. The most recent zoning designation established the current zoning in October of 1992.

The site is zoned Open Space, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (O-S/ESL). The O-S/ESL zoning district allows for uses which are primarily open space and landscape areas and which are designed so as to present no hazard to life or property when located in areas subject to periodic inundation. It is further intended to provide for land uses in areas which have been set aside to serve recreational functions or to provide open space areas. More specifically, the zoning district allows for following uses with a Conditional Use Permit application: Golf course (excluding miniature golf or commercial driving range), Golf training center, municipal uses, park, Parking, attended (when required by an abutting use and excluding overnight parking), picnic grounds, playgrounds, and tennis court or club.

There have also been a couple of conditional use permits that have shaped the Desert Mountain golf course development. The original conditional use permit, 59-UP-1985, approved the Renegade golf course, including: a 3,000 square-foot golf maintenance facility, and a 45,000 square-foot clubhouse with restrooms, pro-shop, retail, locker room, swimming pool, tennis court, and other facilities.

Context

The subject property is generally located at the southwest corner of N. Desert Mountain Parkway and N. 101st Street. Please refer to context graphics attached.

Adjacent Uses and Zoning

- North: Existing residential townhouses, Desert Mountain Phase II, Unit 25 and Unit 28, zoned Resort/Townhouse Residential, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (R-4R/ESL).
- South Existing single-family residents, Desert Mountain Phase I, Unit 2, zoned Single-family Residential, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (R1-35/ESL).
- East Existing single-family residents, Desert Mountain Phase I, Unit 3, zoned Single-family Residential, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (R1-35/ESL).
- West Existing single-family residents, Desert Mountain Phase I, Unit 1, zoned Single-family Residential, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (R1-35/ESL).

Other Related Policies, References:

Scottsdale General Plan 2035, as amended
Zoning Ordinance
2004 Environmentally Sensitive Lands Ordinance
2-ZN-1985, 59-UP-1985, 89-ZN-1985, 83-UP-1985, 214-DR-1985, 215-DR-1985, 173-DR-1986, 132-DR-1988, 68-ZN-1990, 31-ZN-1992, 173-DR-1986#2, and 173-DR-1986#3

APPLICANT’S PROPOSAL

Development Information

The development proposal includes the request to construct a new 23,783 square-foot club house with associated amenities (restaurant, bar, restrooms, pro-shop, locker rooms, and golf cart storage). The request will also re-align the existing “Galloway Wash” back to its historic location.

- Existing Use: Golf Course, Golf Club House, and Associated Amenities
- Proposed Use: Golf Course, Golf Club House, and Associated Amenities
- Buildings/Description: Desert Contemporary Golf Course Clubhouse
- Parcel Size: 20-acres of an approximately 250-acre site
- Building Height Allowed: 30 feet (Hillside District exemption Sect. 6.806)
- Building Height Proposed: 30 feet
- Parking Required: 221 spaces
- Parking Provided: 226 spaces
- Open Space Required: 84.46 acres
- Open Space Provided: 84.53 acres
- Floor Area Allowed: 45,000 square-feet (48,000 square-feet, including “half-way house”)
- Floor Area Proposed: 23,783 square-feet

IMPACT ANALYSIS

Land Use

Original Conditional Use Permit approvals approved a 3,000 square-foot golf maintenance facility, and a 45,000 square-foot clubhouse with restrooms, pro-shop, retail, locker room, swimming pool, tennis court, and other facilities. The original golf course clubhouse received temporary approval since the “permanent” clubhouse was supposed to soon be under design at that time. The subsequent Development Review Board approvals expanded the clubhouse and removed the “temporary” nature of the structure and use. The current request is the design endeavor that was contemplated with the original Development Review Board approval.

Currently, the cart barn, restaurant, associated amenities, and the clubhouse provide a total 16,801 square-feet. The proposal consolidates these uses under the same structure, totaling 21,083 square-feet. As previously mentioned, the approvals for this site allow for 45,000 square-feet of clubhouse (restrooms, restaurant, pro-shop, retail, locker room, swimming pool, tennis court, and other facilities), and 3,000 square-feet for a “half-way” house (providing limited food services). The proposal is under the allowable square-footage for the site. The uses proposed are compatible with the uses approved. The proposal consolidates the existing uses into one structure location and increases the uses square-footage from 16,801 square-feet to 21,083 square-feet, with a 2,700 square-foot event lawn shade structure (See Attachments #2 and #11).

Conditional Use Permit

Conditional Use Permits, which may be revocable, conditional, or valid for a specified time period, may be granted only when expressly permitted after the Planning Commission has made a recommendation and City Council has found as follows:

- A. That the granting of such Conditional Use Permit will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare. In reaching this conclusion, the Planning Commission and the City Council’s consideration shall include, but not be limited to, the following factors:
 1. Damage or nuisance arising from noise, smoke, odor, dust, vibration or illumination.
 - **The proposal will not cause any damage or nuisance arising from noise, smoke, odor, dust, vibration, or illumination. All dust measures will be adhered to, and the lighting has been stipulated to meet the ordinance and policy requirements. All up-lighting shall be prohibited. The request consolidates the existing uses that have been occurring at this site for approximately 35 years.**
 - **The new building will be located approximately in the area of the existing driving range tees, in front of the existing restaurant. The proposed use, location, and concept design was extensively shared with the membership and received almost complete approval.**
 - **The remote nature of the dining facility creates additional cart traffic and restricts clubhouse use as a result of its remote location. The objective of the new site development is to improve the golf experience, enhance the dining options, increase operational efficiencies, and improve all safety conditions.**
 2. Impact on surrounding areas resulting from an unusual volume or character of traffic.
 - **Parking for the proposed site requires 221 spaces, 226 space are provided.**

- **A comparison parking study was performed comparing the existing clubhouse, performance center, and golf course and the proposed clubhouse, performance center, and golf course. The existing parking lot is 178 stalls (with a surplus of 2 stalls per parking code requirement) and the proposed parking lot is 226 stalls (with a surplus of 5 stalls per the parking requirements).**
- B. The characteristics of the proposed conditional use are reasonably compatible with the types of uses permitted in the surrounding areas.
- **The proposed uses currently exist on the site and are compatible with the surrounding uses. The previously approved Conditional Use Permits established these uses for this region of the City. The request consolidates the existing uses that have been occurring at this site for approximately 35 years.**

Transportation/Trails

The site is currently, and will continue, to provide accessed through the community via N. Desert Mountain Parkway, which is the primary circulation route throughout the community. North Desert Mountain Parkway is accessed, and for a majority of the road, runs parallel to N. Cave Creek Road. The existing access point, from N. Desert Mountain Parkway, into the site will remain at the same location, but will no longer provide the existing circular entryway. The two parking areas, located immediately to the east and west of the main entrance, will be maintained in the same location and design.

The request will provide a more defined driveway between the entrance and the clubhouse amenities than currently exists. The new driveway will be lined with a new parking area that will connect to the existing parking area located to the east of the existing entrance. The proposed driveway into the site is considerably more improved than the existing access path. The proposed site plan identifies golf cart paths that connect the existing parking spaces to the proposed club house. The proposed location would continue to connect to the existing golf cart paths.

Water/Sewer

The applicant provided Basis of Design reports for water and sewer, which have been accepted by the Water Resources Division. All infrastructure upgrades necessary to serve this project will be completed by the applicant.

Fire/Police

The nearest fire station is within 2.5 miles of the site and located at the southwest corner of N. Cave Creek Road and N. 110th Street. The fire department and police department continually anticipate and evaluate resource needs for the city's budget process.

Open Space

The golf course tract, which includes the subject 20-acre site, is required to provide 84.46 acres of Natural Area Open Space (NAOS) and will continue to provide 84.53 acres of NAOS with this proposal. Areas currently dedicated as NAOS will not be disturbed with the construction of this project. The developed open space areas (golf course areas) will be closely maintained with the proposed site plan. The additional parking/paving areas will reduce the developed open space by approximately an acre and a half.

The Conditional Use Permit request is associated with a Development Review Board (DRB), 15-DR-2021, and a Wash Modification (WM), 6-WM-2021, case application. The DRB application will specifically look at the site and architectural development of the project, while the WM application will review the proposed alignment of the “Galloway” Wash. The proposed modifications to this section of the Galloway Wash will result in an enhanced quality of open space, allowing for unrestricted clubhouse access during rain events and enhanced scour protection of the wash. Native soils and vegetation to assist with soil stabilization was incorporated into the wash improvements and Articulated Concrete Block (ACB) design. The proposed improvements will restore the wash to its historic alignment and previous entry and exit locations of flow.

Community Involvement

The applicant has conducted extensive outreach regarding the proposal request. The applicant conducted a series of in-person, 1.5-hour block, presentations (approximately 10), virtual meetings, focus groups, and a variety of additional communications and notification. Please see Attachment #15 for the complete public outreach report. The report identifies an eighty-eight (88%) percent support for the new improvements.

Staff sent project notifications to properties located within 750 of the subject site, adjacent HOA’s, and to the interest parties list. Staff did not receive any comments or communications regarding this proposal.

Policy Implications

The request is consistent with the existing uses and uses surrounding the site. The request is under the allowable intensity approved through previous Conditional Use Permits. The original “temporary” approval of the clubhouse envisioned this step of the process to occur a while ago.

OTHER BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Recommended Approach:

The Planning Commission heard this case at the January 12, 2022, hearing and voted to recommend approval with a 7-0 vote.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Approach:

Staff recommended that the Planning Commission find that the Conditional Use Permit criteria have been met, conforms with the adopted General Plan, and make a recommendation to City Council for approval of the Conditional Use Permit for a Golf Course, per the attached stipulations

RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT

Planning and Development Services

Current Planning Services

STAFF CONTACT

Jesus Murillo
Senior Planner
480-312-7849
E-mail: jmurillo@scottsdaleAZ.gov

APPROVED BY



Jesus Murillo, Report Author

2/5/2022

Date



Tim Curtis, AICP, Current Planning Director
Planning Commission Liaison
Phone: 480-312-4210 Email: tcurtis@scottsdaleaz.gov

2/3/2022

Date



Randy Grant, Executive Director
Planning, Economic Development, and Tourism
Phone: 480-312-2664 Email: rgrant@scottsdaleaz.gov

2/4/2022

Date

ATTACHMENTS

1. Context Aerial
- 1A. Aerial Close-Up
2. Resolution No. 12366
 - Exhibit 1: Aerial Close Up
 - Exhibit 2: Conditions/Stipulations
 - Exhibit A to Exhibit 2: Overall Site Plan (Color)
 - Exhibit B to Exhibit 2: Overall Site Plan (Black and White)
 - Exhibit C to Exhibit 2: Site Plan
 - Exhibit D to Exhibit 2: Floor Plan
 - Exhibit E to Exhibit 2: Floor Plan
3. Applicant Narrative
4. Existing General Plan Land Use Map
5. Existing Zoning Map
6. Existing Conditions Aerial and ALTA
7. Slope Analysis and Natural Area Open Space Calculations
8. Cuts and Fills Exhibit
9. Existing Natural Area Open Space Plan (NAOS)
10. Landscape Plan
11. Hardscape Plan
12. Circulation and Parking Plan
13. Clubhouse Elevations
14. Clubhouse Renderings
15. Materials and Color Palette
16. Public Outreach Report
17. January 12, 2022, Planning Commission hearing minutes
18. City Notification Map



Context Aerial

11-UP-2021



Close-up Aerial

11-UP-2021

RESOLUTION NO. 12366

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AMENDING CONDITIONS ON 20 ACRES OF A 900-ACRE SITE, FOR A GOLF COURSE USE TO REPLACE THE EXISTING RENEGADE GOLF COURSE CLUBHOUSE WITH A NEW GOLF COURSE CLUBHOUSE AND ASSOCIATED AMENITIES, WITH OPEN SPACE, ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE LANDS (O-S/ESL) ZONING, LOCATED AT 38580 N. DESERT MOUNTAIN PARKWAY.

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on January 12, 2022;

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of Scottsdale, Maricopa County, Arizona, as follows:

Section 1. That the City Council finds and approves:

- a) that the granting of this conditional use permit per stipulations set forth on **Exhibit 2** will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare based on, but not limited to, the following factors: damage or nuisance arising from noise, smoke, odor, dust, vibration or illumination and impact on surrounding areas resulting from an unusual volume or character of traffic;
- b) that the characteristics of the proposed conditional use are reasonably compatible with the types of uses permitted in the surrounding areas; and

Section 2. That a description of the conditional use permit is set forth in Case No. 11-UP-2021. The property that is subject to the conditional use permit is shown on **Exhibit 1** and the conditional use permit approval is conditioned upon compliance with all of the stipulations that are set forth in **Exhibit 2**. All exhibits are incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Scottsdale this _____ day of _____, 2022.


ATTEST:

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, an Arizona
municipal corporation

By: _____
Ben Lane, City Clerk

By: _____
David D. Ortega, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

By:  _____
Sherry R. Scott, City Attorney
By: Joe Padilla, Deputy City Attorney



Close-up Aerial

11-UP-2021

RESOLUTION NO. 12366

EXHIBIT 1

Page 1 of 1

**Stipulations for the Conditional Use Permit
For a (Golf Course)
Renegade Clubhouse
Case Number: 11-UP-2021**

These stipulations are in order to protect the public health, safety, welfare, and the City of Scottsdale.

GOVERNANCE

1. MODIFICATION: Stipulation provided in “**bold**” text signify stipulation added after the Planning Commission hearing.
2. APPLICABILITY. These case stipulations, for Conditional Use Permit 11-UP-2021, shall amend stipulations for the subject 20 acres of the 900-acre of site, for Conditional Use Permits 59-UP-1985 and 83-UP-1985.

SITE DESIGN


3. CONFORMANCE TO CONCEPTUAL OVERALL SITE PLAN (COLOR). Development shall conform with the conceptual site plan submitted by DTJ Design and with the city staff date of January 12, 2022, attached as Exhibit A to Exhibit 2. Any proposed significant change to the conceptual overall site plan as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall be subject to additional action and public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council
4. CONFORMANCE TO CONCEPTUAL OVERALL SITE PLAN (BLACK AND WHITE). Development shall conform with the conceptual overall site plan submitted by DTJ Design and with the city staff date of January 12, 2022, attached as Exhibit B to Exhibit 2. Any proposed significant change to the conceptual site plan as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall be subject to additional action and public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
5. CONFORMANCE TO CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN. Development shall conform with the conceptual site plan submitted by DTJ Design and with the city staff date of January 12, 2022, attached as Exhibit C to Exhibit 2. Any proposed significant change to the conceptual site plan as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall be subject to additional action and public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
6. CONFORMANCE TO CONCEPTUAL FLOOR PLAN. Development shall conform with the conceptual site plan submitted by DTJ Design and with the city staff date of January 12, 2022, attached as Exhibit D to Exhibit 2. Any proposed significant change to the conceptual floor plan as determined by the Zoning Administrator, shall be subject to additional action and public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.
7. CONFORMANCE TO CONCEPTUAL FLOOR PLAN. Development shall conform with the conceptual floor plan submitted by DTJ Design and with the city staff date of January 12, 2022, attached as Exhibit E to Exhibit 2. Any proposed significant change to the conceptual floor plan as determined

by the Zoning Administrator, shall be subject to additional action and public hearings before the Planning Commission and City Council.

8. **BUILDING HEIGHT LIMITATIONS.** No building on the site shall exceed 30 feet in height, measured as provided in the applicable section of the Zoning Ordinance.
9. **ALTERATIONS TO NATURAL WATERCOURSES.** Any proposed alteration to the natural state of watercourses with a peak flow rate of 750 cfs or less based on the 100 year – 2 hour rain event shall be subject to Development Review Board approval.
10. **OUTDOOR LIGHTING.** The maximum height of any outdoor lighting source shall be 20 feet above the adjacent finished grade, except for recreation uses, which shall comply with the outdoor lighting standards of the Scottsdale Zoning Ordinance.
11. **OUTDOOR LIGHTING FOR PATIOS AND BALCONIES.** Light sources that are utilized to illuminate patios and/or balconies that are above 20 feet shall be subject to the approval of the Development Review Board.
12. **DRAINAGE REPORT.** In the required drainage report, the owner shall address:
 - a. With the civil construction document submittal, the property owner shall submit a final drainage report that demonstrates consistency with the DSPM and the case drainage report accepted in concept by the Stormwater Manager or designee.
 - b. All maintenance of drainage structures shall be the responsibility of the owner and shall be performed within 30 days of a stormwater event.
13. **BASIS OF DESIGN REPORT (WASTEWATER).** In the required basis of design report, the owner shall address:
 - a. A sewer flow monitoring has been conducted at two sites; update final report accordingly and attach the monitoring report as an appendix. Existing Condition section of the report shall be updated to specify the summary of the sewer flow monitoring per DSPM Section 7-1.202.E4.
 - b. Existing manhole to be backfilled and min. 12" top portion to be removed. Sewer lines to be abandoned per DSPM Section 7-1.413.B.
 - c. By-pass may be required for existing sewer to remain active during the construction of new sewer connection and redo the bench
14. **EASEMENTS.**
 - a. **EASEMENTS DEDICATED BY PLAT.** The owner shall dedicate to the city on the final plat, all easements necessary to serve the site, in conformance with the Scottsdale Revised Code and the Design Standards and Policies Manual.
 - b. **EASEMENTS CONVEYED BY SEPARATE INSTRUMENT.** Before any building permit is issued for the site, each easement conveyed to the city separate from a final plat shall be conveyed by an instrument or map of dedication subject to city staff approval, and accompanied by a title policy in favor of the city, in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual.
15. **VISTA CORRIDOR EASEMENTS.** Each Vista Corridor, a watercourse with a peak flow rate of 750 cfs or greater based on the 100 year – 2 hour rain event, shall be dedicated to the city on a map of dedication as a continuous Vista Corridor easement dedicated to the city. The minimum width of the easement shall be one hundred feet. Each easement shall include, at a minimum, any existing low flow channels, all major vegetation, and the area between the tops of the banks of the

watercourse. At the time of the Development Review Board submittal, the owner shall stake the boundaries of the Vista Corridor easement as determined by city staff. Unless approved by the Development Review Board, all Vista Corridors shall be left in a natural state.

16. **CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED.** Before any Certificate of Occupancy is issued for the site, the owner shall complete all the infrastructure and improvements required by the Scottsdale Revised Code and these stipulations, in conformance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual and other applicable standards.
17. **FINAL PLAT.** Final plat recordation shall be required prior to permit issuance.



DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD SUBMITTAL
38580 N Desert Mountain Pkwy, Scottsdale, AZ 85262

35 OF 46

[illegible]



Project Narrative

Desert Mountain Renegade Clubhouse
Parcels (219-11-664P, 219-11-664B, 219-11-662C, 219-11-938C)
15-DR-2021 (DRB); 1018-PA-2020 (WM + CUP)
Desert Mountain Club, Inc.

July 19, 2021

Dear City of Scottsdale
Attn. Jesus Murillo

Please consider this application for the DRB approval of our newest Club amenity, the New Renegade Clubhouse. Desert Mountain Club has gone to great extent and has conducted extensive member inclusive communication for the last twenty (20) months regarding this proposed improvement. We have sent out over fifty (50) notifications to our membership and conducted over thirty (30) focus group meetings and project presentations. Desert Mountains membership participated in a membership vote in February 2020. Desert Mountain received nearly 90% approval from over 4,000 active members. We are very excited to once again continue our Master Plan Improvements and continue our vision to become the finest private club and community for golf and recreation lifestyle in North America, for which we are proud to be located in Scottsdale.

Background

Desert Mountain Club has conducted an extensive Long-Range Facilities Plan (LRFP) to analyze current operations and identify key areas of improvement to effectively accommodate growth and operational needs of the club and its members. One area identified for improvement is the existing double-wide trailer that we have utilized for the last twenty years as a dining venue, which you would agree does not meet the criteria for Desert Mountain Club.

The current Renegade Clubhouse is Desert Mountain's most deferred asset and poses the highest level of operational inefficiencies. The separation of the existing clubhouse and the "dining facility" (which is a double-wide trailer) by the Galloway Wash creates a hazardous condition with a rain event or flash flood. Guests and employees must

quickly be escorted across the wash during these events, otherwise they are trapped until the water subsides. The remote nature of the dining facility creates additional cart traffic and restricts clubhouse use as a result of its remote location. The objective of the new site development is to improve the golf experience, enhance the dining options, increase operational efficiencies, and improve all safety conditions. With the newly constructed Renegade Clubhouse we hope to retain the charm of the existing Renegade Clubhouse and provide an unparalleled member experience.

Location

The proposed location for the new Renegade Clubhouse will be located at 38580 N. Desert Mountain Parkway, Scottsdale, AZ 85262. The site is accessed through the community via Desert Mountain Parkway, which is the primary circulation route throughout the community.

GPS Coordinates: 33°50'9.99"N 111°52'3.62"W

Zoning

There are two different zoning specifications within the parcels: R-4R ESL (HD/HC) and OS ESL (HD)

Original Conditional Use Permit (59-UP-1985)

The conditional use permit (59-UP-1985) approved a 3,000 square foot golf maintenance facility and a 45,000 square foot clubhouse (with restrooms, pro-shop, retail, locker room, swimming pool, tennis court, and other facilities). The current plan is for a 21,083 square foot clubhouse (with restaurant, bar, restrooms, pro-shop, locker rooms, and golf cart storage). The existing Golf Performance Facility is 6,215 square feet.

Scenic Corridor

There is a 200' scenic corridor that coincides with the Desert Mountain Parkway R.O.W. (also see page 56 of 89-ZN-1985). The Conditional Use Permit (59-UP-1985, page 3), Note 4 of the Zoning section states, "A scenic corridor easement shall be provided along Cave Creek Road with an average width of 50 feet and a minimum width of 40 feet". The 200' scenic corridor that coincides with Desert Mountain Parkway R.O.W. will be maintained.

City Agreements

The project will not modify any well, water maintenance or similar City agreements.

Site Design

Unlike most parcels found within Desert Mountain, the natural terrain of these Parcels is relatively flat. The design of the site carefully incorporates existing site formations in a manner that will cause minimal disturbance to the natural terrain. The overall site design will utilize parking on the northeast side of the Clubhouse arrival drive with golf facilities on the south west side. The intention is for the parking to be located and screened up against the existing walls along hole number one with expansive views to the golf practice facility and the proposed club. Golf operations and circulation has been considered more strongly in the new proposed arrangement. Bag drop and guest arrival at the motor court will now be facilitated seamlessly and golfers will not have to carry their bags through the parking lot. The internal drives will be 24' except at the service drive/area which will be 20' wide. All drives will be two-way with the exception of the motorcourt which will be one-way circulating counter-clockwise.

The new building site will be located approximately in the area of the existing driving range tees in front of the existing restaurant. **The proposed location and concept design has been extensively shared with our membership, with a 99% approval with the proposed location.** A 21,083 square-foot facility will have improved features including a pro shop, lounge, dining, lockers, outdoor dining/outdoor patio, and a scoreboard lawn. The existing wash will be rerouted back to its original historic location on the west side of the proposed building. The benefits of this are both better relationships to golf and parking, as well as improved safety between parking, golf facilities and dining facilities. Rerouting the wash to its approximate original location and removing it from being in play with the golf facility will allow for less activity in the wash. The goal is to have the wash return to a more natural state after it is separated from the golf play.

A comparison parking study was performed comparing the existing clubhouse, performance center, and golf course and the proposed clubhouse, performance center, and golf course. The existing parking lot is 178 stalls (with a surplus of 2 stalls per parking code requirement) and the proposed parking lot is 226 stalls (with a surplus of 5 stalls per the parking requirements). See DRB sheet 24 of 46 for comparison chart with additional information.

ESL Landforms and Special Features

Desert Mountain Club will be modifying the alignment of Galloway Wash within the Renegade Golf Course as a part of the reconfiguration of the adjacent golf facilities and the construction of the new clubhouse and associated parking and access routes. The specific section of wash to be relocated is approximately 900 feet long and passes between the 1st and 18th holes on the south of the existing Hideout restaurant. The proposed alignment of the wash will reroute it north of the proposed clubhouse before rejoining with the existing wash alignment. The existing alignment of the wash was established during the construction of the golf course (which opened in 1987) and the sole alternative considered was to modify the wash back to its historical alignment. The historic wash alignment was determined by previous drainage reports as well as historic USGS maps.

Desert Mountain has an approved USACE jurisdictional delineation and has been advised that this project falls under Nationwide Permit #3 (and can be found in Appendix G of the Drainage Report).

Architecture Design

Desert Mountain proposes to construct a sophisticated, desert contemporary style clubhouse that will be consistent with the "Desert Contemporary" style described within Desert Mountain's Master Environmental Design Concept Plan (MEDCP) Amendment which has been reviewed and approved by the City and has been the basis of all improvements within the gates of the Desert Mountain Club. The architectural character and design satisfies the criteria outlined in Section 1.904 - see the following for additional information.

The architectural character of the building is based on the established regional desert theme and is designed to integrate with the existing adjacent facilities as well as the nearby residential development. While most of the existing structures will be removed the new building will complement the style of the remaining training center while mixing in larger glass openings, and colored textured board form concrete accents, to create a building that blends with the identity of the other signature clubhouse buildings. The stone veneer walls will create a strong connection to the existing land and the low, sweeping roof forms will minimize the height and visual impact of the new structure. While the new building uses the established palette of natural materials, colors and forms seen on other prominent structures at Desert Mountain, the design and character are unique to avoid a sense of repetition. The building is specifically located to provide a junction point between the practice facility, hole 1, and hole 18, in siting the building the design plans to relocate the once modified existing wash in order to minimize its disturbance from golf activities and allow it to return to a more

natural state. Additionally, this relocation allows for safer access to the club facility and not mandating crossing the wash which can have intermittent flows during rain events.

The proposed building is a single-story facility with a walkout basement condition exposed only at the Golf Staging end of the building. The cart barn and other service functions form the basement level which will be buried into the hillside, giving an overall reduction in the area required for grading for the new building. This also allows for less visual impact on the site and takes advantage of the cooling properties of a substantially buried floor.

The deep roof overhangs and recessed windows and doors provide shade for the indoor and outdoor spaces. In addition, decorative operable screens help modulate sun and wind for guests and adjacent indoor/outdoor spaces. Mechanical equipment and utilities are fully screened by parapet walls and site walls which are integral with the building design. The parapet walls are at least as tall as the tallest piece of equipment they screen and the site walls are at least 12 inches taller than any equipment they screen.

Entry Features / Site Elements / Landscaping

The site/landscape character and design satisfies the criteria outlined in Section 1.904 – see the following for additional information.

The proposed plan will provide a redesigned entry off Desert Mountain Parkway and a scenic, meandering drive to the clubhouse with parallel walks/paths in order to provide dedicated circulation for all uses (vehicular, golf carts, and pedestrians) and promote safety. Aesthetic treatment of the entry corridor, minor drainage structures, minor retaining walls, lighting and landscaping will be found throughout the site and will be in accordance with the recommendation and guidelines of both the Scenic Design Principles and Environmentally Sensitive Lands ordinance. In general, our goal is to utilize materials (architectural and landscape material) along with colors that harmonize with the natural desert environment that provides a unique design, minimizes excessive variety, avoids monotonous repetition, and creates an overall theme that will be distinctive to the Renegade Clubhouse.


The original project design had two water features proposed, but after the Pre-Application conference, this has been reduced based on overall balance of effect and water consumption. The remaining water feature has been reduced by approximately 50% in size and will greatly reduce evaporation and wind driven loss based on the design and adjacency to a fixed wall. The team has submitted an application to the water conservation office for a water feature permit. The water feature has been approved by Water Conservation – see separate document dated April 12, 2021.

The site will be primarily revegetated with plants native to the Sonoran Desert environment, as well as using carefully selected regionally adapted plants to add interest in areas surrounding the clubhouse. The traditional desert mountain native planting transitions will happen at the edges of the projects where it meets the desert or established landscapes. Along with new plant material, the plan will incorporate salvaged plant material from the site as identified on the Native Plant Inventory (see sheet 44 of 46).

Over the last thirty years, Desert Mountain Club has evolved one of the finest revegetation programs in the Sonoran Desert. Having over 30 years of experience allows our landscape crews to revegetate areas disturbed by construction in a manner that appears natural upon maturity and blends seamlessly with the native undisturbed landscape.

Closing:

Desert Mountain Club is known for its quality-designed, developer-built improvements. This project will continue the success and high standards found throughout Desert Mountain. With this application, and due to the **extensive community out-reach resulting in a complete membership vote (copies attached) of the proposed improvements, we request a Staff Approval to proceed with construction document review and submission.** Thank you for your time and thoughtful consideration of our request. We look forward to working with the City on this important project to the Desert Mountain Club community.



General Plan Map

11-UP-2021



Zoning Aerial

11-UP-2021

Drawing: U:\3101\9001\30\Desert Mountain\08_CAD\01_Renegade\08DesertMountain_SitePlan_100_Landing Conditions - Desert Mountain
Last Saved: July 2, 2021 12:52:10 PM by: G:\d\desert
COPYRIGHT © ALL RIGHTS RESERVED DTJ DESIGN, INC. 2017



NOTE:
THIS INFORMATION IS
PROVIDED FOR CONTEXT
ONLY - SHEET IS DEPICTED
FROM PREVIOUS PROJECT

ATTACHMENT #6

dtj
DESIGN
ARCHITECTURE
PLANNING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
DTJ DESIGN, Inc.
3101 Via Avenue, Suite 130
Boulder, Colorado 80501
T 303.443.7333
www.dtydesign.com

RENEGADE CLUBHOUSE
DESERT MOUNTAIN
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD SUBMITTAL
38580 N Desert Mountain Parkway, Scottsdale, AZ 85262

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	
15-08-2021	
ISSUED BY:	INTEGRITY
CHECKED BY:	CRM
PROJECT NO.:	2019001-20
REVISION DATE:	04/25/2021
REVISION:	07/02/2021
SHEET TITLE	
EXISTING CONDITIONS	
SHEET NUMBER	

7 OF 46

15-08-2021_V3
10/12/2021

ATTACHMENT #6

SLOPE TABLE

PARCELS	0-2%	2-5%	5-10%	10-15%	15-25%	25+%
P1-UD1	528412.28	350740.06	91419.40	14943.19	12241.24	63877.6
P1-UD2	279665.23	344780.94	29612.65	152323.45	36217.57	59233.22
P3-UD	88273.25	86811.13	92642.91	48211.87	44603.18	25879.68
TOTAL	897681.81	582122.13	605015.05	348959.32	184059.99	147980.45
BOUNDARY NACS	897681.81	145553.0321	181502.515	104666.076	200812.197	44352.115
TOTAL LOWER DESERT	875957.175	(15,518 AC.)				
		UPPER DESERT				
P1-UD-1	78488.12	72277.86	115417.80	87634.86	133266.98	315050.35
P1-UD-2	7414.89	1875.07	11000.60	10445.78	24725.46	1200.6
P1-UD-3	25.21	101.29	292.19	95.86	352.91	218.78
P2-UD	750986.85	717588.59	1134012.54	715459.87	880822.78	299228.82
P3-UD	518751.50	570005.57	826345.64	604606.20	523040.60	210008.15
TOTAL	1358588.37	1362191.38	2086979.68	1418335.15	1843917.89	678360.71
BOUNDARY NACS	338964.5925	340527.81	730442.888	638248.1175	647650.005	208172.305
TOTAL UPPER DESERT	338964.59	(64,944 AC.)				
TOTAL REQUIRED NACS	3670143.785	(84,412 AC.)				
TOTAL LAND AREA	10863836.63	1249,155 AC.				

N.A.O.S. TABLE

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2010-0200719	DEDICATION	1,001,527.17 S.F.	154,782.00 S.F.	
2011-0621034	REL. EXHIBIT		35,350.44 S.F.	
2011-0621035	RELEASE		1,110.35 S.F.	
1465-045	RELEASE		286,507.30 S.F.	
2010-0200719	N/A	2,741,646.06 S.F.	124,752.93 S.F.	
1464-294	DEDICATION	643,611.15 S.F.	165,138.04 S.F.	

N.A.O.S. SUMMARY

TOTAL N.A.O.S. REQUIRED (118-PA-2018).....3,678,113.72 S.F. (84,482 AC.)
 TOTAL N.A.O.S. DEDICATED.....3,658,216.35 S.F. (84,833 AC.)
 AREA OF TOTAL DEDICATION THAT IS R.D.319,919.04 S.F. (7,344 AC.)
 "PERCENT OF DEDICATION THAT IS R.D." IS R.D.8.7%

N.A.O.S. TABLE LEGEND

- (1) = DOCUMENT NUMBER
- (2) = DOCUMENT TYPE
- (3) = TOTAL AREA DEDICATED
- (4) = AREA OF (3) THAT IS REHABILITATED DESERT (R.D.)
- (5) = AREA RELEASED


LEGEND

- GOLF COURSE BOUNDARY LINE
- LANDFORM LINE PER CITY OF SCOTTSDALE E.S.L.O. MAPS
- INDEX CONTOUR (5')
- INTERMEDIATE CONTOUR (1')
- NATURAL AREA OPEN SPACE
- N.A.O.S.
- 1409-025 BOOK 149 OF MAPS, PAGE 26, (GOLF BOUNDARY SURVEY)
- 1464-294 BOOK 144 OF MAPS, PAGE 294, (N.A.O.S. DEDICATION MAP)
- 1465-045 BOOK 146 OF MAPS, PAGE 45, (MAP OF RELEASED N.A.O.S.)
- 2010-0200719 RECORDED INSTRUMENT DEDICATING N.A.O.S.
- 2011-0621034 RECORDED INSTRUMENT RELEASING N.A.O.S.
- 2011-0621035 RECORDED INSTRUMENT RELEASING N.A.O.S.
- 118-PA-2018 CITY OF SCOTTSDALE STAFF APPROVAL NUMBER FOR: 1464-294 & 1465-045


NARRATIVE

THE N.A.O.S. FOR THE ENTIRE RENEGADE GOLF COURSE WAS DEDICATED IN 2010 BY DOCUMENT 2010-0200719. IN 2011 THERE WERE 2 MINOR RELEASES OF THE DEDICATED N.A.O.S. THROUGH INSTRUMENTS 2011-0621034 AND 2011-0621035. IN 2011 CERTAIN PARCELS WERE RELEASED AND DEDICATING DEDICATION WERE MADE BY MAP OF "EASEMENT RELEASE". BOOK 146 OF MAPS, PAGE 45 AND "MAP OF DEDICATION OF NATURAL AREA OPEN SPACE (N.A.O.S.) BOOK 144 OF MAPS, PAGE 294, (118-PA-2018). SEE THE N.A.O.S. TABLE HEREON FOR DEDICATION, RELEASE AND REHABILITATED DESERT AREAS.

THIS PROJECT DOES NOT AFFECT THE FINAL DEDICATED N.A.O.S. FOR THE GOLF COURSE.



SLOPE LEGEND



NOTES:

- LEGAL DESCRIPTION: PARCEL NO. 1 TOGETHER WITH PARCEL NO. 2 TOGETHER WITH PARCEL NO. 3 OF THE RENEGADE GOLF COURSE SET FORTH IN SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA AS INSTRUMENT NUMBER 2011-0000732.
- THE CONTIGUOUS MAPPING WAS PHOTOGRAPHIC, SET BY PERMANENT MARKING METHODS. THE CONTOUR INTERVAL IS ONE FOOT. DATUM IS N.A.D. 83.
- THE SLOPE EXHIBIT AND N.A.O.S. TABLES REFLECT THE REQUIREMENTS AND CONDITIONS OF THE ENTIRE GOLF COURSE. THIS PROJECT ONLY INVOLVES A PORTION OF PARCEL NO. 1 ABOVE.

OWNER
 DESERT MOUNTAIN CLUB, INC.
 10550 N. DESERT HILLS DRIVE
 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85262

ARCHITECT
 DTJ DESIGN, INC.
 1101 W. CENTRAL AVE., STE. 130
 SCOTTSDALE, COLORADO 80501

CIVIL ENGINEER
 SARRETT FLEMING, INC.
 1805 N. CENTRAL AVE., STE. 1002
 PHOENIX, AZ 85012-1857

RENEGADE CLUBHOUSE
 AT DESERT MOUNTAIN
 1018-PA-2020


SLOPE EXHIBIT RENEGADE GOLF COURSE AT DESERT MOUNTAIN			
PREPARED BY SARRETT FLEMING, INC. 3838 N. CENTRAL AVE. STE. 1002 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012-1801 TEL: 602-955-8817 FAX: 602-955-8818			
SCALE: AS SHOWN		5 OF 46	
DATE	DATE	SURVEY BY	DESIGNED BY
08/09/2020	3-2021	JFB	JFB

ATTACHMENT #7

ATTACHMENT #7

LEGEND

----- LIMIT OF CONSTRUCTION



Number	Minimum Elevation	Maximum Elevation	Area	Color
1	-15.00	-12.00	970.79	
2	-12.00	-9.00	8958.93	
3	-9.00	-6.00	18335.99	
4	-6.00	-3.00	18714.95	
5	-3.00	0.00	79851.07	
6	0.00	3.00	124460.20	
7	3.00	6.00	32338.20	
8	6.00	9.00	14725.62	
9	9.00	12.00	18166.14	
10	12.00	15.00	5046.93	
11	15.00	20.00	2198.31	
12	20.00	25.00	9.00	

ATTACHMENT #8

Gannett Fleming

3838 N Central Ave, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85012
Phone (602) 553-8817 Fax (602) 553-8818 Web www.gfnet.com



NARRATIVE:

THE N.A.O.S. FOR THE ENTIRE RENEGADE GOLF COURSE WAS DEDICATED IN 2010 BY DOCUMENT 2010-0200719. IN 2011 THERE WERE 2 MINOR RELEASES OF THE DEDICATED N.A.O.S. THROUGH INSTRUMENTS 2011-0621034 AND 2011-0621035. IN 2018 CERTAIN PARCELS WERE RELEASED AND COMPENSATING DEDICATIONS WERE MADE BY "MAP OF EASEMENT RELEASE", BOOK 1465 OF MAPS, PAGE 045 AND "MAP OF DEDICATION OF NATURAL AREA OPEN SPACE", (N.A.O.S.) BOOK 1464 OF MAPS, PAGE 284. (11B-SA-2018). SEE THE N.A.O.S. TABLES BELOW FOR DEDICATION, RELEASE AND REHABILITATED DESERT AREAS.

THIS PROJECT DOES NOT AFFECT THE FINAL DEDICATED N.A.O.S. FOR THE GOLF COURSE.

NOTES:

1. THE N.A.O.S. PARCELS REFLECTED HEREON ARE THOSE PARCELS RELEVANT TO THIS PROJECT AND ITS ASSOCIATED PARCEL.
2. THE SLOPE ANALYSIS AND N.A.O.S. TABLES REFLECTED HEREON ARE PERTINENT TO THE ENTIRE GOLF COURSE.
3. NO N.A.O.S. WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS OF THIS PROJECT.
4. ALL RECORDED DOCUMENTS ARE FOUND IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA.

LEGEND

- GOLF COURSE BOUNDARY LINE
- RIGHT OF WAY LINE
- N.A.O.S. EASEMENT LINE
- CONSTRUCTION ENVELOPE
- N.A.O.S. PER 1464-294
- N.A.O.S. PER 2010-0200719

N.A.O.S.
1464-294
1465-045
2010-0200719
2011-0621034
2011-0621035
11B-SA-2018

NATURAL AREA OPEN SPACE
BOOK 1468 OF MAPS, PAGE 26, (GOLF BOUNDARY SURVEY)
BOOK 1464 OF MAPS, PAGE 294, (N.A.O.S. DEDICATION MAP)
BOOK 1465 OF MAPS, PAGE 45, (MAP OF RELEASE OF N.A.O.S.)
RECORDED INSTRUMENT DEDICATING N.A.O.S.
RECORDED INSTRUMENT RELEASING N.A.O.S.
RECORDED INSTRUMENT RELEASING N.A.O.S.
CITY OF SCOTTSDALE STAFF APPROVAL NUMBER FOR 1464-294 & 1465-045

SLOPE ANALYSIS (TOTAL GOLF COURSE 11B-SA-2018)

PARCEL	10-2%	2-5%	5-10%	10-15%	15-20%	20-25%
P1 UD-1	134042.35	150770.06	214709.49	140421.50	151441.24	62927.75
P1 UD-2	339666.23	144740.94	296112.85	157323.46	136117.51	50423.32
P1 UD-3	40071.21	40021.11	90042.90	46127.87	44001.16	15070.68
TOTAL	497884.81	522832.14	540844.25	343864.92	331676.99	128311.75
REHABILITATED AREAS	495496.562	335533.6325	163707.515	104092.076	100217.992	44552.395
TOTAL LOWER DESERT	679857.1225	(15.510 AC.)				
PARCEL	10-2%	2-5%	5-10%	10-15%	15-20%	20-25%
P1 UD-1	70648.12	77777.86	115417.88	87688.86	173196.96	125069.85
P1 UD-2	7104.01	1808.69	18200.09	10470.76	1479.46	1799.68
P1 UD-3	29.21	100.79	292.11	95.86	285.92	724.16
P2 UD	75499.35	712506.99	114541.94	734416.87	646822.76	497728.27
P3 UD	141794.50	137065.07	874399.44	608484.78	671048.09	260794.85
TOTAL	1155896.12	1467293.33	2602079.64	1848392.15	1543932.23	1281160.21
REHABILITATED AREAS	1153664.5525	1465077.82	230442.888	616148.8175	649764.8005	305173.1855
TOTAL UPPER DESERT	300183.538	(68.944 AC.)				
TOTAL REQUIRED N.A.O.S.	3079141.720	(24.462 AC.)				
TOTAL LAND AREA	3084835.63	(149.350 AC.)				

N.A.O.S. TABLE LEGEND

- (1) = DOCUMENT NUMBER
- (2) = DOCUMENT TYPE
- (3) = TOTAL AREA DEDICATED
- (4) = AREA OF (3) THAT IS REHABILITATED DESERT
- (5) = AREA RELEASED

N.A.O.S. TABLE

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2010-0200719	DEDICATION	3,065,527.77 S.F.	154,782.00 S.F.	
2011-0621034	RELEASE			35,893.44 S.F.
2011-0621035	RELEASE			1,180.35 S.F.
1465-045	RELEASE			289,407.30 S.F.
2010-0200719	N/A	2,741,646.68 S.F.	154,782.00 S.F.	
1464-294	DEDICATION	940,811.65 S.F.	165,138.04 S.F.	

N.A.O.S. SUMMARY

TOTAL N.A.O.S. REQUIRED (11B-SA-2018).....3,879,143.72 S.F. (84.462 AC.)
TOTAL N.A.O.S. DEDICATED.....3,882,258.35 S.F. (84.533 AC.)
AREA OF TOTAL DEDICATION THAT IS REHABILITATED DESERT.....319,820.04 S.F. (7.344 AC.)
PERCENT OF DEDICATION THAT IS REHABILITATED DESERT.....8.7%

OWNER
DESERT MOUNTAIN CLUB, INC.
10550 E. DESERT HILLS DRIVE
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85262

ARCHITECT
DTJ DESIGN, INC.
3101 RISE AVE., STE. 130
BOULDER, COLORADO 80301


CIVIL ENGINEER
GANNETT FLEMING, INC.
3800 N. CENTRAL AVE., STE. 1900
PHOENIX, AZ 85012-1957

RENEGADE CLUBHOUSE AT DESERT MOUNTAIN 15-DR-2021



N.A.O.S. PLAN RENEGADE CLUBHOUSE AT DESERT MOUNTAIN 15-DR-2021			
PREPARED BY GANNETT FLEMING INC. 3800 N. CENTRAL AVE. STE. 1900 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012-1957 PH: 602.653.8817, FAX: 602.653.8818			
SCALE: 1" = 100'	20 of 46		
JOB NO.	DATE	DESIGN BY	DRWN BY
069993.500	3/20/21	N/A	JRS

Drawing: U:\31016001\30 Desert Mountain\05 CAD\05 Landscape\05 Landscape Plan.dwg
Last Saved: December 2, 2021 11:05:17 AM by: [redacted]
COPYRIGHT © ALL RIGHTS RESERVED DTJ DESIGN, INC. 2019

ATTACHMENT #10



OVERSTORY LEGEND	
---	R.O.W. LINE
---	LOT LINE
---	PLANTING EDGER
---	LIMIT OF WORK
AS	Acacia schaffneri TWISTED ACACIA
CFB	Cercidium floridum BLUE PALM VERDE
CM	Cercidium microphyllum FOOTHILLS PALM VERDE
CL	Chilopsis linearis DESERT WILLOW
CP	CELTIS PALLIDA DESERT HACKBERRY
CPL	Caesalpinia platyloba CURLY PAELA
CPR	Cercidium praecox PALM BREA
JM	Juniperus monosperma ONE SEED JUNKER
OT	Olneya tesota DESERT IRONWOOD
PVT	Prosopis velutina VELVET MESQUITE
CGS	Carnegiea gigantea SAGUARO
UNDERSTORY LEGEND	
XXXX	DESERT ACCENT
XXXX	DESERT TRANSITION
NOTE: ALL EXISTING PLANT MATERIAL TO BE FIELD EVALUATED FOR COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL AND STATE REGULATIONS FOR TREE PROTECTION AND MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS. CONTRACTOR TO EVALUATE AND ADVISE FEASIBILITY TO TRANSPLANT SPECIMEN PLANT MATERIAL.	



ARCHITECTURE
PLANNING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

DTJ DESIGN, Inc.
2101 19th Avenue, Suite 130
Boulder, Colorado 80501
7.303.443.7533
www.dtydesign.com

RENEGADE CLUBHOUSE
DESERT MOUNTAIN

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD SUBMITTAL
38580 N Desert Mountain Parkway, Scottsdale, AZ 85262

ATTACHMENT #10

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION


15-DR-2021

DESIGNED BY	JN/CGV
LANDSCAPED BY	CGW
PROJECT NO.	2019001-20
DATE (DATE)	04/25/2021
REVISIONS	
	07/02/2021
	10/07/2021

SHEET TITLE: LANDSCAPE PLAN

SHEET NUMBER: 21 OF 46

15-DR-2021_V3
10/12/2021



24 OF 46

ELEVATION NOTES

1. ELEVATION DRAWINGS DEPICT DESIGN INTENT ONLY. SEE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS FOR FOUNDATION AND FRAMING SPECIFICATIONS.
2. GRADE LINES INDICATED ARE APPROXIMATE. BUILDER TO COORDINATE GRADING WITH CIVIL. PROVIDE POSITIVE SLOPE GRADING AWAY FROM STRUCTURE.
3. REFER TO ROOF PLANS FOR ROOFING MATERIAL, SLOPE AND BEARING INFO.
4. ALL EXPOSED WOOD POSTS, BEAMS, AND CEILING FINISHED PER SPECIFICATIONS.
5. PARAPET HEIGHT FOR ROOF MOUNTED UNITS SHALL BE EQUAL TO, OR EXCEED THE HEIGHT OF, THE TALLEST UNIT.

ELEVATION NOTES

1. STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF
2. WOOD AND STEEL TRUSS, SEE STRUCTURAL
3. STEEL BEAM, SEE STRUCTURAL
4. BREAK METAL WRAPPED COLUMN
5. TRU COLLAR W/ STONE BASE, SEE DETAIL
6. WOOD AND STEEL BRACKET
7. BOARD FORMED CONCRETE WALL
8. 2" "MALAPAI CHOCOLATE" STONE VENEER
9. METAL CHIMNEY SHROUD W/ PREFINISHED METAL CAP
10. CONCEALED GUTTER IN BREAK METAL FASCIA
11. CORROSION RESISTANT RAIN-CHAIN DOWNSPOUT, SEE LANDSCAPE NOTES
12. CHIMNEY
13. RAILING
14. WATER FEATURE, SEE LANDSCAPE
15. STUCCO, PAINT
16. RETAINING WALL, SEE LANDSCAPE
17. WOOD HEADER
18. BIFOLD HANGER DOOR ABOVE, METAL SUNSCREEN
19. SCUPPER
20. OVERFLOW SCUPPER, 2" ABOVE PRIMARY ROOF DRAIN
21. MATCHING TO START AT MAIN LEVEL
22. MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT BEHIND PARAPET SCREEN WALL, COORD. W/ MECHANICAL
23. EXTERIOR LIGHTING UNDER CANOPY, 12" 4" A/F
24. EXTERIOR LIGHTING UNDER CANOPY, 12" 4" A/F
25. EMERGENCY LIGHTING - IF A/F
26. EXTERIOR DOWN LIGHTING



1 EAST ELEVATION
30 OF 46 SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

Scale: 3/32" = 1'-0"



18 BIFOLD HANGER DOOR ABOVE, METAL SUNSCREEN



8 2" "MALAPAI CHOCOLATE" STONE VENEER



7 BOARD FORMED CONCRETE






2 NORTH ELEVATION
30 OF 46 SCALE: 3/32" = 1'-0"

Scale: 3/32" = 1'-0"




3 EXT. HM DOOR HEADER
30 OF 46 SCALE: 1/16" = 1'-0"

ATTACHMENT #13




1. ELEVATION DRAWINGS DEPICT DESIGN INTENT ONLY. SEE STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS FOR FOUNDATION AND FRAMING SPECIFICATIONS.
2. GRADE LINES INDICATED ARE APPROXIMATE. BUILDER TO COORDINATE GRADING WITH CIVIL. PROVIDE POSITIVE SLOPE GRADING AWAY FROM STRUCTURE.
3. REFER TO ROOF PLANS FOR ROOFING MATERIAL, SLOPE AND BEARING INFO.
4. ALL EXPOSED WOOD POSTS, BEAMS, AND CEILING FINISHED PER SPECIFICATIONS.
5. PARAPET HEIGHT FOR ROOF-MOUNTED UNITS SHALL BE EQUAL TO, OR EXCEED THE HEIGHT OF, THE TALLEST UNIT.

- 1 STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF
- 2 WOOD AND STEEL TRUSS, SEE STRUCTURAL
- 3 STEEL BEAM, SEE STRUCTURAL
- 4 REINFORCED CONCRETE COLUMN, 10" x 4"
- 5 THE COLUMN W/ STONE BASE, SEE DETAIL
- 6 WOOD AND STEEL BRACKET
- 7 CONCRETE CORNER CONNECTION
- 8 2"x1/4"ALUMINUM CHOCOLATE STONE VENEER
- 9 METAL CHIMNEY ROOF W/ PREFORMED METAL CAP
- 10 CONCRETE BUTTRESS IN BRICKMASON FACIA
- 11 CORROSION RESISTANT RAIN CHAIN DOWNSPOUT
- 12 WATER DRAIN DIVIDER
- 13 CHIMNEY
- 14 LUMBER FRAME, SEE LANDSCAPE
- 15 STUCCO, PAINT
- 16 STUCCO WALL, SEE LANDSCAPE
- 17 WOOD HEADER
- 18 BUILT UP HANGER DOOR ABOVE, METAL SUNDRESTEN
- 19 SCUPERS
- 20 OVERFLOW SCUPPER, ABOVE PRIMARY ROOF DRAIN
- 21 BANDING TO START AT MAIN LEVEL
- 22 DOWNSPOUT EQUIPMENT BUILT UP PARAPET SCREEN WALL
- 23 HANGING W/ MECHANICAL
- 24 EXTERIOR LIGHTING UNDER ROOF, 10" x 4"
- 25 EXTERIOR LIGHTING UNDER ROOF, 10" x 4"
- 26 EMERGENCY LIGHTING - 8' x 4'
- 27 EXTERIOR DOWN LIGHT





-
- 60'-0"
- 42'-0"
- 8" x 8" I-BEAM WITH 2" x 8" WOOD PLANK RIBSET (POWDERCOATED BLACK)
- 4-HOLE HEAVY DUTY STAINLESS STEEL PAD-TIE AT EACH POST, RATED TO SUPPORT WEIGHT OF FABRIC AND HANDRAIL (AS SHOWN)
- 1/4" DIA. STAINLESS STEEL CABLE
- RETRACTABLE FABRIC, WAVE SHADE 50 LITS W/ SHADE CLOTH BY SHADE SAILS (OR APPROVED EQUAL) ATLANTIS AND LIME & ORSON COLOR (SEE NOTE 2)
- PLAN
1/2" = 1'-0"



SECTION

28) $1/4" = 1'-0"$



-
- A detailed cross-section diagram of a fire burner assembly. The diagram shows a burner pan with a burner inside. Above the burner is a layer of fire media. The burner is connected to a gas line. The burner pan is supported by a base. The diagram includes the following labels and dimensions:
- SINGLE LAYER OF FIRE MEDIA OVER BURNER**: Points to the layer of fire media above the burner.
 - FIRE BURNER GAS CONNECTION UNDER FIRE PAN**: Points to the gas line connection.
 - CUSTOM CROSS FIRE BRASS BURNER BY WAINING TRENDS**: Points to the burner assembly.
 - MINIMUM 12"x12"x12" CAVITY (SPECIFIC SIZE PER EACH CUSTOM BURNER & MANUFACTURER)**: Points to the space around the burner.
 - BOLIDER TYP. (SEE SITE PLANS FOR LOCATIONS)**: Points to the burner pan.
 - ALUMINUM FIRE PAN SUPPORTED BY CMU BLOCKS, STEEL ANGLE, IRON OR CONCRETE**: Points to the support structure.
 - ADJACENT BURNER: HAND TOOLED WHERE BOLLADERS INTERSECT PAVING (SEE PLANS)**: Points to the area where burners meet.
 - AGGREGATE BASE FOR PROPER DRAINAGE (DEPTH PER MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS)**: Points to the base layer.
 - FIRE PIT DRAIN**: Points to the drainage system.
 - 10"**: Dimension for the fire media layer.
 - 12"**: Dimension for the burner pan.
 - 12" OF PAV. OR PAV.**: Dimension for the paving layer.
- SECTION**



28) $1/4" = 1'-0"$

SECTION



PORTE COCHERE / ENTRY



CLUBHOUSE BAR / LOUNGE VIEW TO REAR PATIO



DINING ROOM VIEW TO REAR PATIO



REAR PATIO

NOTE:
THESE GRAPHICS ARE
ILLUSTRATIVE IN NATURE AND
ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE

ATTACHMENT #14



- MATERIALS NOTES**
- 01 STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF - PAC CLAD - BURNISHED SLATE
 - 02 PAINTED STEEL - SHERWIN WILLIAMS BLACK FOX, SW 7030
 - 03 LIGHT STAINED DOUG FIR TAG CEILING
 - 04 PAINTED STEEL - SHERWIN WILLIAMS BLACK MAGIC, SW 6991
 - 05 4" HUALAPAI CHOCOLATE STONE VENEER
 - 06 STUCCO PAINTED - SHERWIN WILLIAMS LOGGIER TAN, SW 7717
 - 07 BOARD FORMED CONCRETE WALL
 - 08 DARK STAINED DOUG FIR
- NOTE :**
ALL COLORS TO HAVE LRV LESS THAN 35%

dtj
DESIGN

ARCHITECTURE
PLANNING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

DTJ DESIGN, INC.
3101 1st Avenue, Suite 130
Boulder, Colorado 80301
T 303.443.7533
www.dtdesign.com

ATTACHMENT #15

RENEGADE CLUBHOUSE DESERT MOUNTAIN

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD SUBMITTAL
38580 N Desert Mountain Pkwy, Scottsdale, AZ 85262

NOT FOR
CONSTRUCTION

15-09-2021

DRAWN BY: MB
CHECKED BY: DRUGBW
PROJECT NO: 2019001100
REVIEW DATE: 03/12/2021
REVISIONS:
1 07/19/2021

SHEET TITLE

BUILDING EXTERIOR
MATERIAL BOARD

SHEET NUMBER

46 OF 46

15-09-2021_V3
10/12/2021

ATTACHMENT #15



Renegade Clubhouse

Neighborhood Involvement Outreach

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>MEMBERSHIP VOTE APPROVAL</u>	<u>3</u>
Official Certified Results provided by “My Direct Vote Survey and Ballot Systems”	4
<u>LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLAN MEMBER PRESENTATIONS</u>	<u>5</u>
Copy of the February 20th – February 21st Presentation	6
Member sign-in forms - Thursday, February 20, 2019 (2:00 pm – 3:30 pm)	68
Member sign-in forms - Thursday, February 20, 2019 (4:00 pm – 5:30 pm)	75
Member sign-in forms - Friday, February 21, 2019 (08:00 am – 09:30 am)	84
Member sign-in forms - Friday, February 21, 2019 (10:00 am – 11:30 am)	88
Member sign-in forms - Friday, February 21, 2019 (12:00 pm – 1:30 pm)	93
<u>LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLANNING MEETINGS</u>	<u>97</u>
Copy of the November 14th – November 15th Membership Presentation	99
Member sign-in forms - Thursday, November 14, 2019 (1:00 pm – 2:30 pm)	189
Member sign-in forms - Thursday, November 14, 2019 (3:00 pm – 4:30 pm)	193
Member sign-in forms - Thursday, November 14, 2019 (5:00 pm – 6:30 pm)	197
Member sign-in forms - Friday, November 15, 2019 (08:00 am – 09:30 am)	202
Member sign-in forms - Friday, November 15, 2019 (10:00 am – 11:30 am)	204
Tabulated Exit Survey – November 14th and November 15th Presentations	209
<u>MEMBER COMMUNICATION AND NOTIFICATION</u>	<u>235</u>
Coffee Talks	
April 29, 2019 - Long-Range Planning Process/Behind the Scenes Tour of C/G	237
June 27, 2019 – Long-Range Planning Process/Behind the Scenes Tour of C/G	252
Sept.17, 2019 - Behind the Scenes Tour of C/G + Long-Range Facilities Planning Process	267
October 16, 2019 - Behind the Scenes Tour of CG + Long-Range Facilities Planning Process	286
January 14, 2020 - Behind the Scenes Tour of C/G + Long-Range Facilities Plan Update	305
Easy Listening	
August 19, 2019 – Recap Notes (PRF)	326
September 16, 2019 – Recap Notes (PRF)	330
October 21, 2019 – Recap Notes (PRF)	335
December 16, 2019 – Recap Notes (PRF)	339
January 12, 2020 – Recap Notes (PRF)	343
Magazine Release	
Nagonie (July _ August _ September – Issue)	348

Mountain Minute – Email Blast to 4,014 membership email addresses	
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ July 30 _ 2019 (PRF)	373
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ August 27 _ 2019 – Preview (PRF)	370
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ September 17 _ 2019 (PRF)	387
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ October 8 _ 2019 (PRF)	398
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ October 22 _ 2019 (PRF)	406
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ December 10 _ 2019 (PRF)	416
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ December 17 _ 2019 (PRF)	425
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ December 24 _ 2019 (PRF)	434
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ December 31 _ 2019 (PRF)	444
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ January 7 _ 2020 (PRF)	453
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ January 14 _ 2020 (PRF)	465
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ January 21 _ 2020 (PRF)	475
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ January 28 _ 2020 (PRF)	485
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ February 4 _ 2020 (PRF)	493
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ February 11 _ 2020 (PRF)	502
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ February 18 _ 2020 (PRF)	513
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ February 25 _ 2020 (PRF)	522
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ March 3 _ 2020 (PRF)	528
Mountain Minute _ News Edition _ March 10 _ 2020 (PRF)	536
Mountain Minute _ Special Edition _ March 31 _ 2020 (PRF)	544

LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLANNING WORKGROUP MEETINGS	551
--	------------

Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	
Workgroup attendees / members (PRF)	553

Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup Meetings	
January 11, 2019 Planning Kick-off Meeting (PRF)	555
April 4, 2019 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup (PRF)	569
June 24, 2019 Long-Range Facility Planning Work Group - Agenda (PRF)	609
September 23, 2019 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	611
October 28, 2019 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	611
December 11, 2019 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	611
February 7, 2020 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	611
February 20, 2020 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	611
February 21, 2020 Long-Range Facilities Planning Workgroup	611

Member Focus Groups (May 16th & 17th, 2019)	
May 16, 2019 Outgoing Committee Members (8:00 am – 9:30 am)	614
May 16, 2019 New Committee Members and Workgroup Members (10:00 am – 11:30 am)	615
May 16, 2019 Hikers/Bocce/Pickleball/Croquet/Tennis (1:00 pm – 2:30 pm)	616
May 16, 2019 Lady Golfers (3:00 pm – 4:30 pm)	617
May 16, 2019 Men Golfers (5:00 pm – 6:30 pm)	618
May 17, 2019 Under 55's (7:30 am – 9:00 am)	619
May 17, 2019 Existing Committee Members/Card Players (10:00 am – 11:30 am)	620

Member Focus Group Presentation (May 16 – 17, 2019)	
May 16 – 17, 2019 Presentation	622

MEMBERSHIP APPROVAL OF THE LONG-RANGE FACILITY PLAN

SUMMARY



Percent of Valid Ballots - Weighted

Phase 1	61.47 %
Board Election	

Percent of Valid Ballots - Voters Only

Phase 1	60.85 %
Board Election	

VOTE NO. 1 (OF 2): PHASE 1 LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLAN

Vote for 1

Yes	2,126	88.9 %
No	266	11.1 %

Total Valid Votes: 2,392

VOTE NO. 2 (OF 2): BOARD ELECTION

Vote for 3

Chad Starnes	1,054	44.06 %
John Smith	1,054	44.06 %
Kimberlee Ann Smith	284	11.88 %

Total Valid Votes: 2,392

Certified by Survey and Ballot Systems

Melissa A Fiala

3/31/2020

Melissa Fiala
Quality Assurance Specialist


Date

Kimberlee Ann Smith

3/31/2020

Notary Public


Date



3/31/2020

3 of 14

LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLAN MEMBER PRESENTATION



BUILDING OUR FUTURE

LONG RANGE FACILITIES PLAN — PHASE 1


MEMBER MEETINGS | FEBRUARY 20-21, 2020
• CREATING A SHARED VISION •

8 of 65

Meeting Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions – Thank you for coming!
- Key Strategic Drivers / Why and Why Now?
- Overview of the Planning Process
- Review of Phase I Components
 - Central Receiving, Laundry and Recycle Center
 - C/G Dessert, Bread and Pastry Kitchen
 - Renegade Complex (Clubhouse, Site Work and Golf Practice Facilities)
- Overview of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan
- Review of Phase I Funding Plan and Five Year Capital Plan
- Overview of Phase I Timeline
- Closing Comments and Discussion of Voting Process

Overview of Planning Process





Renegade Golf Facilities / Parking Comparisons

Driving Range Area	Existing	Proposed	Change
Practice Range by Clubhouse	285 yards 16 Tees	300 yards 25 Tees	+ 15 yards + 9 tees
Practice Range by Performance Center	305 yards 10 Tees	315 yards 12 Tees	+ 10 yards + 2 tees
Performance Center Indoor Range	280 yards to back of existing wash	277 yards to front of golf landform	- 3 yards

Short Game / Putting	Existing	Proposed	Change
Putting / Short Game area by Clubhouse	63,900 SF	65,000 SF	+1,100 SF
Putting / Short Game by Performance Center	27,000 SF	32,700 SF	+5,700 SF

Parking	Existing	Proposed	Change
Parking Counts	180 Parking Spaces	205 Parking Spaces (closer to Range /buildings)	+25 spaces

Renegade Square Footage Comparisons

Area	Existing	Proposed	Change
Pro Shop / Locker Rooms / Circulation	3,928 SF	5,064 SF	+ 1,136 SF
Cart Barn / Back of House	9,000 SF	7,284 SF	- 1,716 SF
Member Dining / New Bar / Larger Kitchen / Service	5,333 SF (Hideout)	8,227 SF	+ 2,894 SF
Total Square Footage	18,261 SF	20,575 SF	+ 2,314 SF

Rationale for Increase in Total Square Footage:

- Increase in total square footage due to the desire to provide a legitimate Kitchen facility at the new Renegade Clubhouse along with a desirable Bar / Lounge area for the Members to enjoy
- Cart Barn square footage was reduced due to site modifications which provide Car parking in close proximity to the Golf Performance Center and the food and beverage operation being located with the new Clubhouse facility

Other Clubhouse Seat Comparisons

The new Renegade will be open for breakfast, lunch **and** dinner
(replacing dinner currently served at Café Verde)

Clubhouse	Indoor	Outdoor	Bar / Lounge	Total
Seven	40 seats	90 seats	15 seats	145 seats
Apache	96 seats	82 seats	48 seats	226 seats
Outlaw	54 seats	42 seats	20 seats	116 seats
Renegade (existing)	44 seats	38 seats	11 seats	93 seats

Clubhouse	Indoor	Outdoor	Bar / Lounge	Total
Proposed Renegade	110 seats	52 seats	52 seats	214 seats
Increase from existing	+66 seats	+14 seats	+41 seats	+121 seats

MEMBER SIGN-IN FORMS

Long Range Facilities Plan Presentation
Thursday, February 20, 2:00 pm - 3:30 pm

Abeyta, Nancy	Love, Pamela	Skillman, Rodney
Abeyta, Nancy - TBD	Love, Tony	Skillman, Roselyn
Anderson, Eric	Lutz, Helmut	Spyers-Duran, Cindy
Borsch, Robert	Marston, Kathleen	Stewart, Barbara
Carlson, Harry	McCune, Craig	Stewart, Barbara - TBD
Champion, Donna	McCune, Deborah Ann	Weber, Larry
Cougill, Dan	McIntyre, Deborah	White, Tom
Deiters, Gary	McIntyre, Thomas	Wills, Donald
Deiters, Linda	McNicholas Cougill, Mary	Yohe, Ralph
Gaddy, Gordon	Mourad, Bob	Young, Terry
Garcia, Lee	Mourad, Bob - TBD	
Giebelhausen, Larry	New, Jeff	
Giebelhausen, Lynn	Nicholson, Elizabeth	
Gilbert, Mark	Nicholson, Jeff	
Gray, Tom	Ostermeyer, Cathy	
Grun, Susan	Ostermeyer, David	
Grun, William	Otto, Charlotte	
Hahn, Martha	Otto, Robert	
Halnay, Andy	Parker, Craig	
Haughey, Elizabeth	Parker, Terri	
Hedge, Lee	Parzybok, Bill	
Hemphill, Helen	Peck, Chris	
Hemphill, Neil	Peck, Diane	
Kahn, Marc	Rand, Bill	
Kahn, Riva	Resnick, David	
Kettlewell, R. Warren	Rodenborn, James	
Kittle, Keith	Schubert, Scott	
Kittle, Sharon	Seymour, Colleen	
Klopfer, Sherry	Seymour, Colleen - TBD	
Klopfer, Sherry - TBD	Shapiro, Allan	
Koupal, Carl	Shapiro, Saralyn	
Levy Mortensen, Sue	Shields, Maureen E.	
Levy, Marie	Shimizu, Allen B.	
Levy, Ronald	Simmonds, Karen	

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Elizabeth Haughey	3803	elgcof@lowcost.net
Warren Kettlewell	3670	W KETTERWELL@ME.COM
G. Deitens	4896	
L&L Gabelhausen	4652	

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Ralph Yohre	3863	Ralph.yohre@gmail.com
Cindy Spyers-Duran	1541 1541	cspyersduran@aol.com
Don and Sue Will	922	don@willscap.com
Bill Gran	2796	gran@msn.com
DAN COUGILL	4168	dan.cougill@yahoo.com
Gordon Gaddy	4894	gordongaddy@yahoo.com
Loree Schubert	2511	lilloree@aol.com
Sela M. v David Wilson	4413	
Andy Carlson	3856	Andy.Carlson@Fotex.com

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Bill Rand	2500	bill@billrand.com
MARC & RIVA KAHN	1745	mkah ² 01@interserv.com
LARRY WEBER	1662	larry@fpm ^m s corp. com
KEITH KITS	1069	
Lyn White	4002	lynbwhite@hotmail.com
Tom Brown	3719	tfanfordb@aol
Helene & Neil Hemphill	4917	hemphills@mac.com
Tom M. McWhie	4364	tom.m.mcwhie@gmail.com
Greg Deborah McWhie	3472	
EJ Anderson	2309	ejanderson ¹⁴ @gmail.com

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Tom White	4002	tomwhite1@hotmail.com
Sherry Kuper	3961	SK10per@telus.net
Colleen Seymour	1061	
Chad Seymour	1061	
Erin Parker	2488	
Terr Parker	2488	
Martha Hahn	2662	Same
TERENCE Young	4900	yungster@aol.com
JEFF New	4903	JDNEW7@YAHOO.COM

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Bill Parzybok	3679	BILLPARZY@GMAIL.COM
Saralyn & Allan-Shapiro	4083	saralynshapiro@gmail.com alshap24@gmail.com
Bob & Susan Mourad	1712	RTMOURAD@HOTMAIL.COM
Donna Champion	4277	donna.champion16@gmail.com
Sal Abeyt	3490	SALABEY@AOL.COM
Andy Halmar	4015	andyhalmar@gmail.com
Rod & Roz Skillman	1573	rdsrjs@gmail.com
Leo Bokby	1127	lkbokby@outlook.com
Dave & Cathy Ostermeyer	2606	CSOSTO@icloud.com
Bras Perase	5026	Bras@earthlink.net

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Tony & Pam Love	4250	tllove68118@aol.com
MARIE Levy	1649	levy.marie@gmail.com
Nancy Abeyta	3690	nancy3779@gmail
Sue Levy	4748	susanylevy@ameritech.net
Jeff & Elizabeth Nichols	4306	jnicholson@addco.com
Maureen Shields Allen Shimizu	4334	shields142@aol.com
Lee Garcia	1097-	lcgarcia1945@gmail.com

Long Range Facilities Plan Presentation

Thursday, February 20, 4:00 pm - 5:30 pm

Abrams, Brian	Henderson, Kathleen	Pittana, Michael
Albany, Tony	Henry, Beth	Pittana, Sandra
Appert, John	Henry, George	Powell, Don G.
Appert, Julie	Hesse, Jennifer	Powell, Sue H
Bala, Andy	Hesse, William	Quicke, John
Bala, Judy	Hogshire, Beverly	Quinn, Kristine
Behnke, Ernest	Hogshire, Beverly - TBD	Quinn, Timothy
Belanger, Deb	Hulseman Abrams, Patty	Raysich, David
Belanger, Joe	Johnson, Keith	Rolle, Charles
Besemer, Douglas	Johnson, Sherry	Rolle, Julie
Besemer, Nancy	Kaesche, Galina	Saunders, Robbie
Bjorgaard, Patricia	Kaesche, W.C.	Scavone, Addie
Bjorgaard, Wayne	Karcher, Anne	Scavone, Thomas
Boley, Judith Brinkerhuff	Karcher, Anne - TBD	Schaefer, Terry
Boley, Richard	Keating, Jerome P.	Schwieterman, Rick J.
Brinkhaus, Kim L.	Kilstrom, Eileen	Seaton, Robert
Brinkhaus, Norbert L.	Klonoski, Joanne	Seaton, Sheila
Bristol, James	Klonoski, Michael	Sefcovic Sr., Paul
Brown, Thomas	Koupal, Carl	Slattery, Sharon E.
Bush, James	Kutzen, Barry	Socol, Lynn
Carroll, David	Lamberts, David	Socol, Robert
Carroll, Tracy	Lamberts, Patricia	Somers, LeAnn
Case, Felicia	Larson, Bruce	Somers, Terry
Case, R. Tim	Lewis, Les	Stanton, John
Colwell, Kathy	Lindskog, Sandy	Stanton, Renee
Colwell, Kip	Mandel, Andrea	Strauss, Jane
Coyne, Lynne	Mandel, Robert	Strauss, Michael
Ebstyne, Bonnie	Marinan, Kevin	Sumergrade, Michael
Ebstyne, Bonnie - TBD	Marinan, Laura	Sumergrade, Patti
Elliott, Steven	McCallen, Alison	Tadej, Gerald
Garbarino, John	McCallen, James	Thomson, Nancy
Garbarino, Penny	Meiners, Mark	Thomson, Richard
Geer, Barbara	Meiners, Mark - TBD	Toll, Nancy
Geer, Charles	Mitchell, Craig	Toll, Nancy - TBD
Gevlin, John	Moore, Marilyn	Walsh, Thomas
Gevlin, Nora	Newman, Beth	Weingard, Joann
Gilman, Karen	Newman, Mike	Weingard, Robert
Gilman, Mark	Nunamaker, Chuck	Winters, Terence
Goodyear, Karen	Ostermeyer, Cathy	Winters, Terence - TBD
Gray, Tom	Pallan, Richard	Wolf, Richard "Rick"
Gundry, Deborah	Pallan, Susan	Wong, Sharon
Heizer, Lynn	Patterson, Jeff	Youga, Anthony
Heizer, Skip	Patterson, Wendy	Youga, Patricia

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Joe + Deb Belanger	4954	Joseph Belanger @ Comcast.net
Laurel Masatsugu	5033	AZMASATSUGU @ gmail.com
Bill Hulse	4291	dmman41574 @ gmail.com
Kathy Henderson	2127	

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations
 Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
NORA + John Gerlin	2862	ngerlin@gmail.com
Susie Pallan	2578	
Terrence Kesting	4011	djppk@yahoo.com
Rein + Judy Baley	2283	
Mike Newman	5099	NewmanMikeA@gmail.com
MD MRQ	2324	
ERVIE BEHNE	2634	
CHUCK ROLLE	4391	Z8ROLLE@GMAIL.COM

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Sharon Slattery	4133	SSlatty557@yahoo.com
LES LEWIS	4985	LES LEWIS 410@yahoo.com
SHERY & KEITH JOHNSON	2322	chezKAj@cox.net
Wendy & Jeff Patterson	4634	wendypatterson66@gmail.com
John Garbarino	3108	JB3108@gmail.com
Terry Somers	3504	tsomers2020@outlook.com
LeAnn Somers	3504	lsomers2020@outlook.com
Patricia ^{Somers} & Michael	4526	Michael@Somers.net
Eric Lab	4151	elab@att.net
Ride Wolf	3853	RideWolf@GMAIL.COM

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Craig Mitchell & Sharon Wong	4420	mitchwonger@gmail.com
Sandy Lindskog	2227	SLINDSKOG@AOL.COM
Jim Busk	3784	Jim@breeze-production.com
Tom Gray	4996	tgray6@gmail.com
Steven Elliott	1721	SteveElliott11@me.com
Kip & Kathy Colwell	4616	Colwellkip@gmail.com
Lynne Coyne	4620	LynneHcoyne27@GMAIL.COM
Laura & Kevin Mario	4614	
Wayne & Pat Bjerg	4244	pbjergaard@gmail.com
Kim Brinkhaus	3828	Kim@brinkhaus.ca

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
SHEILA *POBSEY SEATON	648	SheilaSEATON1@gmail.com
Rick Schuster	4325	
DAVID RAYSICH	2225	draysich@prarch.com
Carol Petren/Ford Chase	4252	petrenc@gmail.com
Julie E. John Appert	4701	johnappert@yahoo.com
Addie Tom Scavone	2308	tomscavone@gmail.com
Doug & Nancy Besemer	2683	Motoman1@aol.com
Chuck Nnamakor	3921	chucknnamakor@yahoo.com
Ted Sokoly	4128	TedSokoly@iCloud.com
ANDY & Judy Bala	4149	jbalabbe@comcast.net

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations
 Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Kristine Quinn	782	kristneq9@gmail.com
Tim Quinn	782	tquinn@foxrothschild.com
Elaine + Tony Albany	3638	talbany38@gmail.com
PAUL Sefcovic	3699	sefcovicpaul@gmail
Nancy Woods	1694	
Karen Goodeyea	1619	
Norbert BRINKHAUS	3828	
DAVID CARROLL	4692	DAVID.CARROLL13@GMAIL.COM
Joann + Bob Weingard	1554	
Bhynn Heizer	5078	lynn@heizercapital.com

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Nancy Toll	4128	natoll007@aol.com
DAVID LAMBERTS	4699	DAVID LAMBERTS@GMAIL.COM
Bernice Bukacek	212	bernice.bukacek@cox.net
KEVIN FRAWLEY ^{to} to ^{to} to	3526	KEVINBFRAWLEY@GMAIL.COM
John & Nora Gevin	2862	NGEVIN@GMAIL.COM
Paul A. Stark ^{to} to	4611	jandr90@bellsouth.net
Terry & Eileen Winters	3836	twinters4@cox.net
Low Keeler	1030	omni6688@yahoo.com
Michael Pittman	4260	mpittana@crp-ipmi.com

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Thursday, February 20, 2020 at 4:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
M, G Klunock	3682	—
Mariah Moore	4970	
Walter J. Jumper	3173	
Σ Tadej	3517	
Tim & Felicia Case	4766	
Chas Gerbely	3075	
Rich Kilstrom	2057	
Phillip Goach	2665	

Long Range Facilities Plan Presentation
Friday, February 21, 8:00 am - 9:30 am

Anderson, Mark D.

Cokingtin, Clifton

Cokingtin, Clifton - TBD

Elliott, Dick

Elliott, Kathleen

Gray, Siobhan

Heiden, Jane

Heiden, Jane - TBD

Kennedy, Mark

Kennedy, Valerie

Reed, Hugh

Reed, Katherine

Schmidt, Bonnie

Schmidt, Roger

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 8:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
mark anderson	4615	
Hugh Regel	1156	REILLUSION@MSN.COM
Tom McLean	711	

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 8:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Leo Lukby	1127	LKBIRKEY@OUTLOOK.COM
Tom & Jane Heiden	5090	jwheiden@gmail.com

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 8:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Valerie + Mark Kennedy	3330	SNONOMO@ME.COM
Kathy Reed	1154	KATHY.Reed@RUSSLYON.COM
Sissem Gray	4996	Shovy@mac.com
Steve Anderson	4263	SSAX42@Gmail.com
Ron Maen	993	besteye1@msn.com

Long Range Facilities Plan Presentation
Friday, February 21, 10:00 am - 11:30 am

Cayce, Janet	Squier, David
Cayce, Patrick	Squier, David - TBD
Driver, Ann	Tiberi, Kathleen
Driver, Davis	Urban, Brook
Emerson, Frances B.	Ward, Bill
Ford, Jill	Wendorf, Suzanne "Suzie" M.
General Derek Hill	Wendorf, Suzanne "Suzie" M. - TBD
General Derek Hill - TBD	
Germundson, Owen	
Germundson, Ruth	
Holcomb, Elizabeth	
Holcomb, Raymond	
Hull, Brooks	
Hull, Brooks - TBD	
Kern, Gail	
Kiss, Gayle	
Lefkowitz, Dr. Steven	
Lefkowitz, Susan	
Lux, Jan	
Lux, Mark	
McClurg, Robert	
McFall, Sherry	
Morrissey, Anne	
Morrissey, Jim	
Obert, Mary Kay	
Obert, Thomas James	
O'Chap, Dale	
Quellmalz, Robert M	
Quellmalz, Robert M - TBD	
Riggs, Barbara	
Riggs, Louis	
Schadegg, Richard	
Schadegg, Sandra	
Shanholt, John	

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
B. Hou T. GIMMELJE	3937	bhoull@baycomp.com
Dale A. O'Chap	4020	dochap@icloud.com
Ginny Peters	1196	ginnyannpeters@gmail.com
Karen Simmonds	4214	Simmondskh@gmail.com
DAVIS DAVEN	1625	DAVIS@DAVIDAVEN.COM
Frances Emerson	4095	francesemerson65@gmail.com
Brook Urban	4236	brookurban@gmail.com
SUSAN LEFKOWITZ	2644	gr8designz@gmail.com
Steven "	2649	SLFFKOWZ@gmail.com
Pats Janet Cayce	1705	PhCayce@Comcast.net

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Robert + Stevie Quellmaier	4538	robertquellmaier@gmail.com
Bill Ward	4602	
Jan + Mark Lux	846	18atpebble@cox.net
KATHLEEN TIBERI	24117	KathleenTiberi@gmail.com
Owen Germundson	320	Germ Germ1379@gmail.com
Suzie Wendorf	3825	SuzieWendorf@gmail.com
David L + Sue Squier	2028	SQUIERDLS@GMAIL.COM
Budwey	3825	awwendorf@gmail.com
J. Christ	2830	JPCHRIST@MSN.COM

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Glenn Fowl	3775	glenn2450@gmail
Sherry McFall	3879	smcFall4@comcast.net
Barbara & Lou Riggs	1040	saetylouie@aol.com
Bob & Nancy Rinderman	1927	mailRinderman@gmail.com
Gayle Kiss	3965	

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
DICK & SAUNDRA SCHADEGG	4689	dick.schadegg@schadeggare.com
J.P. & Judy Kaumeyer	4354	jkaumeyer05@gmail.com
BONNIE HEBSTYNE	4571	bjetstyne@mac.com

Long Range Facilities Plan Presentation
Friday, February 21, 1:00 pm - 2:30 pm

Benaglio, John
Birkeland, Marilou
Birkeland, Paul
Bloss, Kim
Bloss, Mike
Bryan, Deanna
Bryan, Tim
Farlow, Beverly J.
Giovan M.D., Peter
Hugg, Connie
Kasses, Kenneth
Levy, Marie
Levy, Ronald
Maslick, Joseph
Mathias, Gina
Mathias, Mark
Medress, Harvey
Medress, Judy
Morrissey, Anne
Press, Bob
Reynolds, Doug
Reynolds, Susan
Sawyer, Bill
Schwieterman, Rick J.
Segil, Jean
Slunecka, Fred
Wall, John
Wall, Sue
Wutz, Paul

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 1:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
CONNIE HUGG	5003	CHUGG@YAHOO
Bill Sawyer	5003	bill Sawyer 54@gmail
PAUL WOTZ	1908	pwotz@aol.com
Dayna Schleg	3279	daynasport@aol.com
JOE MASLICK	965	JMASLICK@AOL.com.
Larry Ulrich	3393	Ulrich 11c @ yahoo .com
MARTIN PLUTH	4404	PLUTH@SBCGLOBAL.NET
David Coons	5039	dcoons15@gmail.com
^{Mary Tankersley} John Daily	2329	jdaily43@gmail.com
Mary Harrington	4768	mary.c.harrington@comcast.net

Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 1:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Kim Bloss	3070	cmbloss@mac.com
Mike Bloss	3070	cmbloss2@mac.com
Scott Pohlman	4375	scpohlman@comcast.net
Paul Birkeland	579	ptbirkeland@gmail.com
Doug Reynolds	3894	dougcup@yahoo.com
Ben Chaulny +1	3496	wchaulnyne@yahoo.com
RICHARD SHERMAN	3794	ROTH SHERMAN@cox.net
Barry Conser	4908	barry.conser@russ/yan.com


Long-Range Facilities Planning Member Presentations

Friday, February 21, 2020 at 1:00 p.m.

Name	Member #	Email Address
Jim + Deana Bryan	4636	dkpBRYAN@gmail.com
Bob Press	5032	bpress@cox.net
DAVID J. KATZ	3994	DTKATZ@aGMAIL.com
John Bencio	4458	j.bencio55@gmail.com
Ian Akiyama	3425	ianjakiyama@gmail.com
Ken/Kasey	2355	

LONG-RANGE FACILITIES
PLANNING MEETING
NOVEMBER 14 – 15, 2019

LONG-RANGE FACILITIES PLANNING PRESENTATION



*Long-Range Facilities Plan
Member Informational Meetings
November 14 & 15, 2019*

99 of 656

Meeting Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions – Thank you for coming!
- Key Strategic Drivers / Why and Why Now?
- Overview of the Planning Process
- Overview of the Long Range Master Plan
 - Phase I
 - Phase II
 - Future Phases
- Overview of Five Year Capital Plan
- Timeline Going Forward Questions & Answers (*Exit Surveys Distributed*)

MEMBER SIGN-IN FORMS

Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting

November 14 | 1 p.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Anderson, Amy	4615	
Anderson, Mark D.	4615	
Banwart, Florence L.	4052	
Banwart, Sidney C.	4052	
Cawman, George	2365	
Copeland, David	4618	
Eckholm, Laurel	2358	
Eckholm, William	2358	
Ferguson, John	2724	
Fine, Marjorie	4999	
Frawley, Kevin	3526	
Frederiksen, Duane	1183	
Gevlin, John	2862	
Gevlin, Nora	2862	
Gimmellie, Terry X	3937	
Hemphill, Helen	4967	
Hemphill, Neil	4967	
Hines, Jerry	4584	
Hull, Brooks	3937	
Johnson, Keith	2322 X	
Johnson, Sherry	2322	
Kelley, Judy	4685 X	
Kennedy, Jean	3215	
Kennedy, Lewis	3215	
Kiss, Gayle	3965	
Kleiman, Daniel	3106	
Krabbe, Susan	4140	
Larson, Daniel	5073	
Mann, Jeff	3886	
Mann, Nancy	3886	
Matura, James C.	3940	
Matura, Marilyn A.	3940	
McIntyre, Deborah	4364	
McIntyre, Thomas	4364	
Mitchell, Craig	4420	
Moore, John	4792	
Moore, Renee	4792	
Mourad, Bob	1712	
Mourad, Bob - Guest		
Orlando, Donald	3840	
Orlando, Donna	3840	
Otto, Charlotte	3062	
Otto, Robert	3062	























Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting

November 14 | 1 p.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Anderson, Amy	4615	
Anderson, Mark D.	4615	
Banwart, Florence L.	4052	
Banwart, Sidney C.	4052	
Cawman, George	2365	
Copeland, David	4618	
Eckholm, Laurel	2358	
Eckholm, William	2358	
Ferguson, John	2724	
Fine, Marjorie	4999	
Frawley, Kevin	3526	
Frederiksen, Duane	1183	
Gevlin, John	2862	
Gevlin, Nora	2862	
Gimmellie, Terry	3937	
Hemphill, Helen	4967	
Hemphill, Neil	4967	
Hines, Jerry	4584	
Hull, Brooks	3937	
Johnson, Keith	2322	
Johnson, Sherry	2322	
Kelley, Judy	4685	
Kennedy, Jean	3215	
Kennedy, Lewis	3215	
Kiss, Gayle	3965	
Kleiman, Daniel	3106	
Krabbe, Susan	4140	
Larson, Daniel	5073	
Mann, Jeff	3886	
Mann, Nancy	3886	
Matura, James C.	3940	
Matura, Marilyn A.	3940	
McIntyre, Deborah	4364	
McIntyre, Thomas	4364	
Mitchell, Craig	4420	
Moore, John	4792	
Moore, Renee	4792	
Mourad, Bob	1712	
Mourad, Bob - Guest		
Orlando, Donald	3840	
Orlando, Donna	3840	
Otto, Charlotte	3062	
Otto, Robert	3062	















Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting

November 14 | 3 p.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Baker, Lynda	1864	
Besemer, Douglas	2683	
Besemer, Nancy	2683	
Borsch, Robert	1640	
Bukacek, Bernice	212	
Carroll, David	4692	
Champion, Donna	4277	
Champion, James	4277	
Cohen, Ted	4819	
Coyne, Lynne	4620	
Cunningham, Jeannie	4266	
Cunningham, Pete	4266	
D'Addario, John	2509	
Deiters, Gary	4896	
Deiters, Linda	4896	
Deshur, James	3801	
Deshur, Penelope	3801	
Dings, Ann	3974	
Dings, Michael T	3974	
Garbarino, John	3108	
Garbarino, Penny	3108	
Gevlin, John	2862	
Gevlin, Nora	2862	
Giebelhausen, Larry	4652	
Gilman, Karen	4218	
Gilman, Mark	4218	
Gregory, Stephen	2556	
Gregory, Susan	2556	
Halmay, Andy	4015	
Hartman, Shirley	2444	
Hartman, Tom	2444	
Hinderberger, Carolyn	4555	
Hinderberger, Ron	4555	
Hobin, Michael	3025	
Hogshire, Beverly	204	
Hogshire, James	204	
Johansen, Kirk	4574	
Katz, Alice	3224	
Kilstrom, Eileen	2057	
Kilstrom, Richard	2057	
Knopp, Gary	4628	
Knopp, Susan	4628	













Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting

November 14 | 3 p.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Baker, Lynda	1864	
Besemer, Douglas	2683	
Besemer, Nancy	2683	
Borsch, Robert <i>Anne</i>	1640	
Bukacek, Bernice	212	
Carroll, David	4692	
Champion, Donna	4277	
Champion, James	4277	
Cohen, Ted	4819	
Coyne, Lynne	4620	
Cunningham, Jeannie	4266	
Cunningham, Pete	4266	
D'Addario, John	2509	
Deiters, Gary	4896	
Deiters, Linda	4896	
Deshur, James	3801	
Deshur, Penelope	3801	
Dings, Ann	3974	
Dings, Michael T	3974	
Garbarino, John	3108	
Garbarino, Penny	3108	
Gevlin, John	2862	
Gevlin, Nora	2862	
Giebelhausen, Larry	4652	
Gilman, Karen	4218	
Gilman, Mark	4218	
Gregory, Stephen	2556	
Gregory, Susan	2556	
Halmay, Andy	4015	
Hartman, Shirley	2444	
Hartman, Tom	2444	
Hinderberger, Carolyn	4555	
Hinderberger, Ron	4555	
Hobin, Michael	3025	
Hogshire, Beverly	204	
Hogshire, James	204	
Johansen, Kirk	4574	
Katz, Alice	3224	
Kilstrom, Eileen	2057	
Kilstrom, Richard	2057	
Knopp, Gary	4628	
Knopp, Susan	4628	

Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting













November 14 | 5 p.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Adler, MD, Charles	4107	
Bauer, Sheree	4755	
Bedford, James	4914	
Belanger, Deb	4954	
Belanger, Joe	4954	
Benaglio, John	4458	
Bennett, Tim	3885	
Biller, Scott	1016	
Borman, Ty	1403	
Bramson, James	4680	
Bramson, Joanne	4680	
Canepa, Chuck	2066	
Carpenter, Chad	1106	
Charleson, Cindy	4902	
Charleson, Dave	4902	
Copeland, David	4618	
Copeland, Elizabeth	4618	
Corcoran, Brian	3580	
Corcoran, Nicole	3580	
Davidson, Richard	4463	
DeFalco Jr., George	4442	
DeFalco, Elizabeth	4442	
Eble, Tim	3975	
Geer, Barbara	1936	
Geer, Charles	1936	
Goldfarb, Joan	1752	
Goldfarb, Stephen	1752	
Gwazdauskas, Jill	4990	
Gwazdauskas, John	4990	
Hammergren, John	4211	
Hammergren, Wendy Lou	4211	
Iselin, John	3811	
Johnson, Jeff A.	5058	
Kahler, Katherine	3231	
Kahler, Michael	3231	
Kiss, Gayle	3965	
Klonoski, Joanne	3682	
Klonoski, Michael	3682	
Koehler, Douglas	4817	
Koehler, Mary	4817	
Kory, Kathy	4068	
Kory, Ron	4068	
Lewis, June	4985	

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Lewis, Les	4985	
Lieberman, Stuart	1970	
Luchtenburg, Kathy	5021	
Luchtenburg, Mike	5021	
Luther, Marcia	3782	
Luther, Thomas	3782	
Masatsugu, Cary	5033	
Masatsugu, Laurel	5033	
McDaniel, Michael	2324	
McKibben, Craig	915	
McKibben, Mel	915	
Medress, Harvey	4028	
Medress, Judy	4028	
Moore, Rick	3936	
Owen, Jeff	4913	
Owen, Mellie	4913	
Pittana, Michael	4260	
Pollack, Corinne	2464	
Pollack, John	2464	
Powell, Don G.	5067	
Powell, Sue H	5067	
Rethemeier, Todd	4664	
Rezac, Kileen	4647	
Rezac, Mark	4647	
Roberts, Marianne	4914	
Rodenborn, James	4225	
Rolle, Charles	4391	
Rolle, Julie	4391	
Schoenbeck, Dave	4553	
Schoenbeck, Ellen	4553	
Schubert, Loree	2511	
Schubert, Scott	2511	
Schwanz, Donald	3725	
Schwanz, Mary	3725	
Seaton, Robert	648	
Seaton, Sheila	648	
Settles, John	2051	
Settles, Nancy	2051	
Spidell, Jackson	5054	
Spidell, Kathleen	5054	
Thompson, Mr. Tracy (Brian)	4184	
Thompson, Sue	4184	
Tiberi, Kathleen	4117	
Tyson, Terri	3885	
Winthrop, Lee	1323	
Winthrop, Andrea	1323	

Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting

November 14 | 5 p.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Adler, MD, Charles	4107	
Bauer, Sheree	4755	
Bedford, James	4914	
Belanger, Deb	4954	
Belanger, Joe	4954	
Benaglio, John	4458	
Bennett, Tim	3885	
Biller, Scott	1016	
Borman, Ty	1403	
Bramson, James	4680	
Bramson, Joanne	4680	
Canepa, Chuck	2066	
Carpenter, Chad	1106	
Charleson, Cindy	4902	
Charleson, Dave	4902	
Copeland, David	4618	
Copeland, Elizabeth	4618	
Corcoran, Brian	3580	
Corcoran, Nicole	3580	
Davidson, Richard	4463	
DeFalco Jr., George	4442	
DeFalco, Elizabeth	4442	
Eble, Tim	3975	
Geer, Barbara	1936	
Geer, Charles	1936	
Goldfarb, Joan	1752	
Goldfarb, Stephen	1752	
Gwazdauskas, Jill	4990	
Gwazdauskas, John	4990	
Hammergren, John	4211	
Hammergren, Wendy Lou	4211	
Iselin, John	3811	
Johnson, Jeff A.	5058	
Kahler, Katherine	3231	
Kahler, Michael	3231	
Kiss, Gayle	3965	
Klonoski, Joanne	3682	
Klonoski, Michael	3682	
Koehler, Douglas	4817	
Koehler, Mary	4817	
Kory, Kathy	4068	
Kory, Ron	4068	
Lewis, June	4985	

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Lewis, Les	4985	Les Lewis
Lieberman, Stuart	1970	
Luchtenburg, Kathy	5021	
Luchtenburg, Mike	5021	
Luther, Marcia	3782	Marcia Luther
Luther, Thomas	3782	Tom Luther
Masatsugu, Cary	5033	Cary Masatsugu
Masatsugu, Laurel	5033	Laurel Masatsugu
McDaniel, Michael	2324	Michael McDaniel
McKibben, Craig	915	
McKibben, Mel	915	
Medress, Harvey	4028	
Medress, Judy	4028	
Moore, Rick	3936	
Owen, Jeff	4913	Jeff Owen
Owen, Mellie	4913	
Pittana, Michael	4260	
Pollack, Corinne	2464	
Pollack, John	2464	
Powell, Don G.	5067	
Powell, Sue H	5067	
Rethemeier, Todd	4664	Todd Rethemeier
Rezac, Kileen	4647	Kileen Rezac
Rezac, Mark	4647	Mark Rezac
Roberts, Marianne	4914	
Rodenborn, James	4225	
Rolle, Charles	4391	Charles Rolle
Rolle, Julie	4391	Julie Rolle
Schoenbeck, Dave	4553	D. Schlenker
Schoenbeck, Ellen	4553	
Schubert, Loree	2511	
Schubert, Scott	2511	
Schwanz, Donald	3725	Don Schwanz
Schwanz, Mary	3725	Mary Schwanz
Seaton, Robert	648	
Seaton, Sheila	648	
Settles, John	2051	John Settles
Settles, Nancy	2051	Nancy Settles
Spidell, Jackson	5054	
Spidell, Kathleen	5054	
Thompson, Mr. Tracy (Brian)	4184	
Thompson, Sue	4184	Sue Thompson
Tiberi, Kathleen	4117	
Tyson, Terri	3885	
Winthrop, Lee	1323	

November 15 | 8 a.m.

















15-DR-2021_V3
10/12/2021

November 15 | 8 a.m.

15-DR-2021_V3
10/12/2021

Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting









November 15 | 10 a.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Anderson, Mark D.	4615	
Bailey III, Joseph "Joe" A.	3553	
Bailey, Charlotte Rush	3553	
Balak, David	4181	
Bedford, James	4914	
Biller, Scott	1016	
Boley, Judith Brinkerhuff	2283	
Boley, Richard	2283	
Brown, Franklin	2085	
Bryan, Tim	4636	
Carpenter, Margie	1106	
Cogan, James	3369	
Cogan, Patricia	3369	
Dolby, Kent	3302	
Dolby, Mari	3302	
Ervin, Mike	4598	
Ford, Jill	1605	
Freedman, Rick	4575	
Green, Jeffrey	1955	
Green, Kathy	1955	
Gundry, Deborah	4253	
Hall, Michael	1013	
Hartley, Amber	4928	
Hartley, John	4928	
Hawk, Nancy	4575	
Henricks, Brian	5022	
Henricks, Maria	5022	
Hugg, Connie	5003	
Iselin, John	3811	
Iselin, Nancy	3811	
Iseri, Howard	4893	
Juetten, George	3846	
Juetten, Sondra	3846	
Kalwaytis, Wayne	3497	
Kelly, Audrey N.	4085	

Kelly, Michael	4085	<i>Mr. Kelly</i>
Lamberts, David	4699	
Lamberts, Patricia	4699	
Lefkowitz, Dr. Steven	2649	
Lefkowitz, Susan	2649	
Mingo, Barry Mary	4782	<i>Mary Mingo</i>
Nelson, Diane	3787	
Nelson, R. Daniel	3787	<i>Dan Nelson</i>
Nordeen, Jon	3871	
Nordstrom, Nita	4598	
O'Chap, Dale	4020	<i>Dale O'Chap</i>
O'Chap, Julie	4020	<i>Julie O'Chap</i>
Quicke, John	3212	
Quicke, Nancy	3212	
Quinn, Kristine	782	
Quinn, Timothy	782	
Reed, Katherine	1156	
Roberts, Maryann	4914	
Santoro, Diane	4857	<i>Diane Santoro</i>
Santoro, Vic	4857	
Sawyer, Bill	5003	
Seymour, Colleen	1061	
Simmons, Sandra	3091	
Somers, LeAnn	3504	
Somers, Terry	3504	
Stanbrook, Catherine	4010	
Wakefield, Suzanne	1013	<i>Suzanne Wakefield</i>
Wannemaker, Robert	3151	<i>Rob Wannemaker</i>
Ward, Bill	4607	
Wilson, Neil	5051	
Woods, Nancy	1694	
BOAVES, Roger Craig Parker	1502 2488	<i>Craig Parker</i>
ALISON O'NEILL	3851	<i>Alison O'Neill</i>
DAN O'NEILL	3851	<i>Dan O'Neill</i>

Long Range Facilities Planning Meeting

November 15 | 10 a.m.

Attendee Name	Membership #	Signature
Anderson, Mark D.	4615	
Bailey III, Joseph "Joe" A.	3553	
Bailey, Charlotte Rush	3553	
Balak, David	4181	
Bedford, James	4914	
Biller, Scott	1016	
Boley, Judith Brinkerhuff	2283	
Boley, Richard	2283	
Brown, Franklin	2085	
Bryan, Tim	4636	
Carpenter, Margie	1106	
Cogan, James	3369	
Cogan, Patricia	3369	
Dolby, Kent	3302	
Dolby, Mari	3302	
Ervin, Mike	4598	
Ford, Jill	1605	
Freedman, Rick	4575	
Green, Jeffrey	1955	
Green, Kathy	1955	
Gundry, Deborah	4253	
Hall, Michael	1013	
Hartley, Amber	4928	
Hartley, John	4928	
Hawk, Nancy	4575	
Henricks, Brian	5022	
Henricks, Maria	5022	
Hugg, Connie	5003	
Iselin, John	3811	
Iselin, Nancy	3811	
Iseri, Howard	4893	
Juetten, George	3846	
Juetten, Sondra	3846	
Kalwaytis, Wayne	3497	
Kelly, Audrey N.	4085	

Kelly, Michael	4085	
Lamberts, David	4699	David Lambert
Lamberts, Patricia	4699	Pat Lambert
Lefkowitz, Dr. Steven	2649	Steven Lefkowitz
Lefkowitz, Susan	2649	Susan Lefkowitz
Mingo, Dave	4782	
Nelson, Diane	3787	
Nelson, R. Daniel	3787	R. Daniel
Nordeen, Jon	3871	
Nordstrom, Nita	4598	
O'Chap, Dale	4020	
O'Chap, Julie	4020	
Quicke, John	3212	John Quicke
Quicke, Nancy	3212	Nancy Quicke
Quinn, Kristine	782	Kristine Quinn
Quinn, Timothy	782	Timothy Quinn
Reed, Katherine	1156	
Roberts, Maryann	4914	
Santoro, Diane	4857	
Santoro, Vic	4857	
Sawyer, Bill	5003	Bill Sawyer
Seymour, Colleen	1061	
Simmons, Sandra	3091	S. Simmons
Somers, LeAnn	3504	
Somers, Terry	3504	
Stanbrook, Catherine	4010	C. Stanbrook
Wakefield, Suzanne	1013	
Wannemaker, Robert	3151	
Ward, Bill	4607	
Wilson, Neil	5051	Neil
Woods, Nancy	1694	NW
LeAnn Somers	1649	LeAnn Somers
John & Renee Moore	4792	John & Renee Moore
Wayne & Cindy Harper	3173	Wayne & Cindy Harper
DAVID WILSON	4413	David Wilson

TABULATED EXIT SURVEY NOVEMBER 14 – 15, 2019

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members?

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members?				
	Counts	Percents	Percents	
			0	100
Strongly Agree	133	64.9%	<div><div></div></div>	
Agree	64	31.2%	<div><div></div></div>	
Disagree	7	3.4%	<div><div></div></div>	
Strongly Disagree	1	0.5%		
Totals	205	100.0%		
Forms	208			
Mean	3.60			
Mean Error*	±0.08			

****Mean is base on a score of 4 (Strongly Agree) to 1 (Strongly Disagree)**

Overall	Informational Sessions?						Gender					
	Thursday @ 1PM	Thursday @ 3PM	Thursday @ 5PM	Friday @ 8AM	Friday @ 10AM	No Answer	Male	Female	No Answer			
208	14.4%, 30	23.6%, 49	22.6%, 47	8.2%, 17	21.2%, 44	10.1%, 21	52.9%, 110	40.9%, 85	6.3%, 13			
96.1% 197	96.4% 27	95.8% 46	100.0% 47	100.0% 17	88.6% 39	100.0% 21	96.3% 103	95.3% 81	100.0% 13			
3.9% 8	3.6% 1	4.2% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	11.4% 5	0.0% 0	3.7% 4	4.7% 4	0.0% 0			
100.0% 205	100.0% 28	100.0% 48	100.0% 47	100.0% 17	100.0% 44	100.0% 21	100.0% 107	100.0% 85	100.0% 13			
±2.7%	±7.0%	±5.8%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±9.6%	±0.0%	±3.7%	±4.6%	±0.0%			

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members?

Overall	Age Group					Length of Membership					
	55 & Under	56 - 65	66 - 75	76+	No Answer	Less than 2 years	2 - 5 years	6 - 10 years	11 - 20 years	More than 20 years	No Answer
208	3.4%, 7	28.4%, 59	51.9%, 108	10.6%, 22	5.8%, 12	13.0%, 27	21.2%, 44	17.3%, 36	20.7%, 43	21.6%, 45	6.3%, 13

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members?

Agree	96.1% 197	100.0% 7	96.6% 57	94.3% 100	100.0% 21	100.0% 12	92.6% 25	97.7% 42	100.0% 36	90.5% 38	97.7% 43	100.0% 13
Disagree	3.9% 8	0.0% 0	3.4% 2	5.7% 6	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	7.4% 2	2.3% 1	0.0% 0	9.5% 4	2.3% 1	0.0% 0
Totals	100.0% 205	100.0% 7	100.0% 59	100.0% 106	100.0% 21	100.0% 12	100.0% 27	100.0% 43	100.0% 36	100.0% 42	100.0% 44	100.0% 13
Freq Error*	±2.7%	±0.0%	±4.7%	±4.5%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±10.1%	±4.6%	±0.0%	±9.1%	±4.5%	±0.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.



Overall	Agreement with Overall Direction		Agreement with Central Receiving Direction		Agreement with Renegade Direction		Agreement with C/G Direction	
	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
208	96.1%, 197	3.9%, 8	99.0%, 205	1.0%, 2	93.7%, 193	6.3%, 13	94.1%, 190	5.9%, 12

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members?

Agree	96.1% 197	100.0% 197	0.0% 0	96.5% 195	50.0% 1	97.9% 187	66.7% 8	98.9% 186	50.0% 6
Disagree	3.9% 8	0.0% 0	100.0% 8	3.5% 7	50.0% 1	2.1% 4	33.3% 4	1.1% 2	50.0% 6
Totals	100.0% 205	100.0% 197	100.0% 8	100.0% 202	100.0% 2	100.0% 191	100.0% 12	100.0% 188	100.0% 12
Freq Error*	±2.7%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±2.6%	±70.7%	±2.1%	±27.2%	±1.5%	±28.9%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

2. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Central Receiving area?

2. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Central Receiving area?				
	Counts	Percents	Percents	
			0	100
Strongly Agree	169	81.6%		
Agree	36	17.4%		
Disagree	1	0.5%		
Strongly Disagree	1	0.5%		
Totals	207	100.0%		
Forms	208			
Mean	3.80			
Mean Error*	±0.06			

**Mean is base on a score of 4 (Strongly Agree) to 1 (Strongly Disagree)

Overall	Informational Sessions?						Gender		
	Thursday @ 1PM	Thursday @ 3PM	Thursday @ 5PM	Friday @ 8AM	Friday @ 10AM	No Answer	Male	Female	No Answer
208	14.4%, 30	23.6%, 49	22.6%, 47	8.2%, 17	21.2%, 44	10.1%, 21	52.9%, 110	40.9%, 85	6.3%, 13
2. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Central Receiving area?									
Agree	99.0% 205	100.0% 30	100.0% 49	100.0% 47	100.0% 16	97.7% 43	95.2% 20	99.1% 108	98.8% 84
Disagree	1.0% 2	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.3% 1	4.8% 1	0.9% 1	1.2% 1
Totals	100.0% 207	100.0% 30	100.0% 49	100.0% 47	100.0% 16	100.0% 44	100.0% 21	100.0% 109	100.0% 85
Freq Error*	±1.4%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±4.5%	±9.3%	±1.8%	±2.3%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

2. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Central Receiving area?

Overall	Age Group					Length of Membership					
	55 & Under	56 - 65	66 - 75	76+	No Answer	Less than 2 years	2 - 5 years	6 - 10 years	11 - 20 years	More than 20 years	No Answer
208	3.4%, 7	28.4%, 59	51.9%, 108	10.6%, 22	5.8%, 12	13.0%, 27	21.2%, 44	17.3%, 36	20.7%, 43	21.6%, 45	6.3%, 13
Agree	99.0% 205	100.0% 7	98.3% 58	99.1% 106	100.0% 22	100.0% 12	96.3% 26	100.0% 43	100.0% 36	97.7% 42	100.0% 45
Disagree	1.0% 2	0.0% 0	1.7% 1	0.9% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	3.7% 1	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	2.3% 1	0.0% 0
Totals	100.0% 207	100.0% 7	100.0% 59	100.0% 107	100.0% 22	100.0% 12	100.0% 27	100.0% 43	100.0% 36	100.0% 43	100.0% 45
Freq Error*	±1.4%	±0.0%	±3.4%	±1.9%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±7.3%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±4.6%	±0.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

Overall	Agreement with Overall Direction		Agreement with Central Receiving Direction		Agreement with Renegade Direction		Agreement with C/G Direction	
	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
208	96.1%, 197	3.9%, 8	99.0%, 205	1.0%, 2	93.7%, 193	6.3%, 13	94.1%, 190	5.9%, 12
99.0% 205	99.5% 195	87.5% 7	100.0% 205	0.0% 0	100.0% 192	92.3% 12	99.5% 188	91.7% 11
1.0% 2	0.5% 1	12.5% 1	0.0% 0	100.0% 2	0.0% 0	7.7% 1	0.5% 1	8.3% 1
100.0% 207	100.0% 196	100.0% 8	100.0% 205	100.0% 2	100.0% 192	100.0% 13	100.0% 189	100.0% 12
±1.4%	±1.0%	±23.4%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±14.8%	±1.1%	±16.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

3. Please share with us any feedback relative to the Central Receiving concept.

3. Please share with us any feedback relative to the Central Receiving concept.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Agree

- Smart, efficient, less disruption to the mountain.
- I am on committee and am a strong proponent of Phase I and II
- As a bike rider, removing truck traffic is a huge plus
- Seems very good. Will trucks back up in line onto Cave Creek Road?
- Good idea. Make sure it has capacity/functionality to handle all incoming deliveries, e.g. refrigeration and has ability to increase the size
- Sooner the better. should include a holding area for wine purchases (Robin)
- Clearly needed
- It is a great idea. Get the wheels off DM Parkway. Makes total sense from an operational and efficiency perspective.
- Very much needed
- Not sure if I saw what is happening with the current parking at this location. Will this decrease and how will it effect the office there, employees and visitors.
- Very excited
- Do you think space for 3 semi trucks is sufficient?
- 100% essential
- I love the concept. This is greatly needed
- Great and needed concept
- Do members abuse this receiving area with unnecessary personal shipments that could be sent to homes
- Please consider the left turn from the construction exit. At times this is difficult especially in the morning when going to outlaw
- Needed for sure. A must do.
- 1
- I think its great!
- I would out source this function. Find 3rd party to provide staff. Save \$36mm in cash and reduce DM staff.
- Central receiving is a must! The rest needs to be done.
- Great direction. Efficiently plus!
- Can you build bigger building?
- Long overdue.

- Great idea to use this building for receiving. One concern - how does this impact the backups at this gate for subs coming into the homes with added delivery trucks for club?
- Seems like a "must do" but it would be helpful to describe how materials are delivered from the central facility to the various clubhouse, number, size of trucks.
- No brainer - cost projection seems low.
- Please insure it is large enough to increase efficiency now and in the future.
- How will staffing change with this facility? This is needed! Would there be a possibility to have UPS/Fed Ex location at this facility?
- I assume that member packages will also arrive at this facility?
- We live in Desert Greens and can hear traffic from Cave Creek Road. I am concerned about the noise of the 18 wheelers and trash compactors.
- Much needed!
- I believe there should be guidelines on member deliveries.
- Great concept. One concern would be handling traffic in and out.
- Good ideas, should consider added traffic on roads from smaller vehicles.
- Long overdue.
- Consideration for noise reduction of tractor trailers going up Cave Creek Road.
- Safety on Cave Creek Rd. as road curves and lanes merge.
- Like the concept, just ensure that the build space covers projected growth.
- Surely needed!
- Seems entirely logical and a vast improvement over the current receiving and storage area. Like the idea that the big trucks are outside the gate.
- Assuming a fleet of trucks will be needed to disperse goods to all the clubhouses, where would they be housed? a garage near the central receiving?
- I think this is both necessary and reasonable, this was needed years ago.
- Badly needed - proceed!
- All good.
- Makes perfect sense.
- Makes perfect sense.
- Are we building it large enough, is there room for expansion?
- I believe the central receiving concept will make such a difference to the overall efficiency of the club.
- Absolutely needs to be done.
- It seems long overdue. I think the ability to buy in bulk, etc. will repay the investment quickly.
- We live on Apache #5 and would just want to make sure it is discretely "hidden" from the golf course and homes.
- Great idea.
- Concern with sound generating from central receiving to villages of desert fairways and desert horizons and desert greens. Security for entering trucks.
- I would over scale it to be 15-20% larger than currently project. If we create the space, we will use it and unload other facilities in a beneficial way.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Agree

- Badly needed
- Fabulous plan to centralize receiving
- Off site best
- Best idea
- Efficiency is logical and reduced traffic needed
- Good plan. Facility well. Work well.
- Logistics study?
- Hope the new baking/party kitchen will produce better results
- Strategically sensible
- A good plan
- Makes total sense
- Beware of flooding risk if the building is "sunken" to be out of sight of golf course.
- Great idea to get trucks off the road.
- Concerned that 11-ish year payback based on optimistic cost savings site, not very good.
- Ensure it is large enough and includes all the needed equipment.
- This is so smart - greatly needed.
- Makes sense - truck traffic is increasing and dangerous.
- I would have imagined greater synergy and cost savings. \$250-\$300k per year suggests a 15 year payback - too long.
- On drawing, it looks too small. We need to be sure we don't build this and then immediately have another space problem.
- Makes perfect sense. Move the traffic to the highway, view corridors for desert fairways should not be impeded in any way. Dig down if necessary.





1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Disagree

- Makes good sense. Review truck flow to include turning radius.
- I agree that an external receiving area makes sense, but all of your proposed benefits are soft numbers. If those benefits were real - you could get them today. How dependent is the \$15 million on sales at seven.
- It appears that central receiving is already in place at C/G, but that is inefficient due to lack of access. The proposed efficiencies don't match with the projected savings.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Disagree

- Loosing the western feel.

4. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Renegade site including new clubhouse and golf practice facility enhancements?

4. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Renegade site including new clubhouse and golf practice facility enhancements?			
	Counts	Percents	Percents
			0 100
Strongly Agree	127	61.7%	
Agree	66	32.0%	
Disagree	8	3.9%	
Strongly Disagree	5	2.4%	
Totals	206	100.0%	
Forms	208		
Mean	3.53		
Mean Error*	±0.10		

**Mean is base on a score of 4 (Strongly Agree) to 1 (Strongly Disagree)

Overall	Informational Sessions?						Gender		
	Thursday @ 1PM	Thursday @ 3PM	Thursday @ 5PM	Friday @ 8AM	Friday @ 10AM	No Answer	Male	Female	No Answer
208	14.4%, 30	23.6%, 49	22.6%, 47	8.2%, 17	21.2%, 44	10.1%, 21	52.9%, 110	40.9%, 85	6.3%, 13

4. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Renegade site including new clubhouse and golf practice facility enhancements?

Agree	93.7%	193	90.0%	27	95.9%	47	97.8%	45	88.2%	15	88.6%	39	100.0%	20	91.7%	100	95.2%	80	100.0%	13
Disagree	6.3%	13	10.0%	3	4.1%	2	2.2%	1	11.8%	2	11.4%	5	0.0%	0	8.3%	9	4.8%	4	0.0%	0
Totals	100.0%	206	100.0%	30	100.0%	49	100.0%	46	100.0%	17	100.0%	44	100.0%	20	100.0%	109	100.0%	84	100.0%	13
Freq Error*	±3.4%		±11.0%		±5.7%		±4.3%		±15.6%		±9.6%		±0.0%		±5.3%		±4.6%		±0.0%	

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

4. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the development of the Renegade site including new clubhouse and golf practice facility enhancements?

Overall	Age Group					Length of Membership					
	55 & Under	56 - 65	66 - 75	76+	No Answer	Less than 2 years	2 - 5 years	6 - 10 years	11 - 20 years	More than 20 years	No Answer
208	3.4%, 7	28.4%, 59	51.9%, 108	10.6%, 22	5.8%, 12	13.0%, 27	21.2%, 44	17.3%, 36	20.7%, 43	21.6%, 45	6.3%, 13
Agree	93.7% 193	100.0% 7	93.0% 53	91.7% 99	100.0% 22	100.0% 12	92.0% 23	95.5% 42	91.7% 33	90.7% 39	95.6% 43
Disagree	6.3% 13	0.0% 0	7.0% 4	8.3% 9	0.0% 0	0.0% 0	8.0% 2	4.5% 2	8.3% 3	9.3% 4	4.4% 2
Totals	100.0% 206	100.0% 7	100.0% 57	100.0% 108	100.0% 22	100.0% 12	100.0% 25	100.0% 44	100.0% 36	100.0% 43	100.0% 45
Freq Error*	±3.4%	±0.0%	±6.8%	±5.3%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±10.9%	±6.3%	±9.2%	±8.9%	±6.1%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

Overall	Agreement with Overall Direction		Agreement with Central Receiving Direction		Agreement with Renegade Direction		Agreement with C/G Direction	
	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
208	96.1%, 197	3.9%, 8	99.0%, 205	1.0%, 2	93.7%, 193	6.3%, 13	94.1%, 190	5.9%, 12
Agree	93.7% 193	95.9% 187	50.0% 4	94.1% 192	0.0% 0	100.0% 193	0.0% 0	95.8% 181
Disagree	6.3% 13	4.1% 8	50.0% 4	5.9% 12	100.0% 1	0.0% 0	100.0% 13	4.2% 8
Totals	100.0% 206	100.0% 195	100.0% 8	100.0% 204	100.0% 193	100.0% 13	100.0% 189	100.0% 12
Freq Error*	±3.4%	±2.8%	±35.4%	±3.3%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±2.9%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

5. Please share with us any feedback relative to the proposed Renegade site (new clubhouse and golf practice facility enhancements).

5. Please share with us any feedback relative to the proposed Renegade site (new clubhouse and golf practice facility enhancements).

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Agree

- Curious about TV/sports space at Renegade bar area. Will it be similar to 7? There is a lot of demand for more of the weekend tailgate expense so I hope it will. Agree with concerns about balls hitting parking area.
- Need assurance that there will be sun protected outside dining
- As for new clubhouse it must be flexible, meaning upstairs meeting room
- Consider how you could creat a "top golf" or evening environment on the driving range similar to the Bocce Ball Courts at seven
- Really like upgraded practice area
- Need improvements for a world class golf course
- 1
- I like the idea of having a dining option with casual atmosphere to seven and cafe verde
- Great to go with more casual dining and larger bars
- Start tomorrow
- Love it
- Get it done sooner than later.
- Long overdue
- This is a beautiful concept. I cant wait for it to be completed
- Great concept
- I do worry about the design of the dining area as it relates to sunrise and sunset. I feel seven is a disaster as to the weather problems and dining
- Don't significantly change the menu
- Complete the Renegade
- Renegade changes much needed!
- Looks fantastic.
- Please describe how operations will continue during construction.
- Good idea giving car parking access to the performance center. The new clubhouse - pictures didn't look like a feather, more like covered parking structure at the grocery store. \$200k plus annual income at new renegade, will this come at a net cost to operations?
- Just make sure patio seating is warm so it can be used all year long.
- Consider reviewing parking - only 20 additional, concerned it is not enough.

- Feel this update will greatly encourage more use of this clubhouse. We live in sunrise, yet never eat at The Hideout. We would definitely utilize the new clubhouse regularly.
- Good use of space planned.
- Quality of food at 7 is exceptional, why not repeat it?
- Views nice.
- I have strong concerns for how the "Sun" issues will be handled.
- Looks great! Do it as soon as possible!
- Great looking plan.
- Consolidation is exciting.
- Clubhouse looks great. Noise levels need to be addressed.
- Appreciate the thoughtfulness of adding bar and lounge/dining experience.
- Please consider regular live music capability in bar/lounge area.
- Impressed with the improved flow and set up of the site. Agree with the question about whether 66 carts would be enough though.
- Looks great, capturing the spirit of the Hideout is critical.
- I'm not 100% in favor of the modernistic design. I would hope it would have more warmth and not so cold looking.
- Yes! Good!
- I am worried that there is not a big enough dining space here.
- Bar and lounge concepts are of greatest interest.
- Love the plan! Check out the Raven on Cave Creek Road across from #2 green of out law. Wine, meat and cheese offerings plus comfort food.
- Very exciting
- Looking forward to smarter use of land.
- Would like to see central receiving renovation done first. Do believe renegade renovation should be done.
- Wonderful we walk there from our house every day and are looking forward to the new building. Need women's lounge.
- Having this as an improved social/dining site will relieve some of the space pressure experience at other dining facilities.
- Love it!
- From the visual renderings - personally I didn't like the checkerboard effect of the stonework. Do classy finishes, not too cold and modern.
- Much needed.
- I agree with the overall concept. I agree with the concepts for the clubhouse. However, I don't think that it is as warm and comfortable as stated.
- People play bocce ball and pickle ball in the late afternoon/early evening and stay for cocktails and dinner. Now they would have to move down to Renegade.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Agree

- As long as there is no assessment for it.
- Food and beverage capability/capacity is presently met with the addition of seven. Pro shop and storage is needed
- Needs to be more efficient

- Parking?
- Do you still drive up and drop off your clubs as you do now
- We are turning this place into a center for bars. Bars by restaurants are not relaxing and comfortable
- Are we at risk of cannibalizing existing restaurants as we improve on hideout and CG?
- Based on presentation looks contemporary and functional
- Why do we have so many pro shops. Why not one appropriately sized shop
- You are underestimating the number of carts. People will use cart to go back and forth. Don't see how to drop off and pick up clubs.
- Seems plan includes the elimination of evening dining at Cafe Verde. Concerned about availability during high season if Renegade has reservations whereas Cafe Verde is no way very easy and casual to access
- Living in Lookout Ridge I would be interested in more info/drawings of site lines and how the club house will impact views
- Careful to protect parking adjacent to Renegade
- Not sure this is all
- More restaurant capacity
- Look forward to the new facility
- Consolidation of usage makes sense
- Timing with C/G is wrong
- We are new members so we haven't experienced everything. My impression of Renegade clubhouse is that its confusing and not very friendly for hanging out. Great for golfing and practicing.
- Liked all the ideas, but worried about patios. Also - no need for a water feature, seems like a waste in the desert.
- It is unclear why we need a full new clubhouse rather than building a smaller facility and making c/o the prime facility open every night.
- We are concerned with how much the new parking space will impinge the view from our house.
- Looks promising.
- Cannot disrupt utilization.
- I would rather you spend the 15 mil that was wasted on the golf course renovation on this clubhouse plan.
- Don't make clubhouse too contemporary. Don't want it to be sports bar concept.
- Very important to fix Renegade - good plan.
- Well thought out plan. Is private dining space large enough to generate revenue projections?
- Renegade needs this remodel.
- Sun/Wind issue seen at seven to be addressed at proposed new renegade before build.
- Concerns about direction change of driving range.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Disagree





- The fitting center should never have been rebuilt where it is. It should have been built at the C/G range where golfers warm up for Conchise/Geronimo.
- The money spent on the Renegade course redesign was wasted. The house has been ruined and is not pleasurable to play as is.

- Change redesign so that people do not need to walk through the bar area to get to the dining area. This is similar to seven. It is a long and noisy walk.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Disagree

- Just too expensive.

6. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation?

6. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation?				
	Counts	Percents	Percents	
			0	100
Strongly Agree	111	55.0%		
Agree	79	39.1%		
Disagree	8	4.0%		
Strongly Disagree	4	2.0%		
Totals	202	100.0%		
Forms	208			
Mean	3.47			
Mean Error*	±0.09			

**Mean is base on a score of 4 (Strongly Agree) to 1 (Strongly Disagree)

6. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation?

	Overall						Informational Sessions?						Gender					
	208	14.4%, 30	23.6%, 49	22.6%, 47	8.2%, 17	21.2%, 44	10.1%, 21	Thursday @ 1PM	Thursday @ 3PM	Thursday @ 5PM	Friday @ 8AM	Friday @ 10AM	No Answer	Male	Female	No Answer		
Agree	94.1%	190	100.0%	28	91.8%	45	100.0%	44	88.2%	15	88.6%	39	95.0%	19	93.5%	100	95.2%	79
Disagree	5.9%	12	0.0%	0	8.2%	4	0.0%	0	11.8%	2	11.4%	5	5.0%	1	6.5%	7	4.8%	4
Totals	100.0%	202	100.0%	28	100.0%	49	100.0%	44	100.0%	17	100.0%	44	100.0%	20	100.0%	107	100.0%	83
Freq Error*	±3.3%		±0.0%		±7.8%		±0.0%		±15.6%		±9.6%		±9.7%		±4.8%		±4.7%	

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

6. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation?

Overall	Age Group					Length of Membership					
	55 & Under	56 - 65	66 - 75	76+	No Answer	Less than 2 years	2 - 5 years	6 - 10 years	11 - 20 years	More than 20 years	No Answer
208	3.4%, 7	28.4%, 59	51.9%, 108	10.6%, 22	5.8%, 12	13.0%, 27	21.2%, 44	17.3%, 36	20.7%, 43	21.6%, 45	6.3%, 13

6. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation?

Agree	94.1% 190	100.0% 7	94.8% 55	94.2% 98	90.9% 20	90.9% 10	96.0% 24	97.7% 43	100.0% 35	88.4% 38	90.7% 39	91.7% 11
Disagree	5.9% 12	0.0% 0	5.2% 3	5.8% 6	9.1% 2	9.1% 1	4.0% 1	2.3% 1	0.0% 0	11.6% 5	9.3% 4	8.3% 1
Totals	100.0% 202	100.0% 7	100.0% 58	100.0% 104	100.0% 22	100.0% 11	100.0% 25	100.0% 44	100.0% 35	100.0% 43	100.0% 43	100.0% 12
Freq Error*	±3.3%	±0.0%	±5.8%	±4.6%	±12.3%	±17.3%	±7.8%	±4.5%	±0.0%	±9.8%	±8.9%	±16.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

Overall	Agreement with Overall Direction		Agreement with Central Receiving Direction		Agreement with Renegade Direction		Agreement with C/G Direction	
	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
208	96.1%, 197	3.9%, 8	99.0%, 205	1.0%, 2	93.7%, 193	6.3%, 13	94.1%, 190	5.9%, 12

6. Do you agree with the proposed direction and concept for the Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation?

Agree	94.1% 190	96.9% 186	25.0% 2	94.5% 188	50.0% 1	96.3% 181	61.5% 8	100.0% 190	0.0% 0
Disagree	5.9% 12	3.1% 6	75.0% 6	5.5% 11	50.0% 1	3.7% 7	38.5% 5	0.0% 0	100.0% 12
Totals	100.0% 202	100.0% 192	100.0% 8	100.0% 199	100.0% 2	100.0% 188	100.0% 13	100.0% 190	100.0% 12
Freq Error*	±3.3%	±2.5%	±30.6%	±3.2%	±70.7%	±2.8%	±27.0%	±0.0%	±0.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

7. Please share with us any feedback relative to the proposed Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation.

7. Please share with us any feedback relative to the proposed Cochise / Geronimo Clubhouse renovation.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Agree

- These improvements are more conceptual, but exciting nonetheless.
- How to handle western view sun and wind problems
- Hoping there will be better restroom privacy in the new womens locker room. Are there any planned efficiencies for the practice area for the 3 golf courses?
- But very difficult to follow all of the drawings, etc.
- Ladies lounge area near/within the ladies locker room?
- With the phenomenal annual spending on PETS, we should consider a world class pet park on the golf course campus. Pet Park, Grooming, Boarding. If not at CG then somewhere else, but consider it as part of long range planning.
- Like the concept and the addition of private rooms
- What about making outlaw more playable? Too many open times on outlaw because no one wants to play it.
- Right-size the mens locker room/ mens grill. Great idea to move the womens locker room to the main floor
- Desperately needed. Looks great
- CG practice area needs a redesign
- Very very long overdue
- Right sizing the areas is a good idea
- Much needed
- But with downsizing of mens locker room will Mountain Mania continue to be done on the range? Currently use entire locker room for MM Participants as currently designed and to revise the size where will you put 400 players?
- Like the idea of womens locker room on main level. But I feel this is a beautiful facility that is not being utilized to its fullest. It has the best vie and the worst dining expense
- Will there be shade to deal with the sun and wind in the outdoor areas?
- Most important project we have to do
- Concern about card/social rooms. Often ladies social clubs want to invite men so relying on ladies locker room as a solution is a goo
- Concern about card/social rooms. Often ladies social clubs want to invite men, so relying on ladies locker room as solution is restrictive and undesirable.
- The clubhouse feels dark and old. We don't take advantage of wonderful views. Outdoor space is not inviting. Elevation change and alternative locker rooms do not make sense. Let's move forward!

- Men's lounge, but no women's lounge. Really disrespectful to half the people that live here.
- The need for the CG kitchen/restaurant is apparent. The facilities will enable staff to perform better. However, you do not seem to be increasing the open food service hours.
- Great concepts, obviously more work needed on planning.
- Perfect design, especially happy with the kitchen redesign.
- It is such a mess - based on town.
- Please consider someone other than Licklaus design. We can do better with new design thinking.
- I like the additional parking concept.
- Very needed from larger kitchen to the inadequacy of wasted space.
- Use of space seems to be much better.
- Agree it needs to change, but would like a card room that is not in a locker room. The men have an extra lounge.
- Perhaps a bit too "gold plated" do we really need to raise the floor level and ceiling in event space?
- Is 200 for banquet room large enough?
- Badly needed. Is 200 seat public space large enough?
- Concept seems to be directionally appropriate.
- Definitely needs to be updated.
- Hard to evaluate.
- The women's locker room is hard to use and the facilities have a cumbersome layout.
- Really needs to happen, I would have done this first.
- Get rid of all the little steps throughout, hazardous! Very little need for lockers.
- Need larger dining room.
- Increased kitchen space is desperately needed.
- I think that designers should look at balance with respect to men's and women's lounges. 225135
- Costly, but I know it must be done. Stand by for questions about why men's locker room is larger than women's locker room in the plan.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Agree

- 215134
- Not sure it is worth spending \$17mil
- Need more development. Love moving women's locker room. Why keeping men's lounge - outdated concept
- Keep men's grill the same size
- Too soon to evaluate
- A lot of corridor space. Too much change. No bang for our dollars sent. Rethink a plan that provides more kitchen space and outdoor dining. No need more bar areas. New finishes.
- Need much more bar
- Don't need more men's grill. They can use the dining area which could be expanded by eliminating men's grill. Why no discussion of the Ranch?

- Question need for space for mens lounge given expansion of bar area. Seems inefficient and excludes female membership
- Not sure. Seems like it could be allocated differently
- Major investment needs further story
- Design needs work. Parking deck? Kitchen bigger than restaurant?
- Definitely needed. Sooner rather than later
- C/G should be done in one phase and not tear up C/G and then Renegade at the same time
- No need for mens lounge
- Put a 2-level parking structure straddling the drainage/wash creek west of existing lot adjacent to front of clubhouse.
- 2
- Preliminary looks good!
- Changes are needed, but I am concerned with the cost.
- Both men's and women's locker rooms will still be too large.
- make sure parking is easily available.
- Not sure what decade we live in, but it amazes me that there needs to be a dedicated men's lounge.
- Keeping style is #1 importance when remodeling, part of charm of current building is the different levels. Don't make it too boring by leveling it out too much.
- Good plan and better use of main facility.
- Is the proposed kitchen space large enough? Need women's lounge.
- Makes sense - how many weddings do we turn down due to size?
- All plans seem good. LG should be a daily venue for dinner.
- I was not aware of current wasted space (men's locker room). Agree as a newer member that entrance is dark, unclear of which way to enter.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Disagree

- Keeping dues down should be the priority.
- Same concerns as above. Waste of money.
- Not enough dining space in main dining room for both weddings and events.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Disagree

[None]

8. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Master Facilities Plan will increase your utilization of the Club?

8. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Master Facilities Plan will increase your utilization of the Club?			
	Counts	Percents	Percents
			0 100
Strongly Agree	78	42.9%	<div></div>
Agree	84	46.2%	<div></div>
Disagree	16	8.8%	<div></div>
Strongly Disagree	4	2.2%	<div></div>
Totals	182	100.0%	
Forms	208		
Mean	3.30		
Mean Error*	±0.11		

****Mean is base on a score of 4 (Strongly Agree) to 1 (Strongly Disagree)**

	Overall		Informational Sessions?								Gender									
			Thursday @ 1PM		Thursday @ 3PM		Thursday @ 5PM		Friday @ 8AM		Friday @ 10AM		No Answer		Male		Female		No Answer	
	208		14.4%, 30		23.6%, 49		22.6%, 47		8.2%, 17		21.2%, 44		10.1%, 21		52.9%, 110		40.9%, 85		6.3%, 13	
8. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Master Facilities Plan will increase your utilization of the Club?																				
Agree	89.0%	162	79.2%	19	89.6%	43	93.3%	42	100.0%	16	83.7%	36	100.0%	6	89.3%	92	88.5%	69	100.0%	1
Disagree	11.0%	20	20.8%	5	10.4%	5	6.7%	3	0.0%	0	16.3%	7	0.0%	0	10.7%	11	11.5%	9	0.0%	0
Totals	100.0%	182	100.0%	24	100.0%	48	100.0%	45	100.0%	16	100.0%	43	100.0%	6	100.0%	103	100.0%	78	100.0%	1
Freq Error*	±4.6%		±16.6%		±8.8%		±7.4%		±0.0%		±11.3%		±0.0%		±6.1%		±7.2%		±0.0%	

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

8. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Master Facilities Plan will increase your utilization of the Club?

Overall	Age Group					Length of Membership					
	55 & Under	56 - 65	66 - 75	76+	No Answer	Less than 2 years	2 - 5 years	6 - 10 years	11 - 20 years	More than 20 years	No Answer
208	3.4%, 7	28.4%, 59	51.9%, 108	10.6%, 22	5.8%, 12	13.0%, 27	21.2%, 44	17.3%, 36	20.7%, 43	21.6%, 45	6.3%, 13

8. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Master Facilities Plan will increase your utilization of the Club?

Agree	89.0%	162	85.7%	6	88.2%	45	89.2%	91	90.9%	20	0	92.0%	23	86.5%	32	96.8%	30	86.0%	37	86.7%	39	100.0%	1
Disagree	11.0%	20	14.3%	1	11.8%	6	10.8%	11	9.1%	2	0	8.0%	2	13.5%	5	3.2%	1	14.0%	6	13.3%	6	0.0%	0
Totals	100.0%	182	100.0%	7	100.0%	51	100.0%	102	100.0%	22	%	0	100.0%	25	100.0%	37	100.0%	43	100.0%	45	100.0%	1	
Freq Error*	±4.6%		±26.5%		±9.0%		±6.1%		±12.3%		±0.0%		±10.9%		±11.2%		±6.3%		±10.6%		±10.1%		±0.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

Overall	Agreement with Overall Direction		Agreement with Central Relaying Direction		Agreement with Renegade Direction		Agreement with C/G Direction	
	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
208	95.1%, 197	3.9%, 8	99.0%, 205	1.0%, 2	93.7%, 193	6.3%, 13	94.1%, 190	5.9%, 12

8. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Master Facilities Plan will increase your utilization of the Club?

Agree	89.0%	162	93.0%	160	12.5%	1	89.4%	161	0.0%	0	91.8%	156	50.0%	6	93.5%	157	36.4%	4
Disagree	11.0%	20	7.0%	12	87.5%	7	10.6%	19	100.0%	1	8.2%	14	50.0%	6	6.5%	11	63.6%	7
Totals	100.0%	182	100.0%	172	100.0%	8	100.0%	180	100.0%	1	100.0%	170	100.0%	12	100.0%	168	100.0%	11
Freq Error*	±4.6%		±3.9%		±23.4%		±4.6%		±0.0%		±4.2%		±28.9%		±3.8%		±29.0%	

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

9. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan improvements will have a positive impact on the Club's ability to attract prospective new Members / increase overall property values within the Community?

9. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan improvements will have a positive impact on the Club's ability to attract prospective new Members / increase overall proper			
	Counts	Percents	Percents
			0 100
Strongly Agree	89	48.1%	
Agree	86	46.5%	
Disagree	10	5.4%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	
Totals	185	100.0%	
Forms	208		
Mean	3.43		
Mean Error*	±0.09		

****Mean is base on a score of 4 (Strongly Agree) to 1 (Strongly Disagree)**

Overall	Informational Sessions?						Gender			
	Thursday @ 1PM	Thursday @ 3PM	Thursday @ 5PM	Friday @ 8AM	Friday @ 10AM	No Answer	Male	Female	No Answer	
208	14.4%, 30	23.6%, 49	22.6%, 47	8.2%, 17	21.2%, 44	10.1%, 21	52.9%, 110	40.9%, 85	6.3%, 13	
9. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan improvements will have a positive impact on the Club's ability to attract prospective new Members / increase overall proper										
Agree	94.6% 175	92.3% 24	100.0% 47	93.3% 42	94.1% 16	90.7% 39	100.0% 7	94.3% 99	94.9% 75	100.0% 1
Disagree	5.4% 10	7.7% 2	0.0% 0	6.7% 3	5.9% 1	9.3% 4	0.0% 0	5.7% 6	5.1% 4	0.0% 0
Totals	100.0% 185	100.0% 26	100.0% 47	100.0% 45	100.0% 17	100.0% 43	100.0% 7	100.0% 105	100.0% 79	100.0% 1
Freq Error*	±3.3%	±10.5%	±0.0%	±7.4%	±11.4%	±8.9%	±0.0%	±4.5%	±4.9%	±0.0%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

9. Do you feel the proposed Phase I of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan improvements will have a positive impact on the Club's ability to attract prospective new Members / increase overall property values within the Community?

Overall	Age Group					Length of Membership					
	55 & Under	56-65	66-75	76+	No Answer	Less than 2 years	2-5 years	6-10 years	11-20 years	More than 20 years	No Answer
208	3.4%, 7	28.4%, 59	51.9%, 108	10.6%, 22	5.8%, 12	13.0%, 27	21.2%, 44	17.3%, 36	20.7%, 43	21.6%, 45	6.3%, 13
Agree	94.6% 175	85.7% 6	92.6% 50	95.1% 97	100.0% 22	0 0	88.0% 22	95.0% 38	97.0% 32	93.0% 40	97.7% 42
Disagree	5.4% 10	14.3% 1	7.4% 4	4.9% 5	0.0% 0	0 0	12.0% 3	5.0% 2	3.0% 1	7.0% 3	2.3% 1
Totals	100.0% 185	100.0% 7	100.0% 54	100.0% 102	100.0% 22	% 0	100.0% 25	100.0% 40	100.0% 33	100.0% 43	100.0% 43
Freq Error*	±3.3%	±26.5%	±7.1%	±4.3%	±0.0%	±0.0%	±13.0%	±6.9%	±6.0%	±7.8%	±4.6%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

Overall	Agreement with Overall Direction		Agreement with Central Receiving Direction		Agreement with Renegade Direction		Agreement with C/G Direction	
	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree	Agree	Disagree
208	96.1%, 197	3.9%, 8	99.0%, 205	1.0%, 2	93.7%, 193	6.3%, 13	94.1%, 190	5.9%, 12
Agree	94.6% 175	97.7% 172	16.7% 1	95.1% 173	50.0% 1	97.1% 166	61.5% 8	97.1% 168
Disagree	5.4% 10	2.3% 4	83.3% 5	4.9% 9	50.0% 1	2.9% 5	38.5% 5	2.9% 5
Totals	100.0% 185	100.0% 176	100.0% 6	100.0% 182	100.0% 2	100.0% 171	100.0% 13	100.0% 173
Freq Error*	±3.3%	±2.2%	±30.4%	±3.2%	±70.7%	±2.6%	±27.0%	±2.5%

* Note: Frequency error covers 95% of distribution.

14. Please provide us with any additional thoughts with regard to the proposed Facilities Master Plan.

14. Please provide us with any additional thoughts with regard to the proposed Long Range Facilities Master Plan.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Agree

- There are other components to achieving our long term strategic goal of being #1. Touching on these other components quickly might help to build context.
- My understanding is that there is a mens only lounge with food in mens locker room. Will there be a womens lounge with food?
- Thank you for your hard work. Great job and wonderful ideas! Onward and Upward.
- Great job. Keep up the good work.
- Would like to know member questions/comments
- Thank you for your hard work and keeping the cost low enough so no (or minimal) assessment
- Looks fantastic
- Comment on presentation, laser as very difficult to see
- I'm very excited with improving our club. Thank you.
- Keep up the good work
- Spectacular! Faster!!!
- Your focus on member experience really shows! Thank you for doing this
- We should consider some assessments to expedite the later phases. Assessments should be considered a positive not a negative
- So glad e have long range plans rather than just reacting to problems. Thank you for your vision and all that you do.
- I am liking the long range plan however I think there is still a big problem. I think the membership needs to be reattached to the land. There is also a problem with changing/selling the membership or transferring to family or changing from equity golf to social etc. as the main population gets older
- Very well done plan and process. Keep it going. Assures us a great future.
- What increase in dues will be necessary?
- Lets do it!!
- Excellent work. Thank you all! Why not accelerate the gas store. Everyone wants it. Biggest drawback for attracting new members is that we are so far away from everything and GS/CS could help alleviate that. Lastly I would think that it would get tremendous use and could be significantly cash flow positive. Be careful not to go too cheap/value engineer in order to keep cash need lower than it should be. For example, I wonder if it might be too small, especially as seven builds out. Thank you!
- Strongly agree with everything in Phase I provided out of cash flow and no additional assessment
- Would love an 18 hole putting green

- Lets complete Phase I to the max . This Phase I must be done! Don't get too far ahead of yourself with Phase II
- Very balanced strategic plan. Good work! What is the impact on our debt?
- Have operational savings! Updating facilities is a must!
- There are some really smart people on the mountain - listen to them. Go conservatively as we're forecasting best solutions.
- Looks good as a start.
- Feel that as long as the club can afford these updates without large assessments, they will only help to attract new members.
- You've nailed it!
- Important to do minimal assessments.
- Great presentation, strongly approve!
- Generally very well done, very professional.
- Did cost savings of central receiving include out additional expense as we take over transport of materials within the mountain to our facilities from the new facility?
- Emphasis in communications should focus on creating a legacy since many members will be leaving the mountain during the longer term.
- Appreciate the time spent on the planning.
- Long overdue.
- CG clubhouse is so cut up and full of wasted space. So glad to see the improved plans.
- Very impressive effort.
- Great job!!
- I am excited to see the final products of both phase 1 +2.
- I am sorry an indoor pool wasn't built at the Sonoran. We are not golf members, but are social members, so the restaurants are important. They need to have adequate seating.
- I wish it could be done immediately.
- Remain financially sound and improve as funds become available.
- I would like to see mexican food and pizza more available.
- This is exciting and very much needed.
- Appreciate the opportunity to learn more about future plans.
- C/G renovation and central receiving are central for DM.
- Glad to see you are planning for the future and finding ways not to assess members.
- As long as a project is appropriate and well done - it will be a homerun for D.M. Do it right - communicate - be prudent financially. This seems well thought out.
- Wonderful ideas for Renegade, the performance center for parking. Love the extra terrace space at CG and the idea of bar taking better advantage of view.
- Well done. Much appreciated!

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Agree

- I believe we over paid for renovation. Golf course is now above 1/2 for
- Let some of us older members have input on seating at renegade. Too often new seats do not work for those with bad backs and who are not tall
- Should attract families. Lets stop talking about bars. Bars at clubs throughout the country seem to downgrade the country club atmosphere
- Appreciate no current projection of assessments, but concerned about ongoing increases in annual dues and F&B minimums. In 2019 F&B increased 25% and in last 2 years dues are up 12.5%. How is plan impacted if sales at 7 are not on target?
- If it were to save would it be more to our advantage to redo C at one time?
- Agree improvements must be made not sure I like all of the plans
- Sands very wonderful but we ill probably not be around for that many years boo who
- Cost seems excessive. Why Ren before CG
- What about more pickle ball courts?
- Concerned that wind and sun (fly) situation seven was not anticipated
- Properties being sold at seven?
- Good presentation. Move of ladies locker room is a great idea
- Hopefully we can achieve all of this with little to no assessment.
- Construction is always disruptive. Minimizing the impact to traffic and dust would be appreciated.
- To say "no assessment" is a bit disingenuous - the assessment just occurs in monthly dues that are already too high and are scheduled to increase by 5% each year. At that rate, dues will cross \$2,000 in a few years and that will impact the ability to attract new members.
- Please do not change the style too much.
- Very thoughtful and detailed. Good discussion.
- Lots of work - be prudent, find a way to bring it in lower or under budget. Under promise/over deliver.
- So happy to see management is being proactive, not only for club members, but for staff and outside contractors.
- As a new member, the relationship with Seven is not completely clear; revenue share of bar/restaurant; cost share of golf course.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Disagree

- No concern or attention as paid to debt levels over an extended period of time or dues increase control and sustainability
- We just wasted \$15 million on Renegade. The new course is awful. We could have spent much less to replace irrigation and keep the design which was great.
- Want to see more being done to restore home values, increase membership buy in costs, eliminate \$65k departure fee, tying land ownership back to membership.

1. Do you agree with the overall direction of the Long Range Facilities Master Plan concepts and feel it will serve the needs of both present and future Desert Mountain Members? = Strongly Disagree

[None]

MEMBERSHIP COMMUNICATION AND NOTIFICATION

MEMBER FOCUS GROUPS SIGN-IN FORMS

Thursday May 16

8:00 - 9:30 AM

Outgoing Committee Members and NC

Jim Prendergast	jim@317ventures.com	
Louise Zirretta	lkzemail@aol.com	
Robert Field	bfield1947@gmail.com	0
Bonnie Carroll	kbc4u7@gmail.com	0
Kerry Glicken	kerry.glicken@gmail.com	0
Linda Landers	jlandll@aol.com	0
Kathy Kory	kk4041@aol.com	0
Chuck Nunamaker	chucknunamaker@yahoo.com	0
Robert Baumgartner	rbaumgartner@cdirad.com	0
James Bramson	jamesbramson@gmail.com	0
Troy Gillenwater	paleostone@aol.com	0
Kathy Reed	kathy.reed@russlyon.com	0
Michael Stein		1
Pete Selda	riversgp@gmail.com	1
Penny Garbarino	pennygarbarino@gmail.com	1
Pete Cunningham	petecu@cox.net	1
Larry Reaves	lcreaves@sbcglobal.net	1
Andy DiSabatino	northstarfour@gmail.com	1
Dave Honerkamp	david.honerkamp@icloud.com	1
Nancy Kurzweil	nmkurzweil@gmail.com	1
John Shanholt	john.shanholt@gmail.com	1
Diane Hurter	dhurter@outlook.com	1
Craig McKibben	cmckibben11131@gmail.com	1
Rick Wolf	rwolf315@gmail.com	1
Hugh Reed	hugh.reed@russlyon.com	1
Annette Kalwaytis	ac@annettecatino.com	1
Lynn Magnuson		1
Harold Boyanovsky		1

16

Thursday May 16

10:00 - 11:30 AM

New Committee Members and Workgroup Members

Nick Visel	nick.visel@gmail.com	0
Kevin Hooke	urbanpropertygroup@mymts.net	0
George Newstrom	gnewstrom@yahoo.com	0
Karyn Grant	kpgrant@me.com	0
Mike Hobin	mike1hobin@yahoo.com	0
Kathy Henderson	jkkhenderson@msn.com	0
Bobbie Eaker	bobbie3305@mac.com	0
Bob Engelman	lvbrse@aol.com	1
Alan Forman	alanlforman@aol.com	1
Patrick Cayce	phcayce@comcast.net	1
Richard Pallan	richard@richardaz.com	1
Rick Harrington	rickharrington@mac.com	1
Kim Hochschuler	kimdfw@aol.com	1
Casey Enns	kcenns@gmail.com	1
Cynthia Kolander	cynthia.kolander@russlyon.com	1
Donn Stroud	dfstroud@gmail.com	1
Bob Borsch	robertborsch@me.com	1
Craig Mitchell	mitchwonger@gmail.com	1
Chuck Harrison	chuckh@hncllc.com	1
Keith Johnson	chezkaj@cox.net	1
Bill Robinson	wrobinson2@cox.net	1
Roger Greaves	rgreaves1289@msn.com	1
Jake Murdock	caesarrr@me.com	1
Chuck Nunamaker	changed from Monday	1
Danny Fullerton	dannymfullerton@yahoo.com	1
Annette Kalwaytis	ac@annettecatino.com	1
Colleen Seymour	dstmtn@gmail.com	1
Lynn Magnuson		1
Mark Gilman		1

21

Thursday May 16

1:00 - 2:30 PM

Hikers/Bocce/Pickleball/Croquet/Tennis

Tim Miles	takmiles@comcast.net	
	lynnettecc@aol.com	
Lynnette Carlson		
Dan Aronson	aronsond1@gmail.com	
Judy Zevin-Rifkin	judygailz@aol.com	
Jill Gentile	jagentile1@gmail.com	
Devon Miller	devonmirabel@aol.com	
Penny Blom	blomfamily4@gmail.com	
Judy Kelley	kelleyside@aol.com	0
Steve Gyde	steveg886@aol.com	0
	Susanlevy@ameritech.net	
Sue Levy		0
Julie Appert	julie_appert@yahoo.com	0
Julie Reaumont	julie.reaumont@gmail.com	0
Anne Borsch	abborsch@yahoo.com	1
Cyndi Duffy	duffyc68@gmail.com	1
	sarahhroberts@msn.com	
Sarah Roberts		1
Catharina Ellegala	catharinaellegala@gmail.com	1
Kevin Kraft	kraft.kevin@gmail.com	1
	jlampi9@yahoo.com	
Jim Lampi		1
Mark Weidhaas	thedicepilot@gmail.com	1
Bill Ward	bill@woward.com	1
AJ Saubert	ajsaubert@aol.com	1
Brian Gallagher	briangallagher71@gmail.com	1
Patrice Sheldon	yoma224@gmail.com	1
Sherry Johnson	chezkjsj@cox.net	1
Julie Clark	bobjul4@yahoo.com	1
Robert Seaton	robertseaton01@me.com	1
Tina Ungerman	tinaungerman@gmail.com	1
Gail Kern	gailekern@gmail.com	1
Jeannie Cunningham		1
Diane Macor	dcmairsprint@gmail.com	1
Colleen Seymour	dstmtn@gmail.com	1
Wayne Mailloux		1
Mark Gilman		1
Kim Atkinson		1

22

Thursday May 16

3:00 - 4:30 PM

Lady Golfers

Connie Cope	conniecope@tulco.com	
Pat Dengler	Pat@dengler.net	
Donna Whittaker	drwhittaker50@yahoo.com	
Jennifer Millett	jrm100463@aol.com	
Dolly Sucher	azdollys1@aol.com	
Marilyn Dean	Mdean@cfegg.com	
Linda Darula	ldarula@gmail.com	0
Madeline Clegg	mcgolfer22@gmail.com	0
Judy Phillips	judy171@me.com	0
Kate Johnson	KBGOLF@comcast.net	0
Ranee Jacobus	raneejacobus@gmail.com	0
Kelli Caster	k.caster@icloud.com	0
Heather Kerrigan	heatherckerrigan@gmail.com	0
Michele Bergsrud	dr.beautifulsmiles@gmail.com	0
Beth Moore	squaredbm@icloud.com	0
Mary Grillo	mpgrillo32@gmail.com	0
Cheri Meagher	cherimeagher@comcast.net	0
Sherrie Cone	sherriecone@peoplepc.com	0
	cjosto@icloud.com	
Cathy Ostermeyer		1
Janet Curry	janetcurry@icloud.com	1
Sharon Slattery	sslatts57@yahoo.com	1
Karrin Hawkins	karrinsalon@gmail.com	1
Linda Garrett	koalalove789@gmail.com	1
Judy Reese Larson	JudyInAZ@cox.net	1
Kathy Birkby	kabirkby@outlook.com	1
Nancy Quicke	Nquicke@me.com	1
Katy Kahler	krelhak@aol.com	1
Michelle Newlin	silveroaknapa@gmail.com	1
Linda Lanphier	linda_lanphier@yahoo.com	1
Andrea Randall		1
Colleen Seymour	dstmtn@gmail.com	1
Chris Storbeck		1
Leo Birkby		1

15

Thursday May 16

5:00 - 6:30 PM

Men Golfers

Randy Olmstead	rolmstead@midcon-energy.com	
Bruce Gamble	brucegamble@deancallan.com	
Dale O'Chap	dochap@sbcglobal.net	0
Darryl Iwai	darryl.iwai@ktiltd.on.ca	0
Kevin Ortyl	kco2011@yahoo.com	0
Ed Francese	efrancese@obsports.com	0
David Balak	Balakdavid81@gmail.com	0
Ken Gullone	kgull@optonline.net	0
Dan Cougill	dan.cougill@yahoo.com	0
Doug Miller	douglasmiller@me.com	0
Jim Morrissey	Morrisseyaz@cox.net	0
Scott Gordon	Scott@tat.today	0
David Raysich	draysich@prarch.com	0
Judson Macor	jtm@airsprint.com	0
Andy Glassberg	amgberg@aol.com	0
Ron Rome	rrome@olympiclogistics.net	0
Dave Ostermeyer	dave61744@icloud.com	1
Dan Nelson	dan.nelson@nelsoninsight.com	1
Ralph Yohe	ralphyohe@gmail.com	1
Michael Pittana	mpittana@crp-cpmi.com	1
Chuck Scharte	cjspai@aol.com	1
Al White	awhite@whitebrenner.com	1
Vinny Cimino	vaciminojr@gmail.com	1
Pancho Meyer	spesmeyer@yahoo.com	1
Jim Scotti	jscotti1947@gmail.com	1
Chris Wilson	chrwilson975@yahoo.com	1
Dick Lloyd	dick@dicklloydhomes.com	1
Ron Hinderberger	rhinderberger@gmail.com	1
Leo Birkby		1

13

Friday, May 17**7:30 - 9:00 AM****Under 55s**

Name	Email	RSVP
Stephen Mildner	mildner190@hotmail.com	
Ariana Muth	arianalk@gmail.com	
Ian Rollo	ian@ianrollo.com	
Emily Burg	emmediane@gmail.com	
Theresa Dolloff	cdolloff@citysidecorp.com	
John Moore	jjm6974@gmail.com	
David Mortensen	dave@anytimefitness.com	
Chris Gose	cgose@ccicom.com	
Randy Jacobus	rjacobus@asallc.com	
Clifton Cokingtin	ccokingtin@cokingtineye.com	
Daniel Whitney	fr8trne@aol.com	
Daniel Wolski	dan.wolski@russlyon.com	
Tom Curran	tom.curran57@yahoo.com	
Steve Neumann	sneumann@krgecapital.com	
Randall Miller	sigmach84@comcast.net	
Todd Clearwater	tclearh20@yahoo.com	0
Christopher Bertschy	ctbertschy@gmail.com	0
Julie Tymeck	julstymeck@aol.com	0
Patrick Flynn	pat_flynn@me.com	0
Thomas Bradbury	tom.bradbury@bradburycompanies.com	0
W. Scott Whittaker	scottwhittaker@sbcglobal.net	0
James Blise	jblise@design2construct.com	0
Michael Groth	mgroth@gdg-architects.com	0
Gordon Sherry	gsherry@thelinksclub.golf	0
Shane Schuckman	shane.schuckman@gmail.com	0
Matthew Hatten	matt.hatten@jostens.com	0
Jennifer Dobbs	jrdobbs@yahoo.com	0
Kenneth Westerheide	ken@kwesterheide.com	0
Blaine McPeak	bamcpeak@yahoo.com	0
Lisa Cohen	Lmicheleshapiro@gmail.com	1
Russell Thornton	russellt324@aol.com	1
Keith Marshall	marshallk@cox.net	1
Kirk Marshall	kirk.marshall@russlyon.com	1
Adam Holmes	adam@coyotecreekconst.com	1
Jonas Dahlen	jdahlen12@gmail.com	1
Barry Conser	barry.conser@russlyon.com	1
Keli Caster		1
Ted Cohen	tedgolightly@gmail.com	1
John Hartley	harleyjoh@icloud.com	1
James Kolander	james.kolander@russlyon.com	1
Kathy Henderson		1
Scott Urdang		1
Leo Birkby		1
		14

Friday, May 17

10:00 - 11:30 AM


Existing Committee Members/Card Players


Name	Email	RSVP
Barbara Mullett	desertmountainartleague@gmail.com	
Bee Francis	beefrancis@cox.net	
Michael Stein	michaelastein@live.com	0
Joanne Klonoski	jcklonoski@gmail.com	0
Carl Leichter	cmlmd@aol.com	0
Diane Campbell	dianecampbell1@cox.net	0
Susie Robinson	srobo@cox.net	0
Diane Mott	dkmott77@gmail.com	0
Mary Kay Burmeister	marykayb@dteworld.com	0
Judi Stroud	heyjude47x@gmail.com	0
Marie Levy	levy.marie@gmail.com	0
Joe Shiely	jls3@aol.com	0
Todd Rethemeier	todd_rethemeier@hotmail.com	0
Anne Morrissey	amorrissey1@icloud.com	0
Dick Schadegg	dick.schadegg@schadeggcre.com	1
Sandi Matthews	slmmatthews@icloud.com	1
Martin Babst	mbabst126@gmail.com	1
Tim Case	rtcase1@cox.net	1
Davis Driver	dmdsr@earthlink.net	1
Diane Thomas	detgolf@gmail.com	1
Jeff Zieky	ziekyjz@gmail.com	1
Patti Sumergrade	sumergrade@aol.com	1
Anita Wallace	anita.wallace@att.net	1
Frances Emerson	francesemerson65@gmail.com	1
Rod Cullum	rod@cullumhomes.com	1
Kathleen Benoit	kathleenbenoit@me.com	1
Karen Baldwin	karen@desertmountainpowerof4.com	1
Rich Sherman	rishsherman@cox.net	1
Mike Ervin	mike.ervin151@gmail.com	1
Scott Urdang		1
Chris Storbeck		1
Wayne Mailloux		1

18

MEMBER FOCUS GROUPS PRESENTATION

May 16 – 17, 2019





Meeting Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Key Strategic Drivers / Why and Why Now?
- Overview of the Planning Process
- Review of the Key Components
 - Rationale for Change
 - Strategic Design Objectives
 - Review of Preliminary Conceptual Plans
- Focus Group Discussion and Feedback *(Exit Surveys Distributed)*
- Review of Timeline Going Forward

SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA



APPROVED on 1/26/2022

**SCOTTSDALE PLANNING COMMISSION
KIVA-CITY HALL
3939 DRINKWATER BOULEVARD
SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA**

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2022

***SUMMARIZED MEETING MINUTES ***

PRESENT: Renee Higgs, Chair
Joe Young, Vice Chair
William Scarbrough, Commissioner
Barney Gonzales, Commissioner
George Ertel, Commissioner
Christian Serena, Commissioner
Barry Graham, Commissioner

STAFF: Tim Curtis
Joe Padilla
Jeff Barnes
Ryan Garofalo
Lorraine Castro
Nicole Garcia
Mercedes McPherson
Alexis Hartley
Brandon McMahon

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Higgs called the regular session of the Scottsdale Planning Commission to order at 5:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

A formal roll call was conducted confirming members present as stated above.

* Note: These are summary action minutes only. A complete copy of the meeting audio is available on the Planning Commission page on ScottsdaleAZ.gov, search "Planning Commission"

MINUTES REVIEW AND APPROVAL

1. Approval of the December 15, 2021 [Regular Meeting Minutes](#)

Vice Chair Young moved to approve the December 15, 2021 regular meeting minutes. Seconded by Commissioner Gonzales, the motion carried unanimously with a vote of seven (7) to zero (0).

CONSENT AGENDA

2. [Planning Commission Annual Report for 2021](#)

The Planning Commission will review and may discuss the Planning Commission Annual Report for 2021.

Item No. 2; Commissioner Scarbrough moved to approve the Planning Commission Annual Report for 2021. Seconded by Commissioner Serena, the motion carried unanimously with a vote of seven (7) to zero (0).

3. [11-UP-2021 \(Renegade Clubhouse\)](#)

Request by owner to amend 20 acres of a 900-acre site, to modify existing Conditional Use Permits for a Golf Course use (59-UP-1985, 83-UP-1985, and 24-UP-1986) for the construction of a new golf club house and associated amenities, to replace the existing Renegade Golf Course clubhouse, located at 38580 N. Desert Mountain Parkway, with Open Space, Environmentally Sensitive Lands (O-S/ESL) zoning designation. Staff contact person is Jesus Murillo, 480-312-7849. **Applicant contact person is Todd Bruen, (602) 680-8412..**

Item No. 3 moved to the regular agenda. Commissioner Serena moved to make a recommendation to City Council for approval of case 11-UP-2021, per the staff recommended stipulations, based upon the finding that the Conditional Use Permit criteria have been met. Seconded by Commissioner Ertel, the motion carried unanimously with a vote of seven (7) to zero (0).

REGULAR AGENDA

4. Planning Commission Election of Officers

Item No. 4; Commissioner Gonzales made a nomination for Commissioner Serena as Chair and Vice Chair Young made the nomination for Chair Higgs as chair. The motion for Commission Serena as Chair was denied by a vote of four (4) to three (3) with Chair Higgs, Vice Chair Young, Commissioner Scarbrough, Commissioner Ertel all voting against the nomination and Commissioner Gonzales, Commissioner Serena, and Commissioner Graham voting in favor of the nomination. The motion for Chair Higgs as Chair was approved by a vote of four (4) to three (3) with Chair Higgs, Vice Chair Young, Commissioner Scarbrough, Commissioner Ertel all voting

* Note: These are summary action minutes only. A complete copy of the meeting audio is available on the Planning Commission page on ScottsdaleAZ.gov, search "Planning Commission"

in favor of the nomination and Commissioner Gonzales, Commissioner Serena, and Commissioner Graham all voting against the nomination.

Commissioner Scarbrough made a nomination for Vice Chair Young as Vice Chair and Commissioner Serena made a nomination for Commissioner Graham as Vice Chair. The motion for Vice Chair Young as Vice Chair was approved by a vote of four (4) to three (3) with Chair Higgs, Vice Chair Young, Commissioner Scarbrough, Commissioner Ertel all voting in favor of the nomination and Commissioner Gonzales, Commissioner Serena, and Commissioner Graham all voting against the nomination. With the approval of Vice Chair Young as Vice Chair, there was no further vote.


ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to discuss, the regular session of the Planning Commission adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

* Note: These are summary action minutes only. A complete copy of the meeting audio is available on the Planning Commission page on ScottsdaleAZ.gov, search "Planning Commission"

City Notifications – Mailing List Selection Map

Renegade Clubhouse



Additional Notifications:

Interested Parties List
Adjacent HOA's
P&Z E-Newsletter
Facebook
Nextdoor.com
City Website-Projects in the hearing process

Pulled Labels
April 29, 2021

Map Legend:



Site Boundary



Properties within 750-feet

Postcards: 232

11-UP-2021