Tessier, Meredith

From: Hardy, Wendy

Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 11:33 AM

To: Tessier, Meredith **Subject:** 8-AB-2024 5-ZN-2024

Attachments: 8-AB-2024_3-ALTA Survey 4.3.25.pdf

Hi Meredith,

Michelle still has concerns about zero lot line construction potential and the inability to get "permission" from CAP on behalf of BLM. As Real Estate is not involved with DR cases, we were wondering if this can be proactively addressed somehow with a stipulation in the AB and ZN case? Their response in the comment letter below indicates that they somehow still feel they get can permission and we were hoping to shut that down.

on the subject larger parcers.

The CAP reviewed the plans for the CoS Cases 5-ZN-2024 and 8-AB-2004. After review of the plans CAP has the following concern that need addressed:

- The plan shows a zero set back from the property line. CAP has concerns about the
 constructability of these improvements without impact to CAP property.
- No private improvements (footings, drainage structures, or any other improvements) will be allowed on CAP property. There is no interest or need by CAP in a common wall.
- CAP will not provide Temporary Construction Easements for use of CAP property for construction of this private facility, nor will CAP provide a long term License for

maintenance of the facility. All maintenance of perimeter walls and building wall need to be done on private property.

CAP is not in objection to the abandonment of the GLO easements.

Response: Understood. The Applicant will not access the neighboring property without permission from the landowner.

With Peace and Gratitude,
Wendy Hardy
Real Estate Management Specialist
City of Scottsdale
whardy@scottsdaleaz.gov