Hemby, Karen

From:

amacaulay@cox.net

Sent:

Thursday, May 07, 2020 12:13 PM

To:

Venker, Steve; Historic Preservation Commission

Cc:

Grant, Randy; Stockwell, Brent; susan Thomas; Craig Sjodin; Joe Prado; Debra Lentz; Morine Rick; Philip Ellis; Maureen; Marsha Cain; jerrywortham@yahoo.com; Colleen Klapac; Mike Sikes And Patti Frinzi; Pete Marsden; Linda Brown; sally.settle; Diane Frank;

Littlefield, Kathy; Whitehead, Solange

Subject:

MacAulay Comments on May 7, 2020 COS HPC meeting Memorandum

↑ External Email: Please use caution if opening links or attachments!

RE: Historic Preservation Commission Meeting Memorandum

Historic Preservation Plan and Guidelines for Villa Monterey Units 1-7 Historic District This is an administrative report May 7, 2020 Steve Venker, Historic Preservation Officer

THE FOLLOWING TEXT IS FROM MR VENKER'S MEMO, COPIED AND PASTED FROM THE AGENDA ITEM "ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT.

" Recommendation

For the safety of all concerned, we recommend that a series of smaller group meetings be scheduled, one for each HOA in VMHD. Based on comments that the Commission and Staff have been receiving, it is clear that each individual HOA has allowances and limitations that are expressed in their respective HOA Appendix that is in the Plan. While some of the allowances and limitations are similar and may overlap, each HOA Appendix is unique. The result of these singular HOA meetings may be either a Plan that is comprehensive due to similarities, or a series of seven Plans that are specific for each HOA.

Next Step

With concurrence from HPC, staff will contact the president of each HOA and coordinate a separate meeting with representatives from each HOA within the next month. These meetings may have to be held electronically and remotely. Ideally, we can come to a resolution on the Historic Preservation Plan and Guidelines for one or more of these Units by the next HPC meeting in June."

<u>I take very strong exception to most of this</u>. Please note that I have been involved in this effort since the beginning in January 2017.

We do not need seven (7) different plans. Our seven units have been substantially in agreement re the main body of plan since at least spring of 2018.

That is why we insisted on having the seven Appendices. <u>Provided those Appendices stay in the Plan</u>, provided they are not arbitrarily removed or altered or ignored by City staff going forward, then we are good to go with those. (Delays

in the spring of 2018 were primarily due to a staff effort to have the draft plan approved minus any Appendix or other allowances for different units. Even after such addition had been promised we still had to fight for months to get it.)

Issues that remain are in the body of text, and those lie between City staff and the residents. Maybe we need an updated restatement of those.

Regards, Amy MacAulay VM U4, 4920 N 77th Plm, Scottsdale, 85251