

Development Application



Development Application Type:

Please check the appropriate box of the Type(s) of Application(s) you are requesting

Zoning		Development Review		Signs	
<input type="checkbox"/> Text Amendment (TA)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Development Review (Major) (DR)	<input type="checkbox"/> Master Sign Program (MS)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Rezoning (ZN)	<input type="checkbox"/> Development Review (Minor) (SA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Community Sign District (MS)			
<input type="checkbox"/> In-fill Incentive (II)	<input type="checkbox"/> Wash Modification (WM)	Other:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use Permit (UP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Historic Property (HP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annexation/De-annexation (AN)			
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance		Land Divisions (PP)		<input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Amendment (GP)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Hardship Exemption (HE)	<input type="checkbox"/> Subdivisions	<input type="checkbox"/> In-Lieu Parking (IP)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Special Exception (SX)	<input type="checkbox"/> Condominium Conversion	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment (AB)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Variance (BA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed			
<input type="checkbox"/> Minor Amendment (MA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Plat Correction/Revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Project Name: Mack Innovation Park Scottsdale

Property's Address: 8888 E Bell Road, Scottsdale, Arizona 85255

Property's Current Zoning District Designation: I-1 PCD and I-1, PCD, ESL

The property owner shall designate an agent/applicant for the Development Application. This person shall be the owner's contact for the City regarding this Development Application. The agent/applicant shall be responsible for communicating all City information to the owner and the owner application team.

Owner: MREG 101 Bell, LLC	Agent/Applicant: George Pasquel III
Company: Mack Real Estate Group	Company: Withey Morris, PLC
Address: 2415 E. Camelback Road Suite 920	Address: 2525 E. Arizona Biltmore Circle Suite A-212
Phone: 310.595.4374 Fax: N/A	Phone: 602.230.0600 Fax: N/A
E-mail: sdenham@mackregroup.com	E-mail: George@WitheyMorris.com
Designer: Rick Butler	Engineer: Steve Haney
Company: Butler Design Group	Company: Kimley Horn
Address: 5013 E. Washington Street Suite 100	Address: 7740 N 16th Street Suite 300
Phone: 602.957.1800 Fax: N/A	Phone: 602.944.5500 Fax: N/A
E-mail: RButler@butlerdesigngroup.com	E-mail: Steve.Haney@kimley-horn.com

Please indicate in the checkbox below the requested review methodology (please see the descriptions on page 2).

- This is not required for the following Development Application types: AN, AB, BA, II, GP, TA, PE and ZN. These applications¹ will be reviewed in a format similar to the Enhanced Application Review methodology.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Enhanced Application Review:	I hereby authorize the City of Scottsdale to review this application utilizing the Enhanced Application Review methodology.
<input type="checkbox"/> Standard Application Review:	I hereby authorize the City of Scottsdale to review this application utilizing the Standard Application Review methodology.

Owner Signature

Agent/Applicant Signature

Official Use Only

Submittal Date:

Development Application No.:

Planning and Development Services

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Development Application

Review Methodologies



Review Methodologies

The City of Scottsdale maintains a business and resident friendly approach to new development and improvements to existing developments. In order to provide for flexibility in the review of Development Applications, and Applications for Permitting, the City of Scottsdale provides two methodologies from which an owner or agent may choose to have the City process the application. The methodologies are:

1. Enhanced Application Review Methodology

Within the parameters of the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes, the Enhanced Application Review method is intended to increase the likelihood that the applicant will obtain an earlier favorable written decision or recommendation upon completion of the city's reviews. To accomplish this objective, the Enhanced Application Review allows:

- the applicant and City staff to maintain open and frequent communication (written, electronic, telephone, meeting, etc.) during the application review;
- City staff and the applicant to collaboratively work together regarding an application; and
- City staff to make requests for additional information and the applicant to submit revisions to address code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies in an expeditious manner.

Generally, the on-going communication and the collaborative work environment will allow the review of an application to be expedited within the published Staff Review Time frames.

2. Standard Application Review Methodology:

Under the Standard Application Review, the application is processed in accordance with the Regulatory Bill-of-Rights of the Arizona Revised Statutes. These provisions significantly minimize the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with City Staff to resolve application code, ordinance, or policy deficiencies during the review of an application. After the completion the city's review, a written approval or denial, recommendation of approval or denial, or a written request for additional information will be provided.

The City is not required to provide an applicant the opportunity to resolve application deficiencies, and staff is not permitted to discuss or request additional information that may otherwise resolve a deficiency during the time the City has the application. Since the applicant's ability to collaboratively work with Staff's to resolve deficiencies is limited, the total Staff Review Time and the likelihood of a written denial, or recommendation of denial is significantly increased.

In addition to the information above, please review the Development Application, and/or the Application for Permitting flow charts. These flow charts provide a step-by-step graphic representation of the application processes for the associated review methodologies.

Note:

1. Please see the Current Planning Services and Long Range Planning Services Substantive Policy Statements and Staff Review Timeframes for Development Applications, number III.

Planning and Development Services

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Development Application

Arizona Revised Statutes Notice



§9-834. Prohibited acts by municipalities and employees; enforcement; notice

- A. A municipality shall not base a licensing decision in whole or in part on a licensing requirement or condition that is not specifically authorized by statute, rule, ordinance or code. A general grant of authority does not constitute a basis for imposing a licensing requirement or condition unless the authority specifically authorizes the requirement or condition.
- B. Unless specifically authorized, a municipality shall avoid duplication of other laws that do not enhance regulatory clarity and shall avoid dual permitting to the maximum extent practicable.
- C. This section does not prohibit municipal flexibility to issue licenses or adopt ordinances or codes.
- D. A municipality shall not request or initiate discussions with a person about waiving that person's rights.
- E. This section may be enforced in a private civil action and relief may be awarded against a municipality. The court may award reasonable attorney fees, damages and all fees associated with the license application to a party that prevails in an action against a municipality for a violation of this section.
- F. A municipal employee may not intentionally or knowingly violate this section. A violation of this section is cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to the municipality's adopted personnel policy.
- G. This section does not abrogate the immunity provided by section 12-820.01 or 12-820.02.

December 15, 2022

City of Scottsdale
Planning and Development Services
7447 E. Indian School Road
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251

Re: Authorization – 8888 E. Bell Road, Scottsdale, Arizona 85255

To whom it may concern:

Please accept this letter as authorization for Withey Morris, PLC to file applications for land use entitlements for the above reference property. I hereby certify that I am the property owner and / or authorized agent of the property owner for the below referenced parcels and have the authority to grant this authorization.

Assessor Parcel No. 215-07-021A and 215-07-022D

Sincerely,
MREG 101 Bell, LLC
c/o Mack Real Estate Group

By:  _____

It's: Authorized Signatory

Affidavit of Authorization to Act for Property Owner



1. This affidavit concerns the following parcel of land:

- a. Street Address: 8888 E. Bell Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85255
- b. County Tax Assessor's Parcel Number: 215-07-022D and 215-07-021A
- c. General Location: SEC of Loop 101 and Pima Road
- d. Parcel Size: +/- 95 acres
- e. Legal Description: See attached

(If the land is a platted lot, then write the lot number, subdivision name, and the plat's recording number and date. Otherwise, write "see attached legal description" and attach a legal description.)

- 2. I am the owner of the land or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the owner of the land and have authority from the owner to sign this affidavit on the owner's behalf. If the land has more than one owner, then I am the agent for all of the owners, and the word "owner" in this affidavit refers to all of them.
- 3. I have authority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale with regard to any and all reviews, zoning map amendments, general plan amendments, development variances, abandonments, plats, lot splits, lot ties, use permits, building permits and other land use regulatory or related matters of every description involving the land, or involving adjacent or nearby lands in which the owner has (or may acquire) an interest, and all applications, dedications, payments, assurances, decisions, agreements, legal documents, commitments, waivers and other matters relating to any of them.
- 4. The City of Scottsdale is authorized to rely on my authority as described in this affidavit until three work days after the day the owner delivers to the Director of the Scottsdale Planning & Development Services Department a written statement revoking my authority.
- 5. I will immediately deliver to the Director of the City of Scottsdale Planning & Development Services Department written notice of any change in the ownership of the land or in my authority to act for the owner.
- 6. If more than one person signs this affidavit, each of them, acting alone, shall have the authority described in this affidavit, and each of them warrant to the City of Scottsdale the authority of the others.
- 7. Under penalty of perjury, I warrant and represent to the City of Scottsdale that this affidavit is true and complete. I understand that any error or incomplete information in this affidavit or any applications may invalidate approvals or other actions taken by the City of Scottsdale, may otherwise delay or prevent development of the land, and may expose me and the owner to other liability. I understand that people who have not signed this form may be prohibited from speaking for the owner at public meetings or in other city processes.

Name (printed)

Scott Denham

Kevin Lindquist

GEORGE PASQUALE III

Date

December 15, 2022

December 20, 2022

FEB 2, 2023

, 20

Signature

Scott Denham

[Signature]

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 • www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Request To Submit Concurrent Development Applications

Acknowledgment and Agreement



The City of Scottsdale recognizes that a property owner may desire to submit concurrent development applications for separate purposes where one or more of the development applications are related to another development application. City Staff may agree to process concurrently where one or more the development applications related to the approval of another development application upon receipt of a complete form signed by the property owner.

Development Application Types		
Please check the appropriate box of the types of applications that you are requesting to submit concurrently		
Zoning	Development Review	Signs
<input type="checkbox"/> Text Amendment (TA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Development Review (Major) (DR)	<input type="checkbox"/> Master Sign Program (MS)
<input type="checkbox"/> Rezoning (ZN)	<input type="checkbox"/> Development Review (Minor) (SA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Community Sign District (MS)
<input type="checkbox"/> In-fill Incentive (II)	<input type="checkbox"/> Wash Modification (WM)	Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Conditional Use Permit (UP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Historic Property (HP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Annexation/De-annexation (AN)
Exemptions to the Zoning Ordinance	Land Divisions (PP)	<input type="checkbox"/> General Plan Amendment (GP)
<input type="checkbox"/> Hardship Exemption (HE)	<input type="checkbox"/> Subdivisions	<input type="checkbox"/> In-Lieu Parking (IP)
<input type="checkbox"/> Special Exception (SX)	<input type="checkbox"/> Condominium Conversion	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment (AB)
<input type="checkbox"/> Variance (BA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Perimeter Exceptions	Other Application Type Not Listed
<input type="checkbox"/> Minor Amendment (MA)	<input type="checkbox"/> Plat Correction/Revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lot Combo or Adjustment

Owner: MREG 101 Bell, LLC

Company: Mack Real Estate Group

Address: 2415 E Camelback Road, Suite 920

Phone: 310.595.4374

Fax: N/A

E-mail: SDenham@mackregroup.com

As the property owner, by providing my signature below, I acknowledge and agree: 1) that the concurrent development applications are processed at the property owner's risk; 2) to hold the City harmless of all cost, expense, claims, or other liability arising in connection with the concurrent development applications; 3) to the City of Scottsdale's Substantive Policy Statement pertaining to Concurrent Applications; 4) to placing a development application on hold in order to continue processing a concurrent development application that is related to another development application; and 5) that upon completion of the City review(s) of the development applications, one or more of the development application(s) may not be approved.

Property owner (Print Name): Kevin Lindquist

Title: Authorized Signatory

Date: 12-20-2022

Signature

Official Use Only:

Submittal Date: _____

Request: ☐ Approved or ☐ Denied

Staff Name (Print): _____

Staff Signature: _____

Date: _____

Planning and Development Services

7447 East Indian School Road Suite 105, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

Request for Site Visits and/or Inspections

Construction Document Application



This request concerns all property identified in the construction document (plan review) application.

Project Name: Mack Innovation Park Scottsdale

Project Address: 8888 E. Bell Road, Scottsdale, AZ 85255

STATEMENT OF AUTHORITY:

1. I am the owner of the property, or I am the duly and lawfully appointed agent of the property and have the authority from the owner to sign this request on the owner's behalf. If the land has more than one owner, then I am the agent for all owners, and the word "owner" refer to them all.
2. I have the authority from the owner to act for the owner before the City of Scottsdale regarding any and all development application regulatory or related matter of every description involving all property identified in the construction document.

STATEMENT OF REQUEST FOR SITE VISITS AND/OR INSPECTIONS

1. I hereby request that the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections of the property identified in the construction document in order to efficiently process the application.
2. I understand that even though I have requested the City of Scottsdale's staff conduct site visits and/or inspections, city staff may determine that a site visit and/or an inspection is not necessary, and may opt not to perform the site visit and/or an inspection.

Property owner /Property owner's agent: Kevin Lindquist

Print Name

Signature

City Use Only:

Submittal Date: _____

Plan review number: _____

Planning and Development Services

7447 E Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 ♦ www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov



Current Planning Services
Long Range Planning Services

NOTICE OF INSPECTION RIGHTS
A.R.S. § 9-833

You have the right to:

- Have the City staff member present a photo ID.
- Have the City staff member state the purpose for the planning inspection and legal authority to conduct it.
- Know the amount of inspection fees if applicable.
- An on-site representative may accompany the City staff member during the inspection except during confidential interviews and may:
 - Receive copies of any documents taken during the inspection.
 - Receive a split of any samples taken during the inspection.
 - Receive copies of any analysis of the samples taken when available.
- Be informed if statements are being recorded.
- Be given notice that any statements may be used in an inspection report.
- Be presented with a copy of your inspection rights.
- Be notified of the due process rights pertaining to an appeal

You are hereby notified and informed of the following:

- The inspection is conducted pursuant to the authority of A.R.S § 9-462.05. and/or Scottsdale Revised Code, Appendix B, Article I. Section 1.203.
- Any statements made by anyone interviewed during this inspection may be included in the inspection report.
- Information on appeal rights related to this inspection is found under Scottsdale Revised Code, Appendix B, Article I. Section 1.801.
- There is no inspection fee associated with this inspection.

I acknowledge I have been informed of my inspection rights. If I decline to sign this form, the inspector(s) may still proceed with the inspection.

If I have any questions, I may contact the City staff member, _____
at the following number _____.

Signature: _____ Date: 12-20-2022

Printed Name: Kevin Lindquist

☐ Check box if signature refused

Copy of Bill of Rights left at: _____

A.R.S § 9-833. Inspections; applicability

- A. A municipal inspector or regulator who enters any premises of a regulated person for the purpose of conducting an inspection shall:
1. Present photo identification on entry of the premises.
 2. On initiation of the inspection, state the purpose of the inspection and the legal authority for conducting the inspection.
 3. Disclose any applicable inspection fees.
 4. Except for a food and swimming pool inspection, afford an opportunity to have an authorized on-site representative of the regulated person accompany the municipal inspector or regulator on the premises, except during confidential interviews.
 5. Provide notice of the right to have:
 - (a) Copies of any original documents taken from the premises by the municipality during the inspection if the municipality is permitted by law to take original documents.
 - (b) A split or duplicate of any samples taken during the inspection if the split or duplicate of any samples, where appropriate, would not prohibit an analysis from being conducted or render an analysis inconclusive.
 - (c) Copies of any analysis performed on samples taken during the inspection.
 6. Inform each person whose conversation with the municipal inspector or regulator during the inspection is tape recorded that the conversation is being tape recorded.
 7. Inform each person interviewed during the inspection that statements made by the person may be included in the inspection report.
- B. On initiation of, or two working days before, an inspection of any premises of a regulated person, except for a food and swimming pool inspection that has up to one working day after an inspection, a municipal inspector or regulator shall provide the following in writing or electronically:
1. The rights described in subsection A of this section.
 2. The name and telephone number of a municipal contact person available to answer questions regarding the inspection.
 3. The due process rights relating to an appeal of a final decision of a municipality based on the results of the inspection, including the name and telephone number of a person to contact within the municipality and any appropriate municipality, county or state government ombudsman.
- C. A municipal inspector or regulator shall obtain the signature of the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person on the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section indicating that the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person has read the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section and is notified of the regulated person's or on-site representative of the regulated person's inspection and due process rights. The municipality shall maintain a copy of this signature with the inspection report. Unless the regulated person at the time of the inspection is informed how the report can be located electronically, the municipality shall leave a copy with the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person. If a regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person is not at the site or refuses to sign the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section, the municipal inspector or regulator shall note that fact on the writing prescribed in subsection B of this section.
- D. A municipality that conducts an inspection shall give a copy of, or provide electronic access to, the inspection report to the regulated person or on-site representative of the regulated person either:
1. At the time of the inspection.
 2. Notwithstanding any other state law, within thirty working days after the inspection.
 3. As otherwise required by federal law.

- E. The inspection report shall contain deficiencies identified during an inspection. Unless otherwise provided by law, the municipality may provide the regulated person an opportunity to correct the deficiencies unless the municipality determines that the deficiencies are:
 - 1. Committed intentionally.
 - 2. Not correctable within a reasonable period of time as determined by the municipality.
 - 3. Evidence of a pattern of noncompliance.
 - 4. A risk to any person, the public health, safety or welfare or the environment.
- F. If the municipality allows the regulated person an opportunity to correct the deficiencies pursuant to subsection E of this section, the regulated person shall notify the municipality when the deficiencies have been corrected. Within thirty working days of receipt of notification from the regulated person that the deficiencies have been corrected, the municipality shall determine if the regulated person is in substantial compliance and notify the regulated person whether or not the regulated person is in substantial compliance, unless the determination is not possible due to conditions of normal operations at the premises. If the regulated person fails to correct the deficiencies or the municipality determines the deficiencies have not been corrected within a reasonable period of time, the municipality may take any enforcement action authorized by law for the deficiencies.
- G. A municipality's decision pursuant to subsection E or F of this section is not an appealable municipal action.
- H. At least once every month after the commencement of the inspection, a municipality shall provide the regulated person with an update, in writing or electronically, on the status of any municipal action resulting from an inspection of the regulated person. A municipality is not required to provide an update after the regulated person is notified that no municipal action will result from the municipality's inspection or after the completion of municipal action resulting from the municipality's inspection.
- I. This section does not authorize an inspection or any other act that is not otherwise authorized by law.
- J. This section applies only to inspections necessary for the issuance of a license or to determine compliance with licensure requirements. This section does not apply:
 - 1. To criminal investigations and undercover investigations that are generally or specifically authorized by law.
 - 2. If the municipal inspector or regulator has reasonable suspicion to believe that the regulated person may be or has been engaged in criminal activity.
 - 3. Inspections by a county board of health or a local health department pursuant to section 36-603.
- K. If a municipal inspector or regulator gathers evidence in violation of this section, the violation shall not be a basis to exclude the evidence in a civil or administrative proceeding, if the penalty sought is the denial, suspension or revocation of the regulated person's license or a civil penalty of more than one thousand dollars.
- L. Failure of a municipal employee to comply with this section:
 - 1. Constitutes cause for disciplinary action or dismissal pursuant to adopted municipal personnel policy.
 - 2. Shall be considered by the judge and administrative law judge as grounds for reduction of any fine or civil penalty.
- M. A municipality may adopt rules or ordinances to implement this section.
- N. This section:
 - 1. Shall not be used to exclude evidence in a criminal proceeding.
 - 2. Does not apply to a municipal inspection that is requested by the regulated person.

Appeals of Dedication, Exactions or Zoning Regulations



Rights of Property Owner

In addition to the other rights granted to you by the U.S. and Arizona Constitution, federal and state law and city ordinances or regulations, you are hereby notified of your right to appeal the following City actions relating to your property:

- 1) Any dedication of exaction which is required of you by an administrative agency or official of the city as a condition of granting approval of your request to use, improve or develop your real property. This appeal right does not apply to a dedication or exaction required as part of a city legislative act (for example a zoning ordinance) when an administrative agency or official has no discretion to determine the dedication or exaction.
- 2) The adoption or amendment of a zoning regulation that creates a taking of property in violations of Arizona and federal court decision.

Appeal Procedure

The appeal must be in writing and specify the City action appealed and the date final action was taken, and must be filed with or mailed to the hearing officer designated by the city within 30 days after the final action is taken

- No fee will be charged for filing
- The city Attorney's Office will review the appeal for compliance with the above requirements, and will notify you if your appeal does not comply
- Eligible appeals will be forwarded to the hearing officer, and a hearing will be scheduled within 30 days of receipt by the hearing officer of your request. Ten days notice will be given to you of the date, time and place of the hearing unless you indicate that less notice is acceptable to you.
- The City will submit a takings impact report to the hearing officer.
- In an appeal from a dedication or exaction, the City will bear the burden of proving that the dedication or exaction to be imposed on your property bears an essential nexus between the requirement and a legitimate governmental interest and that the proposed dedication or exaction is roughly proportional to the impact of the use, improvement or development you proposed.
- In an appeal from the adoption or amendment of a zoning regulation, the City will bear the burden of proving that any dedication of exaction requirement in the zoning regulation is roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use, improvement, or development, and that the zoning regulation does not create a taking of property in violation of Arizona and federal court cases.
- The hearing officer must render his decision within five working days after the appeal is heard.
- The hearing officer can modify or delete a dedication or exaction or, in the case of an appeal from a zoning regulation, transmit a recommendation to the City Council.
- If you are dissatisfied with the decision of the hearing officer, you may file a complaint for a trial *nevo* with the Superior Court within 30 days of the hearing officer's decision.

For questions, you may contact:

City's Attorney's Office
3939 Drinkwater Blvd.
Scottsdale, AZ 85251
480-312-2405

Address your appeal to:

Hearing Officer, C/O City Clerk
3939 Drinkwater Blvd
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Please be aware that City Staff cannot give you legal advice. You may wish, but are not required, to hire an attorney to represent you in an appeal.

Planning and Development Services

7447 E. Indian School Road, Suite 105, Scottsdale, AZ 85251 ♦ www.ScottsdaleAZ.gov

**Owner Certification
Acknowledging Receipt
Of
Notice Of Right To Appeal
Exactions And Dedications**

I hereby certify that I am the owner of property located at:

8888 E. Bell Road, Scottsdale, Arizona, 85255

(address where development approval, building permits, or city required improvements and dedications are being required)

and hereby certify that I have received a notice that explains my right to appeal all exactions and/or dedications required by the City of Scottsdale as part of my property development on the parcel listed in the above address.



Signature of Property Owner

12-20-22

Date