

---

**Neighborhood Notification**  
**Open House Information**  
**Citizen Comments**  
**Affidavit of Posting**  
**Site Sign**  
**Legal Protest**



AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

STATE OF ARIZONA )
COUNTY OF MARICOPA ) ss

I, Steve Perone, being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on June 7, 2016, I posted notification poster(s) for the properties indicated below.

Site(s) must be posted on or before: June 8, 2016

Table with 4 columns: Case No., Description and Location of Project, No. of Signs, Date Posted. Row 1: 9-PP-2015, Silverstone Parcel F, 7350 E Silverstone Dr, 1, 6-7-16

Date of Development Review Board Public Meeting: June 16, 2016, AT 1:00 P.M. IN THE CITY HALL KIVA, SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA.

[Signature] (Signature)

Acknowledged this 7th day of JUNE 2016.

[Signature] (Notary Public)

My commission expires 1/14/2018



FOR SALE  
\$1,076,700  
Van Dyke

# PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

**REQUEST:** Approval of site plan, landscape plan and building elevations for a new 174 single family community of 21.8 acres.

**CASE#:** 9-PP-2015

**DATE:** June 16, 2016

HEARING DATE SUBJECT TO CHANGE  
PLEASE CHECK OUR WEBSITE FOR LATEST INFORMATION

UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTICED, ALL PUBLIC HEARINGS ARE HELD AT:

CITY/CLAY CITY HALL  
3000 N. CROOKHATER BLVD.

YOUR COMMENTS ABOUT THIS REQUEST CAN BE MADE PRIOR TO OR AT THE ABOVE PUBLIC HEARING.  
IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK AT THIS MEETING, PLEASE ALLOW ENOUGH TIME TO FILL OUT A COMMENT CARD.



480-312-7000

6-7-2016





**AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING  
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK**

STATE OF ARIZONA            )  
                                          )            ss  
COUNTY OF MARICOPA        )

I, Sita Barge, being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That on 6-29-16, I posted notification poster(s) for the properties indicated below.

**Site(s) must be posted on or before: July 13, 2016**

Case No.	Description and Location of Project	No. of Signs	Date Posted
9-PP-2015	Silverstone Parcel F, 7350 E Silverstone Dr	1	6-29-16

**Date of Development Review Board Public Meeting:** July 21, 2016, AT 1:00 P.M. IN THE CITY HALL KIVA, SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA.

*S. Barge*  
(Signature)

Acknowledged this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July 2016.

*Carla A. Rivera*  
(Notary Public)

My commission expires February 2, 2017





# REQUEST TO SPEAK



Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff **BEFORE** public testimony begins.  
Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.  
Additional time *MAY* be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.  
Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.

NAME (print) D. Sheldon Arnot MEETING DATE 6-16-16

NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable) Vi at Silverstone Residents

ADDRESS 23005 N. 74TH Street #1221 Scottsdale ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480 284 6512 WORK PHONE —

E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional) shel30@cox-net

I WISH TO SPEAK ON AGENDA ITEM # 3  I WISH TO DONATE MY TIME TO \_\_\_\_\_  
*I would like to precede Mr Dave Rowley*

I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"\* CONCERNING \_\_\_\_\_

\*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

**Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.**

**HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:**

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. *(At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)*
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
  - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
  - A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
  - A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

**WRITTEN COMMENTS:** Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

# REQUEST TO SPEAK



Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff **BEFORE** public testimony begins.

Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.

Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.

Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.

NAME (print) Dave Rowley MEETING DATE 6/16/16

NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable) Via Silverstone Residents

ADDRESS 23005 N. 74<sup>th</sup>, Scottsdale, AZ ZIP 85255

HOME PHONE 480-488-9187 WORK PHONE Cell 480-225-5808

E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional) rowley35@cox.net

I WISH TO SPEAK ON AGENDA ITEM # 3  I WISH TO DONATE MY TIME TO \_\_\_\_\_

*I wish to speak after Mr Arnot and before Mr. Missig.*

I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"\* CONCERNING \_\_\_\_\_

\*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

**This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.**



**Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.**

**HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:**

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. *(At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)*
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
  - A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
  - A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
  - A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

**WRITTEN COMMENTS:** Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

# REQUEST TO SPEAK



Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff **BEFORE** public testimony begins.

Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.

Additional time **MAY** be granted to speakers representing two or more persons.

Cards for designated speakers and the person(s) they represent must be submitted together.

NAME (print) JEFF MESSIG MEETING DATE 2/16

NAME OF GROUP/ORGANIZATION (if applicable) U.S.

ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP \_\_\_\_\_

HOME PHONE \_\_\_\_\_ WORK PHONE \_\_\_\_\_

E-MAIL ADDRESS (optional) \_\_\_\_\_

I WISH TO SPEAK ON AGENDA ITEM # \_\_\_\_\_  I WISH TO DONATE MY TIME TO \_\_\_\_\_

I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENT"\* CONCERNING #3

\*Citizens may complete one Request to Speak "Public Comment" card per meeting and submit it to City Staff. "Public Comment" time is reserved for citizen comments regarding non-agendized items. The Board and Commission may hear "Public Comment" testimony, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not listed on the agenda.

**Request to Speak cards must be submitted to City Staff before public testimony begins on that item.**

**HOW TO ADDRESS THE BOARD AND COMMISSION:**

- The Chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak are encouraged to select a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
- Public testimony is limited to three minutes per speaker. *(At the Chair's discretion, speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time.)*
- A timer light, located at the podium, will help you to time your comments.
  - o A green light indicates the timer has been activated.
  - o A yellow light indicates there is one minute remaining.
  - o A red light indicates the comment period has ended.

**WRITTEN COMMENTS:** Citizens who do not wish to address the Board and Commission in person may submit written comments by completing a white Written Comment card. Written Comment cards are available throughout the Kiva Forum and at the Staff table.

## Perone, Steve

---

**From:** Development Review Board  
**Sent:** Monday, February 08, 2016 1:58 PM  
**To:** Perone, Steve  
**Subject:** Development Review Board Public Comment (response #9)

## Development Review Board Public Comment (response #9)

### Survey Information

Site:	ScottsdaleAZ.gov
Page Title:	Development Review Board Public Comment
URL:	<a href="http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/development-review-board/public-comment">http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/development-review-board/public-comment</a>
Submission Time/Date:	2/8/2016 1:57:52 PM

### Survey Response

<b>COMMENT</b>	
Comment:	More of a question. 9-PP-2015 Silverstone Parcel F. I live at Vi Silverstone. When will the Board begin considering the proposal ?
Comments are limited to 8,000 characters and may be cut and pasted from another source.	
<b>PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR NAME:</b>	
First & Last Name:	David Rowley
<b>AND ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:</b>	
Email:	<a href="mailto:rowley35@cox.net">rowley35@cox.net</a>
Phone:	(480) 488-9187
Address:	23005 N. 74th St Unit 1308, Scottsdale, Az. 85255
Example: 3939 N. Drinkwater Blvd, Scottsdale 85251	



December 1, 2015

**Re: Silverstone Parcel F – Preliminary Plat Application Notification**

Dear Neighbor/Landowner:

We are pleased to tell you about an upcoming request to be filed with the city of Scottsdale (740-PA-2015) for a new +/- 22 acre project by K. Hovnanian Homes located within the Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak master planned community at the southwest corner of Pinnacle Peak Road and 74<sup>th</sup> Street. The property is currently zoned R-5 P-C and has a General Plan land use designation of "Mixed Use Neighborhoods." The proposed development plan conforms to these existing designations.

The applicant is proposing two distinct communities on this parcel for a total of 174 units and an overall density of 7.3 dwelling units/acre. The northern section will consist of 98 two-story, for sale townhomes surrounding a central community amenity. The southern section will be composed of 76 two-story, luxury duplexes and a resort-style amenity area. Each community will be separately gated and will include significant open space buffer areas.

The proposed community exceeds the 4.4 acres of common open space required within the Silverstone at Pinnacle Peak Master Plan. Given the market demand and surrounding land uses, as well as its conformance to the existing Silverstone Master Plan, the development team feels that the proposed site plan is an appropriate use for the site.

Attached is a preliminary site plan for the proposed single-family residences. If you have any questions about this new community, please contact us by email (astedman@LVAdesign.com) or by phone at (480) 994-0994. You may also contact Jesus Murillo with the City of Scottsdale at jmurillo@scottsdaleAZ.gov or by phone at (480) 312-7000 and reference pre-application #740-PA-2015.

Sincerely,



Alex Stedman  
LVA Urban Design Studio, LLC

**9-PP-2015**  
**12/2/15**



March 10, 2016

Alex Stedman  
LVA Urban Design Studio, LLC  
120 S Ash Ave  
Tempe, AZ 85281

RE: Case Number  
Silverstone Parcel F

Dear Mr. Stedman:

The Planning & Development Services Division has completed the review of the above referenced development application submitted on 2-18-2016. The following **2<sup>nd</sup> Review Comments** represent the review performed by our team, and is intended to provide you with guidance for compliance with city codes, policies, and guidelines related to this application.

**Zoning Ordinance and Scottsdale Revised Code Significant Issues**

The following code and ordinance related issues have been identified in the first review of this application, and shall be addressed in the resubmittal of the revised application material.

Addressing these items is critical to scheduling the application for public hearing, and may affect the City Staff's recommendation. Please address the following:

Zoning:

1. Please reference "height analysis" Attachment "B" for structure height measurement (15-ZN- 2005).

**RESPONSE: Note added to cover sheet.**

2. Maximum wall height is limited to eight (8) feet, which includes all portions of the wall (i.e. retaining, wall, screen wall, etc.). Review analysis of Cross Section "A," identified walls at ten (10) feet in height (four-foot retaining wall, and four-foot screen wall, and two-foot wrought iron). Either, reduce the height of retaining wall, screen wall, or remove the wrought iron portion of the wall, to be in conformance with the maximum allowable wall height requirement (Ordinance Section 5.1004.G.1).

**RESPONSE: Block wall portion of all walls reduced to 8' max.**

9-PP-2015  
04/22/16

Legal/Application:

3. The applicant submitted the neighborhood notification letter, notification list, and preliminary plat notification affidavit. The applicant did not consolidate these items and provide an overall descriptive Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement Report. Provide a Citizen and Neighborhood Involvement Report detailing the outreach that the applicant has conducted and the results and comments from the outreach performed (Ordinance Section 1.305.C.1 and 1.305.C.2).

**RESPONSE: The applicant has consolidated the neighborhood notification letter, notification list, and the preliminary plat notification affidavit into an overall descriptive Neighborhood Involvement Report. An updated report including the latest neighborhood involvement activity has been provided with this submittal.**

4. Please revise the Project Narrative so that it includes analysis related to how this development proposal will comply with the Silverstone Master Environmental Design Concept Plan. Please refer to the criteria set forth in Sec. 1.904 of the Zoning Ordinance.

**RESPONSE: The Silverstone Master Environmental Design Concept Plan (MEDCP) outlines guidelines and requirements for architectural design within the Silverstone Master Plan area. In order to ensure compliance with these guidelines, the applicant has agreed to submit architectural plans for Design Review under a separate case from this plat. The Project Narrative will be revised to address the MEDCP will that submittal.**

Fire:

5. Update the project site plan to provide and demonstrate appropriate hydrant distances between existing and proposed fire hydrants (Ordinance Section 4045 and 507.5.1.2).

**RESPONSE: The project site plan has been updated to show proposed fire hydrant locations. Fire hydrants will be located a maximum of 300 feet from one another to ensure full site coverage and to comply with City of Scottsdale standards.**

Drainage:

6. Please submit two (2) copies of the revised Drainage Report with the original red-lined copy of the report with the resubmittal material identified in Attachment A.

**RESPONSE: Drainage report updated with underground detention and resubmitted. Walls have been removed from central open space area in northern phase.**

7. The third addendum to the Silverstone Drainage Master Plan was just approved with just over 2.0 acre-feet of storage required for this parcel. The revised preliminary report shows adequate storage and also shows compliance with FEMA lowest floor elevation requirements. The preliminary drainage report is acceptable subject to any changes relating to comments on the preliminary grading and drainage plan. The preliminary grading and drainage plan now shows expanded basin volumes by use of vertical walls which is not in accordance with FCDMC design guidelines for safety of basins. The

preliminary grading and drainage plan will need to be revised to address this issue. Other minor comments on the preliminary grading and drainage plan.

**RESPONSE: Drainage report updated with underground detention and resubmitted. Walls have been removed from central open space area in northern phase.**

Landscaping /Open Space:

8. The Silverstone MEDCP (approved through case 15-ZN-2005 and 2-MP-2006) requires all landscape plans proposed for the Silverstone site to be reviewed by the Silverstone Development Review Committee (SDRC) before submittal to the City. Provide confirmation of submittal and review by SDRC.

**RESPONSE: The landscape plans for this site were submitted to the Silverstone Development Review Committee for review on February 24, 2016 and were approved on April 12, 2016. A copy of the approval letter has been included with this submittal.**

9. Please update the preliminary landscape plan to provide information that will confirm that mature trees are provided in compliance with the provisions of Zoning Ordinance Section 10.502.B.3. and 5.1004.D (forty (40%) percent).

**RESPONSE: The following note has been provided on the conceptual landscape plans to clarify fulfillment of the Zoning Ordinance:**

**NOTE:**

- **SALVAGED TREES ARE ASSUMED TO BE 3" CALIPER OR GREATER AND COUNT TOWARDS FULFILLING CITY OF SCOTTSDALE MATURE TREE REQUIREMENTS PER 10.502.B.3 & 5.1004.D (50% OF TOTAL TREES TO BE MATURE WITH 3" CALIPER OR GREATER IS EQUAL TO 185 TREES)**
- **253 TREES OUT THE PROVIDED 370 TREES ARE MATURE WITH 3" CALIPER OR GREATER**
- **68% OF THE TREES PROVIDED ARE MATURE WITH 3" CALIPER OR GREATER**

**Please note that there is a discrepancy in the zoning language between the required percentages of mature trees (40% vs 50%). LVA has demonstrated a fulfillment of both of these requirements by providing 68% mature trees.**

10. Landscape plans shall provide fifty (50%) percent of the trees provided as mature trees. Update the narrative and landscape plans to reference the MEDCP requirement.

**RESPONSE: Please see the response to #9.**

11. Please update the project landscape plan to identify the native plant salvage materials that will be re-used within the landscape plan. Revise the plant legend so that plant symbols shown on the landscape plan to show such salvage materials.

**RESPONSE: Trees are assigned a specific symbol with an inner circle and identified on the landscape legend as salvaged materials with a callout and leader. We have provided symbols on the plan with the inner circle to designate the salvage materials.**



### Significant Policy Related Issues

The following policy related issues have been identified in the first review of this application. Even though some of these issues may not be critical to scheduling the application for public hearing, they may affect the City Staff's recommendation pertaining to the application and should be addressed with the resubmittal of the revised application material. Please address the following:

#### Site Design:

12. Please modify the site design of the dead-end parking aisles to be in accordance with the Design Standards & Policies Manual, Section 2-1.809.C., Figure 2.1-4.

**RESPONSE: Design meets standard.**

13. In the preliminary plat documents, all section drawings are noted as 'Not to Scale'. Please revise all section drawings so that they provide notation, in XXXX.XX format, that will indicate the elevation/grade for all finished floor, all pavement, all top-of-wall, finish grade, natural grade, existing grade, etc., so that staff and the Development Review Board will be able to understand how the section drawings relate to the Project Narrative statement that "residential development will be slightly elevated."

**RESPONSE: All sections are to scale and have elevations shown (p for pavement, FF/PAD for finished floor pad).**

14. Please revise the configuration of the lots on the preliminary plat so that the rear yard of the individual lots will not front onto the adjacent streets. Please revise the on-site drive aisles, sidewalks, landscape areas, amenity area, and refuse enclosures as necessary (DSPM Section 2- 1.1103).

**RESPONSE: See response to comment #4.**

#### Landscape Design:

15. Please update landscape plan to utilize a dashed line to indicate the sight distance visibility triangles on the landscape plan. Please refer to the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications (DSPM Section 5-3.119).

**RESPONSE: Site Visibility Triangles have been demonstrated with a bold dashed line and called out on plans.**

16. On Conceptual Landscape Plan Sheet 4 of 6 Detail 3 Retaining Walls, includes illustrations regarding two stepped retaining wall designs, however it is unclear how these retaining walls will be implemented with the proposed development. Please clarify the utilization of the stepped retaining wall designs. Please refer to the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications.

**RESPONSE: The Retaining walls have been called out on the plans to better communicate their locations.**

17. Notes on the landscape plan appear to be 6-point font size, or less. Please revise the notes so that they are 12-point font size. Please refer to the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications.

**RESPONSE: Font sizes have been increased to a 12 point font size for legibility requirements.**

Circulation:

18. Update site plan to show all internal streets, where street bubbles are proposed, to be in accordance with the Design Standards and Policies Manual (DSPM Section 5-3.1100 and Figure 5.3-51).

**RESPONSE: Typical Scottsdale street bubbles proposed not for internal streets, therefore they have not been shown on the site plan or pre-plat. All two-way intersections have been designed to accommodate the City of Scottsdale's standard commercial fire turning radii of 25'-49'-55'. This radii allows two vehicles to safely pass one another without the street bubble.**

19. Update the site plan to provide the correct number of refuse enclosures. Five (5) refuse enclosures are required for the proposed 98 dwelling units located in the northern portion of the site (DSPM Section 2-1.804). Update narrative to address why residential containers are not being provided.

**RESPONSE: All refuse containers have been removed from the site plan. The applicant has determined that individual residential containers are a better fit for this community. DSPM Section 2-1.804 recommends the use of residential containers over communal dumpsters for all dwelling units.**

20. Update the site plan to provide four (4) bicycle parking spaces (2 racks) at both amenity areas per (Ordinance Section 9.103 and DSPM Section 2-1.808). Bicycle racks can blend with the architectural context of development. Applicant to contact Transportation Department to ensure functionality of racks and dimension of placement prior to installation. Applicant also has the option to use City of Scottsdale Standard Detail #2285.

**RESPONSE: Bicycle Parking spaces have been provided at both amenity areas per requirement. Locations are called out on plan.**

21. Update the site plan to orient refuse enclosures at a thirty (30) degree angle (DSPM Section 2-1.804 and COS Standard Detail #2147-1).

**RESPONSE: Refuse collection will be individually collected, dumpsters have been deleted.**

22. Owner will likely be required to dedicate safety triangle easements at all street intersections, including all internal street intersections (DSP M Section 5-3.119D and Figure 5.3-27).

**RESPONSE: Lots, parking, and refuse enclosures have been reconfigured to allow for the City of Scottsdale's full 35' x 35' safety triangles at intersections. These triangles have been delineated on the site plan with a dashed line and callouts.**

Lighting Design:

23. On Sheet 1 of 6 that includes light fixture cut-sheets information and illustrations regarding fixtures 'C' and 'D' however it is unclear how these light fixtures/features will be implemented with the proposed development. Please clarify the utilization of regarding fixture 'C' and 'D'. Please refer to the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications

**RESPONSE: Fixtures C and D are demonstrated on sheet 7 of 8. Symbol sizes have been increased 150% for legibility reasons.**

Lighting:

24. Notes and symbols on the lighting plan and cut-sheets appear to be 6-point font size, or less. Please revise the notes so that they are 12-point font size. Please refer to the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications.

**RESPONSE: Font sizes have been increased to 12 point for legibility purposes.**

Technical Corrections

The following technical ordinance or policy related corrections have been identified in the first review of the project. While these items are not as critical to scheduling the case for public hearing, they will likely affect a decision on the final plans submittal (construction and improvement documents) and should be addressed as soon as possible. Correcting these items before the hearing may also help clarify questions regarding these plans. Please address the following:

Site:

25. Identify how the project is in conformance with ADA parking requirements and access to the proposed project amenities. Update the circulation plan to clearly identify the spaces and access routes.

**RESPONSE: Please refer to the Pedestrian and Vehicular Circulation Plan to view identified ADA access routes from parking areas to amenities. ADA parking has been provided per City of Scottsdale standards.**

Landscaping:

26. Please show the locations of street light fixtures on the landscape plan. Please refer to the Plan & Report Requirements for Development Applications.

**RESPONSE: Streetlights currently exist at the intersection of 74<sup>th</sup> Street and Pinnacle Peak Road. The applicant is not anticipating providing any new street light fixtures internal or**

**external to this community. Therefore, no street light fixtures are shown on the landscape plans.**

Circulation:

27. Update the site plan to show the pedestrian ramps, at street intersections, to be designed as per COS Standard Detail #2232, #2234, #2235.

**RESPONSE: Ramps meet the following details COS 2234 and 2235 per comment.**

28. Update the site plan to show the MAG Type "A" curb and gutter (Standard detail 220-1) with a six (6) inch curb height, not a four (4) inch.

**RESPONSE: 6" Vertical curb type A has been added to the street sections on the cover sheet.**

29. Update the site plan to show the elimination of the "exit only" site access, or convert to full gated entrances.

**RESPONSE: The site plan has been revised to show the elimination of the proposed "exit-only" site access in the north and south of the site. Flag lots with a minimum of 20' of street frontage are proposed to ensure that all lots have frontage on designated streets. Emergency access easements will have been proposed to provide emergency access to the northern and southern communities. Emergency Access surface to support 83,000 LBS GVW.**

30. Update the site plan to show that the internal street layout accommodates emergency vehicles with a 49 foot outside turning radius and 25-foot inside radius, without encroaching onto the curb and sidewalk.

**RESPONSE: Turning templates are shown at every turning movement. See cover sheet for legend.**

Fire:

31. Update the site plan to provide a note stating that "Fire lane surface will support 83,000 lbs. GVW (DSPM Section 2-1.802(3)).

**RESPONSE: A note has been added to the site plan data table stating that "Fire lane surface will support 83,000 LBS GVW".**

Please resubmit the revised application requirements and additional information identified in Attachment A, Resubmittal Checklist, and a written summary response addressing the

comments/corrections identified above as soon as possible for further review. The City will then review the revisions to determine if a decision regarding the application may be made, or if additional modifications, corrections, or additional information is necessary.



**PLEASE CALL 480-312-7000 TO SCHEDULE A RESUBMITAL MEETING WITH ME PRIOR TO YOUR PLANNED RESUBMITAL DATE. DO NOT DROP OFF ANY RESUBMITAL MATERIAL WITHOUT A SCHEDULED MEETING. THIS WILL HELP MAKE SURE I'M AVAILABLE TO REVIEW YOUR RESUBMITAL AND PREVENT ANY UNNECESSARY DELAYS. RESUBMITAL MATERIAL THAT IS DROPPED OFF MAY NOT BE ACCEPTED AND RETURN TO THE APPLICANT.**

The Planning & Development Services Division has had this application in review for 18 Staff Review Days since the application was determined to be administratively complete.

These **2<sup>nd</sup> Review Comments** are valid for a period of 180 days from the date on this letter. The Zoning Administrator may consider an application withdrawn if a revised submittal has not been received within 180 days of the date of this letter (Section 1.305. of the Zoning Ordinance).

If you have any questions, or need further assistance please contact me at 480-312-7849 or at [jmurillo@scottsdaleAZ.gov](mailto:jmurillo@scottsdaleAZ.gov).

Sincerely,



Jesus Murillo  
Senior Planner

cc: Tana Wahtola  
P.O. BOX 16460 PHOENIX, AZ  
602-230-1051 (PHONE)  
[twahkola@vtaig.com](mailto:twahkola@vtaig.com)

**ATTACHMENT A**

Resubmittal Checklist

Case Number: 9-PP-2015

Please provide the following documents, in the quantities indicated, with the resubmittal (all plans larger than 8 1/2 x 11 shall be folded):

- One copy: COVER LETTER - Respond to all the issues identified in the first review comment letter.
- One copy: Revised CD of submittal (DWG or DWF format only)
- One copy: 3 Copies of the Revised Narrative for Project
  
- Preliminary Plat:  
8                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"
- Open Space Plan:  
2                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"
- Landscape Plan:  
Col                    1                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"  
or  
B&W                    1                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"
- Lighting Site Plan(s):  
2                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"
- Photometric Analysis Plans (s):  
2                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"
- Manufacturer Cut Sheets of All Proposed Lighting:  
2                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"
- Cuts & Fills Site Plan  
2                    24"x36"                    2                    11"x17"                    2                    8 1/2" x 11"

Technical Reports:

- 2 copies of Revised Drainage Report:                    Plan Check No. \_\_\_\_\_
- 1 copies of Revised Storm Water Waiver:                    Plan Check No. \_\_\_\_\_

Resubmit the revised Drainage Reports and Storm Water Waiver application to your Project Coordinator with any prior City mark-up documents.