



3169/

31

7546/524

40'

RDV

53.1

25.5

0.747

19.9

157°

32.3

116'

40'

Carr, Brad

From: Furrow-Hadley Sheri C <Sheri.Furrow-Hadley@srpnet.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 11, 2017 10:04 AM
To: Carr, Brad
Subject: RE: Project No. 728 - PA - 2016 (SRP 68th Street Parcel)

Good Morning Brad,

Thank you for meeting with me yesterday afternoon. I just wanted to follow up on and confirm what we discussed.

The City's Transportation Department does not currently support the proposed abandonment area. In the written explanation that was sent to SRP in December, it stated that the location is set for future transportation and trail improvements for the City. In our discussion yesterday, you also clarified that this is a walkway and viewing area for the people heading onto the canal multiple use path. The easement document (Docket 7546 Page 524) calls out the following purposes: *the right to enter upon the hereinafter described land and grade, level, fill, drain, pave, construct, operate, maintain, repair, and rebuild a road or highway, together with such bridges, culverts, ramps, sidewalks, curbs, gutters, and cuts as may be necessary and to construct, operate and maintain any public utility lines, pipes or poles, on, over, under, and across the ground embraced within the right-of-way.*

There are existing improvements within the above referenced easement area that relate to the existing bike path, as well as existing utilities in the location, but could you give a bit more insight as to the future plans for the area? (i.e. the walkway/bike path improvements and viewing area).

We also discussed possible abandonment 3' public r/w easement for road, utilities, and drainage per Instrument No. 2010-0072428. You had mentioned that this area may also be included in those future plans. Could you also provide a bit more of the details for future plans in this location? This information will help me as I discuss what SRP needs abandoned within the wellsite location and where the wall of the wellsite can be constructed in relation to these easement areas.

Thank you again for your assistance on this. I would like to keep the momentum moving on this one, so we can get this project closed out.

Regards,

~ Sheri

Sheri C. Furrow, SR/WA
Principal Land Agent
SRP Land Rights Management
Phone: (602) 236-5390
scfurrow@srpnet.com

From: Carr, Brad [mailto:bcarr@scottsdaleaz.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 03, 2017 3:55 PM
To: Furrow-Hadley Sheri C <Sheri.Furrow-Hadley@srpnet.com>
Subject: RE: Project No. 728 - PA - 2016 (SRP 68th Street Parcel)

Great. See you then.

Brad



Image capture: Mar 2016 © 2017 Google

Scottsdale, Arizona

Street View - Mar 2016





12/23/2016

Sheri Furrow-Hadley
Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement
1521 N Project Dr
Tempe, AZ 85281

RE: 11-AB-2016
68th & Indian School Roadway Easement Abandonment

Dear Ms. Furrow-Hadley:

The Planning & Development Services Division has completed the review of the above referenced development application submitted on 10/25/2016. The following **1st Review Comments** represent the review performed by our team, and is intended to provide you with guidance for compliance with city codes, policies, and guidelines related to this application.

Zoning Ordinance and Scottsdale Revise Code Significant Issues

The following code and ordinance related issues have been identified in the first review of this application, and shall be addressed in the resubmittal of the revised application material. Addressing these items is critical to scheduling the application for public hearing, and may affect the City Staff's recommendation. Please address the following:

Circulation:

1. Indian School Road is designated as a major arterial roadway. The typical right-of-way requirement for a major arterial street is 65 to 75 feet from the street centerline. Currently, only 40 feet of right-of-way is dedicated fronting the subject property. In addition, the proposed right-of-way abandonment area is the location of future transportation and trail improvements for the city. The city's Transportation Department does not support the proposed abandonment area. (Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 1.204.)

Technical Corrections

The following technical ordinance or policy related corrections have been identified in the first review of the project. While these items are not as critical to scheduling the case for public hearing, they will likely affect a decision on the final plans submittal (construction and improvement documents) and should be addressed as soon as possible. Correcting these items before the hearing may also help clarify questions regarding these plans. Please address the following:

Survey:

2. Please prepare an Exhibit A being the legal description that is based on reference to the original document of record and/or a Metes & Bounds description of the subject portion to be

abandoned. Prepare an Exhibit B sketch that defines the context of all matters & recorded documents that Bound the subject area of abandonment. The particular portion of the abandoned area will be defined by the Transportation Engineering staff. (Zoning Ordinance, Sec. 1.204.)

Please resubmit the revised application requirements and additional information identified in Attachment A, Resubmittal Checklist, and a written summary response addressing the comments/corrections identified above as soon as possible for further review. The City will then review the revisions to determine if the application is to be scheduled for a hearing date, or if additional modifications, corrections, or additional information is necessary.

PLEASE CALL 480-312-7000 TO SCHEDULE A RESUBMITTAL MEETING WITH ME PRIOR TO YOUR PLANNED RESUBMITTAL DATE. DO NOT DROP OFF ANY RESUBMITTAL MATERIAL WITHOUT A SCHEDULED MEETING. THIS WILL HELP MAKE SURE I'M AVAILABLE TO REVIEW YOUR RESUBMITTAL AND PREVENT ANY UNNECESSARY DELAYS. RESUBMITTAL MATERIAL THAT IS DROPPED OFF MAY NOT BE ACCEPTED AND RETURNED TO THE APPLICANT.

The Planning & Development Services Division has had this application in review for 38 Staff Review Days since the application was determined to be administratively complete.

These 1st Review Comments are valid for a period of 180 days from the date on this letter. The Zoning Administrator may consider an application withdrawn if a revised submittal has not been received within 180 days of the date of this letter (Section 1.305. of the Zoning Ordinance).

If you have any questions, or need further assistance please contact me at 480-312-7713 or at bcarr@ScottsdaleAZ.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brad Carr", written in a cursive style.

Brad Carr, AICP, LEED-AP
Principal Planner

ATTACHMENT A
Resubmittal Checklist

Case Number: **11-AB-2016**

Please provide the following documents, in the quantities indicated, with the resubmittal (all plans larger than 8 ½ x11 shall be folded):

- One copy: COVER LETTER – Respond to all the issues identified in the 1st Review Comment Letter
- One original: Revised legal description and graphic of abandonment area