GH RESIDENCES AT CAVASSON - 24-DR-2021 EXHIBIT "E"

From:	Anderson, Scott L <scan@scottsdaleaz.gov></scan@scottsdaleaz.gov>
Sent:	Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:38 PM
То:	Greg Brown; Hayes, Eliana
Cc:	Bloemberg, Greg; Michael S. Wolf; Pence, Jack; Colburn, Jeff
Subject:	RE: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

Greg,

After review and consulting with our maintence people we are ok with your proposed minimum 12' waterline easement for the Greyhawk Residences.

Scott

From: Greg Brown <gbrown@hubbardengineering.com>
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 12:32 PM
To: Anderson, Scott L <scan@scottsdaleaz.gov>; Hayes, Eliana <EHayes@Scottsdaleaz.gov>
Cc: Bloemberg, Greg <GBLO@Scottsdaleaz.gov>; Michael S. Wolf <mwolf@hubbardengineering.com>; Pence, Jack
<JPence@Scottsdaleaz.gov>
Subject: RE: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

A External Email: Please use caution if opening links or attachments! Scott,

This is the area I was hoping to utilize the reduced easement. That's not to say within the area I highlighted there isn't portions that I could jump back to 20', just not sure how clean it would be going back and forth.



From: Anderson, Scott L <<u>scan@scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 12:13 PM
To: Greg Brown <<u>gbrown@hubbardengineering.com</u>>; Hayes, Eliana <<u>EHayes@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Cc: Bloemberg, Greg <<u>GBLO@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Michael S. Wolf <<u>mwolf@hubbardengineering.com</u>>; Pence, Jack
<<u>JPence@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

Greg,

Thank you for the additional information. Eliana also helped me find a few other projects that have 12' waterline easement. So I am warming the idea.

Would you provide an plan sheet or exhibit that shows the extent of the proposed 12' easement?

Scott

From: Greg Brown <<u>gbrown@hubbardengineering.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 8:16 AM
To: Anderson, Scott L <<u>scan@scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Hayes, Eliana <<u>EHayes@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Cc: Bloemberg, Greg <<u>GBLO@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Michael S. Wolf <<u>mwolf@hubbardengineering.com</u>>; Pence, Jack
<<u>JPence@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Subject: BE: Crawbawk Besideness @ Cayassen (118 BA 2021)

Subject: RE: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

A External Email: Please use caution if opening links or attachments! Scott,

The project was Pima Storage at the northwest corner of Pima and Thomas. The plan check was 6995-16-1. I have attached a utility design sheet and the recorded plat from the project. I thought this was a relative project as an example as there were buildings or walls that flanked portions of the drive lanes which is similar to our complex.

Thanks for your help!



www.hubbardengineering.com

From: Anderson, Scott L <<u>scan@scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Hayes, Eliana <<u>EHayes@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Greg Brown <<u>gbrown@hubbardengineering.com</u>>
Cc: Bloemberg, Greg <<u>GBLO@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Michael S. Wolf <<u>mwolf@hubbardengineering.com</u>>; Pence, Jack
<<u>JPence@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Subject: RE: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

Greg,

In similar circumstances I have allowed a 16' waterline easement and I would like to hold to that if possible. Where in Scottsdale were you allowed a 12' easement. I can look at it and see if the situation is similar.

Scott

From: Hayes, Eliana <<u>EHayes@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2021 7:25 AM
To: Greg Brown <<u>gbrown@hubbardengineering.com</u>>
Cc: Anderson, Scott L <<u>scan@scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Bloemberg, Greg <<u>GBLO@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Michael S. Wolf
<<u>mwolf@hubbardengineering.com</u>>; Pence, Jack <<u>JPence@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Subject: RE: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

Hello Greg – Scott A will need to weigh in on acceptability of proposed solution but I'm thinking it would be acceptable based on your attached plan. Thank you - Eliana

From: Greg Brown <gbrown@hubbardengineering.com>
Sent: Friday, May 07, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Hayes, Eliana <<u>EHayes@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Cc: Anderson, Scott L <<u>scan@scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Bloemberg, Greg <<u>GBLO@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>; Michael S. Wolf
<<u>mwolf@hubbardengineering.com</u>>; Pence, Jack <<u>JPence@Scottsdaleaz.gov</u>>
Subject: Greyhawk Residences @ Cavasson (118-PA-2021)

A External Email: Please use caution if opening links or attachments! Eliana,

I have an engineering design question for you concerning the layout of public waterlines and easements in a new apartment complex at the Cavasson development. We have had our PreApp meeting on the project and are producing the documents for the DRB submittal. I have run into some difficulty placing the sites utilities within our 26' drive lane and incorporating an exclusive 20' water line easement. On a couple projects I have completed in Scottsdale we were able to reduce the required 20' water line easement to 12' with an additional 8' access easement to provide the required 20' width. This allows for the placement of private utilities in the 8' access easement while providing 20' for vehicles to maintain the water line. Would a design like this be acceptable on this project? I have attached a exhibit that is typical of our site plan with the easement layout notated. I copied Scott Anderson and Jack Pence but if there are other officials to distribute this request please let me know.

Thanks,

